Archived Information

STATE VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION SERVICES (INCLUDING SUPPORTED EMPLOYMENT)

Goal: Individuals with disabilities served by the Vocational Rehabilitation State Grant program will achieve high-quality employment.

Relationship of Program to Volume 1, Department-wide Objectives: These objectives support Strategic Plan Goal 3.4 (lifelong learning) ensuring access to services that provide adults with disabilities the opportunity to strengthen their skills and improve their earning power over their lifetime.

FY 2000—\$2,353,739,000

FY 2001—\$2,413,944,000 (Requested budget)

OBJECTIVE 1: ENSURE THAT INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES WHO ARE SERVED BY THE VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION (VR) STATE GRANT PROGRAM ACHIEVE EMPLOYMENT CONSISTENT WITH THEIR PARTICULAR STRENGTHS, RESOURCES, ABILITIES, CAPABILITIES, AND INTERESTS.

Indicator 1.1 Number achieving employment: The number of individuals with disabilities who achieve employment will increase by at least 1 percent annually.						
Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality		
The number of individuals who achieved an employment outcome			Status: The 1999 data are expected to be available by March	Source: Rehabilitation Services Administration		
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	2000. We expect the data to show that we have achieved our	(RSA) state data from the R-113.		
1997:	211,503		target.	Frequency: Annually.		
1998:	223,668 (5.8%)*			Next Update: March 2000.		
1999:	No data available	215,770	Explanation: FY 1999 target was surpassed in FY 1998. There	Validation Procedure: Verified by ED		
2000:		228,160	was a significant increase in the number of individuals who	attestation process and ED Standards for		
2001:		230,450	achieved an employment outcome in FY 1998. In prior years,	Evaluating Program Performance Data.		
		dicates the percent change in	the annual percentage change has varied considerably. The average annual increase for FYs 1994 to 1997 was 1.2 percent.	L'add and Diagram		
the number the previous		an employment outcome from	average annual increase for FTS 1994 to 1997 was 1.2 percent.	Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: Appropriate crosschecks and edits to verify and validate the quality of these data are in place but are not well documented. Written procedures will be developed for the collection, cleaning, and analysis of data.		
Indicator			ent: The percentage of all persons served who obtain empl	oyment will increase.		
	Targets and Perfor	rmance Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality		
Percentage	obtaining employment		Status: The 1999 data are expected to be available by March	Source: RSA state data from the R-113.		
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	2000, and are expected to show that the target has been achieved.	Frequency: Annually.		
1997:	61.2%		Explanation: From fiscal years 1994 – 1997, the percentage of	Next Update: March 2000.		
1999:	No data available	61%	individuals receiving services who obtained employment	Validation Procedure: Verified by ED		
2000:		62.7%	remained steady at around 61 percent. In FY 1998, the	attestation process and ED Standards for		
2001:		63%	percentage of individuals who obtained an employment outcome	Evaluating Program Performance Data.		
			increased to 62 percent, exceeding our 1999 and 2000 target for this indicator. We have established new 2000 and 2001 targets for these indicators based on performance in 1998.	Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: Same as discussed under Indicator 1.1.		

Indicator 1.3 Percentage of individuals obtaining competitive employment: Of individuals obtaining employment, the percentage who obtain competitive employment will increase. Among individuals with significant disabilities obtaining employment, the percentage obtaining competitive employment will increase.

Targets and Performance Data					
Percentage of all individuals with disabilities who obtained competitive employment					
Year	Year Actual Performance Performance Targets				
1997:	81.2% (171, 743)*				
1998:	80.1% (179,154)*	7			
1999:	No data available	82.3%			
2000:		82.5%			
2001:		82.7%			
Percentage of individuals with significant disabilities who obtained competitive					

employment

Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets
1997:	79.1% (134,736)*	
1998:	78.6% (143,026)*	
1999:	No data available	80.0%
2000:		80.5%
2001:		80.7%
JUNET 4 FEET	1 1 3 1 1 1	. 1 1 0

*Note: The number in parentheses indicates the actual number of competitive employment outcomes.

Status: The 1999 data are expected to be available by September 2000. We expect the data to show that progress toward the target has been made.

