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#### Abstract

This paper presents a case study of an organic vegetable farm, designed for a senior undergraduate farm business management or agribusiness course, and is accompanied by a teaching note which includes suggested analysis. Phil Foster is the owner and manager of Phil Foster Ranches, a 252-acre organic vegetable, fruit, and nut farm in the Central Coast Valley of California. Until the last few years, most of the sales were to a number of produce brokers who sold the produce for Phil in the wholesale market on a commission basis. Believing he could get better prices by selling direct to retailers, two years ago Phil started a local delivery route to small grocery stores wishing to sell organic produce. The delivery route has enjoyed success, but now requires significant additional investment of both time and money if it is to be maintained and expanded. Phil Foster must now decide if expanding the local delivery route will be worth the investment cost and effort. Through situation analysis, this case study examines Phil's decision-making options.
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Phil Foster is the owner and manager of Phil Foster Ranches (PFR), a 252-acre organic vegetable, fruit, and nut farm in the Central Coast Valley of California, located near Hollister, which is about 30 miles south of San Jose. Phil is a hands-on manager actively involved in all aspects of his business-from planning what to grow, to growing and harvesting the crops, to packing and marketing the final products.

Until a few years ago, most of the PFR sales were to a number of produce brokers who sold Phil's produce for him in the wholesale market on a commission basis. These brokers also charged Phil for cooling and loading the produce. Phil decided he could get better prices by selling direct to retailers, and was encouraged by the results of his sales at local farmers' markets. Between $5 \%$ and $10 \%$ of PFR's produce had been sold at these markets over the last several years and, although time consuming, showed good returns for the effort.

In addition, two years ago, Phil had begun a local delivery route to small grocery stores wishing to sell organic produce. Initial startup of the delivery route required packing, cooling and handling facilities, and refrigerated transportation, and now

[^0]requires significant further investment of both time and money if it is to be maintained and expanded. Moreover, with a focus on enhancing the local delivery route, Phil believes the PFR farm should produce a large variety of crops annually so that it can offer a full range of produce to its customers and diversify its rotation enough to handle production risks. Phil must now decide if expanding the local delivery route would be worth the investment cost and effort to learn more about the marketing end of the organic produce business.

This case study of Phil Foster Ranches is designed for a senior undergraduate farm business management or agribusiness course, and is accompanied by a teaching note which includes suggested analysis (Appendix A).

## Phil Foster

Phil Foster grew up in Bakersfield, California, where his father was an architect and his mother a school teacher. While he was not raised on a farm, he did spend his high school summers working on the farms of relatives in the Hollister area. Based on the rewarding experiences of this work, Phil decided to pursue agriculture as a career. In 1976, he graduated from the University of California-Davis with an Agricultural Science and Management degree. After graduating, Phil continued to work on the farms of various family members in the Hollister area. Later he moved to the Bakersfield area, taking a position as an agronomist, and eventually a ranch manager, for J. G. Boswell, Inc., a large cotton farming company. In 1987, after nine years with Boswell, he resigned and moved to Hollister to start Phil Foster Ranches.

Phil began Phil Foster Ranches in 1987 with $\$ 150,000$ of savings, and has developed a thriving farm business which, at 252 acres, is significantly larger than the 32.8-acre average organic farm in California (Tourte and Klonsky, 1998). Typical of most farmers, Phil has concentrated on production, which has been extremely important to the financial performance of the farm, especially with regard to the implementation of organic farming techniques. Phil confides he sometimes thinks life would be a lot simpler if he could concentrate on production, as in the past, and develop a long-term relationship with an organic vegetable distributor. The potential profits would be less, but Phil estimates the price fluctuations would also be less, by as much as $50 \%$.

## The Farm: Phil Foster Ranches

In 1987, Phil Foster Ranches consisted of 20 acres of rented land about four miles west of Hollister. For the first couple of years, Phil grew processing tomatoes under contract. There were no profits in the growing of processing tomatoes on a small scale, and Phil was going broke. He saw that his neighbor was growing organic vegetables on a small scale and seemed to be making a profit. In 1989, Phil grew five acres of organic vegetables (sweet corn, melons, and cucumbers), and made some money for the first time. The following year, he switched entirely to organic vegetables.

By the spring of 2000, the PFR farm consisted of 252 acres at two sites. The smaller, original site has 52 acres- 30 owned acres and 22 rented. The second location, about six miles northeast of Hollister, consists of 200 acres of rented land. All the land can be drip irrigated, but some flood and sprinkler irrigation is also used.

Phil began renting part of the land northeast of Hollister in 1989, adding more land each year, and converting it to organic production, until the entire 200 acres were rented and farmed organically by 1995. This land is leased on a five-year agreement at $\$ 200$ per acre per year. Phil purchased 30 acres in 1994, at the original site location, and built the home in which he and his wife live. The business office is also located there, and an additional 22 acres of land are rented on an annual basis for $\$ 600$ per acre. By 2000, all but 10 acres of this rented land had been certified organic by the California Certified Organic Farmers (CCOF) organization. These remaining 10 acres were to be certified organic in 2001.

Currently, in order to be certified organic by the CCOF, the land must be transitioned for three years with no chemical fertilizers or pesticides used on it. The cost of certification is ongoing, and includes a one-time application fee of $\$ 175$, annual inspection fees of about $\$ 500$, and annual membership fees of $0.5 \%$ of gross sales. According to Phil, the total fees have been running around $\$ 7,000$ per year over the last few years. Most of the land northeast of Hollister was converted to organic in the early 1990s, when the certification period was only one year.

The PFR farm has grown over 60 different varieties of vegetables, fruits, and nuts. The acreage allotted for any one crop is quite small when compared to commercial vegetable production, and appears to fly in the face of conventional wisdom with respect to economies of size. But, as noted earlier relative to the local delivery route, Phil believes the farm should produce a large variety of crops annually so that it can offer a full range of products to its customers and diversify its rotation enough to handle production risks.

Exhibit 1 in Appendix B presents the production practices, harvest, and packing methods for various crops. In addition to mechanical cultivation, Phil uses a propane weed burner. Although some organic insect sprays are also available, Phil does not rely on them much now. The farm does have a bug vacuum that works well on flea beetles in cabbage, but also catches beneficial insects. The bug vacuum does not work on sucking or low crawling insects. Soap is still used occasionally for many crawling insects, and bacillus thuringiensis (bt) (a bacteria that comes in powder form and is mixed with water) is used for worms. The farm follows a number of cultivation practices, and drip irrigation reduces foliage diseases. As soils improve, soil pathogens are reduced. Hedgerows of local perennials have been planted and act as habitat for beneficial insects. Alfalfa strips are likewise used as a trap crop and habitat for beneficial insects. The large crop diversity on the farm also helps in insect control.

