



GMS Report

Name of the State: Oregon

Federal Fiscal Year: 2002

Total Amount of Federal JABG Grant Award: \$2572775

State Activity Report

Summary Report

	Total Number of subgrantees	Total number of Purpose Areas	Amount	Total Performance Indicators	Output Indicators	Short-term Indicators	Intermediate- term Indicators
Reporting Grantee	40	13	2572775. 0	243	86	75	82
Total	40	13	2572775. 0	243	86	75	82

Report by Purpose Area

Purpose Area Activity	# of Subgrantees	Amount	Performance Indicators	Output Indicators	Short-term Indicators	Intermediate-term Indicators
PA8. Drug Courts	3	394688.0	12	3	3	6
PA14. Restorative Justice	3	32018.0	11	4	3	4
PA12. Risk/Needs Assessments	4	103944.0	12	4	4	4
PA4: Hiring Prosecutors	5	196925.0	15	5	5	5
PA6. Training law enforcement/Court personnel	2	41000.0	6	2	2	2
PA9. Juvenile Records	1	81052.0	3	1	1	1
PA3: Hiring court staff/Pretrial Services	2	86932.0	9	3	4	2
PA15. Court/Probation Programming	14	274830.0	52	20	18	14
PA2: Facilities	4	55402.0	16	6	5	5
PA10. Information Sharing	3	190760.0	11	5	3	3
PA5. Funding for Prosecutors	1	18896.0	3	1	1	1
PA1: Graduated	4	118550.0	14	5	4	5





Sanctions						
PA11. Accountability-	19	925248.0	79	27	22	30
based programs						





State Data Report

Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March	31st, 2005		
		Total number of			Data Value	s	
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev
PIT: 1. Number of graduated sanctions policies instituted		1					
	a. Number of graduated sanctions policies		1	1	1	1	0
PIT: 2. Number of juvenile justice units that are implementing graduated sanctions programs		1					
	a. Number of units implementing graduated sanctions programs		1	1	1	1	0
4. Number and percent of youth served to whom graduated sanctions were applied		2					
	a. Number of youth admitted to graduated sanctions program		14	8	6	7	1.41
	b. Number of youth admitted into any grantee program		28	22	6	14	11.31
6. Number and percent of youth who had a behavioral contract developed when they entered a program that was part of a graduated sanctions approach	c. Percent (a/b)	1	-	100	36.36	-	-





a. Number of youth with a behavioral contract developed when	30	30	30	30	0
they entered the					
program					





Purpose Area:PA1: Gradu			Deta	og of March	21ct 2005		
Performance Indicator	Data Field	Total number of	Data a	as of March	Data Value	es	
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.
	b. Number of youth to enter the program		53	53	53	53	0
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	56.6	56.6	-	-
10. Number of hours of service received per youth		1					
	a. Number of hours of service to youth		250	250	250	250	0
	b. Number of youth		6	6	6	6	0
11. Cost savings	•	1					
	a. Total cost per case not using graduated sanctions		1000	1000	1000	1000	0
	b. Total cost per graduated sanctions case		800	800	800	800	0
	c. Cost savings (a-b)		200	200	200	200	0
13. Number and percent of cases that result in: community service, monetary restitution, and direct service to victims.		2					
	a. Number of cases to result in community service		28	26	2	14	16.97