Assessment of Progress

Explanation: The 1998 data show a small decline in the percentage; however, the actual numbers increased. In September 1997, the Federal minimum wage increased from \$4.75 to \$5.15. Because, under this program, individuals must be earning at least the minimum wage to, in part, meet the criteria for competitive employment, the change in the minimum wage has affected performance on this indicator.

The FY 1999 target established in 1998 for individuals with significant disabilities was set at a low level based on an error in the 1997 data. Data for FY 1997 have been corrected and targets for the years 1999 through 2001 have been adjusted accordingly.

Sources and Data Quality **Source:** RSA state data from the R-911.

Frequency: Annually.

Next Update: September 2000.

Validation Procedure: Verified by ED attestation process and ED Standards for Evaluating Program Performance Data.

Limitations of Data and Planned

Improvements: Accuracy/consistency of reporting is contingent upon counselors' interpretations of definitions. Timeliness is dependent upon submittal of clean data from 80 grantees (respondents). Limited staff resources affect ability to check data for reasonableness and publish data quickly.

Written procedures will be developed for the collection, cleaning, and analysis of data. Publication of final regulations implementing the Standards and Indicators under section 106 of the Rehabilitation Act will provide a method to ensure timeliness of data reporting on the part of 80 grantees. Steps will also be taken to improve reasonableness checks of data.

Indicator 1.4 Improved earnings: Among individuals exiting the program in competitive employment, the median ratio of their average hourly wage to the state's average hourly wage for all individuals in the state who are employed will increase.

Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Median ratio	o for general and combined agencies		Status: We expect the target to be met in 1999.	Source: RSA state data from the R-911.
Year	Year Actual Performance Performance Targets			Department of Labor data on state average
1997:	0.57		Explanation: The 1999 data are expected to be	hourly wage.
1998:	0.57		available by September 2000. We expect the	Frequency: Annually.
1999:	No data available	0.57	data to show that the target was achieved.	Next Update: September 2000.
2000:		0.58		
2001:		0.58		Validation Procedure: Verified by ED
				attestation process and ED Standards for
				Evaluating Program Performance Data.

Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
		port: The percentage of individ	duals who report upon obtaining employmen	Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: Same limitations and planned improvements reported under 1.3 apply to this indicator. In addition, the data for this indicator are limited by the fact that the required comparison involves numbers reported from two different sets of state-reported data. It that their own income is their primary
source of supp	ort will increase.			
	Targets and Performan		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
	lividuals who report upon obtain rimary source of support	ing employment that their own	Status: The 1999 data are expected to be available by September 2000. We expect the	Source: RSA state data from the R-911. Frequency: Annually.
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	data to show that progress toward the target has	Next Update: September 2000.
1997:	74.5% (157,691)*	1 citormance 1 argets	been made.	Trew opasie. September 2000.
1998:	73.7% (164,918)*			Validation Procedure: Verified by ED
1999:	No data available	74.5%	Explanation: The 1998 data show a small	attestation process and ED Standards for
2000:		75%	decline in the percentage; however, the actual	Evaluating Program Performance Data.
2001:		75%	numbers increased. Therefore, we expect the 1999 target to be met.	Limitations of Data and Planned
	eir primary source of support. Employment retention: Eigh	ty-five percent of individuals o	btaining competitive employment will main	Improvements: Same as discussed under Indicator 1.3.
arter closure.	Targets and Performan	nce Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
		tudy sample obtaining competitive	Status: FY 1999 target was exceeded. Source: VR Longitudin	Source: VR Longitudinal Study for 1996-1999. The Department is in the process of developing a
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	Explanation: Actual performance data cover an	standard annual data collection mechanism for
1996-1997:	85%		18-24-month period. We expect future	this indicator that will include data from all state
1998-1999:	86%	85%	performance to be at the 85 percent level.	VR agencies. Future data will be available in
1999-2000:		85%	However, the Longitudinal Study of the VR	2001.
2000-2001:		85%	Program is ending and we will not have a new data source until FY 2001.	Frequency: Future data will be provided annually. Next Update: December 31, 2001.
				Validation Procedure: Rigorous data collection design was developed by contractor and approved by OMB. Several quality control mechanisms are in place.
				Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: The longitudinal study sample is nationally representative, but it does not include all VR consumers. The Longitudinal study was not designed to provide fiscal year cohorts.