Phil Foster Ranches prepares 2,000 tons of compost per year for its own use. The compost consists of one-third local dairy manure, one-third clean green (yard and
garden waste) from San Jose, and one-third of equal parts local rain-damaged wheat straw, clay soil, and cull products from the farm. The costs of purchased products delivered to the farm are as follows: dairy manure $=\$ 12 /$ ton, clean green $=\$ 4 /$ ton, and wheat straw $=\$ 20$ to $\$ 40 /$ ton. The compost is spread at about 10 tons per acre. The main purpose of spreading the compost is to increase soil organic matter. For example, this practice has led to an increase in soil organic matter at the 200 -acre site northeast of Hollister from $2-4 \%$ in 1993 to $4-5 \frac{1}{2} \%$ in 1999. Similarly, soil organic matter increased at the original 52-acre location from $1-1 \frac{1}{4} \%$ in 1994 to $2-2 \frac{1}{2} \%$ in 1999. The compost also adds organic nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium and micronutrients to the soil.

Crop acreage plans are prepared one to two years in advance and are subject to rotation restrictions. Garlic and onions should not be grown on the same soil more than once in five years. Peppers should not be grown on the same soil more than once in three or four years. Lettuce can be grown on the same soil every two years as long as cover and other crops are grown in between. There have always been good markets for peppers from August through November, and onions from July through March. More recently, however, the acreage of individual crops is being influenced by the demand expressed by the farm's local delivery route.

The farm has a full line of machinery and irrigation equipment, detailed in Exhibit 2 of Appendix B. The drip irrigation system is capable of irrigating all the land, but small sprinklers are used in the orchards and occasionally flood irrigation is used on certain fields. All the fieldwork and much of the trucking is done by the farm's machinery. Custom trucking is hired when needed. There is currently adequate cold storage on the farm, but more will have to be built when the apples enter full production in three years and if sales through the farmers' markets and the local delivery route are expanded. There is also a packing line for onions and peppers, but garlic is custom separated and packed. The packing line will also have to be expanded if the local delivery route is expanded.

The field labor complement can range from a low of 12-15 people to a high of $32-35$. A salaried foreman manages the laborers. There are 12 to 15 laborers from December through February, 25 from March through July, 35 from August through October, and 25 during November. Most of the laborers are local people and receive between $\$ 7.75$ and $\$ 9$ per hour. Only a small proportion of wages are paid on a piece-work basis. In addition to wages, all the workers are covered by a health insurance program that includes family members if they are local residents. The health insurance premium for Phil Foster Ranches is $\$ 30,000$ per year.

Management of PFR consists of Phil, his wife Katherine, and Terence Welch. Terence is on salary, and Phil and Katherine share in the profits. Phil, with the assistance of Katherine and Terence, makes all the management decisions with regard to production, marketing, finance, and personnel. Katherine handles the marketing through the farmers' markets. Terrence's responsibilities involve running the office and bookkeeping, and he was a major thrust behind the development of the local delivery route.

## Financials

Exhibit 3 (Appendix B) details the cash labor, fuel, repairs, materials, custom, and compost costs, as well as yields and returns per acre for the crops grown. These figures are close to those in the various University of California-Davis publications listed in the reference section. In fact, Phil Foster was a farmer cooperator in several of those studies (Klonsky et al., 1994a, b, c). Exhibit 3 does not include all of the crops grown on Phil Foster Ranches, but footnotes to the exhibit explain which crops have similar costs and returns.

Projected Statements of Earnings and Retained Earnings, Balance Sheets, and Statements of Change in Financial Position for 2000 through 2012 are provided in Exhibit 4 of Appendix B (Painter, 1999). The financial results are based on repeating the acreage and marketing of the crops shown in Exhibit 3 for each year throughout the planning period.

## Current Marketing

In the early 1990s, $95 \%$ of the produce from Phil Foster Ranches was sold wholesale through produce brokers on a commission basis. The broker was responsible for selling the produce and managing cooling, collecting, and receivables. For this service, Phil Foster Ranches was charged $10 \%$ of the wholesale price as well as cooling and loading fees. The current commission is $9 \%$ of the wholesale price. Phil Foster Ranches receives payment within 30 days of the sale. The remaining 5\% of the PFR produce consisted of garlic, onions, and peppers, grown for processing on a contract basis. Exhibit 3 summarizes the current marketing patterns between wholesale and local for each crop grown.

Since its initial startup two years ago, the delivery route portion of the PFR business has grown. It now consists of a small amount of cold storage on the farm and a refrigerated delivery truck. The route is made up of about 30 small grocery stores from San Jose to Belmont, as well as in Santa Cruz and Monterey. Deliveries are made three days per week.

Phil Foster Ranches has a registered brand name, "Pinnacle," and most of the vegetables sold on the delivery route are labeled as such. The farm also custom packs for some customers.

## The Organic Vegetable Industry in California

In 1994-95, the latest statistics available, 1,372 registered organic farms in California reported sales of $\$ 95.1$ million from 45,070 acres, but this represented less than $1 \%$ of California's total value of agricultural production. The number of organic farmers, acreage of production, and reported sales increased $19 \%, 7 \%$, and $26 \%$, respectively, from 1992 through 1995. Over this time period, vegetables and fruits and nuts generated $95 \%$ of the sales value from $80 \%$ of the land in production. Vegetable acreage
increased only $4 \%$, but sales increased $46 \%$. In contrast, fruit and nut acreage increased by 7\%, but sales declined by 8\% between 1992 and 1995 (Tourte and Klonsky, 1998).

During 1992-1995, the Central Coast-Bay Area experienced a $69 \%$ increase in sales value, an $11 \%$ increase in acreage, and a $5 \%$ increase in the number of growers. Approximately one-sixth of California's organic growers are located in the Central Coast-Bay Area (Tourte and Klonsky, 1998).

## The Situation

Should Phil Foster Farms concentrate on and expand the local delivery route?-an undertaking that would entail an additional on-farm cold storage facility and another delivery truck. The cost to construct a 20 -foot by 40 -foot by 12 -foot ( 9,600 cubic feet) building with cold storage is approximately $\$ 50,000$. A refrigerated delivery truck would cost about $\$ 50,000$, and would require one person three days per week to operate it.

