# **Archived Information**

## 21ST CENTURY COMMUNITY LEARNING CENTERS

<b>Goal:</b> To enable public elementary and secondary schools to plan, implement, or expand extended learning opportunities for the benefit of the educational, health, social	Funding History (\$ in millions)			
service, cultural, and recreational needs of their communities.	Fiscal Year	Appropriation	Fiscal Year	Appropriation
<b>Legislation:</b> Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965, Title X, Part 1	1985	\$0	2000	\$453
(20 U.S.C. 8241 et. seq.).	1990	\$0	2001	\$846
	1995	\$0	2002 (Requested)	\$846

#### **Program Description**

The purpose of this program is to provide grants to inner-city and rural schools and school districts, that work in close cooperation with community organizations and other educational and youth development agencies to provide expanded learning opportunities and other activities outside of the regular school hours for children and adults in a safe and healthy environment.

The Department makes competitive three-year grants directly to eligible local education agencies (LEAs). Although, by statute, applicants are required to describe in their applications "the collaborative efforts to be undertaken by community-based organizations, related public agencies, businesses, or other appropriate organizations," only public schools or LEAs can receive or administer a grant.

During the 2000-2001 school year, the program provides support for 903 communities and 3,600 schools across the country. These 21<sup>st</sup> Century Community Learning Centers will serve about 615,000 children and youth and 215,000 adults during the 2000-2001 school year. Schools served by the program had, compared to the average school, much larger proportions of minority students and a far greater likelihood of being considered high poverty schools. Nearly all centers were open ten or more hours a week, and a third were open 20 or more. The vast majority of centers provided reading, math, and science activities. Also common were enrichment and support activities, including art and music, technology, and social studies.

In 2000, the average grant size was approximately \$500,000 and the typical grant supported four centers, at an average cost per center of approximately \$125,000. Annual costs per center generally ranged from \$35,000 to \$200,000, depending on the number of individuals served, the array of proposed activities, and the availability of additional resources.

The 21<sup>st</sup> Century Community Learning Centers program has been supported by a unique public-private partnership between the U.S. Department of Education and the Charles Stewart Mott Foundation of Flint, Michigan. The Charles Stewart Mott Foundation complements federal funding and the Department's efforts by underwriting training and technical assistance. Activities include help with: 1) the application process, to increase the quality and diversity of applicants; and 2) implementing quality programming and working toward long-term sustainability of local projects. In addition, the Charles Stewart Mott Foundation funds program evaluations, access and equity analyses, and public awareness and outreach initiatives.

### **Program Performance**

OBJECTIVE 1: PARTICIPANTS IN 21ST CENTURY COMMUNITY LEARNING CENTER PROGRAMS WILL DEMONSTRATE EDUCATIONAL AND SOCIAL BENEFITS AND EXHIBIT POSITIVE BEHAVIORAL CHANGES.

Indicator				_	larly pa	rticipati	ng in the progr	ram will show continuous improvement in ac	hievement through measures such as test
scores, gi	raues, ai			<b>Ports.</b> Performa	nce Data	a		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Percentage of regular program participants whose Math/English grades increased							ades increased	Status: Data establish baseline. Progress toward goal is likely.	<b>Source:</b> Grantee performance reports. <i>Frequency:</i> Annually.
Year		F	Actual Po	erformano	e		Performance		Next collection update: 2001.
	Elem	nentary		ldle or School	Ov	erall	Targets	<b>Explanation:</b> All three measures (grades, achievement test scores, and teacher reports)	Date to be reported: 2001.
2000:	Math 43%	English 45%	Math 36%	English 37%	Math 39%	English 41%	Baseline	suggest encouraging progress for regular program participants. Grades improved for	<b>Validation Procedure:</b> Data supplied by grantees. No formal verification procedure
2001:			0070	0170	0370	1170	Continuing improvement	nearly 40 percent of the regular K-12 program participants (39 percent and 41 percent of the	applied.
2002:							Continuing improvement	participants increased their Math and English grades respectively). Of those grantees reporting	Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: Achievement test scores are
Percentag improved j		w grade le	evel to at	or above g	grade leve			achievement test scores, 4.8 percent more program participants scored "at or above grade level" in math than in the previous year. A	available from only about 20 percent of the grantees. The use of teacher reports is only one measure of student improvement. Data from
Year	Elem	entary	Mid	<b>erformano</b> Idle or School		erall	Performance Targets	similar improvement of 4.5 percent was reported on English achievement tests. It should be noted	of 4.5 percent was reported grantee reports will be compared with the National Evaluation results for the 21st Cent
	Math		English	Math	English		that improving by a proficiency level represents significant academic progress. Overall, teachers	Community Learning Centers program.	
2000:	5.8%	5.1%	3.9%	3.9%	4.8%	4.5%	Baseline	reported 69 percent of the regular program	
2001:							Continuing improvement	participants showed improvement in homework completion and class participation over the 1999-	
2002:							Continuing improvement	00 school year.	
Percentag homework					teacher-	reported i	mprovement in		
Year*				erforman	ce		Performance	-	
	Eler	mentary		ddle or h School	Ov	verall	Targets		
2000:	7	76%	(	54%	6	9%	Baseline		
2001:							Continuing improvement		
2002:							Continuing improvement		
	y followed	l a standar	dized rep	orting for	m. 2000		of grantees that year in which		