Indicator	Indicator 1.7 Satisfaction with employment: At least 75 percent of VR consumers will report they are satisfied with their employment outcome.					
	Targets and Performa	ance Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality		
	of consumers in the VR longitudinal		Status: No 1999 data, but FY 1997-1998	Source: VR Longitudinal Study for 1995-1998.		
were very o	r mostly satisfied with their employm	ent outcome	performance exceeded the 1999 target.	The Department is in the process of developing a		
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets		standard data collection mechanism for this		
1996:	72%		Explanation: Actual performance data cover an	indicator that will include data from all state VR		
1998:	76%		18-24-month period. We expect future	agencies. Future data will be available in 2001.		
1999:	No data available	At least 75%	performance to be at least at the 75 percent level.	Frequency: Future data will be provided		
2000:		At least 75%	However, the Longitudinal Study of the VR	annually.		
2001:	7	At least 75%	Program is ending and we will not have a new	Next Update: December 31, 2001.		
			data source until FY 2001.			
				Validation Procedure: Rigorous data collection		
				design was developed by contractor and		
				approved by OMB. Several quality control		
				mechanisms are in place.		
				Limitations of Data and Planned		
				Improvements: The longitudinal study sample		
				is nationally representative, but it does not		
				include all VR consumers. The Longitudinal		
				study was not designed to provide fiscal year		
				cohorts.		

OBJECTIVE 2: RSA WILL HELP STATES IMPROVE SERVICES AND OUTCOMES FOR CONSUMERS.

Indicator 2.1 Availability and use of data: The time required by RSA to produce an accessible national database will decrease until it reaches 6 months after the						
close of the fiscal year.						
	Targets and Performa	ance Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality		
Number of m	onths after the close of the fiscal yea	ar at which time the database was	Status: Positive movement toward target.	Source: RSA Central Office records, 1998.		
available				Frequency: Annually.		
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	Explanation: Implementation of the VR	Next Update: September 2000.		
1997:	14.5		standards and indicators required by Section 106			
1998:	14		of the Rehabilitation Act will provide an	Validation Procedure: Reviewed by		
1999:	No data available	No target set	incentive for states to report data in a timely	Department staff. No formal verification		
2000:		9 months	manner.	procedure applied.		
2001:		6 months				
				Limitations of Data and Planned		
				Improvements: None		

OBJECTIVE 3: INCREASE THE NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS WITH THE MOST SIGNIFICANT DISABILITIES WHO HAVE RECEIVED SUPPORTED EMPLOYMENT SERVICES BUT ACHIEVE COMPETITIVE EMPLOYMENT OUTCOMES.

Indicator 3.1 Percentage of individuals with a supported employment goal achieving competitive employment: The percentage of individuals with a supported employment goal who achieve a competitive employment outcome (including supported employment outcomes in which the individual receives the minimum wage or better) will continue to increase.

wage of bei	tter) will continue to increase.			
Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Percentage of individuals with a supported employment goal who achieved a			Status: The 1999 data are expected to be	Source: Rehabilitation Services Administration
competitive e	employment outcome		available by September 2000. We expect the	(RSA) state data from the R-911.
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	data to show that progress toward the target has	Frequency: Annually.
1997:	69.6% (14,605)*		been made.	Next Update: September 2000.
1998:	69.1% (16,113)*			
1999:	No data available	71.0%	Explanation: The 1998 data show a small	Validation Procedure: Verified by ED
2000:		71.5%	decline in the percentage; however, the actual	attestation process and ED Standards for
2001:		71.7%	numbers increased. In September 1997, the	Evaluating Program Performance Data.
*Note: The number in parenthesis indicates the actual number of individuals with a			Federal minimum wage increased from \$4.75 to	
	apployment goal who achieved a comp		\$5.15. Because, under this program, individuals	Limitations of Data and Planned
supported employment god: who demoted a competitive employment outcome.			must be earning at least the minimum wage to, in	Improvements: Same as discussed under
			part, meet the criteria for competitive	Indicator 1.3.
			employment, the change in the minimum wage	
			has affected performance on this indicator.	