An alternative scenario is to cultivate a long-term relationship with an organic vegetable distribution firm and sell to them wholesale, eliminate the brokers, and concentrate on production. The benefits of wholesaling are stability in pricing (i.e., as much as $50 \%$ less price fluctuation) and a work environment allowing for concentration on production and some degree of crop specialization to realize economies of size. However, if the premium for organic vegetables decreases substantially, Phil feels more can be gained by pursuing the local delivery option. Over the farm's years in operation, the premium for organic vegetables has ranged from as little as $5 \%$ to over $200 \%$.
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## Appendix A: Teaching Note

## Positioning the Case

The case is designed for a senior undergraduate farm business management or agribusiness course. The students must know how to interpret financial statements and manipulate spreadsheet models. The spreadsheet financial model (Appendix B, Exhibits 3 and 4) allows the instructor and/or the students to change crop costs, returns, and acreage, and to measure the impact on the business's financial performance. Other expenses as well as assets and debt levels could also be changed to emphasize different aspects of the business. A series of photographs showing various crops in production, some of the machinery and buildings, as well as the composting enterprise are available on request.

## Situation Analysis

The financial model has not specifically isolated the costs and returns associated with the direct delivery route, as is typical of most farm business financial statements. There are at least two methods that can be used to estimate the costs and returns associated with the direct delivery route and to evaluate whether its expansion is profitable.

The first method is to rerun the entire model by changing the formulae and adjusting the input to represent the costs and returns of each of the marketing methods-wholesale, farmers' market, and direct delivery. To do this accurately would require interviewing Phil again and have him estimate the cost and return allocations by marketing method. This procedure is time consuming, difficult to do, and still may not be that accurate.

The second method is to adjust the information contained in Exhibits 3 and 4. Following are the suggested steps in making these calculations:

1. Approximate the gross returns of the direct delivery route by subtracting the percentage that is sold wholesale and the $5 \%$ to $10 \%$ (assume $10 \%$ ) sold through the farmers' market from $100 \%$ in Exhibit 3. The calculation will result in an average of $43 \%$ of the produce being sold through the direct delivery route.
2. If one assumes that $43 \%$ of the cost of goods sold and expenses in the statement of retained earnings in Exhibit 4 can also be allocated to the direct delivery route, then $43 \%$ of the net income after taxes can be allocated to the direct delivery route. In 2000 , this is $43 \% \times \$ 126,876=\$ 54,557$, which would increase yearly, as does the net income after taxes. Note: This approach assumes the cost of goods sold and other expenses are evenly allocated among the wholesale, farmers' market, and direct delivery route. Perhaps one should adjust the allocation of the cost of goods sold and other expenses more heavily toward the direct delivery route, as this is probably in fact what happens. How much of an allocation is difficult to determine.

One method is to add the estimated annual cost of the expansion of the delivery route to the net income after taxes of the delivery route before expansion. This is done in the steps below.
3. The investment cost of expanding the direct delivery route is $\$ 50,000$ for the new cold storage building and $\$ 50,000$ for the new refrigerated delivery truck, for a total of $\$ 100,000$. The annual equivalent or capital recovery charge of this $\$ 100,000$, assuming an interest rate of $8 \%$, an expected life of 10 years, and a salvage value of $\$ 10,000$ is computed as follows: (Purchase Price - Salvage Value) $\times($ Interest Rate $\left.\div\left(1-\left(1 /(1+\text { Interest Rate })^{\text {Expected Life }}\right)\right)\right)+($ Interest Rate $\times$ Salvage Value $)$, or $((\$ 100,000-\$ 10,000) \times 0.149)+(0.08 \times \$ 10,000)=\$ 14,212$ per year.
4. The annual operating costs of the new refrigerated truck, including fuel, lube, and repairs, are estimated to be $\$ 21,216$ per year, assuming $\$ 17 /$ hour $\times 8$ hours $/$ day $\times$ 3 days/week $\times 52$ weeks/year.
5. The annual labor cost is estimated to be $\$ 11,232$ per year, assuming 8 hours/day $\times$ $\$ 9 /$ hour $\times 3$ days/week $\times 52$ weeks/year.
6. Based on steps 3,4 , and 5 above, the total annual cost of expanding the direct delivery route is estimated at $\$ 46,660$ per year $(\$ 14,212+\$ 21,216+\$ 11,232)$.
7. The total annual cost of expanding the direct delivery route is less than the $\$ 54,557$ in profit currently attributed to it before expansion. Doubling the capacity of the direct delivery route should at least double the income, and thereby double the net income after tax. The net income after tax would probably be more than doubled, as more of the same production is being sold at the higher price while costs do not increase. Therefore, conservatively, the new net income after tax is estimated at $\$ 62,454(\$ 54,557 \times 2-\$ 46,660)$ for 2000 , and would increase yearly, as does the net income after taxes.

The question of increased price risk still needs to be addressed. A $10 \%$ to $20 \%$ decline in prices for all crops whether sold wholesale or locally would eliminate all net income. Whether or not the direct delivery route should be expanded depends on Phil Foster's attitude toward risk. A decision maker's risk attitude is usually influenced by his/her goals and objectives, the size of the gains/losses incurred by the decision, and the financial ability to take on the extra risk.

In Phil's case, he may not be all that "keen" on expanding the direct delivery route because, as he stated in his interview, "at least part of me prefers to concentrate on production." The size of the gain and loss from the decision is relatively small, i.e., the estimated annual cost of expanding the direct delivery route is $\$ 46,660$, which is only $4 \%$ of the 2000 costs of goods sold $(\$ 46,660 \div \$ 1,116,507)$, and Phil could always sell the truck if the expansion did not work out. Finally, the shareholder's equity in 2000 is $\$ 741,530$, and the $\$ 100,000$ investment represents only $13.5 \%$ of this amount, thereby showing good financial ability to take on the extra risk. However, the final decision is Phil's.

