



# Juvenile Justice Information System

## Data & Evaluation Reports Juvenile Recidivism by County (2003)

JJIS Steering Committee  
JJIS Data & Evaluation Sub-Committee

*JJIS – A Shared Information System*

Oregon Youth Authority  
Oregon Juvenile Department Directors' Association



---

This page intentionally left blank for printing purposes.

---



# Juvenile Justice Information System Vision & Goals

## VISION

To promote public safety and youth accountability, and to offer opportunities for rehabilitation to youth, through the development of a statewide juvenile justice information system that:

- Provides a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders across Oregon's state and county juvenile justice agencies;
- Provides comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the juvenile justice process;
- Provides the capacity for and aids in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime; and
- Recognizes and supports the common needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies.

**GOALS** To accomplish the vision, JJIS will strive to accomplish the following goals.

Vision Statement	Goals in Support of Vision Statement
Provides a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders across Oregon's state and county juvenile justice agencies.	JJIS will: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Be a statewide juvenile justice information system that supports a partnership of the OYA, 36 county juvenile departments, and approved external partners;</li> <li>• Be the primary information system used by the OYA and county juvenile departments to manage and report information about juvenile offenders in their agency; and</li> <li>• Support statewide reporting.</li> </ul>
Provides comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the justice process.	JJIS will: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support the assessment of risks and needs of juvenile offenders;</li> <li>• Support individual case plans; and</li> <li>• Track juveniles through the entire justice process so that individual status, program involvement, progress, and outcomes can be determined.</li> </ul>
Provides the capacity for and aids in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime.	JJIS will provide data and information to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop a knowledge base about outcomes relevant to functions and programs of the juvenile justice system;</li> <li>• Evaluate the benefit of programs aimed at reducing juvenile crime.</li> </ul>
Recognizes and supports the common needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies.	JJIS will: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Be developed in a cost-efficient and incremental manner;</li> <li>• Be operated in an efficient manner;</li> <li>• Eliminate duplicate data entry within the JJIS partnership agencies;</li> <li>• Develop common data elements while maintaining integrity of information and adhering to existing state justice information system standards;</li> <li>• Provide a statewide standard for entry of information into the system;</li> <li>• Ensure consistent training of JJIS users;</li> <li>• Maintain confidentiality and protection of information contained in JJIS;</li> <li>• Be designed using the cooperative input of the JJIS partnership; and</li> <li>• Be guided by the decisions and policies of the JJIS Steering Committee.</li> </ul>

## JJIS Steering Committee

The JJIS Steering Committee provides oversight to the JJIS project. It meets monthly to review the project and ensure that it is on task to accomplish the vision and goals of JJIS. The Steering Committee prioritizes the development of software features, makes policy decisions, and allocates resources to the project.

**Karen Olson, Assistant Director**

Oregon Youth Authority Business Services

**Dave Koch, Assistant Director**

Multnomah County Department of Community Justice

**Charles Logan-Belford, Director**

Umatilla County Juvenile Department

**Tim Loewen, Director**

Yamhill County Juvenile Department

**Trish Reding, Director**

Polk County Juvenile Department

**Lisa Smith, Director**

Lane County Juvenile Department

**Jill Petersen, Manager**

Oregon Youth Authority Information Systems

**Ed Schmidt, Manager**

JJIS Implementation & Training Manager

**Cherie Lingelbach, Coordinator**

JJIS Policy & Standards

**Jann Brown, Manager**

Multnomah County Department of Community Justice

**Brian Florip, Assistant Director**

Oregon Youth Authority Facility Operations

**Debbie Rios, Assistant Director**

Oregon Youth Authority Program Office

**Robyn Cole, Assistant Director**

Oregon Youth Authority Field Operations

**Mary Hunt**

Department of Corrections

### JJIS Data & Evaluation Subcommittee

– serving as a standing subcommittee of the JJIS Steering Committee to:

- guide the development of routine publications/statistics; specific research initiatives; design, reporting, and analysis of JJIS data in order to provide quality program and system evaluations and forecasting for system resources and prevention and intervention strategies; and
- function as an analytical Users Group that assesses development, implementation, and interpretation of reports for consistency, accuracy, and appropriateness in the areas of program evaluation, forecasting, policy analysis, impact analysis, and trend analysis.

