

# Juvenile Justice Information System

# Data & Evaluation Reports Dispositions (2006)

JJIS Steering Committee

JJIS – A Shared Information System

Oregon Youth Authority Oregon Juvenile Department Directors' Association







## Juvenile Justice Information System Vision & Goals

### VISION

To promote public safety and youth accountability, and to offer opportunities for rehabilitation to youth, through the development of a statewide juvenile justice information system that:

- Provides a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders across Oregon's state and county juvenile justice agencies;
- Provides comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the juvenile justice process;
- Provides the capacity for and aids in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime; and
- > Recognizes and supports the common needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies.

**GOALS** To accomplish the vision, JJIS will strive to accomplish the following goals.

Vision Statement	Goals in Support of Vision Statement
Provides a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders across Oregon's state and county juvenile justice agencies.	<ul> <li>JJIS will:</li> <li>Be a statewide juvenile justice information system that supports a partnership of the OYA, 36 county juvenile departments, and approved external partners;</li> <li>Be the primary information system used by the OYA and county juvenile departments to manage and report information about juvenile offenders in their agency; and</li> <li>Support statewide reporting.</li> </ul>
Provides comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the justice process.	<ul> <li>JJIS will:</li> <li>Support the assessment of risks and needs of juvenile offenders;</li> <li>Support individual case plans; and</li> <li>Track juveniles through the entire justice process so that individual status, program involvement, progress, and outcomes can be determined.</li> </ul>
Provides the capacity for and aids in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime.	<ul> <li>JJIS will provide data and information to:</li> <li>Develop a knowledge base about outcomes relevant to functions and programs of the juvenile justice system;</li> <li>Evaluate the benefit of programs aimed at reducing juvenile crime.</li> </ul>
Recognizes and supports the common needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies.	<ul> <li>JJIS will:</li> <li>Be developed in a cost-efficient and incremental manner;</li> <li>Be operated in an efficient manner;</li> <li>Eliminate duplicate data entry within the JJIS partnership agencies;</li> <li>Develop common data elements while maintaining integrity of information and adhering to existing state justice information system standards;</li> <li>Provide a statewide standard for entry of information into the system;</li> <li>Ensure consistent training of JJIS users;</li> <li>Maintain confidentiality and protection of information contained in JJIS;</li> <li>Be designed using the cooperative input of the JJIS partnership; and</li> <li>Be guided by the decisions and policies of the JJIS Steering Committee.</li> </ul>

## JJIS Steering Committee

The JJIS Steering Committee provides oversight to the JJIS project. It meets monthly to review the project and ensure that it is on task to accomplish the vision and goals of JJIS. The Steering Committee prioritizes the development of software features, makes policy decisions, and allocates resources to the project.

George Dunford, Assistant Director Oregon Youth Authority Business Services

Dave Koch, Assistant Director Multnomah County Juvenile Community Justice Department

Charles Logan-Belford, Director Umatilla County Juvenile Department

Tim Loewen, Director Yamhill County Juvenile Department

Trish Reding, Director Polk County Juvenile Department

Lisa Smith, Director Lane County Juvenile Department

Jill Petersen, Manager Oregon Youth Authority Information Systems

Ed Schmidt, Manager JJIS Implementation & Training

Cherie Lingelbach, Coordinator JJIS Policy & Standards

Jann Brown Multnomah County Juvenile Justice Department

Brian Florip, Assistant Director Oregon Youth Authority Facility Operations

Debbie Rios, Assistant Director Oregon Youth Authority Program Office

Robyn Cole, Assistant Director Oregon Youth Authority Field Operation JJIS Data & Evaluation Subcommittee – serving as a standing subcommittee of the

JIS Steering Committee to:

- guide the development of routine publications/statistics; specific research initiatives; design, reporting, and analysis of JJIS data in order to provide quality program and system evaluations and forecasting for system resources and prevention and intervention strategies; and
- function as an analytical Users Group that assesses development, implementation, and interpretation of reports for consistency, accuracy, and appropriateness in the areas of program evaluation, forecasting, policy analysis, impact analysis, and trend analysis.

