Archived Information

HIGH SCHOOL EQUIVALENCY PROGRAM AND COLLEGE ASSISTANCE MIGRANT PROGRAM

Goal: To assist migrant and seasonal farmworker students in obtaining the equivalent of a high school diploma and, subsequently, to begin postsecondary education, enter military service, or get a job.

Relationship of Program to Volume 1, Department-wide Objectives: The Office of Migrant Education's (OME) programs are designed to improve the achievement levels of older migrant students. They address Objectives 2.4 (special populations participate in appropriate services and assessments consistent with high standards), 3.1 (that secondary school students get the support they need to prepare for postsecondary education), and 3.2 (that postsecondary students get the support they need to complete their educational program).

FY 2000—\$22,000,000

FY 2001—\$30,000,000 (Requested budget)

HIGH SCHOOL EQUIVALENCY PROGRAM (HEP)

OBJECTIVE 1: AN INCREASING PERCENTAGE OF HEP PARTICIPANTS WILL COMPLETE THE PROGRAM AND RECEIVE THEIR GED.

Indicator 1.1 GED completion: The percentage of HEP participants who complete the program and receive the GED will continue to remain high, if not				
increase.				
Targets and Performance Data		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
HEP participants receiving a GED		Status: The 1996-97 dip in the GED completion	Source: HEP/CAMP grantee performance	
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	rate (from 70 percent down to 66 percent) has	reports.
1995-96:	70%		been reversed. The 1997-98 rate was 72 percent,	Frequency: Annually.
1996-97:	66%		an increase in 2 percentage points from the prior	Next Update: 2000.
1997-98:	72%		year.	
1998-99:	No data available	Same or increase		Validation Procedure: Data were supplied by
1999-00:		Same or increase	Explanation: OME speculates that the 1996-97	grantees. No formal verification procedure has
2000-01:		Same or increase	dip in the GED completion rate was produced by	been applied.
			problems experienced by several sites in	
			adapting their programs to fit their students'	Limitations of Data and Planned
			needs. Participants in these programs appear to	Improvements: Data from three sites have been
			have completed their GEDs along with students	omitted because of apparent discrepancies; a
			enrolled in the 1997-98 cohort, boosting that	review is under way.
			year's GED rate to 72 percent.	

OBJECTIVE 2: AN INCREASING PERCENTAGE OF HEP PARTICIPANTS WILL BEGIN POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION, ENTER MILITARY SERVICE, OR GET A JOB.

Indicator 2.1 Postsecondary entrance: The percentage of HEP participants with a GED who enroll in postsecondary programs will either equal or exceed the percentage achieved the previous year.				
Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
HEP participants with a GED who enroll in postsecondary programs		Status: Cannot determine.	Source: HEP/CAMP grantee performance	
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets		reports.
1998-99:	No data available	No target set	Explanation: These data have not been available	Frequency: Annually.
1999-00:		No target set	in the past, as grantees have not kept track of	Next Update: 2000.
2000-01:		Baseline	former migrant students past completion of the GED. The Office of Migrant Education is working with grantees to start collecting these data. A baseline will be established in 2001.	Validation Procedure: Data will be supplied by grantees. No formal verification procedure will be applied.
				Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: Grantees will collect.

COLLEGE ASSISTANCE MIGRANT PROGRAM (CAMP)

OBJECTIVE 3: ALL CAMP STUDENTS WILL COMPLETE THEIR FIRST ACADEMIC YEAR AT A POSTSECONDARY INSTITUTION IN GOOD STANDING.

Indicator 3.1 Academic achievement: The percentage of CAMP participants who successfully complete the first year of an academic or postsecondary education				
program will either equal or exceed that achieved the previous year.				
	Targets and Performance Data		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
CAMP partici	CAMP participants completing the first year of their academic or postsecondary		Status: Positive upward trend toward target.	Source: HEP/CAMP grantee performance
program			reports.	
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	Explanation: The first year completion rate of	Frequency: Annually.
1995-96:	84%		CAMP participants has remained high over the	Next Update: 2000.
1996-97:	85%		past 3 years, increasing from 84 percent in 1995-	
1997-98:	88%		96 to 85 percent in 1996-97, and then up again to	Validation Procedure: Data were supplied by
1998-99:	No data available	Same or increase	88 percent in 1997-98. OME speculates that	grantees. No formal verification procedure has
1999-00:		Same or increase	CAMP is interacting more effectively with other	been applied.
2000-01:		Same or increase	components of the university environment to	
			ensure that its students receive the support they	Limitations of Data and Planned
			need to complete the first year in good standing.	Improvements: Improvements will be addressed
				in the Office of Migrant Education's (OME)
				2000 Data Improvement Plan.

OBJECTIVE 4: CAMP STUDENTS WILL GRADUATE FROM 4-YEAR COLLEGES OR UNIVERSITIES AT HIGHER RATES.

Indicator 4.1 Student graduation: The percentage of former CAMP participants who complete a postsecondary degree program will be as high as that achieved				
by a comparable group of students.				
Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Percentage of former CAMP participants who successfully complete a		Status: Cannot determine.	Source: HEP/CAMP grantee performance	
postsecondary degree			reports.	
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	Explanation: These data have not been available	Frequency: Annually.
1998-99:	No data available	No target set	in the past as CAMP grantees have not	Next Update: 2000.
1999-00:		No target set	systematically tracked the progress made by	
2000-01:		Baseline	former migrant students past completion of the	Validation Procedure: Data will be supplied by
			1-year CAMP effort. The Office of Migrant	grantees. No formal verification procedure will
			Education is working with grantees to start	be applied.

Targets and Performance Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
	collecting these data. A baseline will be	Limitations of Data and Planned
	established in 2001.	Improvements: OME is working with grantees
		to provide detailed information within the annual
		performance reports.

KEY STRATEGIES

Strategies Continued from 1999

None.

New or Strengthened Strategies

- OESE will promote greater coordination of HEP with the MEP and with other adult education, high school completion, and dropout prevention programs administered by OVAE and OPE.
- OESE will work with HEP projects to collect standardized information on participant outcomes and activities.
- Statutory changes will be proposed to strengthen preparation for postsecondary education and to better target program services on those persons engaged in seasonal farmwork or currently eligible for services as migrant or seasonal farmworkers under the MEP or JTPA Section 402 program.
- OESE will promote coordination of CAMP with the MEP and other relevant OPE programs (e.g., TRIO).
- OESE will work with CAMP projects to collect standardized information on participant outcomes and activities.
- Statutory changes will be proposed to improve targeting of CAMP services on those persons currently eligible for services as migrant or seasonal farmworkers under the MEP or JTPA Section 402 program.
- OESE will work with HEP and CAMP grantees to collect outcome data describing where students go once they have finished HEP and CAMP.

HOW THIS PROGRAM COORDINATES WITH OTHER FEDERAL ACTIVITIES

None.

CHALLENGES TO ACHIEVING PROGRAM GOAL

None.

INDICATOR CHANGES

From FY 1999 Annual Plan (two years old)

Adjusted—None.

Dropped—None.

From FY 2000 Annual Plan (last year's)

Adjusted—None.

Dropped—None.

New—None.