Purpose Area:PA1: Gradu	ated Sanctions						
Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March	31st, 2005		
	200011010	Total number of			Data Value	s	
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av	Std Dev.
						g	
	b. Number of		7	4	3	3.5	0.71
	cases to result in						
	monetary						
	restitution c. Number of		19	19	0	9.5	13.44
	cases to result in		19	19	U	9.5	15.77
	direct service to						
	victims						
	d. Number of		75	53	22	37.5	21.92
	cases handled by						
	the grantee						
	e. Percent of		-	49.06	9.09	-	-
	cases resulted in community						
	service (a/d)						
	f. Percent of		_	18.18	5.66	-	_
	cases resulted in				3.33		
	monetary						
	restitution (b/d)						
	g. Percent of		-	35.85	0	-	-
	cases resulted in direct service to						
	victims (c/d)						
16. Number and percent of	victinis (c/u)	1					
youth who were monitored		-					
according to the terms in							
their behavioral contracts							
	a. Number of		7	7	7	7	0
	youth for whom staff followed the						
	guidelines of the						
	youth's						
	behavioral						
	contract						
	b. Number of		22	22	22	22	0
	youth served						
17 Novebou and name 1 C	c. Percent (a/b)	4	-	31.82	31.82	-	-
17. Number and percent of successful program		4					
completions							
Compictions	l			1	l .	I .	l





Purpose Area:PA1: Gradua	ated Sanctions								
Performance Indicator	Data Field	Data as of March 31st, 2005							
		Total number of	Data Values						
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av	Std Dev.		
						g			
	a. Number of		18	8	3	4.5	2.38		
	youth to								
	complete								
	successfully								
	b. Number of		118	53	6	29.5	19.54		
	youth served								
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	66.67	8.82	-	-		





Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March	31st, 2005		
		Total number of			Data Value	es	
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev
PIT: 1. Number and percent of new secure detention beds		1					
	a. Number of secure residential beds		14	14	14	14	0
PIT: 3. Number and percent of square feet of improved space		1					
	a. Total facility square footage		3522	3522	3522	3522	0
6. Number and percent of staff salaries paid		3					
	a. Number of staff positions or FTE paid with JABG funds		3	1	1	1	0
	b. Number of staff positions (or FTE)		33	18	1	11	8.89
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	5.56	-	-
8. Amount spent on other operating costs and percent of total other operating costs		1					
	a. Dollar amount spent by program on other operating costs		3596	3596	3596	3596	0





Purpose Area:PA2: Facility Performance Indicator	es Data Field		Data	os of March	21ct 2005		
Performance Indicator	Data Field	Total number of	Dala	as of March	Data Value	es	
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev
	b. Total amount spent on other operating costs		92774	92774	92774	92774	0
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	3.88	3.88	-	-
10. Amount and percent of staff time spent on behavioral management		1					
	a. Number of hours spent on behavioral management per week		12	12	12	12	0
	b. Number of hours worked per week		168	168	168	168	0
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	7.14	7.14	-	-
13. Percent of capacity		1					
	a. Average number of youth at facility		-	9	9	-	-
	b. Average number of youth facility is licensed for		-	26	26	-	-
·	c. Percent (a/b)		-	34.62	34.62	-	-
14. Number and percent of youth fulfilling their court-determined length of stay		2					





Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	s of March	31st, 2005		
		Total number of			Data Values		
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev
	a. Number of clients to leave at court- determined time		35	25	10	17.5	10.61
	b. Number of clients to leave the facility		35	25	10	17.5	10.61
	c. Percent (a/b)		1	100	100	-	-
15. Number and percent of days operated at full capacity		1					
	a. Number of days operated at full capacity		0	0	0	0	0
	b. Number of days elapsed		0	0	0	0	0
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	0	0	-	-
18. Number of disciplinary actions against youth		1					
	a. Number of disciplinary actions against youth		5	5	5	5	0
22. Number and percent of youth held in secure detention		1					
	a. Number of youth held in secure detention		25	25	25	25	0





Performance Indicator	Data Field	Data as of March 31st, 2005							
		Total number of subgrantees	Data Values						
			Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av	Std Dev.		
						g			
	b. Number of youth served		25	25	25	25	0		
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	100	-	-		
23. Number of hours youth were held in secure detention		2							
	a. Number of hours youth were held in secure detention		17160	15720	1440	8580	10097.48		
24. Number and percent of youth diverted from the facility		1							
	a. Number of youth diverted		0	0	0	0	0		
	b. Number of youth served		10	10	10	10	0		
	c. Percent (a/(a + b))		-	0	0	-	-		





Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March				
		Total number of		Data Values				
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.	
2. Number and percent of each of the following types of staff hired: judges, probation officers, defenders, special advocates, pretrial service staff		2						
	a. Number of judges hired		0	0	0	0	0	
	b. Total number of judges		0	0	0	0	0	
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	0	0	-	-	
	d. Number of probation officers hired		2	1	1	1	0	
	e. Total number of probation officers		6	5	1	3	2.83	
	f. Percent (d/e)		-	100	20	-	-	
	g. Number of defenders hired		0	0	0	0	0	
	h. Total number of defenders		0	0	0	0	0	
	i. Percent (g/h)		-	0	0	-	-	





Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March	31st, 2005 Data Value		
		Total number of					
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev
	j. Number of special advocates hired		0	0	0	0	0
	k. Total number of special advocates		0	0	0	0	0
	I. Percent (j/k)		-	0	0	-	-
	m. Number of pretrial service staff hired		0	0	0	0	0
	n. Total number of pretrial staff		0	0	0	0	0
	o. Percent (m/n)		-	0	0	-	-
3. Number of cases per staff member		1					
	a. Number of cases		140	140	140	140	0
	b. Number of court staff		6	6	6	6	0
11. Number of hours per week and percent of staff time spent directly serving clients		2					
	a. Average number of hours judges spend in direct service per week		-	32	0	-	-





Purpose Area:PA3: Hiring	court staff/Pretria	al Services					
Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March	31st, 2005		
		Total number of			Data Value	es	
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av	Std Dev.
			Total	Hux	171111	g g	Sta Dev.
	b. Average			40	0		
	number of hours		-	40	0	-	-
	judges work per						
	week						
	c. Percent (a/b)		_	80	0	-	-
	d. Average		-	40	26	_	_
	number of hours			.0			
	probation						
	officers spend in						
	direct service per						
	week .						
	e. Average		-	40	40	-	-
	number of hours						
	probation						
	officers work per						
	week						
	f. Percent (d/e)		-	100	65	-	-
	g. Average		-	0	0	-	-
	number of hours						
	defenders spend						
	in direct service per week						
	h. Average			0	0		
	number of hours			U	0	_	_
	defenders work						
	per week						
	i. Percent (g/h)		_	0	0	_	_
	j. Average		-	0	0	-	-
	number of hours						
	special						
	advocates spend						
	in direct service						
	per week						
	k. Average		-	0	0	-	-
	number of hours						
	special						
	advocates work						
	per week						
	I. Percent (j/k)		-	0	0	-	-





Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March	31st, 2005			
		Total number of	Data Values					
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev	
	m. Average number of hours pretrial service staff spend in direct service per week		-	0	0	-	-	
	n.Average number of hours pretrial service staff work per week		-	0	0	-	-	
	o. Percent (m/n)		-	0	0	-	-	
12. Number and percent of youth screened		1						
	a. Number of youth screened		140	140	140	140	0	
	b. Number of youth in program		140	140	140	140	0	
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	100	-	-	
13. Number and percent of youth assessed	, ,	1						
	a. Numbe r of youth assessed		140	140	140	140	0	
	b. Number of youth in program		140	140	140	140	0	
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	100	-	-	





Purpose Area:PA3: Hiring Performance Indicator	court staff/Pretria	al Services	Data a	s of March	31st. 2005		
		Total number of			Data Value	s	
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.
17. Average time in days from case assignment to first meeting between staff member and youth or family		2					
	Average number of days from assignment to first meeting with staff		-	5	5	-	-





Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March			
		Total number of			Data Value	es	
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev
1. Number and percent of new prosecutors hired		2					
	a. Number of prosecutors hired		2	1	1	1	0
	b. Number of prosecutors		9	8	1	4.5	4.95
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	12.5	-	-
3. Number of cases involving violent offenders per prosecutor		3					
FO. F. S.	a. Number of cases involving violent offenders		127	58	34	42.33	13.58
	b. Number of prosecutors that handled cases involving violent offenders		3	1	1	1	0
	c. Number of cases per prosecutor (a/b)		-	58	34	-	-
PIT: 4. Number and percent of specialized prosecutors		1					
	a. Number of specialized prosecutors		1	1	1	1	0





Purpose Area: PA4: Hiring Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March	31st, 2005		
		Total number of			Data Value	es	
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.
	b. Number of prosecutors		1	1	1	1	0
	c.Percent (a/b)		-	100	100	-	-
5. Length of employment in months per prosecutor		4					
	a. Cumulative number of months of prosecutors' employment		211	82	10	52.75	30.41
	b. Number of prosecutors		4	1	1	1	0
	c. Average length of employment (a/b)		-	82	10	-	-
8. Average number of days from arrest to first court date	, , ,	2					
	Average number of days from arrest to first court appearance for the arresting crime		35	29	6	17.5	16.26
9. Average number of days from arrest to case disposition		3					
	Average number of days from arrest to case disposition		-	90	67	-	-





Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March	31st, 2005		
		Total number of			Data Value	es	
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.
3. Number and percent of prosecutors trained in topics related to drugs, gangs, or youth violence		1					
	a. Number of prosecutors trained		1	1	1	1	0
	b. Number of prosecutors		1	1	1	1	0
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	100	-	-
PIT: 6. Number and percent of prosecutors that handle cases involving juvenile offenders exclusively		1					
	a. Number of juvenile-only prosecutors		1	1	1	1	0
	b. Number of prosecutors		1	1	1	1	0
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	100	-	-
12. Average time in days from a case being assigned to the prosecution unit to disposition for cases involving drugs, gangs, or youth violence		1					
	Average number of days from assignment to closing a case		-	90	90	-	-





Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	s of March			
		Total number of			Data Value	es	
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev
Number and percent of law enforcement staff trained in preventing or controlling juvenile crime		2					
	a. Number of law enforcement staff trained		4	2	2	2	0
	b. Number of law enforcement staff		15	13	2	7.5	7.78
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	15.38	-	-
5. Number and percent of staff to rate the training received as helpful		1					
	a. Number of staff to rate training helpful		2	2	2	2	0
	b. Number of staff trained		2	2	2	2	0
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	100	-	-
6. Number and percent of staff trained who take additional courses on prevention and control of juvenile crime		1					
	a. Number of staff to take more training		2	2	2	2	0





Performance Indicator	Data Field	Data as of March 31st, 2005						
		Total number of	Data Values					
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av	Std Dev.	
						g		
	b. Number of staff trained initially		13	13	13	13	0	
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	15.38	15.38	-	=	
11. Number of staff/youth conflicts		1						
	Number of staff/youth conflicts		2	2	2	2	0	
13. Number of complaints about staff filed by youth		1						
	Number of complaints about staff filed by youth		0	0	0	0	0	





Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data as of March 31st, 2005						
		Total number of			Data Value	es			
		subgrantees -	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev		
3. Number and percent of youth charged with drug offenses, who are assessed for participation in the drug court		1							
	a. Number of drug court assessments		140	140	140	140	0		
	b. Number of drug-related arrests of juveniles		142	142	142	142	0		
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	98.59	98.59	-	-		
PIT: 5. Number of agencies involved in the drug court		1							
	Number of agencies enrolled in the drug court		14	14	14	14	0		
PIT: 6. Number of drug court slots	-	1							
	Number of drug court slots		6	6	6	6	0		
PIT: 9. Number of types of treatment (clinical) offered through the drug court		1							
	Number of types of clinical treatment available		-	7	7	7	0		





Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March	31st, 2005		
		Total number of			Data Value	es	
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev
PIT: 10. Number of service (non-clinical) slots offered through the drug court		1					
	Number of non- clinical service slots		116	116	116	116	0
12. Frequency of drug testing		1					
	a. Number of drug tests given		48	48	48	48	0
	b. Number of drug court participants		6	6	6	6	0
	c. Average number of days of drug court participation per youth		-	45	45	-	-
	d. Frequency (c/(a/b))		-	5.62	5.62	-	=
14. Number of judicial contacts per youth participating in the drug court		1					
	a. Number of judicial contacts with youth		371	371	371	371	0
	b. Number of youth enrolled in the drug court		30	30	30	30	0
16. Number and percent of youth to test positive for drug use		2					





Purpose Area:PA8. Drug (Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March			
		Total number of			Data Value	es	
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev
	a. Number of youth to test positive		16	13	3	8	7.07
	b. Number of youth enrolled		36	30	6	18	16.97
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	50	43.33	-	-
18. Number of families of participants to actually participate in at least one recommended service (not including court appearances)		1					
	a. Number of families to participate		27	27	27	27	0
	b. Number of families with a youth enrolled		30	30	30	30	0
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	90	90	-	-
20. Number of days of youth enrollment in the drug court		1					
	Average number of days of court participation per youth		1	254	254	-	-
21. Number and percent of youth to successfully complete treatment/services referred to as part of the drug court		1					
	a. Number of youth to successfully complete their treatment/servic es requirements		108	108	108	108	0





Purpose Area:PA8. Drug (Courts							
Performance Indicator	Data Field	Data as of March 31st, 2005						
		Total number of subgrantees			Data Value	s		
		Subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av	Std Dev.	
						g		
	b. Number of		142	142	142	142	0	
	youth enrolled in							
	treatment/servic							
	es							
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	76.06	76.06	-	-	





Performance Indicator	Data Field	Data as of March 31st, 2005							
		Total number of	Data Values						
		subgrantees -	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.		
4. Number and percent of staff trained to use the automated systems		1							
,	a. Number of staff trained		24	24	24	24	0		
	b. Number of staff		24	24	24	24	0		
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	100	-	-		
PIT: 7. Number and percent of staff with access to the automated system		1							
·	a. Number of staff with access		19	19	19	19	0		
	b. Number of staff		19	19	19	19	0		
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	100	-	-		
PIT: 17. Number of different standard reports that are programmed into the system		1							
	Number of standard reports possible		1	95	95	95	0		





Purpose Area: PA10. Infor Performance Indicator	Data Field	Data as of March 31st, 2005							
		Total number of	Data Values						
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.		
PIT: 1. Number of partner agencies		2							
	Number of partner agencies		18	17	1	9	11.31		
PIT: 3. Number and percent of youth about whom there is a complete case file		1							
	a. Number of complete case files		10	10	10	10	0		
	b. Number of case files		10	10	10	10	0		
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	100	-	-		
4. Number and percent of staff trained in information sharing		1							
	a. Number of staff trained in information sharing		525	525	525	525	0		
	b. Number of staff total		700	700	700	700	0		
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	75	75	-	-		





Purpose Area: PA10. Infor Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March	31st, 2005		
		Total number of			Data Value	es	
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.
5. Number of hours of training provided about the information sharing		1					
_	Number of hours of training offered		4430	4430	4430	4430	0
6. Percent of staff time required to access client data from outside agencies		1					
	a. Number of hours staff spent on gathering data per month		8	8	8	8	0
	b. Number of hours staff worked per month		160	160	160	160	0
	c. Percent of time spent on gathering data (a/b)		-	5	5	-	-
7. Number of interagency information requests		2					
	Number of interagency information requests		1205	1198	7	602.5	842.16
13. Average time to service (days)		1					
	Average number of days from assessment to first service		-	0	0	-	-
14. Number and percent of youth about whom information is shared across agencies		1					





Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March	31st, 2005		
		Total number of			Data Value	es	
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.
	a. Number of clients about whom data is shared across agencies		260259	260259	260259	260259	0
	b. Number of clients served by the grantee		260259	260259	260259	260259	0
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	100	-	-
16. Number and percent of services and treatments successfully completed by youth		1					
	a. Number of treatments/servi ces successfully completed		32	32	32	32	0
	b. Number of treatments or services in which youth are enrolled		43	43	43	43	0
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	74.42	74.42	-	-





Purpose Area: PA11. According Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March	31st, 2005		
		Total number of	Data Values				
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.
Number and percent of staff trained in accountability programming		6					
	a. Number of staff trained		33	22	1	5.5	8.22
	b. Number of staff		38	25	1	6.33	9.29
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	50	-	-
2. Number of hours of training about accountability programming offered		3					
	Number of hours of training offered		55	21	16	18.33	2.52
PIT: 3. Number of accountability programs in operation		9					
	Number of accountability programs operating		26	9	1	2.89	2.89
PIT: 5. Number of accountability program slots		9					
	Number of accountability program slots		539	140	18	59.89	42.3





Purpose Area: PA11. Accor Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March	31st, 2005		
		Total number of			Data Value	s	
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.
6. Average number of supervision meetings per youth per month		10					
	Average number of supervision meetings per youth per month		-	6	2	-	-
7. Time in days from offender intake into the accountability program to receipt of a sanctions schedule		2					
	Average number of calendar days from enrollment to receipt of a sanctions schedule		-	60	1	-	-
8. Number and percent of youth with a behavioral contract developed at their intake into the accountability program		10					
	a. Number of youth with a behavioral contract at intake		725	196	6	72.5	63.86
	b. Number of youth to enter the program		776	196	12	77.6	59.5
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	27.27	-	-
10. Number and percent of sanctions that are successfully contested by youth or their families		1					
	a. Number of sanctions successfully contested		0	0	0	0	0
	b. Number of sanctions imposed		27	27	27	27	0





Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data	as of March	31st, 2005		
		Total number of subgrantees			Data Value	es	
		Subgruntees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	0	0	-	-
11. Number and percent of youth referrals across departments, organizations, agencies or units		2					
	a. Number of cross-agency client referrals		127	119	8	63.5	78.49
	b. Number of client referrals		141	119	22	70.5	68.59
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	36.36	-	-
12. Number and percent of eligible youth entering an accountability program		5					
	a. Number of youth in accountability programs		421	196	16	84.2	71.51
	b. Number of youth processed by grantee		436	196	22	87.2	69.98
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	126.23	71.43	-	-
14. Average percent of days youth received treatment/services		1					
	a. Average number of days youth receive a service		-	34	34	-	





Purpose Area:PA11. Accor	untability-based pr	rograms					
Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data	as of March			
		Total number of			Data Value	es	
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.
	b. Average number of days youth are enrolled in accountability programming		-	90	90	-	-
	c. Percent (b/a)		-	264.71	264.71	-	-
15. Number and percent of youth assigned to alternatives to detention		2					
	a. Number of youth assigned to alternatives to detention		178	140	38	89	72.12
	b. Number of youth to receive detention		75	75	0	37.5	53.03
	c. Percent (a/(a+b))		-	186.67	0	-	-
16. Average number of days of program participation per youth		2					
	Average number of days youth are enrolled in the program		-	455	47.64	-	-
17. Number and percent of youth to complete their accountability program successfully		17					
	a. Number of youth to successfully complete accountability programming		626	102	0	36.82	37.12
	b. Number of youth to enter accountability programming		1198	315	1	70.47	82.53





Purpose Area:PA11. Accou	Purpose Area:PA11. Accountability-based programs									
Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	s of March	31st, 2005					
		Total number of subgrantees	Data Values							
		Subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av	Std Dev.			
						g				
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	300	0	-	=			





