# **Archived Information**

## **OFFICE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS**

<b>Goal:</b> To ensure equal access to education and promote educational excellence throughout the nation through the vigorous enforcement of civil rights.	Funding History (\$ in millions)			
throughout the nation through the vigorous emoreement of ervir rights.	Fiscal Year	Appropriation	Fiscal Year	Appropriation
<b>Legislation:</b> The Office for Civil Rights' authority to enforce the following Federal				
civil rights laws is derived from the Department of Education Organization Act (20	1985	\$45	2000	\$71
U.S.C. § 3401 et seq.): Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C.§ 2000d et				
seq.); Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (20 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq.);				
Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. § 794); Age Discrimination	1990	\$45	2001	\$76
Act of 1975 (42 U.S.C. § 6101 et seq.); and Title II of the Americans with Disabilities				
Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. § 12134 et seq.). OCR also carries out civil rights compliance				
provisions for the Magnet Schools Assistance program (Title V, Part A of the	1995	\$58	2002 (Requested)	\$80
Elementary and Secondary Education Act).				

### **Program Description**

The Office for Civil Rights (OCR) enforces Federal civil rights laws that prohibit discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, disability, and age in all programs and institutions that receive financial assistance from the Department of Education (ED). These laws extend to a wide range of Federal recipients, including: all state education agencies; approximately 15,000 local education agencies; over 6,000 postsecondary institutions, including proprietary schools and community colleges; 80 state rehabilitation agencies and their subrecipients; as well as other institutions that receive Federal financial assistance, such as correctional institutions. More than 66,000,000 people are beneficiaries of the financial assistance these institutions and agencies receive from the Federal government.

OCR works with recipients to resolve civil rights issues and to ensure that all students have equal access to a high quality education. OCR's activities include: complaint resolution; monitoring of agreements; developing policy documents and guidance materials, including self assessment tools; compliance initiatives, including collaborative efforts with state and local education agencies; and ensuring that civil rights considerations are reflected in all ED programs and activities. In the rare instance when alternatives to reach resolution have failed, OCR initiates formal administrative enforcement proceedings or refers the case to the Department of Justice for judicial enforcement. OCR stresses collaboration with recipients to identify barriers to equal educational opportunity, to eliminate or prevent discriminatory practices and to develop resolution approaches that are legally sound and consistent with the recipient's educational goals.

For more information, please visit the program Web site at: <a href="http://www.ed.gov/offices/OCR/">http://www.ed.gov/offices/OCR/</a>

#### **Program Performance**

#### OBJECTIVE 1: TO ELIMINATE DISCRIMINATORY EDUCATIONAL PRACTICES WITHIN SCHOOLS.

Indicator 1.1 Increased compliance: The number of recipients of Federal funds (e.g., school districts, postsecondary institutions, and state educational agencies (SEAs), that change policies, procedures, or practices to comply with Federal civil rights laws will increase. Targets and Performance Data Assessment of Progress Sources and Data Quality Year **Actual Performance Performance Targets** Status: Target met. **Source:** Annual data from OCR's Case FY 1998: Baseline year Information System, 1999. 1,378 **Explanation:** In FY 1998, 1,378 recipients— Frequency: Annually. FY 1999: 1.563 Increase over 1,378\* Next collection update: 2001. FY 2000: 1.563 \* consisting of approximately 1,013 school 2,035 districts, 22 SEAs (with 2,936 school districts), Date to be reported: 2002. FY 2001: Increase over 2.035 \* 233 postsecondary institutions, and 2 state FY 2002: Increase over FY 2001\* systems of higher education—changed policies, Validation Procedure: ED Data Quality \* Meeting the target is contingent on workload and funding. practices, and procedures to comply with Federal Attestation Process and ED Standards for civil rights law. Evaluating Program Performance Indicators. In FY 1999, 1,563 recipients—consisting of **Limitations of Data and Planned** approximately 1,152 school districts, 5 SEAs **Improvements:** *Limitations:* OCR chose to (with 6,670 school districts), 403 postsecondary measure compliance at the point of case institutions, and 2 state systems of higher resolution rather than at the end of monitoring. In doing so, OCR recognizes that the extent of education (with 139 postsecondary institutions)—changed policies, practices, and influence of the agreement to effect change is procedures to comply with Federal civil rights being measured, rather than the actual accomplished change. While this indicator laws. places equal value on all recipients, it is important to note that changes to policies, In FY 2000, 2,035 recipients—consisting of procedures, and practices at the state or districtapproximately 1.165 school districts, 9 SEAs wide level have a more systemic impact than (with 14,160 school districts), 852 postsecondary those made at a school-based level. Planned institutions, and 2 state systems of higher Improvements: Exploratory work completed on a education (with 43 postsecondary institutions) changed policies, practices, and procedures to new case management system that will replace CIS with a user-friendly and less labor-intensive comply with Federal civil rights laws. system. When adequate funding for the project is secured, the new system will have the capacity to link CIS data to specific case files, which will improve the validity of the data. In addition, OCR will move CIS to a platform that is compatible with the Department's information technology environment.

