

# Juvenile Justice Information System

# **Detention Reports**

Detention Admission Reasons and Length of Stay (2003)

JJIS Steering Committee

JJIS Data & Evaluation Sub-Committee

JJIS – A Shared Information System

Oregon Youth Authority Oregon Juvenile Department Directors' Association







# Juvenile Justice Information System

The JJIS Vision is to promote public safety and youth accountability, and to offer opportunities for rehabilitation to youth, through the development of a statewide juvenile justice information system that:

- Provides a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders across Oregon's state and county juvenile justice agencies;
- Provides comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the juvenile justice process;
- Provides the capacity for and aids in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime; and
- Recognizes and supports the common needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies.

## JJIS Steering Committee (2004)

The JJIS Steering Committee provides oversight to the JJIS project. It meets monthly to review the project and ensure that it is on task to accomplish the vision and goals of JJIS. The Steering Committee prioritizes the development of software features, makes policy decisions, and allocates resources to the project.

#### Karen Olson, Assistant Director

Oregon Youth Authority Business Services

#### Dave Koch, Assistant Director, Juvenile Services Division

Multnomah County Department of Community Justice

#### Trish Reding, Director

Polk County Juvenile Department

#### Tim Loewen, Director

Yamhill County Juvenile Department

#### Charles Logan-Belford, Director

Umatilla County Juvenile Department

#### Lisa Smith, Director

Lane County Juvenile Department

#### Brian Florip, Assistant Director

Oregon Youth Authority Facilities Operations

#### Debbie Rios, Assistant Director

Oregon Youth Authority Program Office

#### Robyn Cole, Assistant Director

Oregon Youth Authority Field Operations

#### Jann Brown, Information Technology Manager

Multnomah County Department of Community Justice

#### Jill Petersen, Information Systems Manager

Oregon Youth Authority Information Systems

#### **Ed Schmidt**

Juvenile Justice Coordinator

#### Cherie Lingelbach

JJIS Policy & Standards Coordinator

#### JJIS Data & Evaluation Subcommittee

-- serving as a standing subcommittee of the JJIS Steering Committee to:

- guide the development of routine publications/statistics; specific research initiatives; design, reporting, and analysis of JJIS data in order to provide quality program and system evaluations and forecasting for system resources and prevention and intervention strategies; and
- function as an analytical Users Group that assesses development, implementation, and interpretation of reports for consistency, accuracy, and appropriateness in the areas of program evaluation, forecasting, policy analysis, impact analysis, and trend analysis.

#### Joe Christy

Data & Evaluation Subcommittee Chair and Washington County Juvenile Department Director

## Introduction

## Contents of this report

In 1995 the Oregon Legislature became increasingly concerned about public safety, and the increase in the frequency and severity of juvenile crime. In response to these concerns, the Legislature created the Oregon Youth Authority as a separate state agency and acted to strengthen the juvenile justice system through the passage of Senate Bill 1. This bill required an unprecedented level of cooperation among agencies involved in the juvenile justice process.

The Legislature envisioned the juvenile justice system as a partnership among local, county, and state agencies with much of the custodial and rehabilitation effort occurring at the local and county level. The successful operation of an effective, coordinated, and integrated juvenile justice system required an infrastructure of comprehensive and timely information shared among these agencies.

This "information infrastructure" did not exist at the time the legislation was passed. It was difficult, if not impossible, for any agency to determine a youth's history with another jurisdiction within the state. Spurred by these legislative requirements the Oregon Youth Authority (OYA) and the Oregon Juvenile Department Directors Association (OJDDA) formed a partnership to address the information systems needs of the juvenile justice community in Oregon. The partnership created the JJIS Steering Committee as the guiding body to oversee the planning, development, and implementation of JJIS. Over the last eight years this partnership jointly created the statewide Juvenile Justice Information System (JJIS).

The JJIS Steering Committee's vision was that JJIS would promote public safety and youth accountability, and offer opportunities for rehabilitation to youth, by:

- Providing a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders across Oregon's state and county juvenile justice agencies;
- Providing comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the juvenile justice process;
- Providing the capacity for and aiding in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime; and
- Recognizing and supporting the common needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies.