**Joe Christy**

Data & Evaluation Subcommittee Chair  
and Washington County  
Juvenile Department Director

---

## Introduction

In 1995 the Oregon Legislature became increasingly concerned about public safety, and the increase in the frequency and severity of juvenile crime. In response to these concerns, the Legislature created the Oregon Youth Authority as a separate state agency and acted to strengthen the juvenile justice system through the passage of Senate Bill 1. This bill required an unprecedented level of cooperation among agencies involved in the juvenile justice process.

The Legislature envisioned the juvenile justice system as a partnership among local, county, and state agencies with much of the custodial and rehabilitation effort occurring at the local and county level. The successful operation of an effective, coordinated, and integrated juvenile justice system required an infrastructure of comprehensive and timely information shared among these agencies.

This “information infrastructure” did not exist at the time the legislation was passed. It was difficult, if not impossible, for any agency to determine a youth’s history with another jurisdiction within the state. Spurred by these legislative requirements the Oregon Youth Authority (OYA) and the Oregon Juvenile Department Directors’ Association (OJDDA) formed a partnership to address the information system needs of the juvenile justice community in Oregon. The partnership created a steering committee to oversee the planning, development, and implementation of a statewide Juvenile Justice Information System (JJIS).

The JJIS Steering Committee’s vision is that JJIS will promote public safety and youth accountability, and offer opportunities for rehabilitation to youth, by:

- Providing a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders across Oregon’s state and county juvenile justice agencies;
  - Providing comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the juvenile justice process;
  - Providing the capacity for and aiding in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime; and
  - Recognizing and supporting the common needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies.
-

---

## Purpose of this report

When the Oregon Legislature created the Oregon Youth Authority in 1995, it required that the juvenile justice community adopt a recidivism definition and statewide reporting system as a way to assess progress of juvenile crime reduction and prevention efforts. This report is published in response to that mandate.

### **Definition of recidivism for this report:**

*“As a measure of public safety, recidivism is defined as a new criminal referral.*

*A criminal referral is a law enforcement report to a juvenile department alleging one or more felony or misdemeanor acts (offenses).”*

## Contents of this report

This report contains statewide and county-specific measures of recidivism for 2003 based on the measurement of criminal activity as a gauge of community safety. The report selects youth that had a criminal referral in 2003 and tracks them for the following 12 months. In order to track all youth who had a referral in 2003 for a full 12-month period, the report requires 2004 referral data to be complete.

The report sorts the results into two primary groups - No Subsequent Referrals and Subsequent Referrals. The report further divides the Subsequent Referral data into two groups: youth with 1-2 Subsequent Referrals and youth with 3 or more Subsequent Referrals. Chronic Recidivism is defined as having 3 or more subsequent referrals within the 12 month tracking period. Percentages for each count are also displayed.

The statewide totals are at the bottom of the page in the same groups.

Additional data are provided on separate pages for each demographical Sex and Race/Ethnicity Reporting category.

---

---

## Notes about the information

Counts: Data is grouped by the county where the referral originated. The report counts the total number of youth that had a criminal referral originating in that county in the reporting year. The report sorts the results into two primary groups:

- **No Subsequent Referrals** – youth that had no subsequent referrals during the following 12 months; and
- **Subsequent Referrals** – youth that had one or more subsequent referrals during the following 12 months. This group is further divided into two groups:
  - youth with 1-2 Subsequent Referrals;
  - youth with 3 or more Subsequent Referrals.

Comparison to Year End Referral Reports: Referral counts for these reports are based on criminal referrals in 2003 and subsequent criminal referrals during the following 12 months. The year end Youth and Referral reports include non-criminal referrals. After controlling for criminal referrals only, the referral counts may be slightly different from the 2003 Referral reports because new data entry may have occurred since publication of the 2003 Referral reports.

Percentages: Percentages may not add up to 100% because of rounding.

Race and Ethnicity: The JJIS Steering Committee defined Age and Race/Ethnicity reporting categories based on best practices and available data. Race and Ethnicity may be as recorded by the juvenile department based on police reports and youths' self-reporting. These reports categorize youth by the race that is recorded in JJIS unless the recorded ethnicity is Hispanic. When the recorded Ethnicity is Hispanic, the youth is categorized as Hispanic, regardless of recorded Race.

