# **Archived Information**

# SCHOOL-TO-WORK OPPORTUNITIES

Goal: To build School-To-Work (STW) systems that increase student academic achievement, improve technical skills, and broaden career opportunities for all.	Funding History (\$ in millions)			
	Fiscal Year	Appropriation	Fiscal Year	Appropriation
<b>Legislation:</b> School-to-Work Opportunities Act, P. L. 103-239, 108 Stat 568, May 4,	1985	\$0	2000	\$55
1994 (20 U.S.C.6 101-6235).	1990	\$6	2001	\$0
	1995	\$123	2002 (Requested)	\$0

### **Program Description**

The School-To-Work (STW) program—or school-to-careers program—was conceived as "venture capital" to help communities give students a combination of school and work-based learning to improve their educational preparation for careers and thus strengthen the U.S. labor force and its competitiveness in the global economy.

The STW program operates through a partnership between the Department of Education and the Department of Labor. Every state had access to seed money to design a comprehensive school-to-work transition system and as of 2000, all 50 states plus Puerto Rico and the District of Columbia had successfully competed to receive one-time five-year grants to implement their systems.

Each system is expected to promote the following core components:

- School-based learning, including a coherent multiyear sequence of integrated academic and vocational instruction tied to occupational skill standards and challenging academic standards and involving at least two years of secondary education and one or two years of postsecondary education;
- Work-based learning, providing students with workplace mentoring and a planned program of work experience linked to schooling; and
- Connecting activities, ensuring coordination of work and school-based learning components by involving employers, improving secondary-postsecondary linkages, and providing technical assistance.

To support the development of these activities, states have formulated policies, provided assistance, and distributed grants to local partnerships of districts, schools, colleges and universities, employers, labor groups, and community-based organizations. More than 1,600 local partnerships have been established, including more than 9,000 high schools. However, by summer 1999 at least ten percent of these were no longer functioning, probably due to lack of funding as the federal STW seed money ran out. Partnerships vary in size, but if partnership grants through the 1997-1998 school year were evenly distributed, they would have amounted to approximately \$25,000 per district per year, or \$4.32 per elementary and secondary student per year.

For more information, please visit the program Web site at: <a href="http://www.stw.ed.gov/">http://www.stw.ed.gov/</a>

## **Program Performance**

OBJECTIVE 1: ALL YOUTH—INCLUDING THOSE WHO ARE DISADVANTAGED, HAVE LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENCY, ARE ACADEMICALLY GIFTED, ARE OUT OF SCHOOL, OR ARE DISABLED—HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO ENGAGE ACTIVELY IN SCHOOL-TO-WORK SYSTEMS.

	Indicator 1.1 Student Participation in School-to-Work Systems: By fall 2000, increasing numbers of youth will be participating in School-to-Work systems.					
Participation is defined as receiving an integrated academic and occupational curriculum and completing a related work-based learning experience.						
	Targets and Perform	nance Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality		
Number	Number of students that participated in School-to-Work systems		Status: No 2000 data, but progress toward target	Source: Progress Measures Survey.		
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	is likely.	Frequency: Annually.		
1996:	280,000			Next collection update: 2001 (for 2000 data).		
1997:	471,000		<b>Explanation:</b> Performance targets are likely to	Date to be reported: 6/01.		
1998:	515,617		be met given a significant investment by the			
1999:	751,438	750,000	National School-to-Work Office in providing	Validation Procedure: Data were collected		
2000:	Data Available 6/01	1,000,000	technical assistance to help states develop	before ED standards for evaluating the quality of		
2001:		See note*	workplace learning experiences that connect to	program performance data were developed.		
2002:		N/A	student's academic and technical coursework in	However, data from other sources, including the		
*Note: Performance targets were set only through the year 2000. This is due to the		school. Performance targets also are likely to be	national School-to-Work evaluation, corroborate			
scheduled sunset of the School-to-Work legislation in 2001, with final data			met given the steady increase in the number of	these findings.		
collection at that time reflecting previous year's performance.		students participating in School-to-Work and the				
		1	change to more reasonable and appropriate	Limitations of Data and Planned		
			performance targets.	<b>Improvements:</b> This survey is voluntary and		
			collects data from substate-funded, local			
				partnerships. By 1999, only 78 percent of local		
				partnerships in 40 states, Puerto Rico, and the		

District of Columbia had submitted data.

