

# Juvenile Justice Information System

# Data & Evaluation Reports

Detention Admission Reasons and Length of Stay (2004)

JJIS Steering Committee

JJIS Data & Evaluation Sub-Committee

JJIS – A Shared Information System

Oregon Youth Authority Oregon Juvenile Department Directors' Association







# Juvenile Justice Information System Vision & Goals

## **VISION**

To promote public safety and youth accountability, and to offer opportunities for rehabilitation to youth, through the development of a statewide juvenile justice information system that:

- Provides a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders across Oregon's state and county juvenile justice agencies;
- Provides comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the juvenile justice process;
- Provides the capacity for and aids in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime; and
- > Recognizes and supports the common needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies.

GOALS To accomplish the vision, JJIS will strive to accomplish the following goals.

Vision Statement	Goals in Support of Vision Statement
Provides a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders across Oregon's state and county juvenile justice agencies.	<ul> <li>JJIS will:</li> <li>Be a statewide juvenile justice information system that supports a partnership of the OYA, 36 county juvenile departments, and approved external partners;</li> <li>Be the primary information system used by the OYA and county juvenile departments to manage and report information about juvenile offenders in their agency; and</li> <li>Support statewide reporting.</li> </ul>
Provides comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the justice process.	<ul> <li>JJIS will:</li> <li>Support the assessment of risks and needs of juvenile offenders;</li> <li>Support individual case plans; and</li> <li>Track juveniles through the entire justice process so that individual status, program involvement, progress, and outcomes can be determined.</li> </ul>
Provides the capacity for and aids in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime.	<ul> <li>JJIS will provide data and information to:</li> <li>Develop a knowledge base about outcomes relevant to functions and programs of the juvenile justice system;</li> <li>Evaluate the benefit of programs aimed at reducing juvenile crime.</li> </ul>
Recognizes and supports the common needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies.	<ul> <li>JJIS will:</li> <li>Be developed in a cost-efficient and incremental manner;</li> <li>Be operated in an efficient manner;</li> <li>Eliminate duplicate data entry within the JJIS partnership agencies;</li> <li>Develop common data elements while maintaining integrity of information and adhering to existing state justice information system standards;</li> <li>Provide a statewide standard for entry of information into the system;</li> <li>Ensure consistent training of JJIS users;</li> <li>Maintain confidentiality and protection of information contained in JJIS;</li> <li>Be designed using the cooperative input of the JJIS partnership; and</li> <li>Be guided by the decisions and policies of the JJIS Steering Committee.</li> </ul>

# JJIS Steering Committee

The JJIS Steering Committee provides oversight to the JJIS project. It meets monthly to review the project and ensure that it is on task to accomplish the vision and goals of JJIS. The Steering Committee prioritizes the development of software features, makes policy decisions, and allocates resources to the project.

#### Karen Olson, Assistant Director

Oregon Youth Authority Business Services

#### Dave Koch, Assistant Director

Multnomah County Juvenile Community Justice Department

#### Charles Logan-Belford, Director

Umatilla County Juvenile Department

#### Tim Loewen, Director

Yamhill County Juvenile Department

#### Trish Reding, Director

Polk County Juvenile Department

#### Lisa Smith, Director

Lane County Juvenile Department

#### Jill Petersen, Manager

Oregon Youth Authority Information Systems

#### Ed Schmidt, Manager

JJIS Implementation & Training Manager

#### Cherie Lingelbach, Coordinator

JJIS Policy & Standards

#### Jann Brown

Multnomah County Juvenile Justice Department

#### Brian Florip, Assistant Director

Oregon Youth Authority Facility Operations

#### Debbie Rios, Assistant Director

Oregon Youth Authority Program Office

## Robyn Cole, Assistant Director

Oregon Youth Authority Field Operations

#### Mary Hunt

Department of Corrections

#### JJIS Data & Evaluation Subcommittee

- -- serving as a standing subcommittee of the JJIS Steering Committee to:
  - guide the development of routine publications/statistics; specific research initiatives; design, reporting, and analysis of JJIS data in order to provide quality program and system evaluations and forecasting for system resources and prevention and intervention strategies; and
  - function as an analytical Users Group that assesses development, implementation, and interpretation of reports for consistency, accuracy, and appropriateness in the areas of program evaluation, forecasting, policy analysis, impact analysis, and trend analysis.