Appendix B: Exhibits 1-4
Exhibit 1. Production Practices, Harvest, and Packing Methods for Various Crops

| Crop Name | Planting Method | lrrigation Method | 1rrigation Amt. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Pest Management |  |  | Nutrient Source ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Harvest Method | Yield/Acre <br> (\#1 Quality) | .Grading and Packing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | No. of Mech'I Cultivations ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Hand- <br> Thin <br> and/or <br> Weed | Other ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Cabbage ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | direct seed | sprinkler | $16^{\prime \prime}$ | 3 | 1X | Bt, ${ }^{8}$ and soap $20 \%$ | --- | hand | $600-50 \mathrm{lb}$. boxes | field |
| Cauliflower | transplant | sprinkler | 13.5" | 4 | 1X | $B \mathrm{Ct},{ }^{1}$ and soap $20 \%$ | -- | hand | 550-12 count boxes | field |
| Cucumbers | direct | sprinkler/drip | 11" | 3 | 2X | --- | --- | hand | 800-24 Ib. boxes | field |
| Garlic | hand or machine (cloves) | sprinkler (bulbing); furrow after | 11.5 " | 7 | 3 X | flame weed 2 X | $\begin{gathered} \text { fotier feed } \\ 3 \mathrm{X} \end{gathered}$ | windrow, machine dug; hand bag; trim, top | 330-30 lb. boxes | shed |
| Lettuce ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | direct or transplant | sprinkler/drip | $15^{\prime \prime}$ | 2 | 1X | Bt, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and soap $20 \%$ | folier feed 1X | hand | 550-24 count boxes | field |
| Onions ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | direct (coated) | sprinkler | 24 " | 5 | 2X | flame weed 1X | folier feed 2X | top and hand bag | 700-50 lb. sacks | machine sort/grade and pack |
| Snap Peas ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | direct | sprinkler/drip | $10^{\prime \prime}$ | 2 | 1X | --- | sulfur 2 X | hand | $500-10 \mathrm{lb}$. boxes | field |
| Peppers | direct or transplant | sprinkler/drip | 24 " | 4 | 2X | --- | sulfur 2X | hand | 600-24 lb. boxes | field |
| Sweet Corn | direct seed | sprinkler (seedling); furrow after | $24 "$ | 3 | --- | trichogramma (for release); pheromones (for monitoring) | --- | hand | 350-48 count boxes | shed |
| Squash ${ }^{\text {k }}$ | direct | furrow/ sprinkler | $18^{\prime \prime}$ | 3 | IX | --- | --- | hand | 700-35 lb boxes | field/shed |
| Apples ${ }^{1}$ | plant cover | sprinkler | $10 "$ | 2 | 2 X | --- | sulfur 2X | hand | 375-35 ib. boxes | shed |

[^1]Exhibit 2. December 31, 1998, Federal Depreciation Schedule for Phil Foster Ranches

|  |  | Date <br> Acquired | Cost/ <br> Basis | Prior <br> Depreciation |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Description | $01 / 18 / 90$ | $\$ 1,750$ | $\$ 1,750$ |
| Depreciation |  |  |  |  |

Exhibit 2. Continued

| No. | Description | Date Acquired | Cost/ Basis | Prior <br> Depreciation | $1999$ <br> Depreciation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | 8' Underground Pipe | 11/15/94 | \$11,441 | \$7,433 | \$1,394 |
| 41 | Compost Turner | 12/15/94 | \$31,122 | \$20,220 | \$3,791 |
| 42 | Zetor Spreader | 12/21/94 | \$10,728 | \$6,971 | \$1,307 |
| 43 | Miler Equipment Trailer | 01/31/95 | \$3,950 | \$1,584 | \$352 |
| 44 | Imants Spader | 01/31/95 | \$15,661 | \$15,661 | \$0 |
| 45 | 30 HP Submersible Pump | 02/27/95 | \$3,000 | \$2,251 | \$500 |
| 46 | Drip Station Filter II | 03/06/95 | \$13,281 | \$9,963 | \$2,213 |
| 47 | V.F. Pump | 04/18/95 | \$14,859 | \$11,146 | \$2,476 |
| 48 | CAT 922 Loader | 04/21/95 | \$10,000 | \$7,501 | \$1,666 |
| 49 | Office Equipment | $04 / 28 / 95$ | \$4,455 | \$4,455 | \$0 |
| 50 | Electrical Panels | 06/01/95 | \$5,456 | \$4,092 | \$909 |
| 51 | Injection Tank | 06/01/95 | \$4,050 | \$3,039 | \$675 |
| 52 | Drip Station Filter II | 07/01/95 | \$4,935 | \$3,701 | \$822 |
| 53 | MF 390T Tractor | 03/07/96 | \$33,000 | \$6,955 | \$1,899 |
| 54 | 200 Joints $30^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime \prime}$ Pipe | 04/26/96 | \$4,900 | \$2,198 | \$600 |
| 55 | White 6090 Tractor | 05/17/96 | \$24,735 | \$11,099 | \$3,030 |
| 56 | 4-Row Ag Vac | 07/01/96 | \$13,835 | \$8,073 | \$2,305 |
| 57 | MF 362 Tractor | 10/04/96 | \$26,251 | \$11,779 | \$3,216 |
| 58 | Tye Drill | 01/22/96 | \$12,773 | \$5,731 | \$1,565 |
| 59 | IH 684 Tractor | 01/28/97 | \$6,500 | \$6,500 | \$0 |
| 60 | 1993 Ford F250 | 02/19/97 | \$7,500 | \$5,391 | \$1,875 |
| 61 | Mini-Sprinkler Irrigation | 10/04/97 | \$6,127 | \$507 | \$283 |
| 62 | 288 Wooden Bins | $03 / 04 / 98$ | $\$ 7,200$ | \$1,275 | \$893 |
| 63 | Tortella Spader | 03/09/98 | \$10,821 | \$2,546 | \$1,774 |
| 64 | MF 394 Tractor | 03/04/98 | \$32,527 | \$2,004 | \$3,435 |
| 65 | JD Gator Utility Truck | 03/09/98 | \$5,500 | \$825 | \$1,403 |
| 66 | CAT Forklift | 03/10/98 | \$13,622 | \$1,459 | \$2,606 |
| 67 | Plant Bed Harrow | 04/03/98 | \$3,211 | \$344 | \$614 |
| 68 | Mulch Layer | 04/14/98 | \$5,386 | \$577 | \$1,030 |
| 69 | $34^{\prime} \times 35^{\prime}$ Cold Room | 05/06/98 | \$25,412 | \$2,722 | \$4,861 |
| 70 | White 6090 Tractor | 06/24/98 | \$25,738 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 71 | 74 GMC 1-Ton Truck | 06/24/98 | \$4,000 | \$1,000 | \$1,500 |
| 72 | $8^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$ Cold Room | 06/24/98 | \$4,000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 73 | Portable Office Trailer | 07/13/98 | \$8,761 | \$938 | \$1,676 |
| 74 | $40^{\prime} \times 50^{\prime}$ Metal Building | 08/31/98 | \$9,607 | \$82 | \$246 |
| 75 | 104 Plastic Bins | 09/02/98 | \$10,775 | \$1,616 | \$2,748 |
| 76 | 6' Grain Drill | 10/27/98 | \$3,400 | \$364 | \$650 |
|  | TOTALS: |  | \$655,334 | \$350,963 | \$60,341 |

