UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE SUBCOMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND AIR QUALITY

HEARING ON H.R. 3754, TO ALLOW THE CONTINUED USE OF SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT FUNDS FOR DIESEL RETROFITS

TESTIMONY OF CONRAD SCHNEIDER, ADVOCACY DIRECTOR CLEAN AIR TASK FORCE

FEBRUARY 13, 2008

Conrad G. Schneider Advocacy Director Clean Air Task Force 169 Park Row Brunswick, ME 04011 cschneider@catf.us Chairman Boucher, Rep. Upton, distinguished members of the Subcommittee, I am Conrad Schneider, Advocacy Director of the Clean Air Task Force. I am pleased to testify today on behalf of the National Partnership to Reduce Diesel Emissions – a coalition of hundreds of public health, environmental, and other organizations — in support of H.R. 3754 to allow the continued use of Supplemental Environmental Projects (SEP) to fund diesel emission retrofits. See attached Platform of the National Partnership to Reduce Diesel Pollution and List of Endorsers. As part of the recent Omnibus Budget bill, Congress for the first time appropriated funds under the Diesel Emissions Reduction Act of 2005 (DERA). Congress approved approximately \$50 million, with another \$10 million specifically for California, out of the \$200 million per year authorized under DERA. This money will be help pay for emission control retrofits of existing diesel engines in areas of the country that fail to meet federal air quality standards. That is the good news.

The bad news is that with the funding of DERA, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has phased out including diesel retrofit projects as Supplemental Environmental Projects (SEPs) in its enforcement settlements. In settling environmental enforcement cases, EPA can require inclusion of environmentally beneficial projects, known as Supplemental Environmental Projects or SEPs. However, EPA believes that allowing diesel retrofits to be funded by SEPs once Congress has specifically appropriated monies for that purpose violates the Miscellaneous Receipts Act, which says that agency budgets appropriated by Congress cannot be supplemented via other means. We ask your support for passage of H.R. 3754, which would correct this problem by clarifying that EPA may continue to include diesel retrofit programs in its SEPs.

The Clean Air Task Force (CATF) through the National Partnership to Reduce Diesel Emissions is engaged in a comprehensive campaign to significantly reduce diesel pollution—a brew of toxins and pollution particles that can be considered the number one environmental health threat in the U.S. today. Every day in the United States more than 11 million diesel engines in buses, trucks, construction and farm equipment produce more than 1,000 tons of diesel particulate matter. According to a study performed by EPA's own health benefits consulting firm using EPA-approved methodology, this pollution results in approximately 21,000 premature deaths each year plus tens of thousands of asthma attacks, and heart attacks.

Diesel exhaust is most often concentrated in urban neighborhoods, on school buses and transit buses, and near schools and workplaces—where people are most likely to be exposed in large numbers. In addition to the health risk from particulate matter, nationally, diesel exhaust poses a cancer risk that is more than eight times higher than the total cancer risk of all the other air toxics that EPA tracks *combined*. This risk is three times greater if you live in a city. Finally, global warming and its resultant health and environmental threats are also impacted by diesel pollution. Recent NASA climate research suggests that black carbon from diesel engines and other sources may be causing as much as a quarter of the world's global warming. And because black carbon warms the atmosphere quickly and stops warming it quickly when removed, eliminating U.S. diesel particulate emissions "overnight" would have the same effect in 10 years as full U.S. compliance with the Kyoto Protocol would have had in 100 years.

Currently, more than 90 million Americans are living in counties designated by EPA to be in nonattainment for particulate matter. While EPA's diesel engine regulations set standards for diesel particulate matter emissions from new diesel engines, EPA estimates that there are more than 11 million diesel engines currently in operation and

that it will take decades to fully replace them with newer, cleaner engines. Meanwhile, the fleet of existing dirty engines contributes to the difficulty of states to meet particulate matter attainment. Diesel retrofit technology is a proven, cost-effective way to achieve this goal.