Indicator 1.	Indicator 1.2 Number of students affected: The estimated number of students positively affected by OCR's work will increase.			
Targets and Performance Data		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
Year FY 1998:	Actual Performance 5.900.000	Performance Targets	Status: Target met.	Source: Annual data from OCR's Case Information System, 1999.
	- 3 3	Baseline year	<b>Explanation:</b> This indicator expands on the	Frequency: Annually.
			results of Indicator 1.1. It demonstrates the	Next collection update: 2001.
	7,095,025		number of students positively affected by	Date to be reported: 2002.
		, ,	improved access to equal educational	Bute to be reported. 2002.
	FY 1999: 6,571,725 Increase over 5,900,000*  FY 2000: 7,695,025 6,571,725*  FY 2001: Increase over 7,695,025*  FY 2002: Increase over FY 2001*  * Meeting the target is contingent on workload and funding.		opportunity when recipients change policies, practices, and procedures to eliminate or prevent civil rights problems.  OCR counts student impact at the point of case resolution rather than at the end of monitoring because case resolution is the point in case processing that provides the most reliable, available, and contemporaneous information for making meaningful program management decisions within the budget cycle. In doing so, OCR recognizes the extent of influence of the agreement to effect change is being measured, rather than the actual accomplished change. OCR is careful to count only the students who are expected to directly experience change through the implementation of a resolution agreement. In some instances, the numbers are small, such as the resolution of a gifted and talented case or one that involves only Englishlanguage learners. The resolution of a disability complaint often affects one student.  Alternatively, the numbers can be large, such as with the resolution of a sexual harassment case	Validation Procedure: ED Data Quality Attestation Process and ED Standards for Evaluating Program Performance Indicators.  Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: Limitations: Student enrollment data used by OCR to calculate student impact is the most current data available to the recipient. Planned Improvements: Exploratory work completed on a new case management system that will replace CIS with a user-friendly and less labor-intensive system. When adequate funding for the project is secured, the new system will have the capacity to link CIS data to specific case files, which will improve the validity of the data. In addition, OCR will move CIS to a platform that is compatible with the Department's IT environment.
			that involves training all staff and students on the implementation of a new policy.	

OBJECTIVE 2: TO TEACH PARENTS AND STUDENTS HOW TO RESOLVE PROBLEMS OF SECURING EQUAL ACCESS TO HIGH-QUALITY EDUCATION.

Indicator 2.1 Successful partnerships: The number of partnerships with parents that lead to civil rights compliance will increase.				
Targets and Performance Data		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
<b>Year</b> FY 1999:	Actual Performance	Performance Targets Baseline year	Status: Baseline established.	Source: Annual data from OCR's Case Information System, 1999.
FY 2000:	38	Increase over 18*	<b>Explanation:</b> A parental partnership is	Frequency: Annually.
FY 2001:		Increase over 38*	established when OCR, as a result of a case	Next collection update: 2001.
FY 2002:		Increase over FY 2001*	resolution or other activity, facilitates a	Date to be reported: 2002.
	FY 2001: Increase over 38* FY 2002: Increase over FY 2001*  * Meeting the target is contingent on workload and funding.		collaboration between parents and schools to achieve ongoing civil rights compliance without OCR's continued involvement.	Validation Procedure: ED Data Quality Attestation Process and ED Standards for Evaluating Program Performance Indicators.  Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: Limitations: OCR's conservative approach to measuring parent partnerships generated by OCR's activities results in an undercount of potential benefits to students. We count a parent partnership when OCR, as a result of a case resolution or other activity, facilitates collaboration between parents and schools to achieve ongoing civil rights compliance without OCR's continued involvement. Planned Improvements: Exploratory work completed on a new case management system that will replace CIS with a user-friendly and less labor-intensive system. When adequate funding for the project is secured, the new system will have the capacity to link CIS data to specific case files, which will improve the validity of the data. In addition, OCR will move CIS to a platform that is compatible with the Department's information technology environment.

OBJECTIVE 3: TO OBTAIN RESULTS BY THE EFFICIENT MANAGEMENT OF CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLIANCE ACTIVITIES.

Indicator	Indicator 3.1 Resolution of complaints: Eighty percent of the complaints are resolved within 180 days of receipt.				
Targets and Performance Data		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality		
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	Status: Target met.	Source: Annual data from OCR's Case	
1997:	80%			Information System and analysis of complaint	
1998:	81%		<b>Explanation:</b> A key factor contributing to	workload, 1999.	
1999:	80%	80%	OCR's success in prompt complaint resolution is	Frequency: Annually.	
2000:	78%	80%	the ability to establish a target date for resolving	Next collection update: 2001.	
2001:		80%	each case on its own merit in an appropriate and	Date to be reported: 2002.	
2002:		80%	timely way. Informed by experience in case resolution and given adequate funding, OCR determined that approximately 80 percent of its cases could be resolved in 180 days or less.  Twenty percent of OCR's cases are so large in scope and complexity that the time needed to resolve these cases exceeds 180 days. These circumstances are not expected to change in the foreseeable future. Consequently, OCR does not anticipate changing its performance targets.  The actual performance in FY 2000, 78 percent, is an anomaly and not indicative of a trend. Timely and effective attention in FY 2000 to an increasing number of cases pending over 180 days resulted in significantly more cases resolved than prior fiscal years and a significant decrease in the inventory of pending cases over 180 days.	Validation Procedure: ED Data Quality Attestation Process and ED Standards for Evaluating Program Performance Indicators.  Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: Planned Improvements: Exploratory work completed on a new case management system that will replace CIS with a user-friendly and less labor-intensive system. When adequate funding for the project is secured, the new system will have the capacity to link CIS data to specific case files, which will improve the validity of the data. In addition, OCR will move CIS to a platform that is compatible with the Department's information technology environment.	