This report is one in a series of reports published by the JJIS Steering Committee designed specifically to address the third statement in this vision: Aid in the overall planning, development and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime. The report is intended to communicate information not only to evaluators but also to any party interested in Oregon's juvenile justice system.

## Contents of this report

This document contains statewide and county specific reports describing admissions to and releases from Juvenile detention facilities. There are three reports for each county and statewide for each year. The reports are:

#### **Detention Admission Reasons by Demographics**

 A unique count of admissions to a detention facility during the year, by the reason the youth was admitted to the facility and demographic characteristic (gender, age and race/ethnicity) of the youth being admitted.

#### **Detention Length of Stay by Demographics**

• For each detention release during the year, the length of time spent in the facility (length of stay) by demographic characteristic (gender, age and race/ethnicity) of the youth. (Note: The associated "admission" may have occurred in a prior reporting year.)

#### **Detention Length of Stay by Admission Reason**

• For each detention release during the year, the number of days the youth spent in the facility (length of stay) by the reason the youth was admitted to the facility. (Note: The associated "admission" may have occurred in a prior reporting year.)

Each report divides Admission Reasons and Length of Stay into Pre-Adjudicatory, Post Adjudicatory and Status Unclear (it is not always possible to determine the adjudicatory status of the youth based on Admission Reason). Percentages, sub-totals and grand-totals are provided for each category.

#### Notes about the information

<u>Admissions</u>: An Admission is an entry into a detention facility during the reporting year. It is possible for one youth to have more than one admission in the reporting year.

<u>Length of Stay</u>: Length of Stay is computed by subtracting the admission date from the release date. If a youth is admitted and released on the same day, the Length of Stay is one day. Length of Stay is only computed for youth that have been released from the detention facility. The Admission may have occurred in a prior reporting year.

<u>Comparing the Three Reports</u>: It is not possible to compare the Admission reports to the Length of Stay reports. Admission reports are based on Admissions during the reporting year. Length of Stay reports are based on Releases during the year. Youth on the Length of Stay reports may have been admitted in a prior reporting year.

Reporting Year: The calendar year is used for the reporting period.

*County:* Youth are reported in the county that had jurisdiction over the youth at the time the report was produced.

<u>Race and Ethnicity</u>: The JJIS Steering committee defined age and Race/Ethnicity reporting categories based on best practices and available data. Race is recorded as reported by the youth or law enforcement unless the reported ethnicity was Hispanic – in which case the youth is categorized as Hispanic. Note, there are several efforts underway in the state to standardize reporting of race and ethnicity. The categories of Race/Ethnicity used in this report may change in the future when state standards are defined.

Note: Many county juvenile detention facilities operated Detention Based Youth Care Centers within their detention facilities. Admission statistics for Detention Based Youth Care Centers are not included with these reports.

June 2004

Report #00216a

Admission Reason	Total	%		Sex		1	Age at Ad	lmission				Race/Eth	nicity		
			Female	Male	Unknown	12 and Younger	13 - 15	16 - 17	18 and Older	African American	Asian	Hispanic	Native American	Other/Unknown	White
Lake County - Current Jurisdiction															
Pre Adjudicatory New Law Violation Parole Violation	6 1	66.7% 11.1%	1	5 1	0	0	1	5 1	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	1	5 1
Probation Violation	2	22.2%	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Total Pre Adjudicatory %	9		1 11.1%	8 88.9	0 0.0	0.0	1 11.1	8 88.9	0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0 0.0	0.0	1 11.1	8 88.9
Pre Adjudicatory % of County Total	36.0%					<u> </u>									
Post Adjudicatory Court Ordered (1-8 Day) Sanction	5	100.0%	0	5	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	4
Total Post Adjudicatory %	5		0 0 %	5 100.0	0.0	1 20.0	1 20.0	3 60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1 20.0	0.0	0.0	4 80.0
Post Adjudicatory % of County Total	20.0%					<u> </u>									
Pre or Post (Status Unclear)  Warrant Other	3 8	27.3% 72.7%	0	3	0	0	0	2 8	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
Total Pre or Post (Status Unclear)	11	12.170	0	11	0	0	0	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	11
% Pre or Post (Status Unclear) % of County Total	44.0%		0 %	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	90.9	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Total Admissions for Lake	25		1	24	0	1	2	21	1	0	0	1	0	1	23
%			4.0%	96.0	0.0	4.0	8.0	84.0	4.0	0.0%	0.0	4.0	0.0	4.0	92.0
Lake % of Statewide Total	0.2%		0.0%	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2