OBJECTIVE 2: ALL YOUTH EARN A HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA OR EQUIVALENCY, MEET CHALLENGING ACADEMIC STANDARDS, HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO RECEIVE A SKILL CERTIFICATE, AND ARE PREPARED FOR POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION AND CAREERS.

		mplete at lea	st 3 years	each o	f math and science.	age of high school graduates (including voca	
			ts and Perf			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Percent of students who took at least 3 years each of mathematics and science			f mathematics and science	Status: No 2000 data, but progress toward target	Source: National School-to-Work Evaluation,		
Year	Math	Actual Perform Science	Both	_	Performance Targets	is likely.	Student Transcripts of High School Seniors in Eight States.
1996:	83%	73%	69%			Explanation: Performance targets are likely to	Frequency: Biennially.
1998:	85%	78%	74%			be met given the growing number of states	Next collection update: 2000 (for 2000 data).
1999:		collected in alte			N/A	requiring that <u>all</u> students—including those	Date to be reported: 2001.
2000:	Da	ata available b	y 2001		80%	participating in School-to-Work activities—take more rigorous academic courses to meet	Validation Procedure: Data were collected
2001: 2002:					N/A N/A	graduation requirements.	before ED standards for evaluating the quality of program performance data were developed.  However, other sources of data corroborate these findings.  Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: This study examines outcomes for students in eight early implementing Schoolto-Work states. Therefore, data do not represent the achievement of all students in School-to-Work systems nationally.
		her educatio		ment, c	or the military.	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Darcon	t of high s					Status: No 2000 data, but progress toward target	Sources and Data Quanty  Source: National School-to-Work Evaluation,
Percent of high school graduates in School-to-Work systems that successfully transitioned into either college, employment, or the military or other postsecondary		is likely.	follow-up Survey of High School Seniors in				
		eighteen mont				is likely.	Eight States.
Year	1	Actual Perfo		5 87 000000	Performance Targets	<b>Explanation:</b> Performance targets for 2000 are	Frequency: Biennially.
	College	Employment	Military	Total		likely to be met given the steady increase in	Next collection update: 2002 (for 2000).
1996:	61%	21%	7%	89%		number of students taking more rigorous courses	Date to be reported: 2002.
1998:	61%	22%	6%	90%	90%	(see Indicator 2.1) in preparation for college and	
1999:		Data collected	biennially		N/A	careers.	Validation Procedure: Data were collected
2000:		Data availabl	e in 2002		92%		before ED standards for evaluating the quality of
2001:					N/A		program performance data were developed.
2002:					N/A		However, other sources of data corroborate these findings.
							Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: This study examines outcomes for students in eight early implementing School- to-Work states. Therefore, data do not represent the transition experiences of all students in School-to-Work systems nationally.

Indicator 2.3 Skill Certificates: By fall 2000, an increasing percentage of students in School-to-Work systems will earn skill certificates.							
Targets and Performance Data		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality				
Percent	Percent of seniors who received skill certificates		Status: Negative trend away from target.	Source: National School-to-Work Evaluation,			
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets		Local Partnership Survey.			
1996:	2.4%		<b>Explanation:</b> Performance targets for 1999 were	Frequency: Annually.			
1997:	3.6%		not met and further data to assess progress will	Next collection update: None scheduled.			
1998:	4.2%		not be gathered. It is likely that performance on	Date to be reported: N/A.			
1999:	2.3%	7%	this indicator was affected by state efforts to				
2000:	No data collected*	10%	implement higher academic standards and testing	Validation Procedure: Data were collected			
2001:		N/A	systems efforts viewed as higher priorities	before ED standards for evaluating the quality of			
2002:		N/A	than developing and implementing skill	program performance data were developed.			
	D ( d : 1: (d 01	<u>"</u>	certificates. Progress in developing skill	However, other sources of data corroborate these			
*Note: Due to the impending sunset of the School-to-Work legislation and the lack		certificates was also hindered by the lack of pre-	findings.				
	available in many states to report data,	this survey was not administered in	existing prototypes or templates which could				
2000.			have served as the basis for further development	Limitations of Data and Planned			
			and implementation.	<b>Improvements:</b> This survey is voluntary and			
				collects data from substate-funded, local			
				partnerships. By 1999, only 82 percent of local			
				partnerships in 49 states, Puerto Rico, and the			
				District of Columbia had submitted data			

OBJECTIVE 3: BUILD COMPREHENSIVE SCHOOL-TO-WORK SYSTEMS IN EVERY STATE.