## Joe Christy

Data & Evaluation Subcommittee Chair and Washington County Juvenile Department Director

# Introduction

In 1995 the Oregon Legislature became increasingly concerned about public safety, and the increase in the frequency and severity of juvenile crime. In response to these concerns, the Legislature created the Oregon Youth Authority as a separate state agency and acted to strengthen the juvenile justice system through the passage of Senate Bill 1. This bill required an unprecedented level of cooperation among agencies involved in the juvenile justice process.

The Legislature envisioned the juvenile justice system as a partnership among local, county, and state agencies with much of the custodial and rehabilitation effort occurring at the local and county level. The successful operation of an effective, coordinated, and integrated juvenile justice system required an infrastructure of comprehensive and timely information shared among these agencies.

This "information infrastructure" did not exist at the time the legislation was passed. It was difficult, if not impossible, for any agency to determine a youth's history with another jurisdiction within the state. Spurred by these legislative requirements the Oregon Youth Authority (OYA) and the Oregon Juvenile Department Directors' Association (OJDDA) formed a partnership to address the information system needs of the juvenile justice community in Oregon. The partnership created a steering committee to oversee the planning, development, and implementation of a statewide Juvenile Justice Information System (JJIS).

The JJIS Steering Committee's vision is that JJIS will promote public safety and youth accountability, and offer opportunities for rehabilitation to youth, by:

- Providing a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders across
   Oregon's state and county juvenile justice agencies;
- Providing comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the juvenile justice process;
- Providing the capacity for and aiding in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime; and
- Recognizing and supporting the common needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies.

# **Detention Admissions & Length of Stay**

These reports are published by the JJIS Steering Committee designed specifically to address the third statement in this JJIS vision: Aid in the overall planning, development and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime. The report is intended to communicate information not only to evaluators but also to any party interested in Oregon's juvenile justice system.

This document contains statewide and county specific reports describing admissions to and releases from Juvenile detention facilities. There are three reports for each county and statewide for each year. The reports are:

## **Detention Admission Reason by Demographics**

 A unique count of admissions to a detention facility during the year, by the reason the youth was admitted to the facility and demographic characteristic (gender, age and race/ethnicity) of the youth being admitted.

## **Detention Length of Stay by Demographics**

• For each detention release during the year, the length of time spent in the facility (length of stay) by demographic characteristic (gender, age and race/ethnicity) of the youth. (Note: The associated "admission" may have occurred in a prior reporting year.)

## **Detention Length of Stay by Admission Reason**

• For each detention release during the year, the number of days the youth spent in the facility (length of stay) by the reason the youth was admitted to the facility. (Note: The associated "admission" may have occurred in a prior reporting year.)

Each report divides Admission Reasons and Length of Stay into Pre-Adjudicatory, Post Adjudicatory, and Warrant and Other. Percentages, sub-totals and grand-totals are provided for each category.

#### Notes about the information

<u>Admissions</u>: An Admission is an entry into a detention facility during the reporting year. It is possible for one youth to have more than one admission in the reporting year.

<u>Length of Stay</u>: Length of Stay is computed by subtracting the admission date from the release date. If a youth is admitted and released on the same day, the Length of Stay is one day. Length of Stay is only computed for youth that have been released from the detention facility. The Admission may have occurred in a prior reporting year.

<u>Comparing the three reports</u>: It is not possible to compare the Admission reports to the Length of Stay reports. Admission reports are based on Admissions during the reporting year. Length of Stay reports are based on Releases during the year. Youth on the Length of Stay reports may have been admitted in a prior reporting year.

*Reporting Year*: The calendar year is used for the reporting period.

<u>County</u>: Youth are reported in the county that had jurisdiction over the youth at the time the report was produced.

<u>Race and Ethnicity</u>: The JJIS Steering committee defined Age and Race/Ethnicity reporting categories based on best practices and available data. Race and ethnicity may be as recorded by the juvenile department based on police reports and youths' self-reporting. These reports categorize youth by the race that is recorded in JJIS unless the recorded ethnicity is Hispanic. When the recorded ethnicity is Hispanic, the youth is categorized as Hispanic, regardless of recorded race. There are several efforts underway in the state to standardize reporting of race and ethnicity. The categories of Race/Ethnicity used in this report may change in the future when state standards are defined.

Note: Many county juvenile detention facilities operated Detention Based Youth Care Centers within their detention facilities. Admission statistics for Detention Based Youth Care Centers are not included with these reports.