### Findings and Analysis

- Total statewide offenders in 2003 are 18,399
- The statewide recidivism rate is 32.0%
- The statewide chronic recidivism rate is 6.8%

Further analysis of the year end recidivism data, longer tracking periods, and trends over time may be provided by OYA's Program Office, the Oregon Juvenile Department Directors' Association, and local juvenile departments.

---

---

*Cautions about the Data:* Readers are cautioned against making gross comparisons between counties. Many factors can influence recidivism rates including the size of the county (small numbers can vary significantly), local laws and practices, and local law enforcement resources.

Further caution is advised with respect to comparing findings of this report to data from years prior to 2001. Early data was a compilation of independent data systems where youth could not be uniquely identified as they moved between counties. Not all counties were able to participate. Additionally, prior to 2001, recidivism was computed based on the total number of criminal “offenses” potentially inflating the chronic recidivism rates. This 2003 report includes data from all 36 counties and computes recidivism based on criminal referrals rather than total offenses, providing a more accurate indication of the chronic recidivism rate.

April 2005

---



2003 Juvenile Recidivism All Juvenile Offenders By County		No Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals			
						1 to 2		3 or More (chronic)	
						Youth	%	Youth	%
County	Youth	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%
Baker	125	82	65.6%	43	34.4%	40	32.0%	3	2.4%
Benton	254	180	70.9%	74	29.1%	65	25.6%	9	3.5%
Clackamas	1,340	1,012	75.5%	328	24.5%	289	21.6%	39	2.9%
Clatsop	291	196	67.4%	95	32.6%	71	24.4%	24	8.2%
Columbia	330	240	72.7%	90	27.3%	72	21.8%	18	5.5%
Coos	313	210	67.1%	103	32.9%	85	27.2%	18	5.8%
Crook	186	104	55.9%	82	44.1%	65	34.9%	17	9.1%
Curry	144	92	63.9%	52	36.1%	45	31.3%	7	4.9%
Deschutes	934	660	70.7%	274	29.3%	219	23.4%	55	5.9%
Douglas	749	534	71.3%	215	28.7%	169	22.6%	46	6.1%
Gilliam	3	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%
Grant	35	21	60.0%	14	40.0%	11	31.4%	3	8.6%
Harney	53	41	77.4%	12	22.6%	10	18.9%	2	3.8%
Hood River	157	120	76.4%	37	23.6%	33	21.0%	4	2.5%
Jackson	1,422	934	65.7%	488	34.3%	365	25.7%	123	8.6%
Jefferson	224	160	71.4%	64	28.6%	62	27.7%	2	0.9%
Josephine	373	266	71.3%	107	28.7%	95	25.5%	12	3.2%
Klamath	450	298	66.2%	152	33.8%	126	28.0%	26	5.8%
Lake	41	28	68.3%	13	31.7%	11	26.8%	2	4.9%
Lane	1,535	1,046	68.1%	489	31.9%	377	24.6%	112	7.3%
Lincoln	250	170	68.0%	80	32.0%	57	22.8%	23	9.2%
Linn	600	419	69.8%	181	30.2%	149	24.8%	32	5.3%
Malheur	388	248	63.9%	140	36.1%	103	26.5%	37	9.5%
Marion	1,885	1,233	65.4%	652	34.6%	514	27.3%	138	7.3%
Morrow	104	74	71.2%	30	28.8%	24	23.1%	6	5.8%
Multnomah	2,738	1,728	63.1%	1,010	36.9%	739	27.0%	271	9.9%
Out of State	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Polk	422	273	64.7%	149	35.3%	116	27.5%	33	7.8%
Sherman	10	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	3	30.0%	1	10.0%
Tillamook	205	132	64.4%	73	35.6%	47	22.9%	26	12.7%
Umatilla	630	417	66.2%	213	33.8%	169	26.8%	44	7.0%
Union	109	80	73.4%	29	26.6%	24	22.0%	5	4.6%
Wallowa	47	40	85.1%	7	14.9%	7	14.9%	0	0.0%
Wasco	174	124	71.3%	50	28.7%	44	25.3%	6	3.4%
Washington	1,305	952	73.0%	353	27.0%	291	22.3%	62	4.8%
Wheeler	6	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%
Yamhill	565	381	67.4%	184	32.6%	142	25.1%	42	7.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>18,399</b>	<b>12,509</b>	<b>68.0%</b>	<b>5,890</b>	<b>32.0%</b>	<b>4,642</b>	<b>25.2%</b>	<b>1,248</b>	<b>6.8%</b>