Indicator 1.2 Behavior: Students participating in the program will show improvements on measures such as school attendance, classro	om performance, and
decreased disciplinary actions or other adverse behaviors.	

decreased	decreased disciplinary actions or other adverse behaviors.						
Targets and Performance Data					Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
Percentage	Percentage of students with teacher-reported improvements in student behavior			ent behavior	Status: Baseline established. Progress toward	Source: Grantee reports	
Year*	A	Actual Performanc	e	Performance	goal is likely.	Frequency: Annually.	
	Elementary	Middle or High School	Overall	Targets	<b>Explanation:</b> According to teacher reports in	Next collection update: 2001. Date to be reported: 2001.	
2000:	62%	57%	59%	Baseline	2000, 59 percent of the students who regularly		
2001: 2002: *1999 data	in last year's repor	t were based on rep	orts from a subset	Continuing improvement Continuing improvement of grantees that	participated in 21st Century Community Learning Center programs showed behavioral improvements.	Validation Procedure: Data supplied by grantees. No formal verification procedure applied.  Limitations of Data and Planned	
*1999 data in last year's report were based on reports from a subset of grantees that voluntarily followed a standardized reporting form. 2000 is the first year in which all grantees were required to report performance data.						<b>Improvements:</b> Teacher reports are subjective and thus subject to variation over time and across sites. Data will be compared to teacher survey results from the National Evaluation of the 21 <sup>st</sup> Century Community Learning Centers program.	

#### OBJECTIVE 2: 21ST CENTURY COMMUNITY LEARNING CENTERS WILL OFFER A RANGE OF HIGH-QUALITY EDUCATIONAL, DEVELOPMENTAL, AND RECREATIONAL SERVICES.

Indicator 2.1 Core educational services: More than 85 percent of centers will offer high-quality services in at least one core academic	area, such as reading and
literacy, mathematics, and science.	

literacy, mathematics, and science.						
	Targets and Perform	nance Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality		
Percenta	ge of 21st Century Centers reporting	emphasis in at least one core	Status: Target is exceeded.	<b>Source:</b> Grantee performance reports.		
academic	c area			Frequency: Annually.		
Year*	<b>Actual Performance</b>	Performance Targets	<b>Explanation:</b> Nearly all of the grantees (97	Next collection update: 2001.		
2000:	97%	85% or higher	percent) provided at least one core educational	Date to be reported: 2001.		
2001:		85% or higher	service (e.g., supplementary help in reading,			
2002:		85% or higher	mathematics, or science).	Validation Procedure: Data supplied by		
		reports from a subset of grantees that		grantees. No formal verification procedure applied.		
	ly followed a standardized reporting f			иррпеч.		
all grante	es were required to report performance	ce data.		Limitations of Data and Planned		
				Improvements: Information on core program		
				content and delivery will be available from the		
				National Evaluation of the 21 <sup>st</sup> Century		
				Community Learning Centers program.		

	2.2 Enrichment and support a	activities: More than 85 percent o	f centers will offer enrichment and support	activities such as nutrition and health, art,
music, tec	Targets and Perform	mance Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Percentage	of 21st Century Centers offering en		Status: Target for enrichment and support	Source: Grantee performance reports.
technology			activities exceeded. Positive movement toward	Frequency: Annually.
Year*	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	target for technology services.	Next collection update: 2001.
2000:	70%	85% or higher		Date to be reported: 2001.
2001:		85% or higher	<b>Explanation:</b> The vast majority of the centers	
2002:		85% or higher	(97 percent) offer enrichment and support services with a significant proportion (70 percent) offering computer- or technology-	Validation Procedure: Data supplied by grantees. No formal verification procedure applied.
		reports from a subset of grantees that	related activities.	аррпец.
		form. 2000 is the first year in which	related activities.	Limitations of Data and Planned
all grantees	s were required to report performand	ce data.		Improvements: Information on enrichment and
Percentage other areas	e of 21st Century Centers offering en	nrichment and support activities in		support activities will be available from the National Evaluation of the 21st Century
Year*	Actual Performance	Performance Targets		Community Learning Centers program.
2000:	97%	85% or higher		
2001:		85% or higher		
2002:		85% or higher		
*1999 data	in last year's report were based on	reports from a subset of grantees that		
voluntarily followed a standardized reporting form. 2000 is the first year in which				
all grantees	s were required to report performance	ce data.		
	2.3 Community involvement: tion in planning, implementing		ain partnerships within the community that	continue to increase levels of community
	Targets and Perform	mance Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
	umber of community partners report		Status: Data establish baseline. Progress toward	Source: Grantee performance reports.
Year*	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	goal is likely.	Frequency: Annually.
2000:	6	Baseline		Next collection update: 2001.
2001:			<b>Explanation:</b> The average number of	Date to be reported: 2001.
2002:			community partners (6) is a proxy measure of	
		reports from a subset of grantees that	community involvement.	Validation Procedure: Data supplied by
voluntarily followed a standardized reporting form. 2000 is the first year in which				grantees. No formal verification procedure
all grantees were required to report performance data.				applied.
				Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: In the future, the annual performance report will ask for number of activ partners. The National Evaluation of the 21 <sup>st</sup> Century Community Learning Centers program

will collect more detailed information on the

quality of collaboration.

Indicato	r 2.4 Services to parents and ot	her adult community members: I	More than 85 percent of centers will offer ser	vices to parents, senior citizens, and other
	mmunity members.	·	•	, , ,
Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Percentag	e of 21st Century Centers offering se	ervices to parents and other adult	Status: Target not met.	Source: Grantee performance reports.
	y members:		Explanation: Less than half (40 percent) of the	Frequency: Annually.
Year*	Actual Performance	Performance Targets		Next collection update: 2001.
2000:	40%	85% or higher	grantees offered services to parents, senior	Date to be reported: 2001.
2001:		85% or higher	citizens, or other adult community members in	W. W. A. D. D. W. H.
2002:		85% or higher	2000. The Department intends to emphasize this	Validation Procedure: Data supplied by
	• •	reports from a subset of grantees that	aspect of the program in the future.	grantees. No formal verification procedure
	y followed a standardized reporting f	<u>~</u>		applied.
all grantees were required to report performance data.				Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: None.
session, s	such as during the summer and Targets and Perform		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Percentag school yea	e of 21st Century Centers offering 13		<b>Status:</b> Target for summer hours met. Target for hours during the school year not met.	Source: Grantee performance reports.  Frequency: Annually.
Year*	Actual Performance	Performance Targets		Next collection update: 2001.
2000:	59%	75% or higher	<b>Explanation:</b> The percentages of centers	Date to be reported: 2001.
2001:		75% or higher	offering extended hours during the school year	
2002:		75% or higher	and the summer sessions are 59 percent and 89	Validation Procedure: Data supplied by
Percentage of 21st Century Centers offering 15 or more hours per week during the summer:			percent, respectively. The Department intends to emphasize this aspect of the program in the future.	grantees. No formal verification procedure applied.
Year*	Actual Performance	Performance Targets		Limitations of Data and Planned
2000:	89%	75% or higher		Improvements: None.
2001:		75% or higher		
2002:		75% or higher		
voluntaril	a in last year's report were based on y followed a standardized reporting f es were required to report performance			

OBJECTIVE 3: 21ST CENTURY COMMUNITY LEARNING CENTERS WILL SERVE CHILDREN AND COMMUNITY MEMBERS WITH THE GREATEST NEEDS FOR EXPANDED LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES.

Indicator	Indicator 3.1 High-need communities: More than 80 percent of Centers are located in high-poverty communities.					
	Targets and Performa	ance Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality		
Percentage of 21st Century Centers located in schools with at least 50 percent of			Status: Target not met.	<b>Source:</b> Grantee performance reports.		
students eli	igible for free or reduced-price lunch:			Frequency: Annually.		
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	<b>Explanation:</b> 66 percent of the grantees are in	Next collection update: 2001.		
2000:	66%	80% or higher	schools in which more than 50 percent of	Date to be reported: 2001.		
2001:		80% or higher	students are eligible for free or reduced-price			
2002:			lunch.	Validation Procedure: Data supplied by		
				grantees. No formal verification procedure		
				applied.		
				Limitations of Data and Planned		
				Improvements: None.		