Purpose Area:PA12. Risk/ Performance Indicator	Needs Assessmen Data Field	ts	Data	as of Manch	21-+ 2005		
Performance Indicator	Data Field	Total number of	Data	as or marcn	31st, 2005 Data Value	es	
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av	Std Dev
				· · · ·		g	
PIT: 1. Number and percent of intake units using valid and reliable risk assessments		3					
	a. Number of units that use a validated risk assessment tool		47	40	1	15.67	21.22
	b. Number of units		47	40	1	15.67	21.22
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	100	-	-
PIT: 4. Number and percent of assessment staff with specialized training		1					
	a. Number of assessors with specialized training		48	48	48	48	0
	b. Number of assessors		51	51	51	51	0
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	94.12	94.12	-	-
5. Number and percent of youth fully assessed using risk and needs assessments	, , ,	1					
	a. Number of youth with complete files		245	245	245	245	0





Purpose Area: PA12. Risk/ Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data	as of March	31st, 2005		
renormance mulcator	Data Field	Total number of	al number of Data Values				
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.
	b. Number of youth		267	267	267	267	0
6. Of the total number of youth identified as needing substance abuse treatment, the percent identified through the screening/assessment	c. Percent (a/b)	2	-	91.76	91.76	-	-
process	a. Number of youth assessed as needing substance abuse treatment		8	7	1	4	4.24
	b. Number of youth identified as needing substance abuse treatment		12	11	1	6	7.07
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	63.64	-	-
10. Average time in days from assessment to first service receipt	, , ,	1					
·	Average number of days from assessment completion to first service		-	157	157	-	-
14. Number and percent of times services identified through youth assessment are actually received by the assessed youth		4					
	a. Number of times youth receive referred service		442	334	1	110.5	152.36
	b. Number of services youth were assessed as needing		600	485	1	150	226.05





Purpose Area:PA12. Risk/Needs Assessments									
Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data as of March 31st, 2005						
		Total number of subgrantees	Data Values						
		Subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av	Std Dev.		
						g			
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	68.87	-	-		





Purpose Area:PA14. Resto Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March	31st, 2005		
	= 51501 1 1510	Total number of			Data Value	es	
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.
2. Number and percent of youth to participate in any of the following events: victim offender mediation/dialogue; family group conferencing; peacemaking circles; restitution; personal services to victims; community services; apologies; victim/community impact panels; community/neighborhood impact statements; victim empathy groups/classes		3					
emputity groups/clusses	a. Number of youth to participate in any of the listed events		69	38	6	23	16.09
	b. Number of youth served by grantee		106	61	20	35.33	22.37
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	30	-	-
3. Amount of funds allocated to restorative justice programming		1					
	Number of dollars spent on restorative justice programming		1157	1157	1157	1157	0
8. Number and percent of target youth to receive restorative justice programming		2					
-	a. Number of youth to participate in restorative justice		35	25	10	17.5	10.61
	b. Number of youth served		31	25	6	15.5	13.44
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	166.67	100	-	_





Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data as of March 31st, 2005						
		Total number of			Data Value	es	S		
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev		
11. Number and percent of crime victims to participate in restorative justice programming		1							
	a. Number of crime victims to participate in restorative justice		37	37	37	37	0		
	b. Number of crime victims		51	51	51	51	0		
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	72.55	72.55	-	-		
17. Number and percent of ordered and actual offenders to pay monetary restitution	c. Percent (a/b) - 72.55 72.55 Indipercent of ctual ay monetary								
	a. Number of offenders ordered to pay restitution		11	10	1	5.5	6.36		
	b. Number of offenders that pay restitution		7	6	1	3.5	3.54		
	c. Number of offenders processed		7	6	1	3.5	3.54		
	d. Percent ordered (a/c)		-	166.67	100	-	-		
	e. Percent to comply (a/b)		-	166.67	100	-	-		
19. Number and percent of youth to successfully complete their restorative justice requirements		2							





