Archived Information CLIENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (CAP)

Goal: To provide assistance and information to help individuals with disabilities secure the benefits available under the Vocational Rehabilitation State Grants program and other programs funded under the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended.

Relationship of Program to Volume 1, Department-wide Objectives: Supports the goals of the Strategic Plan by protecting the civil rights of individuals with disabilities who are seeking to strengthen their skills and improve their earning power.

FY 2000—\$10,928,000

FY 2001—\$11,147,000 (Requested budget)

OBJECTIVE 1: CLIENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS (CAPS) MEET EXPECTATIONS OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED IN TERMS OF THEIR SATISFACTION WITH THE CAP SERVICES RECEIVED.

Indicator 1.1 Satisfied CAP clients: The number of CAPs achieving or exceeding a client satisfaction rate of 87 percent will increase.							
Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality			
The number of CAPs that achieve or exceed a client satisfaction rate of 87 percent			Status: Unable to judge.	Source: CAP FY 1998 performance report,			
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets		RSA-227 uniform data collection.			
1998:	34 (60% of CAPs)		Explanation: The baseline will be established	Frequency: Annually.			
1999:	No data available	No target set	using the final FY 1999 data, available in April	Next Update: April 2000.			
2000:		Meet or exceed the prior year	2000. FY 1998 was the first time the CAP				
2001:		Meet or exceed the prior year	programs used the recently revised reporting instrument. We want to collect one more year of data before establishing any baselines for this program.	Validation Procedure: Appropriate review of annual data are conducted by ED program specialists. On-site compliance reviews are conducted and random sampling of on-site files are cross-checked with reported data for verification.			
				Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: Data collection instrument (RSA-227) was recently revised and approved by OMB to require uniform reporting definitions and numerical data collection. No known limitations at this time; however, self-reporting by grantees may pose some limitation.			

OBJECTIVE 2: RESOLVE CASES AT LOWEST POSSIBLE LEVEL.

Indicator 2.1 Alternative dispute resolution (ADR): The percentage of cases resolved through the use of alternative dispute resolution (ADR) will increase.							
Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality			
The percentage of cases resolved through ADR will increase			Status: Unable to judge.	Source: CAP FY 1998 performance report,			
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets		RSA-227 uniform data collection.			
1998:	4,388 (44.3 %)		Explanation: The target for the percentage of	Frequency: Annually.			
1999:	No data available	No target set	cases resolved using ADR will be established	Next Update: April 2000.			
2000:		Increase over prior year	using the final FY 1999 data, available in April				
2001:		Increase over prior year	2000. See complete explanation at 1.1.	Validation Procedure: Same as 1.1.			
				Limitations of Data and Planned			
				Improvements: Same as 1.1.			

OBJECTIVE 3: ACCURATELY IDENTIFY PROBLEM AREAS REQUIRING SYSTEMIC CHANGE AND ENGAGE IN SYSTEMIC ACTIVITY TO IMPROVE SERVICES UNDER THE REHABILITATION ACT.

Indicator 3.1 Effects of systemic change: The percentage of CAPs that report changes in policies and practices as a result of their efforts will achieve or	exceed
haseline	

baseline.				
Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Percent of CAPs reported that their systematic advocacy resulted in a change in			Status: Unable to judge.	Source: CAP FY 1998 performance report,
policy or practice				RSA-227, narrative section.
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	Explanation: The target for the percentage of	Frequency: Annually.
1998:	50.9%		CAPs reporting on their changes to policies and	Next Update: April 2000.
1999:	No data available	No target set	practices will be established using the final FY	
2000:		Increase over prior year	1999 data, available in April 2000. See 1.1 for	Validation Procedure: Same as 1.1.
2001:		Increase over prior year	complete explanation.	
				Limitations of Data and Planned
				Improvements: Data will be limited because it
				is self-reported and in a narrative format. The
				data submitted are reviewed by program
				specialists, but data validity will be unattainable.

KEY STRATEGIES

Strategies Continued from 1999

Inform National Association of Protection and Advocacy Systems (NAPAS) of ED activities in this area at monthly meetings.

New or Strengthened Strategies

- Provide technical assistance on how CAPs should approach each case in a comprehensive manner.
- Provide technical assistance to CAPs on the use of the model client satisfaction survey.
- Provide technical assistance to encourage CAPs to follow up with individuals served.
- ❖ Develop a model ADR policy for the CAPs.
- Provide technical assistance on how CAPs can use ADR effectively.
- Compile and assess CAP narrative reporting regarding systemic activities in order to provide technical assistance and followup for those CAPs not reporting systemic activities.

HOW THIS PROGRAM COORDINATES WITH OTHER FEDERAL ACTIVITIES

This program coordinates with other protection and advocacy programs administered by HHS through monthly interagency meetings. The primary Federal partners at these meetings are RSA, the Administration on Developmental Disabilities (ADD), and the Center for Mental Health Services (CMHS). The National Institute on Disability Research and Rehabilitation (NIDRR) also participates in these meetings. The purpose of these meetings is for the Federal partners to ensure that these programs receive sufficient training and technical assistance, funded by all of the partners, so that the programs can provide quality advocacy services to individuals with disabilities. The Federal partners also discuss potential compliance issues that might be arising with a grantee.

CHALLENGES TO ACHIEVING PROGRAM GOAL

As the number of individuals with disabilities within the Vocational Rehabilitation State Grant program increase, the types of services available to them change, and the methods of rehabilitation expand, CAPs are challenged to maintain their services that protect the rights of all individuals seeking or receiving vocational rehabilitation services.

INDICATOR CHANGES

From FY 1999 Annual Plan (two years old)

Adjusted

- The objectives were reordered.
- Objective 4, which measured the number of CAPs that report on their systemic advocacy activities, was adjusted. In FY 1998, the first year of data collection, 96.4 percent of CAPs reported on this item. The issue of under-reporting did not present a problem.

Dropped

• Objective 1, along with Indicator 1.1, that measured the number of CAPs reporting multiple individual case files, was dropped.

From FY 2000 Annual Plan (last year's)

Adjusted

❖ Indicator 1.1 was adjusted to measure the number of CAPs that achieve or exceed a client satisfaction baseline of 87 percent.

Dropped

- ❖ Indicator 2.1.
- ❖ Indicator 3.1.

New—None.