#### Joe Christy

Data & Evaluation Subcommittee Chair and Washington County Juvenile Department Director THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK FOR PURPOSES OF 2-SIDED PRINTING

## Introduction

This document contains three sets of statewide and county specific reports describing dispositions. The first two reports describe dispositions assigned during the reporting year and the characteristics of the juveniles receiving the dispositions. The third describes categories of offenses that were assigned dispositions during the reporting year, descriptions of the dispositions that were assigned for each offense category, and the characteristics of the juveniles that committed these offenses. Sub-totals and grand-totals are provided for each category. Each statistic is broken down by the youth's sex, age at the time of disposition, and race. The three sets of reports are:

Disposition of Youth by Demographics	The number of youth that received a disposition by the juvenile justice system during the reporting year. Youth on the Disposition of Youth report are reported in most intense disposition category imposed for that youth during the year. The youth is counted only once on the Disposition of Youth report, regardless of the number of dispositions a youth is assigned during the year. If there was more than one disposition with the same intensity, the disposition with the most recent date was selected.
Disposition of Referrals by Demographics	The number of referrals that received a disposition by the juvenile justice system during the reporting year. Referrals on the Disposition of Referrals report are reported in the most intense disposition category imposed for that referral during the year. If there was more than one disposition on the same referral with the same intensity, the disposition with the most recent date was selected.
Disposition of Referrals by Offense Category	The number of referrals that received a disposition by the juvenile justice system during the reporting year by offense category. The report includes felony, misdemeanor, non-criminal violation and dependency status offense referrals that received dispositions in the year. The offense category is the most serious offense associated with the most intense disposition on the referral, categorized according to Oregon Revised Statute (ORS), Criminal Code of Oregon, as defined by the Oregon Legislature. Severity is determined by each offense's category, type, and class. (See Appendix A.) Dispositions are reported in the most intense disposition on the referral. (See Appendix B.) If there was more than one disposition on the same referral with the same intensity, the disposition with the most recent date was selected. This report also provides demographics of the youth who received dispositions including gender, age at disposition, and race/ethnicity.

#### THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK FOR PURPOSES OF 2-SIDED PRINTING

Disposition Group	Total	%		Sex		Age (	i) Disposi	tion			Race/Eth	nicity		
2006 Dispositions of Youth by Demographics		% of Total	Females	Males	Unknown	12 and Y ounger	13 - 15	16 and Older	African American	Asian	Hispanic	Native American	Other/Unknown	White
herman														
Dismissed, Not Petitioned or Not Adjudicated														
Dismissed or No Jurisdiction	2		0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Referred to Another Agency	1		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Review and Close	1		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Divert and Close	1		1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Intake Office Contact and Close	6		0	6	0	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	3	
Plea Bargain or Alternative Process	2		0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	13		1	12	0	1	3	9	0	0	0	0	3	1
%		76.5%	7.7%	92.3	0.0	7.7%	23.1	69.2	0.0%	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.
uthorized Diversion or Other Informal Disposition														
Diversion Supervision	1		1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Formal Accountability Agreement	1		1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	(
Total	2		2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	
%		11.8%	100.0%	0.0	0.0	0.0%	50.0	50.0	0.0%	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.
djudicated Delinquent														
OYA Commitment for YCF	1		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	1		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
%		5.9%	0.0%	100.0	0.0	0.0%	100.0	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.
dult Court														
Adult Court	1		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	1		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
%		5.9%	0.0%	100.0	0.0	0.0%	0.0	100.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100
otal of Youth Dispositions for Sherman	<u> </u>			 14			5	11		- <u> </u>		0		1
		0.1%	17.6%	82.4	0.0	5.9%	29.4	64.7	0.0%	0.0	5.9	0.0	17.6	76

Disposition Group	Total	%		Sex		Age (	i) Disposi	tion			Race/Eth	nicity		
2006 Dispositions of Referrals by Demographics		% of Total	Females	Males	Unknown	12 and Younger	13 - 15	16 and Older	African American	Asian	Hispanic	Native American	Other/Unknown	White
Sherman														
Dismissed, Not Petitioned or Not Adjudicated														
Dismissed or No Jurisdiction	2		0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Referred to Another Agency	1		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Review and Close	1		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Divert and Close	1		1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Intake Office Contact and Close	6		0	6	0	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	3	3
Plea Bargain or Alternative Process	2		0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Total	13		1	12	0	1	3	9	0	0	0	0	3	10
%		76.5%	7.7%	92.3	0.0	7.7%	23.1	69.2	0.0%	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9
uthorized Diversion or Other Informal Disposition														
Diversion Supervision	1		1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Formal Accountability Agreement	1		1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Total	2		2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
%		11.8%	100.0%	0.0	0.0	0.0%	50.0	50.0	0.0%	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0
djudicated Delinquent														
OYA Commitment for YCF	1		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total	1		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
%	-	5.9%	0.0%	100.0	0.0	0.0%	100.0	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Adult Court														
Adult Court	1		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total	1		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	1	5.9%	Ŭ	100.0	0.0	0.0%	0.0	100.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<u>%</u>						0.078								
otal of Referral Dispositions for Sherman	17		3	14	0	1	5	11	0	0	1	0	3	13
/0	1	0.0%	17.6%	82.4	0.0	5.9%	29.4	64.7	0.0%	0.0	5.9	0.0	17.6	76.5