April 2005

	Total	%		Sex			Age at A	dmission	<u>l</u>			Race/Et	hnicity		
JJIS  Detention Report - 2004 Admission Reasons by Demographics			Female	Male	Unknown	12 and Younger	13 - 15	16 - 17	18 and Older	African American	Asian	Hispanic	Native American	Other/Unknown	White
Lane County - Current Jurisdiction										,					
Pre Adjudicatory															
Mandatory Minimum Sentence Law Violation	1	0.2 %	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
New Law Violation	302	48.8 %	64	238	0	18	132	152	0	22	3	12	8	28	229
Parole Violation	15	2.4 %	0	15	0	0	1	7	7	1	0	1	0	0	13
Probation Violation	213	34.4 %	40	173	0	3	103	102	5	9	4	14	1	3	182
Runaway from Another State	8	1.3 %	7	1	0	0	2	6	0	1	0	1	1	2	3
Temporary Hold for Release Planning (36 Hour)	30	4.8 %	1	29	0	0	17	13	0	6	0	0	0	1	23
Violation of Conditional Release	50	8.1 %	10	40	0	4	26	20	0	6	0	7	0	1	36
Total Pre Adjudicatory	619		123	496	0	25	281	301	12	45	7	35	10	35	487
%			19.9 %	80.1	0.0	4.0	45.4	48.6	1.9	7.3	1.1	5.7	1.6	5.7	78.7
Pre Adjudicatory % of County Total	71.9%														
Post Adjudicatory															
Court Ordered (1-8 Day) Sanction	44	78.6 %	7	37	0	1	14	29	0	0	1	5	2	2	34
Court Ordered Extended Detention Program	10	17.9 %	1	9	0	0	4	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	9
Unauthorized Absence from OYA Close Custody	2	3.6 %	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Total Post Adjudicatory	56		8	48	0	1	20	35	0	0	1	6	2	2	45
%			14.3 %	85.7	0.0	1.8	35.7	62.5	0.0	0.0	1.8	10.7	3.6	3.6	80.4
Post Adjudicatory % of County Total	6.5%														
Warrant and Other															
Warrant	180	96.8 %	45	135	0	4	76	83	17	5	3	11	2	2	157
Other	6	3.2 %	1	5	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Total Warrant and Other	186		46	140	0	4	76	89	17	5	3	11	2	2	163
%			24.7 %	75.3	0.0	2.2	40.9	47.8	9.1	2.7	1.6	5.9	1.1	1.1	87.6
Warrant and Other % of County Total	21.6%														
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	961		177	684	0	30	377	425	29	50	11	52	14	39	695
Total Admissions for Lane	861									I					
Total Admissions for Lane %	861		20.6%	79.4	0.0	3.5	43.8	49.4	3.4	5.8%	1.3	6.0	1.6	4.5	80.7