Exhibit 3. Cash Costs (Labor, Fuel, Repairs, Materials, Custom, Compost), Yields, and Returns per Acre

| Crop Data Description | Peppers ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Cabbage ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Cauliflower | Cucumbers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Cash Costs per Box | \$7.00 | \$5.25 | \$8.00 | \$5.00 |
| Yield (boxes) | 600 | 600 | 550 | 800 |
| Total Cash Costs per Acre | \$4,200 | \$3,150 | \$4,400 | \$4,000 |
| Price: - Wholesale | \$10.00 | \$9.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| - Local (Delivery Route \& Farmers' Mkt) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | \$11.75 | \$10.25 | \$9.25 | \$9.25 |
| Percentage Marketed: - Wholesale | 60\% | 80\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| - Local (Delivery Route \& Farmers' Mkt) | 40\% | 20\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Gross Revenue per Acre | \$8,020 | \$5,550 | \$5,088 | \$7,400 |
| Gross Revenue less Cash Costs per Acre | \$3,820 | \$2,400 | \$688 | \$3,400 |
| Number of Acres | 17.0 | 20.0 | 6.0 | 1.5 |
| Gross Revenue less Cash Costs per Acre $\times$ Number of Acres | \$64,940 | \$48,000 | \$4,125 | \$5,100 |
| Crop Data Description | Garlic | Lettuce | Onions | Snap Peas |
| Total Cash Costs per Box | \$23.00 | \$5.25 | \$8.25 | \$8.00 |
| Yield (boxes) | 330 | 600 | 700 | 500 |
| Total Cash Costs per Acre | \$7,590 | \$3,150 | \$5,775 | \$4,000 |
| Price: - Wholesale | \$40.00 | \$7.00 | \$10.00 | \$0.00 |
| - Local (Delivery Route \& Farmers' Mkt) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | \$45.75 | \$7.75 | \$11.75 | \$12.00 |
| Percentage Marketed: - Wholesale | 70\% | 55\% | 70\% | 0\% |
| - Local (Delivery Route \& Farmers' Mkt) | 30\% | 45\% | 30\% | 100\% |
| Gross Revenue per Acre | \$13,769 | \$4,403 | \$7,368 | \$6,000 |
| Gross Revenue less Cash Costs per Acre | \$6,179 | \$1,253 | \$1,593 | \$2,000 |
| Number of Acres | 7.5 | 29.0 | 27.0 | 2.0 |
| Gross Revenue less Cash Costs per Acre $\times$ Number of Acres | \$46,344 | \$36,323 | \$42,998 | \$4,000 |
| Crop Data Description | Corn | Squash | Beets | Bok Choi |
| Total Cash Costs per Box | \$5.25 | \$4.50 | \$7.00 | \$5.00 |
| Yield (boxes) | 350 | 700 | 600 | 800 |
| Total Cash Costs per Acre | \$1,838 | \$3,150 | \$4,200 | \$4,000 |
| Price: - Wholesale | \$9.00 | \$9.00 | \$0.00 | \$6.75 |
| - Local (Delivery Route \& Farmers' Mkt) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | \$11.75 | \$9.75 | \$11.25 | \$8.25 |
| Percentage Marketed: - Wholesale | 55\% | 60\% | 0\% | 80\% |
| - Local (Delivery Route \& Farmers' Mkt) | 45\% | 40\% | 100\% | 20\% |
| Gross Revenue per Acre | \$3,583 | \$6,510 | \$6,750 | \$5,640 |
| Gross Revenue less Cash Costs per Acre | \$1,746 | \$3,360 | \$2,550 | \$1,640 |
| Number of Acres | 12.0 | 18.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Gross Revenue less Cash Costs per Acre $\times$ Number of Acres | \$20,948 | \$60,480 | \$5,100 | \$3,280 |

[^2]Exhibit 3. Continued

| Crop Data Description | Carrots ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Celery | Fennel | Kale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Cash Costs per Box | \$7.25 | \$7.25 | \$3.50 | \$7.00 |
| Yield (boxes) | 500 | 950 | 1,500 | 600 |
| Total Cash Costs per Acre | \$3,625 | \$6,888 | \$5,250 | \$4,200 |
| Price: - Wholesale | \$0.00 | \$8.50 | \$4.75 | \$0.00 |
| - Local (Delivery Route \& Farmers' Mkt) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | \$10.25 | \$11.25 | \$6.50 | \$11.26 |
| Percentage Marketed: - Wholesale | 0\% | 70\% | 80\% | 0\% |
| - Local (Delivery Route \& Farmers' Mkt) | 100\% | 30\% | 20\% | 100\% |
| Gross Revenue per Acre | \$5,125 | \$8,859 | \$7,650 | \$6,756 |
| Gross Revenue less Cash Costs per Acre | \$1,500 | \$1,971 | \$2,400 | \$2,556 |
| Number of Acres | 2.5 | 11.0 | 2.0 | 0.5 |
| Gross Revenue less Cash Costs per Acre $\times$ Number of Acres | \$3,750 | \$21,684 | \$4,800 | \$1,278 |
| Crop Data Description | Leeks | Melons ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Strawberries | Tomatoes |
| Total Cash Costs per Box | \$10.00 | \$5.00 | \$8.00 | \$7.00 |
| Yield (boxes) | 1,200 | 650 | 3,000 | 600 |
| Total Cash Costs per Acre | \$12,000 | \$3,250 | \$24,000 | \$4,200 |
| Price: - Wholesale | \$0.00 | \$6.75 | \$0.00 | \$9.50 |
| - Local (Delivery Route \& Farmers' Mkt) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | \$12.00 | \$9.25 | \$11.25 | \$11.25 |
| Percentage Marketed: - Wholesale | 0\% | 55\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| - Local (Delivery Route \& Farmers' Mkt) | 100\% | 45\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Gross Revenue per Acre | \$14,400 | \$5,119 | \$33,750 | \$6,750 |
| Gross Revenue less Cash Costs per Acre | \$2,400 | \$1,869 | \$9,750 | \$2,550 |
| Number of Acres | 1.0 | 33.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| Gross Revenue less Cash Costs per Acre $\times$ Number of Acres | \$2,400 | \$61,669 | \$9,750 | \$5,100 |
| Crop Data Description | Broccoli | Apples | Cherries | Walnuts |
| Total Cash Costs per Box | \$7.50 | \$13.00 | \$13.00 | \$2.50 |
| Yield (boxes) | 500 | 375 | 375 | 800 |
| Total Cash Costs per Acre | \$3,750 | \$4,875 | \$4,875 | \$2,000 |
| Price: - Wholesale | \$0.00 | \$22.00 | \$22.00 | \$2.50 |
| - Local (Delivery Route \& Farmers' Mkt) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | \$10.75 | \$24.75 | \$24.75 | \$3.00 |
| Percentage Marketed: - Wholesale | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 55\% |
| - Local (Delivery Route \& Farmers' Mkt) | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 45\% |
| Gross Revenue per Acre | \$5,375 | \$9,281 | \$9,281 | \$2,180 |
| Gross Revenue less Cash Costs per Acre | \$1,625 | \$4,406 | \$4,406 | \$180 |
| Number of Acres | 14.0 | 7.5 | 3.0 | 6.0 |
| Gross Revenue less Cash Costs per Acre <br> $\times$ Number of Acres | \$22,750 | \$33,047 | \$13,219 | \$1,080 |