Offense Group	Total	1	Sex			Age @ ispositio	on		R	ace/Eth	nicity			I	Dismissed	, Not Pe	titioned	or Not	Adjudio	cated		0	rized D ther Inf Disposi		or		Adjudi	cated D	Delinque	ent		Adult Court
2006 Disposition of Referrals by Offense Category		Females	Males	Unknown	12 and Younger	13 - 15	16 and Older	African American	Asian	Hispanic	Native American	Other/Unknown	White	Dismissed or No Jurisdiction	Referred to Another Agency	Review & Close	Warning	Divert & Close	Intake Office Contact & close	Rejected by DA	Plea Bargain or Alternative Process	Diversion	Diversion - Youth Court	Informal Sanction(s)/Supervision	FAA	Formal Sanction	Probation	Commit/Other Agency(non-OYA)	Prob. Commit Oth. Agency (Non-OYA)	Prob. OYA Commit/Placement	OYA YCF Commit	Adult Court
Sherman <u>Criminal</u> Person Assault Sex Offense Total	3 1 4	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	3 1 4	0 0 0	1 0 1	1 0 1	1 1 2	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 1	2 1 <b>3</b>	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	2 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1
<b>%</b> <b>Property</b> Criminal Mischief Theft	5 1	<b>0</b> 1 0	% 100.0 4 1	<b>0.0</b> 0 0	25.0 0 0	<b>25.0</b> 1 1	<b>50.0</b> 4 0	<b>0.0</b> 0 0	<b>0.0</b> 0 0	<b>0.0</b> 1 0	<b>0.0</b> 0 0	25.0 0 0	<b>75.0</b> 4	<b>0.0</b> 2 0	<b>0.0</b> 0 0	25.0 0 0	0.0 0 0	<b>0.0</b> 0 0	50.0 0 0	0.0 0 0	<b>0.0</b> 2 0	0.0 0 0	<b>0.0</b> 0 0	0.0 0 0	<b>0.0</b> 1 0	<b>0.0</b> 0 0	<b>0.0</b> 0 0	0.0 0 0	0.0 0 0	0.0 0 0	0.0 0 1	25.0 0 0
Total % Public Order Harassment	6	1 16.7 0	3		0 0.0 0	2 33.3	4 66.7 2	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0	1 16.7 0	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 1	5 <b>83.3</b> 2	2 33.3 0	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 3	0 0.0 0	2 33.3 0	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0	1 16.7 0	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0	<b>0</b> <b>0.0</b> 0	0 0.0	1 16.7 0	0 0.0
Total % Criminal Other Criminal Other Total	3	0 0 0	3 % 100.0	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0	1 33.3 0	2 66.7 1	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0	1 33.3 0	2 66.7 1	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 1	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0	3 100.0 0	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0
	1 	0	$\frac{1}{100.0}$ $\frac{1}{13}$ $\frac{1}{13}$ $\frac{1}{13}$		0.0 <u>0.0</u> <u>1</u> 7.1	$\frac{0.0}{4}$ 28.6	1 100.0 9 64.3	0.0 0 0	- <u>0.0</u> - <u>0</u> -	0.0 	0.0 	$-\frac{0.0}{2}$ 14.3	100.0 11 78.6	0.0 - <u>0.0</u> - <u>2</u> 14.3	1 100.0 - <u>1</u> 7.1	0.0 - <u>0.0</u> - <u>1</u> 7.1	0.0 	0.0 0 0	$-\frac{0.0}{5}$ 35.7	0.0 0.0 0.0	$\frac{0.0}{2}$	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 	0.0 		0.0 0 0	- <u>0.0</u> 0.0	0 0.0 0 0.0	0.0 	0 0.0 1 7.1	0.0 
<u>Non-Criminal</u> Motor Vehicle Motor Vehicle Total	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% <b>Tobacco</b> Tobacco	2	2	_	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0	1 100.0 0	<b>0</b> 2	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0	0.0 0.0	1 100.0 0	<b>0.0</b>	0 0.0 0	0.0 0.0	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 1	1 100.0 0	0.0 0.0	<b>0.0</b>	0.0 1	0 0.0 0	0.0 0	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0	0.0 0	0.0 0.0	0 0.0 0	0 0.0 0	0.0 0.0	0 0.0 0
<b>Total</b> % Sherman - Report #00209sa	2	2 100.0	0 % 0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 100.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 100.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 50.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 50.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0 1

