



Juvenile Justice Information System

Detention Reports Detention Admission Reasons and Length of Stay (2002)

JJIS Steering Committee
JJIS Data & Evaluation Sub-Committee

JJIS – A Shared Information System

Oregon Youth Authority
Oregon Juvenile Department Directors' Association





Juvenile Justice Information System

The JJIS Vision is to promote public safety and youth accountability, and to offer opportunities for rehabilitation to youth, through the development of a statewide juvenile justice information system that:

- Provides a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders across Oregon's state and county juvenile justice agencies;
 - Provides comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the juvenile justice process;
 - Provides the capacity for and aids in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime; and
 - Recognizes and supports the common needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies.
-

JJIS Steering Committee (2004)

The JJIS Steering Committee provides oversight to the JJIS project. It meets monthly to review the project and ensure that it is on task to accomplish the vision and goals of JJIS. The Steering Committee prioritizes the development of software features, makes policy decisions, and allocates resources to the project.

Karen Olson, Assistant Director

Oregon Youth Authority Business Services

Dave Koch, Assistant Director, Juvenile Services Division

Multnomah County Department of Community Justice

Trish Reding, Director

Polk County Juvenile Department

Tim Loewen, Director

Yamhill County Juvenile Department

Charles Logan-Belford, Director

Umatilla County Juvenile Department

Lisa Smith, Director

Lane County Juvenile Department

Brian Florip, Assistant Director

Oregon Youth Authority Facilities Operations

Debbie Rios, Assistant Director

Oregon Youth Authority Program Office

Robyn Cole, Assistant Director

Oregon Youth Authority Field Operations

Jann Brown, Information Technology Manager

Multnomah County Department of Community Justice

Jill Petersen, Information Systems Manager

Oregon Youth Authority Information Systems

Ed Schmidt

Juvenile Justice Coordinator

Cherie Lingelbach

JJIS Policy & Standards Coordinator

JJIS Data & Evaluation Subcommittee

-- serving as a standing subcommittee of the JJIS Steering Committee to:

- guide the development of routine publications/statistics; specific research initiatives; design, reporting, and analysis of JJIS data in order to provide quality program and system evaluations and forecasting for system resources and prevention and intervention strategies; and
- function as an analytical Users Group that assesses development, implementation, and interpretation of reports for consistency, accuracy, and appropriateness in the areas of program evaluation, forecasting, policy analysis, impact analysis, and trend analysis.

Joe Christy

Data & Evaluation Subcommittee Chair
and Washington County
Juvenile Department Director

Introduction

Purpose of this report

In 1995 the Oregon Legislature became increasingly concerned about public safety, and the increase in the frequency and severity of juvenile crime. In response to these concerns, the Legislature created the Oregon Youth Authority as a separate state agency and acted to strengthen the juvenile justice system through the passage of Senate Bill 1, and Ballot Measure 11. This legislation mandated that juveniles committing serious crimes be treated as adults. It further required an unprecedented level of cooperation among agencies involved in the juvenile justice process.

The Legislature envisioned the juvenile justice system as a partnership among local, county, and state agencies with much of the custodial and rehabilitation effort occurring at the local and county level. The successful operation of an effective, coordinated, and integrated juvenile justice system required an infrastructure of comprehensive and timely information shared among these agencies.

This “information infrastructure” did not exist at the time the legislation was passed. It was difficult, if not impossible, for any agency to determine a youth’s history with another jurisdiction within the state. Spurred by these legislative requirements the Oregon Youth Authority (OYA) and the Oregon Juvenile Department Directors Association (OJDDA) formed a partnership to address the information systems needs of the juvenile justice community in Oregon. The partnership created the JJIS Steering Committee as the guiding body to oversee the planning, development, and implementation of JJIS. Over the last seven years this partnership jointly created the statewide Juvenile Justice Information System (JJIS).

The JJIS Steering Committee’s vision was that JJIS would promote public safety and youth accountability, and offer opportunities for rehabilitation to youth, by:

- Providing a single comprehensive view of information about juveniles across state, county and local agencies;
- Aiding in the overall planning, development and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime; and
- Supporting comprehensive case management, planning and evaluation of juveniles involved in the justice process in support of each agency's mission and mandate.

