# **Archived Information**

# **ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM**

Goal: To increase availability of, funding for, access to, and provision of assistive technology devices and assistive technology services.

Relationship of Program to Volume 1, Department-wide Objectives: Goal 2: Objective 2.4—individuals with disabilities will receive technology enabling them to learn, contribute and participate in school consistent with overall high standards; Goal 3:Objectives 3.1 and 3.4—secondary school students and adults with disabilities are provided with accessible information and the technology to support their job potential and lifelong learning; Goal 4: Objective 4.4—all technology investments are accessible to all users including employees and customers.

FY 2000—\$34,000,000

FY 2001—\$41,112,000 (Requested budget)

OBJECTIVE 1: THROUGH SYSTEMIC ACTIVITY, IMPROVE ACCESS TO AND AVAILABILITY OF ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY (AT) FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES WHO REQUIRE ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY.

Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Number of persons who received information			Status: No 1999 data but progress toward target	<b>Source:</b> 56 state projects have responded to
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	is likely.	National Institute on Disability and
1997:	88,003			Rehabilitation Research (NIDRR) Performance
1998:	614,942		<b>Explanation:</b> The significant increase from FY	Guidelines (Annual Report).
1999:	No data available	676,000	1997 to FY 1998 is due to an increase in the data	Frequency: Annually.
2000:		744,000	sample size (35 states to 42 states) and the	Next Update: Fall 2000.
2001:		818,000	implementation of state-operated Web sites facilitating electronic requests for information.  Only actual requests for information were counted.	Validation Procedure: Verified by ED attestation process and ED Standards for Evaluating Program Performance Data.  Nationally recognized organization was recently awarded a technical assistance grant to review existing data collection instrument as to validity, reliability, and accuracy and will revise, refine as necessary, and develop new and improved GPRA-responsive procedures for collecting data We will continue to use this indicator as it is extremely important and reflects a priority activity of the grantees.
				Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: All states have been using same instrument since 1996. While we have been able to capture some useful data and are able to repor on this particular GPRA indicator, the process is cumbersome and lengthy and does not take advantage of technological capabilities. New grantee will develop and assist NIDRR to implement an abbreviated, useful, responsive and user-friendly Web-based approach to data collection.

Indicator 1.2 Trained professionals: The number of professionals trai  Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Number of n	rofessionals trained	milee Butti	Status: No FY 1999 data, but progress toward	Source: All 56 states have responded National
Year 1997: 1998: 1999: 2000: 2001:	Actual Performance 77,204 81,760 No data available	86,000 90,000 95,000	target is likely.  Explanation: The FY 1997 data measure professionals trained to provide AT services.	Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation (NIDRR) Performance Guidelines (Annual Report). Frequency: Annually. Next Update: Fall 2000.  Validation Procedure: Verified by ED attestation process and ED Standards for Evaluating Program Performance Data.  Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: Same as above.
Indicator1.			lt in legislative and policy changes that reduce	
Targets and Performance Data		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
Percentage o	of the 56 grantees responsible for c	hange in at least one area	Status: No FY 1999 data, but progress toward	Source: Performance Guidelines.
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	target is likely.	Frequency: Annually.
1997:	95%			Next Update: Fall 2000.
1998:	92%		Explanation:	
1999:	No data available	95%	1. The FY 1997 and 1998 data indicate that all	Validation Procedure: Verified by ED
2000:		95%	states are engaged in barrier reduction work	attestation process and ED Standards for
2001:		95%	and that the level of effort in barrier reduction increases annually.  2. 90 percent or more of the states have been successful in implementing change. It is difficult, however, to express the outcomes of the work using the current data collection instrument.  3. The FY 1997 and FY 1998 data at left demonstrate that most of the state projects were instrumental in making legislative or policy changes affecting access to AT but does not capture what impact those changes have had on individuals with disabilities.	Evaluating Program Performance Data. An important part of the scope of work for the new grantee referred to above is to develop accurate strategies for collecting and reporting barrier reduction related data.  Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: Same as above.

	indicator 1.4 individuals who receive loans: The number of individuals with disabilities who receive loans per \$1 infinion invested will meet or exceed the				
	baseline.				
Targets and Performance Data			mance Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
	Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	Status: Unable to judge.	<b>Source:</b> Once established, grantee progress
	1997				reports.
	1998			<b>Explanation:</b> This new alternative loan program	Frequency: Annually.

Indicator 1.4 Individuals who receive loans. The number of individuals with disabilities who receive loans nor \$1 million invested will meet an exceed the

1997				reports.
1998			<b>Explanation:</b> This new alternative loan program	Frequency: Annually.
1999:	No data available	N/A	will be funded for the first time in FY 2000. A	Next Update: FY 2001.
2000:	N/A	N/A	baseline will be established once data are	
2001:			available.	Validation Procedure: No data to validate.
				Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: N/A.

OBJECTIVE 2: THROUGH PROTECTION AND ADVOCACY, INCREASE ACCESS TO AND FUNDING OF ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY DEVICES AND SERVICES FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES.

Indicator 2.1 Funding sources: The number of individuals receiving protection and advocacy services resulting in AT device and/or service will increase 5 percent annually.

percent an	percent annually.					
Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality		
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	Status: No FY 1999 data available.	<b>Source:</b> To be determined by new technical		
1999:	No data available	No specific target set		assistance grantee.		
2000:		Continuing increase	<b>Explanation:</b> This is a new indicator. The	Frequency: Annually.		
2001:		Continuing increase	Assistive Technology Act of 1998 (Tech Act) was signed into law in November 1998. Section	Next Update: FY 2000.		
				W-1'-1-4' D D. (111)		
			102 authorizes new grants to states to provide	Validation Procedure: Data will be provided by		
			protection and advocacy services.	grantees. No formal verification procedure		
				applied.		
				Limitations of Data and Planned		
				<b>Improvements:</b> Data not yet available.		
				However, developing data collection instrument		
				is part of scope of work of technical assistance		
				provider as described above.		

## **KEY STRATEGIES**

Strategies Continued from 1999

None.

#### New or Strengthened Strategies

- ❖ Provide technical assistance to states on accessibility issues.
- \* Attend meetings of professional organizations for special education and vocational rehabilitation; provide technical assistance; and disseminate information about successful activities developed between education programs for children with disabilities and Tech Act projects.
- ❖ Increase collaboration with state VR agencies.
- Monitor Tech Act reports for indications of reduction in the number of barriers to accessing assistive technology (AT) by underrepresented populations and rural populations; disseminate information about successful activities to eliminate barriers.
- ❖ Provide technical assistance and disseminate information to AT grantees about funding of AT services and devices.

# HOW THIS PROGRAM COORDINATES WITH OTHER FEDERAL ACTIVITIES

❖ In order to increase availability of and access to assistive technology (AT) we are working closely with Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), specifically, the Administration on Developmental Disabilities (ADD) and Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA), to improve payment for assistive technology devices, systems, and services.

## CHALLENGES TO ACHIEVING PROGRAM GOAL

There have not been any internal ED, GAO, or IG evaluations that have not been addressed.

## **INDICATOR CHANGES**

From FY 1999 Annual Plan (two years old)

Adjusted—None.

Dropped

❖ Indicator 2.1 was dropped.

From FY 2000 Annual Plan (last year's)

Adjusted

❖ Indicator 1.4 was modified and will now become 1.3.

Dropped

❖ Indicators 1.3, 1.5, 2.1, and 2.2 have been dropped.

New

Indicator 2.1 is new.