	Indicator 3.1 High Schools: By fall 2000, an increasing percentage of high schools in School-to-Work systems will have implemented key School-to-Work components. Implementation of key School-to-Work components are defined as offering career major programs that require an extended workplace activity.					
compo	Targets and Perform	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality			
Percent	Percent of high schools that implemented key School-to-Work components		Status: Progress toward target is likely.	Source: Progress Measures Survey (Note: This		
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets		item was formerly gathered through the National		
1996:	25%		<b>Explanation:</b> Progress toward target is expected	School-to-Work Evaluation, Local Partnership		
1998:	25%		as a result of a significant investment by the	Survey)		
1999:	27%	35%	National School-to-Work Office in providing	Frequency: Annually.		
2000:	Data available by 6/01	40%	technical assistance and resources to help states	Next collection update: 2001 (for 2000 data).		
2001:		N/A	develop and implement career majors programs.	Date to be reported: 6/01.		
2002:		N/A		Validation Procedure: Data were collected before ED standards for evaluating the quality of program performance data were developed. However, other sources of data corroborate these findings.		
				Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: This survey is voluntary and collects data from substate-funded, local partnerships. By 1999, only 78 percent of local partnerships in 40 states, Puerto Rico, and the District of Columbia had submitted data.		

Indicator 3.2 Community and Technical Colleges: By Fall 2000, an increasing percentage of community and technical colleges in School-to-Work systems will
have articulation agreements with high schools to grant academic credit for work-based learning.

Targets and Performance Data					
Percent of	Percent of colleges developing articulation agreements with high schools				
Year	Year Actual Performance Performance Targets				
1996:	21%				
1997:	18%				
1998:	20%				
1999:	19%	33%			
2000:	No data collected*	40%			
2001:		N/A			
2002:		N/A			

\*Note: Due to the impending sunset of the School-to-Work legislation and the lack of staff available in many states to report data, this survey was not administered in 2000.

Assessment of Progress

Status: Negative trend away from target.

Explanation: Performance targets for this indicator have not been met and further data to assess progress will not be gathered. It is likely that performance on this indicator was affected by the lack of an early investment and sustained effort by the National School-to-Work Office to broker secondary-postsecondary relationships. Recently-enacted national investments are likely to impact progress on this indicator, although further data will not be collected to verify such progress.

Sources and Data Quality

Source: National School-to-Work Evaluation,
Local Partnership Survey.

Frequency: Annually

Next collection update: None scheduled.

Date to be reported: N/A

Validation Procedure: Data were collected before ED standards for evaluating the quality of program performance data were developed. However, other sources of data corroborate these findings.

#### Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: This survey is voluntary and collects data from substate-funded, local partnerships. By 1999, only 82 percent of local partnerships in 49 states, Puerto Rico, and the

District of Columbia had submitted data.

Indicator 3.3 Employers providing work-based learning opportunities: By fall 2000, an increasing number of employers in School-to-Work systems will be providing work-based learning experiences for students.

Targets and Performance Data		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
Number	Number of employers providing students with work-based learning experiences		Status: Positive trend toward target.	Source: Progress Measures Survey.
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets		Frequency: Annually.
1996:	59,000		<b>Explanation:</b> More rapid progress is expected,	Next collection update: 2001 (for 2000 data).
1997:	136,000		for several reasons. First, the National School-to-	Date to be reported: 6/01.
1998:	109,251		Work Office has made significant investments in	
1999:	154,543	270,000	technical assistance and resources—through	Validation Procedure: Data were collected
2000:	Data Available 6/01	350,000	employer and labor intermediary organizations—	before ED standards for evaluating the quality of
2001:		N/A	to substantially increase the number of	program performance data were developed.
2002:		N/A	employers providing work-based learning	However, other sources of data corroborate these
		- "	experiences for students. Moreover, data in 2000	findings.
			will include recently-funded states and their	
			partnerships, which will expand the number of	Limitations of Data and Planned
			participating employers substantially.	Improvements: This survey is voluntary and
				collects data from substate-funded, local
				partnerships. By 1999, only 78 percent of local
				partnerships in 40 states, Puerto Rico, and the
				District of Columbia had submitted data.

### INDICATOR CHANGES

From Annual Plan (FY 2001)

Adjusted

★ Indicator 3.3- Target changed from 350,000 employees to an increasing number of employees

<u>Dropped</u>—None. <u>New</u>—None.