1 of 1 Lake

% of Admits  55.6% 33.3% 11.1%  20.0% 60.0% 20.0%	Days of Custody  10 16 120 146  2 65 41 108	5.3 120.0 <b>16.2</b> 2.0 21.7 41.0	1 0 0 111.1% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 3 1 8 88.9	O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	12 and Younger 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0.0 0 0 0 0	5 3 1 9 100.0 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	African American 0.0 0.0 0.0	900 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Hispanic 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	O O O	77.8
33.3% 11.1% 36.0% 20.0% 60.0% 20.0%	16 120 146 2 65 41	5.3 120.0 <b>16.2</b> 2.0 21.7 41.0	1 0 0 1 11.1% 0 0	4 3 1 8 88.9 1 3 1	0 0 0 0 0.0 -	0 0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0.0 	3 1 9 100.0 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	0 0 0 0.0 	0 0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0.0 0.0	0 1 1 11.10 0 0	0 0 1 11.1 0 0	77.8
33.3% 11.1% 36.0% 20.0% 60.0% 20.0%	16 120 146 2 65 41	5.3 120.0 <b>16.2</b> 2.0 21.7 41.0	0 0 1 11.1% — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	3 1 8 88.9 1 3 1	0 0 0 0.0 	0 0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0.0 	3 1 9 100.0 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	0 0 0 0.0 	0 0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0.0 0.0	0 1 1 11.10 0 0	0 0 1 11.1 0 0	77.: - —
33.3% 11.1% 36.0% 20.0% 60.0% 20.0%	16 120 146 2 65 41	5.3 120.0 <b>16.2</b> 2.0 21.7 41.0	0 0 1 11.1% — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	3 1 8 88.9 1 3 1	0 0 0 0.0 	0 0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0.0 	3 1 9 100.0 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	0 0 0 0.0 	0 0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0.0 0.0	0 1 1 11.10 0 0	0 0 1 11.1 0 0	77.: - —
36.0% 20.0% 60.0% 20.0%	120 146 2 65 41	5.3 120.0 <b>16.2</b> 2.0 21.7 41.0	0 1 11.1% — 0 0 0	1 8 88.9 1 3 1	0 0.0 0.0 0 0	0 0.0 0.0 0	0 0 0.0 — — 0 1	1 9 100.0 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	0 0 0.0 	0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0.0 	0 0 0.0 0 1	1 11.10 0 0	0 1 11.1 0 0	77.: - —
20.0% 60.0% 20.0%	2 65 41	2.0 21.7 41.0	1 11.1% — 0 0 0	8 88.9 — — — — — — 1 3 1	0 0.0 0 0 0	0 0.0	0 0.0 — — 0 1	9 100.0 — 1 2	0 0.0 	0 0.0	0 0.0 	0 0.0 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	1 11.10 — — — — 0 0	1 11.1 0 0	77. <b>:</b>
20.0% 60.0% 20.0%	2 65 41	2.0 21.7 41.0	11.1% 	88.9 	0.0 0 0 0	0.0 	0.0 	100.0 —	0.0	0.0 0 0	0.0 0 0	0.0	11.10 	11.1 0 0	77.8
20.0% 60.0% 20.0%	65 41	2.0 21.7 41.0	0 0 0	1 3 1	0 0 0	0			0 0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	- —
20.0% 60.0% 20.0%	65 41	21.7 41.0	0	3	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	
60.0%	65 41	21.7 41.0	0	3	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	
60.0%	65 41	21.7 41.0	0	3	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	
20.0%	41	41.0	0	1	0		•					_			
		1				1	0	0	0	0	_	0	0	0	
20.0%	108	21.6	0	5	Δ.			Ü		0	0	U	0		
20.0%		i			υį	1	1	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	
20.0%		:	0 %	100.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.00	0.0	80.0
		<u> </u>													
		1 1 1													
36.4%	8	2.0	0	4	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	
63.6%	38	5.4	0	7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	46	4.2	0	11	0	0	0	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
		1 1 1	0 %	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	90.9	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	100.0
44.0%															
	300	12.0	1 4.0%	24 96.0	0.0	1 4.0	1 4.0	22 88.0	1 4.0	0.0	0.0	1 4.0	1 4.0	1 4.0	88.0
0.2%		1 1 1 1 1	0.0%	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
44	.0%	.0% 38	38 5.4 46 4.2 .0% 300 12.0	38 5.4 0 46 4.2 0 0 % 300 12.0 1 4.0%	38 5.4 0 7 46 4.2 0 11 0 % 100.0  300 12.0 1 24 4.0% 96.0	38 5.4 0 7 0 46 4.2 0 11 0 0 % 100.0 0.0 300 12.0 1 24 0 4.0% 96.0 0.0	38     5.4     0     7     0     0       46     4.2     0     11     0     0       0 %     100.0     0.0     0.0       300     12.0     1     24     0     1       4.0%     96.0     0.0     4.0	38     5.4     0     7     0     0     0       46     4.2     0     11     0     0     0     0       .0%     0     100.0     0.0     0.0     0.0     0.0       300     12.0     1     24     0     1     1     1       4.0%     96.0     0.0     4.0     4.0	38     5.4     0     7     0     0     0     7       46     4.2     0     11     0     0     0     0     10       0%     100.0     0.0     0.0     0.0     0.0     90.9   300 12.0 1 24 0 1 1 1 22 4.0% 96.0 0.0 4.0 4.0 88.0	38     5.4     0     7     0     0     0     7     0       46     4.2     0     11     0     0     0     10     1       .0%     100.0     0.0     0.0     0.0     90.9     9.1       300     12.0     1     24     0     1     1     22     1       4.0%     96.0     0.0     4.0     4.0     88.0     4.0	38     5.4     0     7     0     0     0     7     0     0       46     4.2     0     11     0     0     0     10     1     0       .0%     0     0     0.0     0.0     0.0     90.9     9.1     0.0       300     12.0     1     24     0     1     1     22     1     0       4.0%     96.0     0.0     4.0     4.0     88.0     4.0     0.0	38     5.4     0     7     0     0     0     7     0     0     0       46     4.2     0     11     0     0     0     10     1     0     0     0       .0%     0     0     0.0     0.0     0.0     90.9     9.1     0.0     0.0       300     12.0     1     24     0     1     1     22     1     0     0       4.0%     96.0     0.0     4.0     4.0     88.0     4.0     0.0     0.0	38       5.4       0       7       0       0       0       7       0	38       5.4       0       7       0	38       5.4       0       7       0