This report is one in a series of reports published by the JJIS Steering Committee designed specifically to address the second statement in this vision: Aid in the overall planning, development and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime. The report is intended to communicate information not only to evaluators but also to any party interested in Oregon’s juvenile justice system.

Contents of this report

This document contains statewide and county specific reports describing admissions to and releases from Juvenile detention facilities. There are three reports for each county and statewide for the reporting year 2002. The reports are:

Detention Admission Reasons by Demographics

- A unique count of admissions to a detention facility during the year, by the reason the youth was admitted to the facility and demographic characteristic (gender, age and race/ethnicity) of the youth being admitted.

Detention Length of Stay by Demographics

- For each detention release during the year, the length of time spent in the facility (length of stay) by demographic characteristic (gender, age and race/ethnicity) of the youth. (Note: The associated "admission" may have occurred in a prior reporting year.)

Detention Length of Stay by Admission Reason

- For each detention release during the year, the number of days spent in the facility (length of stay) by the reason the youth was admitted to the facility. (Note: The associated "admission" may have occurred in a prior reporting year.)

Each report divides Admission Reasons and Length of Stay into Pre-Adjudicatory, Post Adjudicatory and Status Unclear (it is not always possible to determine the adjudicatory status of the youth based on Admission Reason). Percentages, sub-totals and grand-totals are provided for each category.

Notes about the information

Admissions: An Admission is an entry into a detention facility during the reporting year. It is possible for one youth to have more than one admission in the reporting year.

Length of Stay: Length of Stay is computed by subtracting the admission date from the release date. If a youth is admitted and released on the same day, the Length of Stay is one day. Length of Stay is only computed for youth that have been released from the detention facility. The Admission may have occurred in a prior reporting year..

Comparing the Three Reports: It is not possible to compare the Admission reports to the Length of Stay reports. Admission reports are based on Admissions during the reporting year. Length of Stay reports are based on Releases during the year. Youth on the Length of Stay reports may have been admitted in a prior reporting year.

Reporting Year: The calendar year is used for the reporting period.

County: Youth are reported in the county that had jurisdiction over the youth at the time the report was produced.

Race and Ethnicity: The JJIS Steering Committee defined Age and Race/Ethnicity reporting categories based on best practices and available data. Race is recorded as reported by the youth or law enforcement unless the reported ethnicity was Hispanic – in which case the youth is categorized as Hispanic. Note, there are several efforts underway in the state to standardize reporting of race and ethnicity. The categories of Race/Ethnicity used in this report may change in the future when state standards are defined.

Note: Many county juvenile detention facilities operated Detention Based Youth Care Centers within their detention facilities. Admission statistics for Detention Based Youth Care Centers are not included with these reports.

July 2003

(June 2004 -- The Data and Evaluation Committee made decisions that changed the reporting criteria for 2003 data. The 2002 reports have been re-published for consistency.)

Lake

Detention Admission Reasons by Demographics

| Admission Reason | Total | % | Gender | | | Age | | | Race/Eth. | | | | | |
|---|-----------|---------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------------|-----------|--------------|-----------------|----------|------------------|-----------|----------|---------------|
| | | | Male | Female | Unknown | 12 and Younger | 13-15 | 16 and Older | Native American | Asian | African American | White | Hispanic | Other/Unknown |
| Pre Adjudicatory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Temporary Hold for Release Planning (36 Hour Hold) | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mandatory Minimum Sentence Law Violation (BM 11) | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| New Law Violation | 3 | 50.0% | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Runaway Out of State | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parole Violation | 1 | 16.7% | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Probation Violation | 1 | 16.7% | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Traffic Court\Blanket Waiver | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Violation of Conditional Release | 1 | 16.7% | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| % | | | 100.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 66.7% | 33.3% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 100.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Total | 6 | 100.0% | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Post Adjudicatory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Court Ordered Sanction (1-8 Day) | 1 | 100.0% | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Court Ordered Authorized Extended Detention Program | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pending Placement | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unauthorized Absence from OYA Close Custody | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| % | | | 100.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 100.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 100.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Total | 1 | 100.0% | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Pre or Post (Status Unclear) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Waived | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Warrant | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 28 | 100.0% | 25 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 1 |
| % | | | 89.3% | 10.7% | 0.0% | 14.3% | 21.4% | 64.3% | 10.7% | 0.0% | 7.1% | 78.6% | 0.0% | 3.6% |
| Total | 28 | 100.0% | 25 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 1 |
| Grand Total | 35 | 100.0% | 32 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 29 | 0 | 1 |