2003 Juvenile Recidivism African American By County		No Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals			
						1 to 2		3 or More (chronic)	
County	Youth	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%
Baker	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Benton	3	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%
Clackamas	47	38	80.9%	9	19.1%	9	19.1%	0	0.0%
Clatsop	2	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Columbia	3	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	2	66.7%
Coos	6	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%
Curry	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Deschutes	6	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Douglas	4	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%
Harney	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Hood River	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Jackson	38	25	65.8%	13	34.2%	8	21.1%	5	13.2%
Josephine	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Klamath	16	9	56.3%	7	43.8%	5	31.3%	2	12.5%
Lane	52	27	51.9%	25	48.1%	18	34.6%	7	13.5%
Lincoln	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Linn	6	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%
Malheur	4	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Marion	72	31	43.1%	41	56.9%	30	41.7%	11	15.3%
Morrow	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Multnomah	716	386	53.9%	330	46.1%	216	30.2%	114	15.9%
Polk	15	9	60.0%	6	40.0%	4	26.7%	2	13.3%
Tillamook	3	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%
Umatilla	14	6	42.9%	8	57.1%	5	35.7%	3	21.4%
Union	2	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Wasco	2	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%
Washington	73	45	61.6%	28	38.4%	25	34.2%	3	4.1%
Wheeler	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Yamhill	10	5	50.0%	5	50.0%	2	20.0%	3	30.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,103</b>	<b>612</b>	<b>55.5%</b>	<b>491</b>	<b>44.5%</b>	<b>335</b>	<b>30.4%</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>14.1%</b>

2003 Juvenile Recidivism Asian By County		No Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals			
						1 to 2		3 or More (chronic)	
County	Youth	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%
Benton	4	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%
Clackamas	27	22	81.5%	5	18.5%	5	18.5%	0	0.0%
Clatsop	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Columbia	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Coos	3	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Crook	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Curry	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Jackson	11	9	81.8%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%
Josephine	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Klamath	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lane	19	10	52.6%	9	47.4%	7	36.8%	2	10.5%
Linn	3	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%
Marion	48	26	54.2%	22	45.8%	15	31.3%	7	14.6%
Multnomah	115	83	72.2%	32	27.8%	20	17.4%	12	10.4%
Polk	3	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%
Umatilla	3	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Union	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	34	24	70.6%	10	29.4%	9	26.5%	1	2.9%
Total	277	192	69.3%	85	30.7%	61	22.0%	24	8.7%