| Total Acres: | $\mathbf{2 2 7 . 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Cash Costs: | $\mathbf{\$ 9 3 8 , 9 1 3}$ |
| Total Gross Revenue: | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 4 6 1 , 0 7 6}$ |

Exhibit 4. Forecasted Statements of Retained Earnings, Balance Sheets, and Changes in Financial Position

| For the Year Ended Dec. 31 | $\underline{2000}$ | $\underline{2001}$ | $\underline{2002}$ | 2003 | $\underline{2004}$ | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Revenue | 1,461,076 | 1,482,992 | 1,505,237 | 1,527,815 | 1,550,732 | 1,573,993 | 1,597,603 | 1,621,567 | 1,645,891 | 1,670,579 | 1,695,638 | 1,721,072 | 1,746,888 |
| Cost of Goods Soid | 1,116,507 | 1,141,307 | 1,162,998 | 1,185,788 | 1,206,480 | 1,229,467 | 1,252,819 | 1,274,846 | 1,299,194 | 1,323,936 | 1,347,380 | 1,373,174 | 1,399,391 |
| Gross Margin | 344,568 | 341,685 | 342,239 | 342,027 | 344,252 | 344,527 | 344,784 | 346,721 | 346,697 | 346,643 | 348,258 | 347,898 | 347,497 |
| Expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries Plus Benefits | 100,000 | 102,000 | 104,040 | 106, 121 | 108,243 | 110,408 | 112,616 | 114,869 | 117,166 | 119,509 | 121,899 | 124,337 | 126,824 |
| Telephone | 6,500 | 6,630 | 6,763 | 6,898 | 7,036 | 7,177 | 7,320 | 7,466 | 7,616 | 7,768 | 7,923 | 8,082 | 8,244 |
| Property Taxes | 6,000 | 6,120 | 6,242 | 6,367 | 6,495 | 6,624 | 6,757 | 6,892 | 7,030 | 7,171 | 7,314 | 7,460 | 6,609 |
| Startup Costs | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Interest LT Debt | 32,000 | 31,360 | 30,669 | 29,922 | 29,116 | 28,245 | 27,305 | 26,289 | 25,193 | 24,008 | 22,729 | 21,347 | 19,855 |
| Total Expenses | 144,500 | 146,110 | 147, 714 | 149,308 | 150,890 | 152,454 | 153,998 | 155,517 | 157,004 | 158,456 | 159,865 | 161,226 | 162,532 |
| Income Before Taxes | 200,068 | 195,575 | 194,525 | 192,719 | 193,363 | 192,072 | 190,785 | 191,205 | 189,692 | 188,187 | 188,393 | 186,672 | 184,965 |
| Income Taxes | 73,192 | 71,157 | 70,681 | 69,863 | 70,155 | 69,570 | 68,987 | 69,177 | 68,492 | 67,810 | 67,903 | 67,124 | 66,351 |
| Net Income (Loss) | 126,876 | 124,418 | 123,844 | 122,856 | 123,208 | 122,502 | 121,798 | 122,028 | 121,200 | 120,377 | 120,489 | 119,548 | 118,614 |
| Beginning Retained Earnings | - | 126,876 | 251,294 | 375,137 | 497,993 | 621,201 | 743,703 | 865,501 | 987,529 | 1,108,729 | 1,229,106 | 1,349,595 | 1,469,143 |
| Net Income (Loss) | 126,876 | 124,418 | 123,844 | 122,856 | 123,208 | 122,502 | 121,798 | 122,028 | 121,200 | 120,377 | 120,489 | 119,548 | 118,614 |
| Dividends | $=$ | = | = | = | = | = | $=$ | = | = | = | = | = | = |
| Ending Retained Earnings | 126,876 | 251,294 | 375,137 | 497,993 | 621,201 | 743,703 | 865,501 | 987,529 | 1,108,729 | 1,229,106 | 1,349,595 | 1,469,143 | 1,587,757 |
| Dividend Policy: Pay excess cash balance in following year. |  |  | Excess Over 20,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 13 -Year Net Income (Loss) | 1,587,757 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 13-Year Net Cash Flow | 1,378,340 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 13-Year Dividends | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { BALANCE SHEET } \\
& \text { December 31 } \\
& \text { ASSETS } \\
& \text { Current Assets: } \\
& \text { Cash } \\
& \text { Accounts Receivable } \\
& \text { Inventory } \\
& \text { Total Current Assets } \\
& \text { Begin. Land, Bldgs., } \\
& \text { Equip. \& Machinery } \\
& \text { Add'l Land, Bldgs., } \\
& \text { Equip. \& Machinery } \\
& \text { Accumulated Deprec'n } \\
& \text { Net Plant \& Equip., } \\
& \text { Total Assets } \\
& \text { LIABILITIES } \\
& \text { Curreut Liabilities: } \\
& \hline \text { Accounts Payable } \\
& \text { Long-Term Debt } \\
& \text { Total Liabilities } \\
& \text { Shareholders' Equity } \\
& \text { Share Capital } \\
& \text { Retained Earnings } \\
& \text { Total } \\
& \text { Shareholders' Eqnity } \\
& \text { Total Liabilities and } \\
& \text { Slareholders' Equity }
\end{aligned}
$$