	Total	%	Length	of Stay		Sex			Age at Ac	lmission				Race/I	Ethnicity		
JJIS  Detention Report - 2004  Length of Stay by Demographics	Total Admits	% of Admits	Days of Custody	Average LOS	Female	Male	Unknown	12 and Younger	13 - 15	16 - 17	18 and Older	African American	Asian	Hispanic	Native American	Other/Unknown	White
Lane County - Current Jurisdicti	ion	I I		I I													
Pre Adjudicatory		-  -															
1 - 3 Days	371	60.7 %	504	1.4	82	289	0	18	172	172	9	25	3	18	7	27	291
4 - 8 Days	65	10.6 %	386	5.9	20	45	0	2	27	33	3	6	1	8	1	3	46
9 - 30 Days	101	16.5 %	1795	17.8	13	88	0	5	46	50	0	7	1	7	1	3	82
31 - 59 Days	35	5.7 %	1511	43.2	4	31	0	0	15	20	0	2	1	1	0	1	30
60 - 89 Days	20	3.3 %	1411	70.6	2	18	0	0	10	10	0	1	0	1	0	0	18
90+ Days	19	3.1 %	2207	116.2	1	18	0	0	9	10	0	1	2	0	0	0	16
Total Pre Adjudicatory	611	I	7814	12.8	122	489	0	25	279	295	12	42	8	35	9	34	483
% Pre Adjudicatory % of County Total		71.3%		 	20.0 %		0.0	4.1	45.7	48.3	2.0	6.9	1.3	5.7	1.50	5.6	79.1
Post Adjudicatory		 	<b>-</b>	I I													
1 - 3 Days	36	64.3 %	71	2.0	4	32	0	1	12	23	0	0	1	2	2	1	30
4 - 8 Days	10	17.9 %	58	5.8	2	8	0	0	2	8	0	0	0	2	0	0	8
9 - 30 Days	7	12.5 %	130	18.6	2	5	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	6
31 - 59 Days	1	1.8 %	41	41.0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
60 - 89 Days	1	İ	77	77.0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
90+ Days	1	I	130	130.0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total Post Adjudicatory	56	1	507	9.1	9	47	0	1	21	34	0	0	1	6	2	1	46
%	30	I I	507	9.1	16.1 %		0.0	1.8	37.5	60.7	0.0	0.0	1.8	10.7	3.60	1.8	82.1
Post Adjudicatory % of County Total		6.5%		 	10.1 /0		0.0										02.1
Warrant and Other		I I		I I													
1 - 3 Days	74	38.9 %	117	1.6	14	60	0	0	20	39	15	2	2	5	3	0	62
4 - 8 Days	24	12.6 %	132	5.5	9	15	0	0	14	10	0	1	0	1	0	1	21
9 - 30 Days	47	24.7 %	969	20.6	11	36	0	4	26	15	2	2	0	2	1	1	41
31 - 59 Days	26	13.7 %	1079	41.5	7	19	0	0	13	13	0	0	2	2	0	0	22
60 - 89 Days	12	6.3 %	821	68.4	3	9	0	1	4	7	0	0	0	2	0	0	10
90+ Days	7	3.7 %	791	113.0	4	3	0	0	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Total Warrant and Other	190	1	3909	20.6	48	142	0	5	81	87	17	5	4	12	4	2	163
%		1 1		I I	25.3 %	74.7	0.0	2.6	42.6	45.8	8.9	2.6	2.1	6.3	2.10	1.1	85.8
Report #00220a	I	İ	I	i			I					ĺ					

Total Admissions for Lane %	857		12230	14.3	179 20.9%	678 79.1	0 0.0	31 3.6	381 44.5	416 48.5	29 3.4	47 5.5	13 1.5	53 6.2	15 1.8	37 4.3	692 80.7
Lane % of Statewide Total		7.9%			1.6%	6.2	0.0	0.3	3.5	3.8	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.3	6.4
			-														
			1														
			-														
			1														

	Total	%			Length	ı of Stay		
JJIS  Detention Report - 2004  Length of Stay by Admission Reasons			1 - 3 Days	4 - 8 Days	9 - 30 Days	31 - 59 Days	60 - 89 Days	90 + Days
Lane County - Current Jurisdiction								
Pre Adjudicatory								
Mandatory Minimum Sentence Law Violation	1	0.2 %	1	0	0	0	0	0
New Law Violation	297	48.6 %	215	17	31	20	7	7
Parole Violation	15	2.5 %	14	0	1	0	0	0
Probation Violation	211	34.5 %	89	33	55	12	11	11
Runaway from Another State	8	1.3 %	6	0	2	0	0	0
Temporary Hold for Release Planning (36 Hour)	30	4.9 %	29	0	1	0	0	0
Violation of Conditional Release	49	8.0 %	17	15	11	3	2	1
Total Pre Adjudicatory	611		371	65	101	35	20	19
%			60.7%	10.6	16.5	5.7	3.3	3.1
Pre Adjudicatory % of County Total	71.3%							
Post Adjudicatory								
Court Ordered (1-8 Day) Sanction	43	76.8 %	32	8	3	0	0	0
Court Ordered Extended Detention Program	11	19.6 %	3	2	3	1	1	1
Unauthorized Absence from OYA Close Custody	2	3.6 %	1	0	1	0	0	0
Total Post Adjudicatory	56		36	10	7	1	1	1
%			64.3%	17.9	12.5	1.8	1.8	1.8
Post Adjudicatory % of County Total	6.5%							
Warrant and Other								
Warrant	183	96.3 %	69	23	47	26	11	7
Other	7	3.7 %	5	1	0	0	1	0
Total Warrant and Other	190		74	24	47	26	12	7
%			38.9%	12.6	24.7	13.7	6.3	3.7
	22.2%		2017 70	12.0	,	1017	0.0	· · ·
Warrant and Other % of County Total			101	99	155	62	33	27
Warrant and Other % of County Total	857		481	,,				
			481 56.1%	11.6	18.1	7.2	3.9	3.2