Report #00220a

1 of 1 Lake

# Lake County Detention Length of Stay by Admission Reason 2003

Admission Reason	Total	%			Length	of Stay		
			1 - 3 Days	4 - 8 Days	9 - 30 Days	31 - 59 Days	60 - 89 Days	90 + Days
Lake County - Current Jurisdiction								
Pre Adjudicatory								
Mandatory Minimum Sentence Law Violation	1	11.1%	0	0	0	0	0	1
New Law Violation	5	55.6%	2	3	0	0	0	0
Parole Violation	1	11.1%	1	0	0	0	0	0
Probation Violation	2	22.2%	2	0	0	0	0	0
Total Pre Adjudicatory	9		5	3	0	0	0	1
%			55.6%	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1
Pre Adjudicatory % of County Total	36.0%							
Post Adjudicatory								
Court Ordered (1-8 Day) Sanction	5	100.0%	1	0	3	1	0	0
Total Post Adjudicatory	5		1	0	3	1	0	0
%			20.0%	0.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
Post Adjudicatory % of County Total	20.0%							
Pre or Post (Status Unclear)	+							
Warrant	3	27.3%	2	1	0	0	0	0
Other	8	72.7%	2	6	0	0	0	0
Total Pre or Post (Status Unclear)	11		4	7	0	0	0	0
9/0			36.4%	63.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Pre or Post (Status Unclear) % of County Total	44.0%							
			10	10				
%			40.0%	40.0	12.0	4.0	0.0	4.0
Lake % of Statewide Total	0.2%		0.1%	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
eport #00221a								1 La