Purpose Area:PA14. Resto	orative Justice							
Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data as of March 31st, 2005					
		Total number of subgrantees				s		
		Subg. diffees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av	Std Dev.	
						g		
	a. Number of youth to successfully complete their restorative justice requirements		42	32	10	21	15.56	
	b. Number of youth to have		48	38	10	24	19.8	
	restorative justice requirements							
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	84.21	-	-	





Purpose Area: PA15. Cour Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March	31st, 2005		
		Total number of			Data Value	s	
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.
PIT: 1. Number of different accountability programs in operation		5					
	Number of different accountability programs in operation		11	4	1	2.2	1.1
PIT: 2. Number of types of accountability programs		3					
	Number of types of accountability programs in operation		-	7	1	-	-
3. Amount of funds allocated to accountability programming		6					
	Number of dollars spent on accountability programming		39085	20992	1762	6514.17	7361.27
PIT: 5. Number of accountability program slots		6					
	Number of accountability slots		561	228	20	93.5	76.84
6. Number and percent of cases for which accountability options are used as part of the court/probation process		1					
	a. Number of case dispositions that include accountability programming		37	37	37	37	0





Purpose Area: PA15. Court Performance Indicator	Data Field	mining	Data a	as of March	31st, 2005			
renormance indicator	Data Field	Total number of	Data Values					
		subgrantees	Takal	Na		NA / A		
			Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.	
	b. Number of case dispositions		37	37	37	37	0	
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	100	-	-	
8. Number and percent of youth that participate in accountability programming through the court or probation system	5 S. S (4/2)	7		200	100			
court or producent system	a. Number of youth to participate in accountability programming		310	185	2	44.29	64.65	
	b. Number of youth processed		494	185	2	70.57	66.36	
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	11.43	-	-	
PIT: 9. Number of different accountability sanctioning options available		2						
	Number of different sanctions available to youth		8	5	3	4	1.41	
PIT: 10. Number and percent of juvenile justice offenses for which accountability programs are an option	,	1						
,	a. Number of offenses for which accountability programming is an option		28	28	28	28	0	
	b. Number of offenses on the books		64	64	64	64	0	





Purpose Area:PA15. Cour Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data	as of March	31st, 2005		
		Total number of			Data Value	es	
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	43.75	43.75	-	-
PIT: 11. Average number of youth per probation officer		1					
	a. Number of open cases		47	47	47	47	0
	b. Number of probation officers		2	2	2	2	0
	c. Average number per officer (a/b)		-	23.5	23.5	-	-
12. Average number of supervision meetings per youth per month		3					
	a. Number of supervision meetings in preceding month		87	75	4	29	39.89
	b. Number of youth served in preceding month		54	30	4	18	13.11
	c. Average number of meetings per youth (a/b)		-	2.5	0.2	-	-
13. Number and percent of non-compliance events (e.g., missed court dates, positive drug tests)		2					
-	a. Number of non-compliance events		13	7	6	6.5	0.71





Performance Indicator	Data Field								
		Total number of			Data Value	es			
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.		
	b. Number of youth requirements		140	106	34	70	50.91		
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	17.65	6.6	-	-		
4. Number and percent of robation contacts that are roactive		1							
	a. Number of proactive probation contacts		445	445	445	445	0		
	b. Number of probation contacts		484	484	484	484	0		
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	91.94	91.94	-	-		
18. Number and percent of youth to have revocation hearings		3							
-	a. Number of youth to have revocation hearings		13	11	0	4.33	5.86		
	b. Number of youth in the program		117	70	19	39	27.22		
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	15.71	0	-	-		
19. Number and percent of youth to complete their justice requirements successfully		11							





Purpose Area:PA15. Court	Purpose Area:PA15. Court/Probation Programming								
Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data as of March 31st, 2005						
		Total number of subgrantees							
		Subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av	Std Dev.		
						g			
	a. Number of		408	91	0	37.09	31.35		
	youth to successfully								
	complete								
	program								
	requirements								
	b. Number of		516	106	2	46.91	32.78		
	youth served								
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	0	-	-		