2003 Juvenile Recidivism Caucasian By County		No Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals			
						1 to 2		3 or More (chronic)	
County	Youth	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%
Baker	114	74	64.9%	40	35.1%	38	33.3%	2	1.8%
Benton	217	156	71.9%	61	28.1%	54	24.9%	7	3.2%
Clackamas	1,107	832	75.2%	275	24.8%	241	21.8%	34	3.1%
Clatsop	142	82	57.7%	60	42.3%	41	28.9%	19	13.4%
Columbia	188	108	57.4%	80	42.6%	66	35.1%	14	7.4%
Coos	211	132	62.6%	79	37.4%	64	30.3%	15	7.1%
Crook	144	70	48.6%	74	51.4%	57	39.6%	17	11.8%
Curry	121	75	62.0%	46	38.0%	41	33.9%	5	4.1%
Deschutes	839	594	70.8%	245	29.2%	197	23.5%	48	5.7%
Douglas	681	486	71.4%	195	28.6%	158	23.2%	37	5.4%
Gilliam	3	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%
Grant	23	13	56.5%	10	43.5%	8	34.8%	2	8.7%
Harney	42	34	81.0%	8	19.0%	6	14.3%	2	4.8%
Hood River	107	79	73.8%	28	26.2%	25	23.4%	3	2.8%
Jackson	1,148	743	64.7%	405	35.3%	307	26.7%	98	8.5%
Jefferson	83	60	72.3%	23	27.7%	21	25.3%	2	2.4%
Josephine	267	182	68.2%	85	31.8%	76	28.5%	9	3.4%
Klamath	303	205	67.7%	98	32.3%	83	27.4%	15	5.0%
Lake	34	23	67.6%	11	32.4%	9	26.5%	2	5.9%
Lane	1,267	859	67.8%	408	32.2%	319	25.2%	89	7.0%
Lincoln	206	137	66.5%	69	33.5%	48	23.3%	21	10.2%
Linn	511	351	68.7%	160	31.3%	131	25.6%	29	5.7%
Malheur	171	102	59.6%	69	40.4%	51	29.8%	18	10.5%
Marion	1,142	773	67.7%	369	32.3%	295	25.8%	74	6.5%
Morrow	49	37	75.5%	12	24.5%	9	18.4%	3	6.1%
Multnomah	1,461	984	67.4%	477	32.6%	378	25.9%	99	6.8%
Out of State	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Polk	308	202	65.6%	106	34.4%	85	27.6%	21	6.8%
Sherman	10	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	3	30.0%	1	10.0%
Tillamook	136	87	64.0%	49	36.0%	28	20.6%	21	15.4%
Umatilla	411	264	64.2%	147	35.8%	122	29.7%	25	6.1%
Union	95	67	70.5%	28	29.5%	23	24.2%	5	5.3%
Wallowa	37	30	81.1%	7	18.9%	7	18.9%	0	0.0%
Wasco	138	103	74.6%	35	25.4%	32	23.2%	3	2.2%
Washington	888	678	76.4%	210	23.6%	178	20.0%	32	3.6%
Wheeler	3	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%
Yamhill	396	263	66.4%	133	33.6%	102	25.8%	31	7.8%
Total	13,004	8,896	68.4%	4,108	31.6%	3,305	25.4%	803	6.2%

2003 Juvenile Recidivism Hispanic By County		No Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals			
						1 to 2		3 or More (chronic)	
County	Youth	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%
Baker	3	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%
Benton	14	9	64.3%	5	35.7%	4	28.6%	1	7.1%
Clackamas	124	86	69.4%	38	30.6%	33	26.6%	5	4.0%
Clatsop	15	10	66.7%	5	33.3%	3	20.0%	2	13.3%
Columbia	4	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%
Coos	10	5	50.0%	5	50.0%	4	40.0%	1	10.0%
Crook	8	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Curry	10	7	70.0%	3	30.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%
Deschutes	39	25	64.1%	14	35.9%	12	30.8%	2	5.1%
Douglas	32	25	78.1%	7	21.9%	5	15.6%	2	6.3%
Hood River	41	35	85.4%	6	14.6%	5	12.2%	1	2.4%
Jackson	145	96	66.2%	49	33.8%	35	24.1%	14	9.7%
Jefferson	49	35	71.4%	14	28.6%	14	28.6%	0	0.0%
Josephine	10	7	70.0%	3	30.0%	3	30.0%	0	0.0%
Klamath	26	16	61.5%	10	38.5%	9	34.6%	1	3.8%
Lake	2	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Lane	82	60	73.2%	22	26.8%	14	17.1%	8	9.8%
Lincoln	4	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Linn	53	41	77.4%	12	22.6%	10	18.9%	2	3.8%
Malheur	199	130	65.3%	69	34.7%	50	25.1%	19	9.5%
Marion	571	367	64.3%	204	35.7%	162	28.4%	42	7.4%
Morrow	49	34	69.4%	15	30.6%	12	24.5%	3	6.1%
Multnomah	340	205	60.3%	135	39.7%	96	28.2%	39	11.5%
Out of State	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Polk	74	49	66.2%	25	33.8%	17	23.0%	8	10.8%
Tillamook	20	9	45.0%	11	55.0%	9	45.0%	2	10.0%
Umatilla	159	117	73.6%	42	26.4%	29	18.2%	13	8.2%
Union	5	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Wallowa	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Wasco	20	13	65.0%	7	35.0%	7	35.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	293	192	65.5%	101	34.5%	76	25.9%	25	8.5%
Yamhill	44	26	59.1%	18	40.9%	15	34.1%	3	6.8%
Total	2,447	1,624	66.4%	823	33.6%	628	25.7%	195	8.0%

2003 Juvenile Recidivism Native American By County		No Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals			
						1 to 2		3 or More (chronic)	
County	Youth	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%
Baker	3	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Benton	5	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	3	60.0%	0	0.0%
Clackamas	7	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%
Clatsop	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Columbia	3	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Coos	14	8	57.1%	6	42.9%	5	35.7%	1	7.1%
Curry	7	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%
Deschutes	13	7	53.8%	6	46.2%	2	15.4%	4	30.8%
Douglas	7	2	28.6%	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	3	42.9%
Grant	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Harney	8	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%
Hood River	3	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%
Jackson	23	11	47.8%	12	52.2%	7	30.4%	5	21.7%
Jefferson	91	65	71.4%	26	28.6%	26	28.6%	0	0.0%
Josephine	5	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%
Klamath	52	29	55.8%	23	44.2%	18	34.6%	5	9.6%
Lane	21	11	52.4%	10	47.6%	7	33.3%	3	14.3%
Lincoln	7	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	5	71.4%	2	28.6%
Linn	8	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%
Malheur	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Marion	39	23	59.0%	16	41.0%	12	30.8%	4	10.3%
Morrow	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Multnomah	54	33	61.1%	21	38.9%	15	27.8%	6	11.1%
Polk	9	2	22.2%	7	77.8%	6	66.7%	1	11.1%
Tillamook	4	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%
Umatilla	29	14	48.3%	15	51.7%	12	41.4%	3	10.3%
Union	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Wallowa	3	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Wasco	8	5	62.5%	3	37.5%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%
Washington	6	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	3	50.0%	1	16.7%
Wheeler	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Yamhill	3	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%
Total	438	255	58.2%	183	41.8%	141	32.2%	42	9.6%

2003 Juvenile Recidivism Unknown Race/Ethnicity By County		No Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals			
						1 to 2		3 or More (chronic)	
County	Youth	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%
Baker	4	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%
Benton	11	8	72.7%	3	27.3%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%
Clackamas	28	28	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Clatsop	130	102	78.5%	28	21.5%	25	19.2%	3	2.3%
Columbia	131	124	94.7%	7	5.3%	6	4.6%	1	0.8%
Coos	69	58	84.1%	11	15.9%	11	15.9%	0	0.0%
Crook	33	25	75.8%	8	24.2%	8	24.2%	0	0.0%
Curry	4	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Deschutes	37	28	75.7%	9	24.3%	8	21.6%	1	2.7%
Douglas	25	20	80.0%	5	20.0%	2	8.0%	3	12.0%
Grant	11	8	72.7%	3	27.3%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%
Harney	2	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Hood River	5	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%
Jackson	57	50	87.7%	7	12.3%	7	12.3%	0	0.0%
Jefferson	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Josephine	89	73	82.0%	16	18.0%	14	15.7%	2	2.2%
Klamath	52	38	73.1%	14	26.9%	11	21.2%	3	5.8%
Lake	5	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%
Lane	94	79	84.0%	15	16.0%	12	12.8%	3	3.2%
Lincoln	31	27	87.1%	4	12.9%	4	12.9%	0	0.0%
Linn	19	15	78.9%	4	21.1%	4	21.1%	0	0.0%
Malheur	13	11	84.6%	2	15.4%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%
Marion	13	13	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Morrow	4	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%
Multnomah	52	37	71.2%	15	28.8%	14	26.9%	1	1.9%
Polk	13	10	76.9%	3	23.1%	3	23.1%	0	0.0%
Tillamook	42	33	78.6%	9	21.4%	7	16.7%	2	4.8%
Umatilla	14	13	92.9%	1	7.1%	1	7.1%	0	0.0%
Union	5	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Wallowa	6	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Wasco	6	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%
Washington	11	11	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Wheeler	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Yamhill	112	85	75.9%	27	24.1%	22	19.6%	5	4.5%
Total	1,130	930	82.3%	200	17.7%	172	15.2%	28	2.5%