SEPs have been an important funding stream for diesel retrofit projects. Most recently, in December of 2007, EPA entered into a settlement with American Electric Power containing a federal SEP designating as much as \$21 million for diesel retrofits. In February 2006, DaimlerChrysler entered into a settlement with EPA containing a SEP for \$3 million for diesel retrofits. In 2004, Toyota agreed to spend \$20 million on a diesel retrofit SEP aimed specifically at school buses. Archer Daniel Midlands has also spent upwards of \$6 million retrofitting schools buses as part of a SEP. This is just a small sampling of diesel retrofit projects that have been funded through SEP dollars. Moreover, we understand that several enforcement settlements are pending that may exclude diesel retrofit SEPs due to EPA's position on this matter.

Undercutting the new DERA funding with a prohibition on SEP money for diesel retrofits unnecessarily hampers the progress that we could make in retrofitting many additional diesel engines. Representatives Costa, Cardoza, McNerney, and Nunes have co-sponsored legislation; H.R. 3754, to address this problem by clarifying that EPA may continue to include diesel retrofit programs in its SEPs. The Senate companion bill, S. 2146, sponsored by Senators Carper, Clinton, and Voinovich, just passed unanimously out of the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee last week. A broad, diverse group of stakeholders including environmental and public health organizations, government agencies, and the diesel industry supports continued use of SEP funds for diesel retrofits. See the attached letter to the chairs and ranking members of this

Committee as well as the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee dated February 8, 2008. In fact, we are aware of no opposition to the bill.

The Miscellaneous Receipts Act was passed in order to ensure that government agencies did not bypass the appropriations authority of Congress by augmenting their budgets via other means, for example: user fees, fees for training courses, parking fees, contract and lease fees and revenues, monetary awards in court cases involving the agencies, court costs and fees, or civil penalties. There is no legislative history to suggest that Congress intended to preclude agencies from directing private settlement money to help mitigate environmental damage allegedly caused by an environmental defendant. Frankly, we think this extension of the Miscellaneous Receipts Act stands reason on its head because SEP money never goes to the EPA and will not be disbursed through the process set up under DERA. The SEP money goes directly from the alleged environmental violator to the SEP project (generally administered by a third party) to mitigate environmental damage caused by the alleged violation. In the case of the recent AEP settlement, the consent decree simply directed the company to retrofit the fleets of locomotives and barges it uses to move coal. Nevertheless, the legal position taken by EPA's lawyers in this matter means that inclusion of these cost-effective measures in SEPs must stop. However, rather than engage in a protracted argument with EPA over its interpretation of the Act, we all believe a statutory clarification is in order if it can be enacted quickly. EPA is in the midst of settling numerous environmental enforcement cases. If EPA cannot include diesel retrofit SEPs in these settlements, it will not mean that additional dollars will flow to the U.S. Treasury. More likely it will mean that the monies will go to less cost-effective SEP projects. Until Congress acts, EPA will not include diesel retrofit SEPs in these settlements.

Note that Congress previously has created explicit exemptions to the Miscellaneous Receipts Act. For example, Congress enacted a provision permitting federal agencies to retain a share of the savings from energy savings performance contracts under the National Energy Conservation Policy Act. See 42 U.S.C. § 8287 (energy savings performance contract program); Act Sept. 29, 1988, P.L. 100-456, Div A, Title VII, Part D, § 736, 102 Stat. 2006; Nov. 29, 1989, P.L. 101-189, Div A, Title III, Part C, § 331, 103 Stat. 1417 (savings retention authorization, for DOD; note, retained funds must be spent for designated purposes). Similarly, Congress enacted a provision authorizing federal agencies to accept any financial incentive, goods, or services generally available from [utility companies] to increase energy efficiency or to conserve water or manage electricity demand. 42 U.S.C. § 8256 (this program--financial incentives for federal energy saving-- is related to above-referenced program. For specific authorization for agencies to retain 50% of rebates from utility energy efficiency programs and from energy savings, see: Act Nov. 19, 1995, P.L. 104-52, Title VI, § 625, 109 Stat. 502. In addition, the Veterans Reconciliation Act of 1997 (38 U.S.C. §1729A) exempts funds recovered or collected under the Federal Medical Care Recovery Act for certain medical care furnished to veterans, and thus those funds may be deposited in the VA's Medical Care Collections Fund and does not need to be deposited in the general Treasury. Lastly, 10 U.S.C. §2646 allows Department of Defense agencies to retain credits, discounts, or other fees received pursuant to contracts for travel-related services. Unlike the current bill, these exemptions expressly allow executive agencies to retain the money. In H.R. 3754, we are asking only that settlement money continue to be used for cost-effective pollution reduction, not that it go to EPA's budget.