Lake County

Detention Length of Stay by Demographics 2002

| Admission Reason | Total | | Length of Stay | | Sex | | | Age at Admission | | | | Race/Ethnicity | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------|
| | Total Admits | % of Admits | Days of Custody | Average LOS | Female | Male | Unknown | 12 and Younger | 13 - 15 | 16 - 17 | 18 and Older | African American | Asian | Hispanic | Native American | Other/Unknown | White |
| Lake County - Current Jurisdiction | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pre Adjudicatory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 - 3 Days | 3 | 42.9% | 6 | 2.0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 4 - 8 Days | 3 | 42.9% | 17 | 5.7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 9 - 30 Days | 1 | 14.3% | 24 | 24.0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total Pre Adjudicatory | 7 | | 47 | 6.7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| % | | | | | 0 % | 100.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 57.1 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 100.0 | |
| Pre Adjudicatory % of County Total | | 19.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post Adjudicatory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 - 8 Days | 1 | 100.0% | 7 | 7.0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total Post Adjudicatory | 1 | | 7 | 7.0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| % | | | | | 0 % | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 100.0 | |
| Post Adjudicatory % of County Total | | 2.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pre or Post (Status Unclear) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 - 3 Days | 10 | 35.7% | 21 | 2.1 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| 4 - 8 Days | 10 | 35.7% | 71 | 7.1 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 9 - 30 Days | 4 | 14.3% | 87 | 21.8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 31 - 59 Days | 3 | 10.7% | 132 | 44.0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 60 - 89 Days | 1 | 3.6% | 77 | 77.0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Pre or Post (Status Unclear) | 28 | | 388 | 13.9 | 3 | 25 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 23 |
| % | | | | | 10.7% | 89.3 | 0.0 | 10.7 | 28.6 | 60.7 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.10 | 3.6 | 82.1 |
| Pre or Post (Status Unclear) % of County Total | | 77.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Admissions for Lake % | 36 | | 442 | 12.3 | 3 | 33 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 20 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 31 |
| | | | | | 8.3% | 91.7 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 33.3 | 55.6 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 2.8 | 86.1 |
| Lake % of Statewide Total | | 0.3% | | | 0.0% | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |

Lake County

Detention Length of Stay by Admission Reason 2002

| Admission Reason | Total | % | Length of Stay | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------|----------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| | | | 1 - 3 Days | 4 - 8 Days | 9 - 30 Days | 31 - 59 Days | 60 - 89 Days | 90 + Days |
| <u>Lake County - Current Jurisdiction</u> | | | | | | | | |
| Pre Adjudicatory | | | | | | | | |
| New Law Violation | 4 | 57.1% | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parole Violation | 1 | 14.3% | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Probation Violation | 1 | 14.3% | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Violation of Conditional Release | 1 | 14.3% | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Pre Adjudicatory | 7 | | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| % | | | 42.9% | 42.9 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Pre Adjudicatory % of County Total | 19.4% | | | | | | | |
| Post Adjudicatory | | | | | | | | |
| Court Ordered (1-8 Day) Sanction | 1 | 100.0% | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Post Adjudicatory | 1 | | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| % | | | 0.0% | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Post Adjudicatory % of County Total | 2.8% | | | | | | | |
| Pre or Post (Status Unclear) | | | | | | | | |
| Other | 28 | 100.0% | 10 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| Total Pre or Post (Status Unclear) | 28 | | 10 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| % | | | 35.7% | 35.7 | 14.3 | 10.7 | 3.6 | 0.0 |
| Pre or Post (Status Unclear) % of County Total | 77.8% | | | | | | | |
| Total Admissions for Lake | 36 | | 13 | 14 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| % | | | 36.1% | 38.9 | 13.9 | 8.3 | 2.8 | 0.0 |
| Lake % of Statewide Total | 0.3% | | 0.1% | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |