# Archived Information NATIONAL ACTIVITIES—IDEA PART D

Goal: To link best practices to states, school systems, and families to improve results for infants, toddlers, and children with disabilities.

**Relationship of Program to Volume 1, Department-wide Objectives:** Goal 1 (all students reach challenging academic standards), including Objectives 1.4, 1.5, and 1.7; Goal 2 (build a solid foundation for learning), including Objectives 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, and 2.4; Goal 4 (focus on results, service quality, and customer satisfaction), including Objectives 4.1, 4.3, and 4.4.

FY 2000—\$281,511,000

FY 2001—\$315,589,000 (Requested budget)

## OBJECTIVE 1: PROGRAMS RESPOND TO CRITICAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES AND THEIR FAMILIES.

Indicator 1.1 Responsive to critical needs: The percentage of IDEA program activities that are determined by expert panels to respond to critical needs of children with disabilities and their families will increase. (a) Research and innovation, (b) Technology, (c) Personnel preparation, (d) Technical assistance, and (e) State improvement.

•	Targets	and Performan	ce Data		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Percentage of pr	ogram priorities				Status: Unable to judge.	Source: Expert panels.
	1999 Actual	1999 Target	2000 Target	2001 Target		Frequency: Annually.
Research and					<b>Explanation:</b> Baseline data to be available in	Next update: 2000.
innovation:					2000.	
Technology:						Validation Procedure: Data validated by
Personnel						internal review procedures of an experienced
preparation:	No data					data collection contractor.
Technical	available	No target set	No target set	N/A		
assistance:	available					Limitations of Data and Planned
State						<b>Improvements:</b> All five program areas will be
						assessed by expert panels in 2000. A pilot was
improvement:						conducted in 1999 to determine the validity of
						the expert panel approach.

	Indicator 2.1 Highest standards for methods and materials: Expert panels determine that IDEA-funded projects use exceedingly high-quality methods and						
materials. (a) Research and innovation (b) Technology (c) Personnel preparation (d) Technical assistance (e) State improvement.							
Targets and Performance Data						Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Percentage of projects that meet exceptionally high standards						Status: Unable to judge.	Source: Project applications.
		1999 Actual	1999 Target	2000 Target	2001 Target		Frequency: Annually.
ovation	Research:	60%	No target set	65%	67%	<b>Explanation:</b> To be funded, each project must use high-quality methods and materials. This indicator identifies projects that use	Next update: 2000.  Validation Procedure: Verified by ED attestation process and ED Standards for
ch and inn	Demonstration:	12%	No target set	20%	24%	methodology. Baseline data on one program area were collected in 1999. Data on remaining programs (technology, personnel preparation, technical assistance, and state improvement) will  be collected in 2000	Evaluating Program Performance Data.  Limitations of Data and Planned
Researc	Outreach:	20%	No target set	25%	28%		Improvements: Instrumentation will be expanded to include all five-program areas in FY 2000.

-						2000.
OBJECTIVE 3:	PROJECTS COMM	MUNICATE APPRO	OPRIATELY AND	PRODUCTS ARE	USED TO IMPROVE RESULTS FOR CHILDREN WITH	I DISABILITIES AND THEIR FAMILIES.
Indicator 3.1	Communication	n with target a	udiences: The	percentage of I	DEA-funded projects that communicate app	propriately with target audiences will
					paration (d) Technical assistance	• •
, ,		s and Performan			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Percentage of p	rojects that comn	nunicate with targ	et audiences		Status: Unable to judge.	Source: Expert panels.
	1999 Actual	1999 Target	2000 Target	2001 Target		Frequency: Annually.
Research and innovation:					<b>Explanation:</b> Target to be determined upon receipt of baseline data in 2000.	Next update: 2000 (baseline).
Technology: Personnel						Validation Procedure: Data validated by an experienced data collection contractor.
preparation:	No data available	No target set	Baseline to be set	N/A		Limitations of Data and Planned
Technical assistance:						Improvements: Because of the need to collect data 3 years after expiration of projects, there
						will be a time lag in collecting performance data.
					ctitioners, including policy-makers, adminis	trators, teachers, parents, or others as
			Technical assist			is in the search and innovation (b)
		s and Performan		(1) 2 11110 2	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Percentage of e		positive determina			Status: Unable to judge.	Source: Project applications.
	1999 Actual	1999 Target	2000 Target	2001 Target		Frequency: Annually.
Research and innovation	N/A	No target set	No target set	No target set	<b>Explanation:</b> Baseline data on two program areas were collected in 1999. Data on remaining	Next update: 2000.
Technology:	78%	No target set	89%	89%	programs will be collected 2000.	Validation Procedure: Verified by ED
Personnel Preparation:	N/A	No target set	No target set	No target set		attestation process and ED <u>Standards for</u> <u>Evaluating Program Performance Data</u> .
Technical assistance:	67%	No target set	78%	89%		Limitations of Data and Planned
State	N/A	No target set	No target set	No target set	1	<b>Improvements:</b> Baseline sample may not be sufficient to make generalizations to the universe

Targets and Performance Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
		of stakeholders. However, the expert panel
		represents a broad range of constituents. The
		structure and quality of expert panel will
		improve with experience. Also, expert panels
		starting in FY 2000 will assess all five-program
		areas.

# OBJECTIVE 4: PERSONNEL ARE PREPARED TO SERVE CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES.

Indicator 4.1 Persons trained to serve children with disabilities: The percentage of persons who obtain their degrees with IDEA support and serve children with disabilities as teachers, early intervention personnel, related services personnel, or leadership personnel within 3 years of receiving their degrees will increase.

	Targets and Performa	nce Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	Status: Unable to judge.	Source: Survey.
1999:	No data available	No target set	7	Frequency: Annually, starting in 2002.
2002:		No target set	Explanation: Data collection is authorized by a new regulatory requirement (December 1999). Baseline data will begin to be collected in 1999, but data collection for baseline will not be completed until 2002 because of the time lag inherent in the indicator.	Next update: 2002.  Validation Procedure: Data validated by an experienced data collection contractor.  Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: None.

Indicator 4.2 Grants to minority institutions: The percentage of IDEA grants for personnel preparation awarded to Historically Black Colleges and Universities and other minority institutions, including tribal colleges, will increase.

	Targets and Performa	<u> </u>	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Percentage of	all personnel-preparation awards	(new and continuation) that went	Status: Positive movement toward goal.	Source: Analysis of project information.
to minority in:	stitutions			Frequency: Annually.
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	<b>Explanation:</b> The significant increase in awards	Next update: 2000.
1997:	15.4%		from 1998 to 1999 reflects a decrease in the	
1998:	17.7%	7	number of awards going to nonminority	Validation Procedure: Verified by ED
1999:	26.4%	No target set	institutions, combined with an increase in the	attestation process and ED Standards for
2000:		28%	average size of the awards going to nonminority	Evaluating Program Performance Data.
2001:		Continuing increase	institutions	1
				Limitations of Data and Planned
				Improvements: None.

Indicator 4.3 Minority and disabled personnel: The percentage of personnel who are minority and the percentage who are disabled v	who receive financial
assistance for training under IDEA will increase.	

	Targets and Performan	nce Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Year	Year Actual Performance Performance Targets		Status: Unable to judge.	Source: Project performance reports.
1999:	No data available	No target set		Frequency: Annually.
2000:		No target set	<b>Explanation:</b> Target to be determined upon	Next Update: 2000.
2001:		Continuing increase	receipt of baseline data.	
				Validation Procedure: Data to be validated by
				internal review procedures of an experienced
				data collection staff.
				Limitations of Data and Planned
				Improvements: None.

## OBJECTIVE 5: FAMILIES RECEIVE INFORMATION ABOUT SERVICES FOR CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES.

Indicator 5.1 Increase in informed families: The percentage of families that report that the training and technical assistance received from the Parent
Information and Training Centers made a positive difference in their child's supports and services will increase.

Illioi matioi	information and Training Centers made a positive difference in their clind's supports and services will increase.							
	Targets and Performa	nce Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality				
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	Status: Unable to judge.	Source: Project performance data.				
1998:	71%		]	Frequency: Annually.				
1999:	No data available	No target set	<b>Explanation:</b> Performance target data not yet	Next update: 2000.				
2000:		75%	available.					
2001:		76%		Validation Procedure: Verified by ED				
				attestation process and ED Standards for				
				Evaluating Program Performance Data.				
				Limitations of Data and Planned				
				<b>Improvements:</b> Self-report by projects may				
				hamper validity. OSEP will verify results with				
				follow-up survey.				

## **KEY STRATEGIES**

# Strategies Continued from 1999

- Conduct comprehensive planning that includes formal and informal meetings and surveys with a wide variety of stakeholders to obtain information on critical needs of children with disabilities and their families.
- Provide information and technical assistance through meetings, conferences, and policy guidance regarding implementation of the personnel-preparation service-obligation requirements.
- Provide competitive preferences in grant competitions for first-time grantees to increase the number of minority entities receiving grants.
- Provide competitive preferences in grant competitions to encourage increased services to underrepresented populations.
- ❖ Increase the number of community parent-resource centers to increase the impact of parent information activities on minority families.
- Assess alternative technical assistance and dissemination approaches and identify effective strategies that respond to customer needs.
- Develop coordinated, collaborative strategies with other ED-funded providers of technical assistance and information.

## New or Strengthened Strategies

- Include a requirement in grant notices for research and technology projects to employ rigorous quantitative and qualitative research methods.
- Include a requirement in grant notices for technical assistance and personnel-preparation projects to employ high-quality research-validated practices and materials.

#### HOW THIS PROGRAM COORDINATES WITH OTHER FEDERAL ACTIVITIES

- Department of Justice to jointly fund the Center for Students with Disabilities involved or at risk of involvement with the Juvenile Justice System.
- Center for Mental Health Services (CMHS) to support the comprehensive community mental health services for children and adolescents with emotional disturbance by providing training and technical assistance to CMHS-sponsored grantees.
- Center for Disease Control and Prevention to provide technical and scientific assistance on issues related to the design, analysis, and evaluation of a state-based surveillance system for case finding and tracking of children with disabilities.
- National Council on Disability to conduct a meeting of youth with disabilities concerning economic independence and transition to adult life, and another to develop and support leadership opportunities targeted for people with disabilities.
- Office of Educational Research and Improvement at the U.S. Department of Education to support an Early Childhood Pedagogy study.

#### CHALLENGES TO ACHIEVING PROGRAM GOAL

- Identifying effective ways to help service providers in states and local school districts obtain and use information that results from IDEA research and innovation projects.
- Identifying strategies to increase the involvement of persons with disabilities and from minority backgrounds in the provision of early intervention and special education services.
- Improving collaboration between IDEA activities and those of other Federal agencies involved in assisting children with disabilities and their families.
- Increasing the quality and amount of information provided to parents of children with disabilities to help them participate fully in the planning and delivery of services for their children.

## **INDICATOR CHANGES**

#### From FY 1999 Annual Plan (two years old)

## Adjusted

- FY 1999 Indicators 1.2 (research-validated effective practices), 2.2 (ensure quality), and 3.4 (use effective practices) have been consolidated into FY 2001 Indicator 2.1 (highest standards for methods and materials).
- FY 1999 Indicator 3.1 (customer satisfaction) has been incorporated into FY 2001 Indicator 3.1 (communication with target audiences).
- FY 1999 Indicator 3.2 (improving practices) has been incorporated into FY 2001 Indicator 3.2 (practitioners use results).
- FY 1999 Indicator 3.3 (respond to information needs) has been revised to measure the extent to which IDEA-funded activities respond to critical needs of children with disabilities (FY 2001 Indicator 1.1).

#### Dropped

- \* FY 1999 Indicators 1.1 (supply of qualified personnel), 1.3 (personnel employed with certification), and 1.5 (effective personnel) have been removed from the IDEA Part D performance measures and have been consolidated and moved to IDEA Part B Grants to States Indicator 5.1 (qualified personnel).
- Y 1999 Indicator 1.4 (special education training for regular education teachers) has been removed from the performance plan pending the collection of viable performance data.

## From FY 2000 Annual Plan (last year)

#### Adjusted

- FY 2000 Indicator 1.1 (rigorous research) has been expanded in FY 2001 Indicator 2.1 (highest standards for methods and materials) to measure both the use of rigorous research in research and technology programs as well as the use of research-validated practices and materials in personnel preparation, technical assistance, and state implementation grant activities.
- FY 2000 Indicator 1.2 (communicate findings) has been expanded in FY 2001 Indicator 3.1 (communication with target audiences) to include the following IDEA programs in addition to technology: research and innovation; personnel preparation; and technical assistance.
- FY 2000 Indicators 2.1 (consumer impact—personnel preparation) and 4.1 (consumer impact—technical assistance) have been consolidated in FY 2001 Indicator 3.2 (consumers' use results) and expanded to include the following programs: personnel preparation; research and innovation; and state improvement
- \* FY 2000 Indicator 3.1 (reduce shortages) has been modified in FY 2001 Indicator 4.1 (persons trained to serve children with disabilities) to focus on the extent to which individuals trained under Part D grants eventually serve children with disabilities.

#### Dropped

- FY 2000 Indicator 5.1 (supply of qualified personnel) has been deleted to avoid duplicating Part B IDEA Indicator 5.1 (qualified personnel).
- FY 2000 Indicator 6.1 (families served) has been removed from the FY 2001 report pending collection of viable performance data.

## New-None.