Passage of H.R. 3754 is urgently needed. In order not to jeopardize inclusion of diesel retrofit SEPs in pending EPA settlements, we urge passage of the bill as soon as practicable.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Campaign Platform of the National Partnership to Reduce Diesel Pollution

In the United States, more than 13 million engines use diesel fuel to build our nation's buildings and roads and to transport our goods and citizens. However, particulate matter pollution from diesel emissions shortens the lives of an estimated 21,000 people nationwide every year. In addition, the cancer risk that diesel exhaust poses is 8 times greater than the cancer risk from all other 133 air toxics tracked by EPA <u>combined</u>.

The National Partnership to Reduce Diesel Pollution, a collaboration of organizations throughout the country, is committed to the following goals: To reduce direct diesel fine particulate matter emissions 40 percent by the year 2012, 55 percent by 2015, and 70 percent by 2020. Achieving these goals would save tens of thousands of lives between now and 2030, improve health and well-being by reducing ailments such as heart and asthma attacks, and help mitigate global warming.

The National Partnership to Reduce Diesel Pollution advocates that plans incorporating the following principles should be implemented to significantly reduce diesel pollution:

- 1. **Plans should be designed to minimize risk to public health.** Acknowledging that there is no known safe level for exposure to diesel pollution, diesel emissions reductions should go beyond attainment of state and federal ambient health standards for air quality, and deeper diesel pollution reductions should be pursued as technology improves.
- 2. Plans should consider options to reduce diesel pollution from all sources.
- 3. Plans should utilize the best pollution controls and management practices to guarantee the greatest possible reduction in diesel emissions. Strategies could include: retrofits, rebuilds, replacements, cleaner fuel, implementing and enforcing no-idling policies, encouraging stricter inspection and maintenance practices, and implementing commercial and industrial environmental management systems
- **4. Plans to reduce diesel pollution should target particulate matter, as its components have serious health and global warming impacts.** Particulate matter has been identified by public health and medical experts as the most dangerous component of diesel pollution. Targeting particulate matter will also reduce black carbon soot, a global warming pollutant, helping to reduce the serious economic, health, and environmental threats posed by global warming.
- **5. Plans to reduce diesel particulate matter should not significantly increase other air pollutants.** Policies that create other pollution, including net increases in nitrogen oxides (NOx) or other air toxics, should be avoided.
- **6. Plans should require that, to the maximum extent feasible, each sector contributing to diesel pollution share in the expense and effort of reducing this pollution.** A diversity of funding sources, public and private, should be utilized to achieve maximum pollution reductions. Innovative funding and incentive strategies (for example: loans, tax credits, and small-scale grants) should be pursued to encourage private fleet participation.
- **7. Plans should target reducing exposure to sensitive subpopulations**, especially the elderly, children, and environmental justice communities, where pollutant levels are highest and where the potential for human health benefits are greatest.
- **8. Plans should ensure that adequate pollution monitors exist to create an accurate inventory and to provide on-going tracking of emissions.** Comprehensive diesel emission inventories of all sectors (on-road, off-road and stationary) are an essential tool for identifying opportunities and assessing progress.
- 9. Plans should support engagement of all levels of government to pursue maximum diesel pollution reductions.

Organizations Endorsing the Campaign Platform of the National Partnership to Reduce Diesel Pollution

(Jan 11, 2008)

National Groups

Clean Air Task Force

Environmental Defense

Izaak Walton League of America

CONNECTICUT

Bridgeport East End Community Council

Canton Advocates for Responsible Expansion, Inc.

Center for Serenity

Citizens Awareness Network

Clean Water Action, Connecticut

Collaborative Center for Justice

Common Ground High School Environmental Justice Class

Connecticut Citizen Action Group

Connecticut Coalition Against Millstone

Connecticut Coalition for Environmental Justice

Connecticut Fund for the Environment

Environmental Defense

Environment Northeast

Farmington River Watershed Association

Health Care for All

League of Women Voters

Middlesex Clean Air Association

Milford Environmental Concerns Coalition

People's Action for Clean Energy

Portland-River Valley Garden Club

Northeast Sustainable Energy Association

Southern CT State University Environmental Futures

Sierra Club Connecticut Chapter

SEIU Connecticut State Council

Stamford South End Neighborhood Revitalization Zone

Toxics Action Center

Unitarian Universalist Society East, Sustainable Living Committee

GEORGIA

American Lung Association of Southeast Region

Amalgamated Transit Union Local 732

Atlanta Bicycle Campaign

Earth Covenant Ministry

ECO-Action

Environment Georgia

Environmental Community Action Inc. (Eco-Action)

Georgia Interfaith Power and Light

GreenLaw (formerly Georgia Center for Law in the Public Interest)

Kids Against Pollution

Middle Georgia Democratic Women's Club

Mothers and Others for Clean Air Southern Alliance for Clean Energy Physicians for Social Responsibility

ILLINOIS

Accurate Tank Construction, Inc.

Accurate Tank Technologies

Addressing Asthma in Englewood Project

Advocate Health Care

African American Health Council

Amalgamated Transit Union #416

American Bottom Conservancy

American Cancer Society (Illinois)

Asian Health Coalition

Autotherm Enthal Systems, Inc.

Back of the Yards Neighborhood Council

Center for Neighborhood Technology

Centro Comunitario de Juan Diego Chicago Asthma Consortium

Chicago Chapter of the Coalition of Labor Women

Chicagoland Bicycle Federation

Citizen Action/Illinois

Clean Air Coalition of Harvey

Dynamic Fuel Systems, Inc.

Environment Illinois

Environmental Law & Policy Center

Environmental Research Foundation

Faith in Place

Foresight Design Initiative

Health and Environmental Justice- St. Louis

Healthy Chicago Lawn Coalition

Healthy Southeast Chicago Coalition Healthy Schools Campaign

Healthcare Consortium of Illinois

Hollywood North Park Community Association

Human Action Community Organization

Imagine Englewood if

Illinois Environmental Council

Illinois League of Conservation Voters

Illinois Maternal & Child Health Coalition

Illinois Parent Teacher Association

Illinois Public Interest Research Group

La Rabida Children's Hospital

Little Village Environmental Justice Organization

Metro Seniors in Action

McKinley Park Civic Association

Missouri Coalition for the Environment

Mobile C.A.R.E. Foundation

Metropolitan Chicago Healthcare Council

Oak Park Department of Public Health

Oak Park Environmental and Energy

Advisory Commission

People for Community Recovery

Pilsen Environmental Rights and Reform Organization

Public Health Institute of Metropolitan Chicago

Respiratory Health Association of Metropolitan Chicago

RICHTER Foundation

Rogers Park Community Action Network

South Austin Community Coalition

Southeast Environmental Task Force

Team Work Englewood

Voices for Illinois Children

West District Health Council

MASSACHUSETTS

Alternatives for Community & Environment (ACE)

Amalgamated Transit Union, Local 448 AFL-CIO

Chelsea Green Space and Recreation Committee

Clean Water Action Alliance of Massachusetts (CWA)

Dorchester Environmental Health Coalition (DEHC)

Environment Massachusetts

Environment Northeast (ENE)

Environmental Defense

Greater Four Corners Action Center

Lawrence Mayor's Health Task Force

Lowell Alliance

Lowell Board of Health

Neighborhood of Affordable Housing (NOAH- East Boston)

North End Outreach Network (NEON- Springfield)

Nuestras Raices (Holyoke)

Pioneer Valley Asthma Coalition (PVAC)

Pioneer Valley Community Environmental Health Coalition (PVCEHC)

Western Mass COSH

NEW JERSEY

Essex County Environmental Commission

GreenFaith

International Black Women's Congress, New Jersey Chapter

New Jersey Environmental Federation

New Jersey Environmental Justice Alliance-Diesel Committee

North Jersey Environmental Justice Alliance

QuestInk-Christian Youth Organization

Township of Irvington Environmental Commission

NEW YORK

American Lung Association of New York State

Citizens Campaign for the Environment

Huntington Breast Cancer Action Coalition, Inc

Neighborhood Network

Prevention Is The Cure, Inc.

Renewable Energy Long Island (RELI)

Sustainable Energy Alliance of Long Island

NORTH CAROLINA

Carolinas Clean Air Coalition

Southern Alliance for Clean Energy

Environmental Defense

Environment North Carolina

American Lung Association NC

Canary Coalition

Southern Environmental Law Center

NC Waste Awareness and Reduction Network

OHIO

Environment Ohio

Environmental Health Watch

Earthday Coalition

Kent Environmental Council

Izaak Walton League - Ohio Chapter

Izaak Walton League-Anthony Wayne Chapter

Izaak Walton League Black River Chapter

Izaak Walton League Buckeye All-State Chapter

Izaak Walton League Buckeye State Youth Chapter

Izaak Walton League Capital City Chapter

Izaak Walton League Cincinnati Chapter

Izaak Walton League Delta Chapter

Izaak Walton League Dry Fork Chapter

Izaak Walton League Fairfield Chapter

Izaak Walton League Fremont Chapter

Izaak Walton League Hamilton Chapter

Izaak Walton League Hocking County Chapter

Izaak Walton League Lawrence County Chapter

Izaak Walton League Lorain County Ely Chapter

Izaak Walton League Martin L. Davey Chapter

Izaak Walton League Medina Chapter

Izaak Walton League-Monroeville-Huron County Chapter

Izaak Walton League Mount Healthy Chapter

Izaak Walton League Seven Mile Chapter

Izaak Walton League-Tallawanda Chapter

Izaak Walton League Tiffin-Seneca County Chapter

Izaak Walton League Wadsworth Chapter

Izaak Walton League Wayne County Chapter

Izaak Walton League Western Reserve Chapter

Scenic Ohio

Ohio Asthma Coalition.

Ohio Environmental Council

Ohio League of Conservation Voters

Ohio Network of Chemically Injured

The Ohio State University Sierra Student Coalition

University of Toledo Environmental Law Society

PENNSYLVANIA

American Lung Association of Pennsylvania

Bike Pittsburgh

Center for Healthy Environments and Communities (at the University of Pittsburgh,

Graduate School of Public Health)

Computer Planning Associates, Inc.

The Center for the Celebration of Creation

Citizens for Pennsylvania's Future

Clean Water Action, Pennsylvania

Farm Fresh Express

Group Against Smog and Pollution

Healthy Childrens Project of the Learning Disabilities Association of America

Idyll Development Foundation

Pennsylvania Interfaith Climate Change Campaign

Rachel Carson Homestead

Save Our Transit

Sierra Club, Allegheny Group

Steel City Biofuels

RHODE ISLAND

Amalgamated Transit Union, Division 618

American Cancer Society

American Lung Association of Rhode Island

Apeiron Institute for Environmental Living

Appalachian Mountain Club

Audobon Society of RI

Center for Hispanic Policy Action

Childhood Lead Action Project

Citizenspeak

Clean Water Action, Rhode Island

Community Outreach Core of Brown University Superfund Basic Research Project

Davey Lopes Recreation Center

Ecology Action for Rhode Island

Elmwood Community Center

Environment Council of Rhode Island

Environment Committee of the Episcopal Diocese of Rhode Island

Environment Northeast

Environment Rhode Island

Friends of the Moshassuck

Green Machine PR

Green Party of Rhode Island

Groundwork Providence

National Association of Social Workers (NASW) RI Chapter

National Education Association

Ocean State Action

Ocean State Clean Cities

Ocean State Home Instruction for Parents of Preschool Youngsters (HIPPY)

Pawtucket Alliance for Downtown Success (PADS)

RI ACORN

RICOSH

RI Parent Teacher Association (PTA)

Rhode Island Public Interest Research Group (RIPIRG)

Sierra Club of Rhode Island

Solar Wrights/Remodel Wrights

Toxics Action Center

Toxics Information Project

United Nurses and Allied Professionals

URI Energy Efficiency Committee

TENNESSEE

Southern Alliance for Clean Energy

TEXAS

Austin Physicians for Social Responsibility

Citizens for Environmental Justice (CFEJ)

Environmental Defense Houston office

Environmental Defense Texas office

Environment Texas

Galveston-Houston Association for Smog Prevention (GHASP)

Global Community Monitor

National Refinery Reform Campaign

Public Citizen Texas office

Sustainable Energy and Economic Development Coalition (SEED)

Texas Campaign for the Environment

Texas Center for Policy Studies

Texas Clean Water Action