

Coastal Coho Assessment

Oregon Plan For Salmon and Watersheds

Introduced Fishes Impacts





Mike Gray, District Fish Biologist Oregon Dept. of Fish & Wildlife Charleston Field Office

What are the Factors affecting recovery and sustainability of coho?

Harvest?

Hatchery Fish?

Introduced Fishes? (IF)

Fish Health?

Habitat?

Assess impacts of Introduced Fishes on three scales:

Coho Population Scale

OR Plan Monitoring Area Scale

ESU Scale

- Necanicum
- Nehalem
- ▶ Tillamook
- Nestucca
- Salmon
- ▹ Siletz
- Yaquina
- **Beaver**
- Alsea
- ▶ Siuslaw
- Siltcoos
- Tahkenitch
- Umpqua (L. and U.)
- **Tenmile**
- Coos
- Coquille
- Floras
- Sixes





Impacts on ESU Scale:

- Impacts localized within the ESU
- Overlap/potential risk with IF greater on Southern half of coast
- Poorest Coho performance--North
- Strongest Coho runs where IF impacts have highest potential--South
- Reduction or loss of summer lake-rearing life history for Coho in basins that were historically large coho production areas



North Coast Monitoring Area

- Necanicum to Nestucca
- Very little overlap between Coho and IF
- Little to no risk identified to recovery/sustainability of Coho



Mid-Coast Monitoring Area

- Salmon to Siuslaw
- Slightly greater overlap between Coho and IF than North Coast
- Still relatively low IF abundance and low impacts to Coho
- Little to no risk identified to recovery/sustainability of Coho



Umpqua Monitoring Area

Increased overlap of Coho and IF than North & Mid-Coast

 Higher IF abundance and potential impacts

Evidence does not suggest IF prevent recovery/sustainability of Coho



Mid-South Coast Monitoring Area

- Siltcoos to Sixes
- Increased overlap of Coho and IF than North & Mid-Coast
- Higher IF abundance and potential impacts
- Reduction or loss of summer lake rearing life history--warmwater fish and habitat
- Numbers of striped bass greatly reduced from historic
- Strongest, most stable Coho runs on Coast

"The Lakes Complex": Siltcoos, Tahkenitch, Tenmile

- ▶ All have Warmwater Mix: <u>LB</u>, BG, YP, BC, BrB
- Competition, Predation, and altered Ecology
- Tenmile: Hybrid Bass--discontinued
- Loss of summer lake-rearing Coho life history
- Habitat Alterations
- Relatively strong, stable Coho runs at reduced level from historic

Lower Umpqua Population

- <u>SB, StB</u>, Shad, BrB, LB, BC, BG
- Overlap only during smolt outmigration
- Smallmouth--water temperatures and feeding, stomach analysis, prey size analysis
- Striped bass--primarily in Smith River trib.
- Low impact identified to Coho; Chinook? DRAFT

Upper Umpqua Population <u>SB, BrT, LB, BC, BG</u>

Overlap primarily during smolt outmigration

- Brown Trout in upper N. Umpqua
- Channel catfish, Green sunfish, Tui chub

Low impact identified to Coho; Chinook? DRAFT

Coos and Coquille Populations

StB and Shad

Natural production of StB now minimal in Coos

- No evidence of shad impacts on Coho
- Loss of overwinter habitat in Coquille Valley
- Overlap only during smolt outmigration
- Smallmouth in Coquille? LB, YP, BrB, BG
- Moderate level of impacts to Coho, potentially

Striped Bass Commercial Harvest



Management of IF

- Along coast, generally Statewide regs on warmwater fish (e.g. LB, BG...)
- Umpqua SB--liberal, 10-fish daily bag and no size limit
- Shad--no limits; no protection; no enhanct.
- Striped bass--most restrictive in U.S.? (30" min. size, 2 fish per day)--Trophy Fish
- StB--stocking in Coos Basin currently suspended indefinitely
- Brown trout--catch and release trout fishery

Monitoring of IF

- Devils Lake--monitoring of Grass Carp effects (weeds, warmwater pop'ns., coho)
- Districts conduct periodic lake gillnetting and electrofishing
- Monitoring significantly reduced with loss of Western OR Warmwater Biologist position
- Section 10 permit needed to conduct lake ES
- Coos Bay Salinity Study--StB (1996-2000)
- "Incidental" monitoring



Impacts and risks of IF greatest at Coho Pop. scale







Overlap and Potential Risks to Coho Salmon Populations from Introduced Fishes

Low- • Moderate - • High- •



Summary: (cont.)

- Impacts/risks can be difficult to confirm
- Exposure to IF not widespread on ESU scale
- Wholesale elimination of IF difficult, if not impossible, to achieve
- Control/reduction of IF impacts possible
- Impacts of IF should be considered collectively with other factors
- Much of the assessment of impacts is based on District Management Data and professional observations, with few intensive research studies



DIRPACTO From NOAA Photo Library