| SChedule 1: Economic Forecast | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Long-Term Debt Rate | 8.0\% | 8.0\% | 8.0\% | 8.0\% | 8.0\% | 8.0\% | . $0 \%$ | 8.0\% | 8.0\% | 8.0\% | 8.0\% | 8.0\% | 8.0\% |
| Rate of Inflation (expenses) | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% |
| SCHEDULE 2: Revenues | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | $\underline{2007}$ | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Growth in Revenue |  | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% |
| Total Revenue | 1,461,076 | 1,482,992 | 1,505,237 | 1,527,815 | 1,550,732 | 1,573,993 | 1,597,603 | 1,621,567 | 1,645,891 | 1,670,579 | 1,695,638 | 1,721,072 | 1,746,888 |
| SCHEDULE 3: Cost or Goods. Manufactured and Cost of Goods Sold |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | $\underline{2004}$ | 2005 | $\underline{2006}$ | 2007 | $\underline{2008}$ | 2009 | $\underline{2010}$ | 2011 | 2012 |
| Direct Labor, Fuel, Repairs \& Materials | 938,913 | 957,691 | 976,845 | 996,381 | 1,016,309 | 1,036,635 | 1,057,368 | 1,078,515 | 1,100,086 | 1,122,087 | 1,144,529 | 1,167,420 | 1,190,768 |
| Variable Overhead Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assessments (Calif. \& CCOF) | 11,805 | 11,915 | 12,026 | 12,139 | 12,254 | 12,370 | 12,488 | 12,608 | 12,729 | 12,853 | 12,978 | 13,105 | 13,234 |
| Total Variable Overhead | 11,805 | 11,915 | 12,026 | 12,139 | 12,254 | 12,370 | 12,488 | 12,608 | 12,729 | 12,853 | 12,978 | 13,105 | 13,234 |
| Fixed Overliead Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Note: These costs are based on the capacity of the facility, i.e., constant acreage.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insurance: - Bldgs. \& Equip. | 1,000 | 1,020 | 1,040 | 1,821 | 1,857 | 1,894 | 1,932 | 1,971 | 2,010 | 2,050 | 2,091 | 2,133 | 2,176 |
| - Liability | 4,000 | 4,080 | 4,162 | 4,245 | 4,330 | 4,416 | 4,505 | 4,595 | 4,887 | 4,780 | 4,876 | 4,973 | 5,073 |
| Health Insurance | 30,000 | 30,600 | 31,212 | 31,836 | 32,473 | 33,122 | 33,785 | 34,461 | 35,150 | 35,853 | 36,570 | 37,301 | 38,047 |
| Land Rent | 53,200 | 54,264 | 55,349 | 56,456 | 57,585 | 58,737 | 59,912 | 61,110 | 62,332 | 63,579 | 64,851 | 66,148 | 67,470 |
| Depreciation | 84,775 | 83,581 | 84,243 | 84,824 | 83,624 | 84,281 | 84,857 | 83,653 | 84,306 | 84,880 | 83,673 | 84,324 | 84,895 |
| Total Fixed Overhead | 172,975 | 173,545 | 176,006 | 179, 182 | 179,869 | 182,451 | 184,991 | 185,789 | 188,485 | 191,142 | 192,060 | 194,879 | 197,662 |
| Total Overhead | 184,780 | 185,459 | 188,032 | 191,32 | 192,123 | 194,821 | 197,479 | 198,397 | 201,215 | 203,995 | 205,038 | 207,984 | 210,896 |


| Cost of Goods Manufactured | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct Materials Used | 938,913 | 957,691 | 976,845 | 996,381 | 1,016,309 | 1,036,635 | 1,057,368 | 1,078,515 | 1,100,086 | 1,122,087 | 1,144,529 | 1,167,420 | i,190,768 |
| Overhead | 184,780 | 185.459 | 188,032 | $\underline{191,321}$ | 192,123 | $\underline{194,821}$ | 197,479 | 198,397 | $\underline{201,215}$ | $\underline{203,995}$ | $\underline{~ 205,038 ~}$ | 207,984 | $\underline{210,896}$ |
| Cost of Goods Manufatured | 1,123,693 | 1,143,150 | 1,164,877 | 1,187,703 | 1,208,432 | 1,231,456 | $1,254,847$ | 1,276,912 | 1,301,300 | 1,326,083 | 1,349,568 | 1,375,404 | 1,401,664 |
| Cost of Goods Sold |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Begin. Finished Goods Inventory | 90,000 | 97,186 | 99,029 | 100,908 | 102,823 | 104,775 | 106,764 | 108,792 | 110,858 | 112,964 | 115,111 | 117,299 | 119,529 |
| Cost of Goods Manufatured | 1,123,693 | 1,143,150 | 1,164,877 | $\underline{1,187,703}$ | 1,208,432 | 1,231,456 | 1,254,847 | 1,276,912 | 1,301,300 | 1,326,083 | 1,349,568 | 1,375,404 | 1,401,664 |
| Goods Available for Sale | 1,213,693 | 1,240,336 | 1,263,906 | 1,288,661 | 1,341,255 | 1,336,231 | 1,361,611 | 1,385,704 | 1,412,159 | 1,439,047 | 1,464,679 | 1,492,703 | 1,521,193 |
| Ending Finished Goods liventory | 97.186 | 99,029 | 100,908 | $\underline{102,823}$ | 104,775 | 106,764 | 108,792 | 110,858 | 112,964 | $\underline{115,111}$ | 117,299 | 119,529 | $\underline{121,801}$ |
| Cost of Goods Sold | 1,116,507 | 1,141,307 | 1,162,998 | 1,185,788 | 1,206,480 | 1,229,467 | 1,252,819 | 1,274,846 | 1,299,194 | 1,323,936 | 1,347,380 | 1,373,174 | 1,399,391 |
| SChedule 4: Expenses | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Salaries Plus Benefits | 100,000 | 102,000 | 104,040 | 106,121 | 108,243 | 110,408 | 112,616 | 114,869 | 117,166 | 119,509 | 121,899 | 124,337 | 126,824 |
| Telephone | 6,500 | 6,630 | 6,763 | 0,898 | 7,036 | 7,177 | 7,320 | 7,466 | 7,616 | 7,768 | 7,923 | 8,082 | 8,244 |
| Property Taxe | 6,000 | 6,120 | 6,242 | 6,367 | 6,495 | 6,624 | 6,757 | 6,892 | 7,030 | 7,171 | 7,314 | 7.460 | 7,609 |
| Startup Costs |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |
| Interest - LT Debt | 32,000 | $\underline{31,360}$ | 30,669 | 29,922 | $\underline{29,116}$ | 28,245 | 27,305 | $\underline{-26,289}$ | $\underline{25,193}$ | 24,008 | 22,729 | $\underline{21,347}$ | 19.855 |
| Total Expenses | 44,500 | 44,110 | 43,674 | 43,187 | 42,646 | 42,046 | 41,382 | 40,648 | 39,838 | 38,947 | 37,966 | 36,889 | 35,708 |
| SCHEDULE 5: Capital Budget | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Land | - |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Buildings | - | - | 28,000 |  |  | 28,000 | - | - | 28,000 | - | - | 28,000 |  |
| Equip | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| Machinery | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 |
| Total Capital Outlay | 75,000 | 75,000 | 103,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 103,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 103,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 103,000 | 75,000 |