Sherman - Report #00209sa

Offense Group	Total		Sex			Age @ ispositio			F	Race/Et	hnicity			I	Dismissed	d, Not Pe	titioned	l or Not	Adiudi	cated		C	orized D Other In Disposi	formal	n or		Adjudi	cated D	elinqu	ent		Adult Court
2006 Disposition of Referrals by Offense Category		Females	Males	Unknown	12 and Younger	13 - 15	16 and Older	African American	Asian	Hispanic	Native American	Other/Unknown	White	Dismissed or No Jurisdiction	Referred to Another Agency	Review & Close	Warning	Divert & Close	Intake Office Contact & close	Rejected by DA	Plea Bargain or Alternative Process	Diversion	Diversion - Youth Court	Informal Sanction(s)/Supervision	FAA	Formal Sanction	Probation	Commit/Other Agency(non-OYA)	Prob. Commit Oth. Agency (Non-OYA)	Prob. OYA Commit/Placement	OYA YCF Commit	Adult Court
Total Non-Criminal	3	2	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>%</u>	0.0%	66.7%	33.3	0	0	33.3	66.7	0	0	0	0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Referral Disposition for Sherman %	17	3	14 82.4	0	1 5.9	5 29.4	11 64.7	0	0	1	0	3 17.6	13 76.5	2 11.8	1 5.9	1	0	1 5.9	6 35.3	0	2	1 5.9	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1 5.9
Unduplicated Count of Youth	17							v	•	0.0	v						5	0.0		v				v		v	v	v	v	v		

## Appendix A — JJIS Severity Scores

(adopted, Oregon Juvenile Department Directors' Asso	ciation, May 18, 2000)
--	------------------------

Crime Category	Class & Type	Severity Score
Person	Murder*	19
Person	A Felony	18
Person	B Felony	17
Person	C Felony	16
Person	U Felony	15
Property Other Criminal (Behavioral)	A Felony	14
Property Other Criminal (Behavioral)	B Felony	13
Property Other Criminal (Behavioral)	C Felony	12
Property Other Criminal (Behavioral)	U Felony	11
Person	A Misdemeanor	10
Person	B Misdemeanor	9
Person	C Misdemeanor	8
Person	U Misdemeanor	7
Property Other Criminal (currently named Behavioral)	A Misdemeanor	6
Property Other Criminal (currently named Behavioral)	B Misdemeanor	5
Property Other Criminal (currently named Behavioral)	C Misdemeanor	4
Property Other Criminal (currently named Behavioral)	U Misdemeanor	3
Non Criminal	Violation	2
	Status Offense	1

\* Aggravated Murder, Murder, Murder by Abuse, Murder in the Course of a Crime, Murder Intentional, Criminal Homicide, and Treason have the Type of Murder (instead of Felony), in order to obtain the highest severity score.

\*\* Inchoates:

Attempts and Solicitations = 1 Class lower Conspiracies = Same Class and Type

### Appendix B — Notes About the Information

Rounding: Percentages may not add up to 100% due to rounding.

*Statewide Youth and Referral Counts:* The statewide youth and referral counts may be less than the sum of the county counts because some youth may have received a disposition in more than one county during the year. On the county level reports, the youth and referral is counted on each appropriate county's report. However, at the statewide level the youth and referral is counted only once.

*County:* The county reported is the county responsible for assigning the youth's disposition. If the county was recorded on the disposition it was selected as the responsible county. If there was no county identified on the disposition, the original referral county was reported as the disposition county.

*Race and Ethnicity:* The JJIS Steering Committee defined Age and Race/Ethnicity reporting categories based on best practices and available data. Race and Ethnicity may be as recorded by the juvenile department based on police reports and youths' self-reporting. These reports categorize youth by the race that is recorded in JJIS unless the recorded ethnicity is Hispanic. When the recorded Ethnicity is Hispanic, the youth is categorized as Hispanic, regardless of recorded Race. There are several efforts underway in the state to standardize reporting of race and ethnicity. The categories of Race/Ethnicity used in this report may change in the future when state standards are defined.

*Disposition Categories:* These reports categorize dispositions according to a standard developed by the JJIS Data and Evaluation Committee, and modeled after national reporting standards. Detailed dispositions have been grouped into the disposition reporting categories.

	Dismissed or No Jurisdiction
	Referred to Another Agency
	Review & Close
Dismissed, Not Petitioned or Not	Warning
Adjudicated	Divert & Close
	Intake Office Contact & Close
	Rejected by DA
	Plea Bargain or Alternative Process
Authorized Diversion	Diversion Supervision
Programs	Diversion – Youth Court
or Other Informal Disposition	Informal Sanction(s)/ Supervision
Disposition	Formal Accountability Agreement
	Formal Sanction
	Probation
Adjudicated	Commit/Custody to Other Agency (Non-Youth Authority)
Delinquent	Probation and Commit/Custody to Other Agency (Non-Youth Authority)
	Probation and Youth Authority Commitment for Community Placement
	Youth Authority Commitment for Youth Correctional Facility Placement
Adult Court	Adult Court

For all reports, dispositions are grouped into categories consistent with national reporting categories as follows. Categories are listed from least to most intense level of juvenile justice intervention.

Dispositions Included on the Report:

- Dispositions that were assigned in the year. (Note: The referrals on which these dispositions have been imposed may have been received prior to the reporting year.)
- Dispositions for referrals that contain allegations of criminal offenses; violations; and dependency status offenses of runaway, beyond parental control, and behavior to endanger self or others. Dispositions for referrals for all other dependency matters and local ordinances are excluded from these reports, except as noted below.
- Disposition and Offense Categories: Dispositions are reported in the most intense disposition on the referral. The offense category is the most serious offense associated with the most intense disposition on the referral. Referral offenses may change between referral and disposition. If a referral contained a qualifying allegation that was dismissed and a local ordinance that was assigned a more intense disposition, the report will display local ordinance as the most serious offense. However, as noted above, if a referral contained only a local ordinance, it is excluded from this report.

#### Example 1 - Referral with One Offense

Referral Allegation	Most Serious Referral Allegation	Disposition	Most Intense Disposition	Most Serious Disposed Allegation
Burglary 1 - (Property)	Burglary 1 - (Property)	Probation	Probation	Burglary 1 - (Property)

#### Example 2 - Referral with Two Offenses

Referral Allegations	Most Serious Referral Allegation	Disposition	Most Intense Disposition	Most Serious Disposed Allegation
Burglary 1 - (Property)		Probation	Probation	Burglary 1 - (Property)
Assault 1 – (Person)	Assault 1 – (Person)	Dismissed		

#### Example 3 - Referral with Two Offenses and a Local Ordinance Violation

Referral Allegations	Most Serious Referral Allegation	Disposition	Most Intense Disposition	Most Serious Disposed Allegation
Assault 4 - (Person)	Assault 4 - (Person)	Dismissed		
Runaway – (Dependency Status)		Referred to Another Agency		
Nuisance Violation (Local Ordinance)		Formal Accountability Agreement	Formal Accountability Agreement	Nuisance Violation (Local Ordinance)

*Cautions:* Disposition data is challenging to present for the following reasons.

- A youth may receive more than one disposition for the same referral. Different allegations on the same referral occasionally receive different dispositions.
- A referral may be disposed of with a less intense disposition because another related referral is disposed of with a more intense disposition.
- A disposition may change over time. A disposition may be replaced with a more intense disposition following non-compliance with the initial disposition (i.e. probation violation).
- A youth may receive more intensive dispositions if he/she is a repeat offender.

These reports examine the interrelationship between different referrals, types of offenses and judicial and juvenile department dispositions. Future reports will examine the difference between how offenses are categorized at the point of referral and how offenses are categorized at the point of disposition.