Comparison of ODFW Conservation Plan and NOAA ESA Recovery Plan Components April 8, 2005


ODFW Native Fish Conservation Plan	NOAA Fisheries ESA Recovery Plan		
Overview	Executive Summary Importance of Plan and Background		
Identify species management unit (SMU) and constituent populations			
Describe desired and current biological status	Describe status of the evolutionarily significant unit (ESU)		
Assess primary factors causing gap between current and	Identify limiting factors and threats, and prioritize		
desired status	Identify recovery goals: biological criteria and threats criteria		
Describe short- and long-term management strategies to	Describe recovery strategy		
address limiting factors and their economic effect	Identify what we need to do: -identify actions for existing limiting factors -identify actions to address future threats -carry out a cost effectiveness analysis -prioritize recovery actions for ESU		
Describe monitoring, evaluation and research necessary to gauge success of strategies and resolve uncertainties Describe process to modify strategies based on monitoring, evaluation and research results	Implementation and adaptive management framework: -identify critical uncertainties -develop an implementation schedule -estimate costs -develop a management and evaluation plan		
Identify measurable criteria indicating significant deterioration in status, triggering plan modification			
Report data and other information in annual and long-term reporting in format available to the public	Review and update recovery plans – 5 year reviews and describe role of adaptive management		

Oregon Coastal Coho Project

<u>DRAFT</u> Pathway to a Conservation Plan For Stakeholder Review and Revision at 4/8 Meeting

Who?	April	May	June/July	August	Sept/October November	December
Other Constituents /public	Team members check-in with constituents to	inform ST discussions		-	Town Hall meetings in geographically oriented areas	
Stakeholder Team Members TRT NOAA	Define Process; Describe 'Desired Status' values Attend meetings,	Decision: 'Desired Status' finished	Provide input	(early August) Provide ideas for management strategies & actions	Continue to describe/develop management strategies: feasibility for private players?	
	provide technical input			 		
ODFW/Govs Office Core Team	Attend Meeting, provide examples from other processes & plans; Coordinate w/state agencies		Develop the numbers/science to achieve desired status; Develop concepts/examples of strategies based on limiting factors analysis.	Assess feasibility of management strategies & actions for the state. Are they doable? Feedback to ST (late August)	Attend meetings, ask clarifying questions, provide staff support as requested by group	Draft Conservation Plan out for Review

Stakeholder Team Involvement



Core Team/Agencies Involvement