KEY STRATEGIES

Strategies Continued from 1999

To assist in the achievement of performance targets established for indicators under Objectives 1 and 3, the Rehabilitation Services Administration will:

- Develop a monitoring and technical assistance plan for state Vocational Rehabilitation (VR) agencies that addresses performance on program outcome measures.
- Identify and disseminate information regarding effective practices for assisting individuals with disabilities to achieve appropriate employment outcomes and provide training as needed.
- Review, revise, and improve the issuance of Rehabilitation Services Administration's policy and guidance directives to state Vocational Rehabilitation agencies.
- Ensure that Rehabilitation Services Administration staff is trained and able to effectively monitor state performance and provide technical assistance.
- Develop coordinated approaches among Federal agencies (e.g., the Departments of Education, Labor, and Health and Human Services (HHS) and the Social Security Administration) that affect employment of individuals with disabilities.

New or Strengthened Strategies

To assist in the achievement of performance targets established for indicators under Objectives 1 and 3, the Rehabilitation Services Administration will:

- Beginning in fiscal year 2001, develop state Vocational Rehabilitation agency performance improvement plans with state agencies that are performing below standards established under Section 106 of the Rehabilitation Act.
- Encourage state agencies to coordinate planning and service delivery with partners in the Workforce Investment System in order to improve vocational rehabilitation program outcomes.
- To decrease the time required by Rehabilitation Services Administration's to produce an accessible data base (Objective 2), the Department will publish regulations on program standards and indicators that include consequences for not submitting the data needed to assess performance in a timely manner.

HOW THIS PROGRAM COORDINATES WITH OTHER FEDERAL ACTIVITIES

- To increase the employment retention and earnings of consumers and also increase their occupational skill attainment, Rehabilitation Services Administration will coordinate with its partners under the Workforce Investment Act (WIA) of 1998, especially the Department of Labor, in conducting workforce activities through statewide and local systems. The VR program is a mandatory partner in the one-stop delivery system under Title I of Workforce Investment Act (WIA).
- In facilitate a seamless passage from school to work, Rehabilitation Services Administration and Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) will coordinate transition services and activities for students with disabilities.
- To increase competitive employment outcomes for individuals with significant disabilities, Rehabilitation Services Agency will coordinate with the Social Security Administration in implementing the Ticket to Work and Work Incentives Improvement Act of 1999.

CHALLENGES TO ACHIEVING PROGRAM GOAL

- Numerous work disincentives exist, on the Federal, state, and local levels, that discourage individuals with significant disabilities from seeking and maintaining employment.
- Despite the advances brought about by the Americans with Disabilities Act and the Rehabilitation Act, many employers remain reluctant to hire individuals with disabilities.
- Cost of providing Vocational Rehabilitation services has increased as a result of serving increased numbers of individuals with significant disabilities whose rehabilitation is more costly.
- Minimal increases in program funding above the cost of living.
- Limited personnel and travel resources for effective program monitoring.

INDICATOR CHANGES

From FY 1999 Annual Plan (two years old)

Adjusted—None.

Dropped

- Indicators 1.6, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, and 4.2 were dropped.
- Objective 2 and its indicators were dropped.

From FY 2000 Annual Plan (last year's)

Adjusted

- The FY 2000 performance target for Indicator 1.2 was raised from 61 percent to 62.7 percent, based on actual 1998 performance.
- In Indicator 1.3, the FY 1999 and FY 2000 performance targets for individuals with significant disabilities were raised (from 65.5 percent to 80.0 percent for 1999 and from 66 percent to 80.5 percent for 2000) because initial targets were set at a low level based on an error in the 1997 data.

Dropped

- Indicator 2.1 on satisfaction with services has been dropped because the longitudinal study does not provide data for 1999. We will consider adding it again after a standard mechanism for data collection is developed.
- ❖ Indicator 2.2 on basic RSA monitoring has been dropped because full compliance is a statutory requirement.
- Indicator 2.3 on conducting comprehensive review has been dropped because the Rehabilitation Services Administration is changing its monitoring system.

New-None.