Note: As capacity changes, fixed overhead costs must be adjusted.

| SCHEDULE 6: Financing Budget | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Long-Term Debt 1nput |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| New Common Shares Input | = | $=$ | $=$ | = | $=$ | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = |
| Total | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SCHEDULE 7: Depreciation | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Buildings Input Rate | 12\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Balance | 80,000 | 70,224 | 61,643 | 80,399 | 70,574 | 61,950 | 80,669 | 70.811 | 62,158 | 80,852 | 70,972 | 62,299 | 80,975 |
| Additions | - | - | 28,000 | - | - | 28,000 | - | - | 28,000 | - | - | 28,000 | - |
| Depreciation | 9,776 | 8,581 | 9,244 | 9,825 | 8.624 | 9,281 | 9,858 | 88.653 | 9,307 | 9.880 | 8.673 | 9,324 | 9,895 |
| Ending Balance | 70,224 | 61,643 | 80,399 | 70,574 | 61,950 | 80,669 | 70,811 | 62,158 | 80,852 | 70,972 | 62,299 | 80,975 | 71,080 |
| Equipment Input Rate | 17\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Balance | 82,530 | 82,531 | 82,532 | 82,533 | 82,533 | 82,534 | 82,534 | 82,534 | 82,535 | 82,535 | 82,535 | 82,535 | 82,535 |
| Additions | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| Depreciation | 14,999 | 14,999 | 14,999 | 14,999 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| Ending Balance | 82,531 | 82,532 | 82,533 | 82,533 | 82,534 | 82,534 | 82,534 | 82,535 | 82,535 | 82,535 | 82,535 | 82,535 | 82,535 |
| Machinery Input Rate | 25\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Balance | 210,000 | 210,000 | 210,000 | 210,000 | 210,000 | 210,000 | 210,000 | 210,000 | 210,000 | 210,000 | 210,000 | 210,000 | 210,000 |
| Additions | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 |
| Depreciation | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 |
| Ending Balance | 210,000 | 210,000 | 210,000 | 210,000 | 210,000 | 210,000 | 210,000 | 210,000 | 210,000 | 210,000 | 210,000 | 210,000 | 210,000 |
| Total Depreciation Expense | 84,775 | 83,581 | 84,243 | 84,824 | 83,624 | 84,281 | 84,857 | 83,653 | 84,306 | 84,880 | 83,673 | 84,324 | 84,895 |


| SCHEDULE 8: Long-Term Debt | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning Balance | 400,000 | 392,000 | 383,360 | 374,029 | 363,951 | 353,067 | 341,313 | 328,618 | 314,907 | 300,100 | 284,108 | 266,836 | 248,183 |
| Additional Interest | 32,000 | 31,360 | 30,669 | 29,922 | 29,116 | 28,245 | 27,305 | 26,289 | 25,193 | 24,008 | 22,729 | 21,347 | 19,855 |
| Debt Payment | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 |
| Ending Balance | 392,000 | 383,360 | 374,029 | 363,951 | 353,067 | 341,313 | 328,618 | 314,907 | 300,100 | 284,108 | 266,836 | 248,183 | 228,038 |
| SCHEDULE 9: Income Tax | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | $\underline{2005}$ | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | $\underline{2009}$ | 2010 | 2011 | $\underline{2012}$ |
| Income Before Taxes | 200,068 | 195,575 | 194,525 | 192,719 | 193,363 | 192,072 | 190,785 | 191,205 | 189,692 | 188,187 | 188,393 | 186,672 | 184,965 |
| Accumulated Loss Carryforward | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Loss Carryforward Used | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Deductions | 8,900 | 8,900 | 8.900 | 8,900 | 8,900 | 8,900 | 8,900 | 8,900 | 8,900 | 8,900 | 8,900 | 8,900 | 8,900 |
| Taxable Income | 191,168 | 186,675 | 185,625 | 183,819 | 184,463 | 183,172 | 181,885 | 182,305 | 180,792 | 179,287 | 179,493 | 177,772 | 176,065 |
| Federal Tax (Married Filing Separately): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<25,750$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 25,750-62,450 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 62,450-130,250 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 130,250-283,150 | 57,087 | 55,470 | 55,091 | 54,441 | 54,673 | 54,208 | 53,745 | 53,896 | 53,352 | 52,810 | 52,884 | 52,264 | 51,650 |
| > 283,150 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| California Tax (Married Fiting Separately): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 5,264 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5,264-12,477 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12,477-19,692 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 19,692-27,337 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 27,337-34,548 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| >34,548 | 16,105 | 15,687 | 15,590 | 15,422 | 15,482 | 15,362 | 15,242 | 15,281 | 15,140 | 15,000 | 15,020 | 14,859 | 14,70! |
| Total Income Taxes | 73,192 | 71,157 | 70,681 | 69,863 | 70,155 | 69,570 | 68,987 | 69,177 | 68,492 | 67,810 | 67,903 | 67,124 | 66,351 |





[^0]:    William J. Brown is a professor in the Department of Agricultural Economics, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Canada.

[^1]:    Source: Adapted from Klonsky et al. (1994a), "Cultural Practices and Sample Costs for Organic Vegetable Production on the Central Coast of California."
    " Does not include preplant irrigation; ${ }^{\text {b }}$ amount will vary depending on season planted and soil type; ${ }^{\text {c }}$ does not include preplant cultivation; ${ }^{d}$ rodents are controlled by trapping in all crops;
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ compost and gypsum are applied during land preparation for all crops; ${ }^{\text {f }}$ similar for bok choi, celery, and broccoli; ${ }^{\text {g }}$ bacillus thuringiensis Berlinner, var, kurstaki; ${ }^{\text {h }}$ similar for kale;
     similar for beets, carrots, and leeks; ' ${ }^{\text {similar for fennel and strawberries; }}$, similar for melons;' similar for cherries and walnuts

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ To cover delivery and marketing costs, $\$ 2.25$ per box is subtracted from the local sales price.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ An additional 8,000 pounds of peppers per acre are sold for processing at $\$ 0.20$ per pound.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Includes Napa cabbage.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Parsnips have similar costs, yields, and prices, and are included in carrot acres.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Melons include all types, as well as all types of watermelons.