2003 Juvenile Recidivism Males By County		No Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals			
						1 to 2		3 or More (chronic)	
		County	Youth	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%
Baker	100	63	63.0%	37	37.0%	35	35.0%	2	2.0%
Benton	198	139	70.2%	59	29.8%	51	25.8%	8	4.0%
Clackamas	959	705	73.5%	254	26.5%	220	22.9%	34	3.5%
Clatsop	210	137	65.2%	73	34.8%	54	25.7%	19	9.0%
Columbia	235	157	66.8%	78	33.2%	61	26.0%	17	7.2%
Coos	221	138	62.4%	83	37.6%	66	29.9%	17	7.7%
Crook	139	71	51.1%	68	48.9%	55	39.6%	13	9.4%
Curry	98	54	55.1%	44	44.9%	38	38.8%	6	6.1%
Deschutes	669	454	67.9%	215	32.1%	169	25.3%	46	6.9%
Douglas	556	377	67.8%	179	32.2%	135	24.3%	44	7.9%
Gilliam	2	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Grant	26	16	61.5%	10	38.5%	7	26.9%	3	11.5%
Harney	41	32	78.0%	9	22.0%	8	19.5%	1	2.4%
Hood River	113	86	76.1%	27	23.9%	25	22.1%	2	1.8%
Jackson	969	591	61.0%	378	39.0%	266	27.5%	112	11.6%
Jefferson	141	100	70.9%	41	29.1%	39	27.7%	2	1.4%
Josephine	246	173	70.3%	73	29.7%	63	25.6%	10	4.1%
Klamath	330	211	63.9%	119	36.1%	97	29.4%	22	6.7%
Lake	35	23	65.7%	12	34.3%	10	28.6%	2	5.7%
Lane	1,071	682	63.7%	389	36.3%	290	27.1%	99	9.2%
Lincoln	168	106	63.1%	62	36.9%	40	23.8%	22	13.1%
Linn	433	300	69.3%	133	30.7%	108	24.9%	25	5.8%
Malheur	277	172	62.1%	105	37.9%	69	24.9%	36	13.0%
Marion	1,365	844	61.8%	521	38.2%	402	29.5%	119	8.7%
Morrow	78	51	65.4%	27	34.6%	21	26.9%	6	7.7%
Multnomah	1,893	1,128	59.6%	765	40.4%	538	28.4%	227	12.0%
Out of State	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Polk	303	187	61.7%	116	38.3%	89	29.4%	27	8.9%
Sherman	8	5	62.5%	3	37.5%	3	37.5%	0	0.0%
Tillamook	151	91	60.3%	60	39.7%	35	23.2%	25	16.6%
Umatilla	444	283	63.7%	161	36.3%	126	28.4%	35	7.9%
Union	81	56	69.1%	25	30.9%	20	24.7%	5	6.2%
Wallowa	35	31	88.6%	4	11.4%	4	11.4%	0	0.0%
Wasco	122	81	66.4%	41	33.6%	36	29.5%	5	4.1%
Washington	961	664	69.1%	297	30.9%	239	24.9%	58	6.0%
Wheeler	5	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%
Yamhill	418	271	64.8%	147	35.2%	109	26.1%	38	9.1%
Total	13,103	8,486	64.8%	4,617	35.2%	3,530	26.9%	1,087	8.3%



2003 Juvenile Recidivism Females By County		No Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals			
						1 to 2		3 or More (chronic)	
County	Youth	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%
Baker	25	19	76.0%	6	24.0%	5	20.0%	1	4.0%
Benton	56	41	73.2%	15	26.8%	14	25.0%	1	1.8%
Clackamas	381	307	80.6%	74	19.4%	69	18.1%	5	1.3%
Clatsop	81	59	72.8%	22	27.2%	17	21.0%	5	6.2%
Columbia	95	83	87.4%	12	12.6%	11	11.6%	1	1.1%
Coos	92	72	78.3%	20	21.7%	19	20.7%	1	1.1%
Crook	46	32	69.6%	14	30.4%	10	21.7%	4	8.7%
Curry	45	37	82.2%	8	17.8%	7	15.6%	1	2.2%
Deschutes	265	206	77.7%	59	22.3%	50	18.9%	9	3.4%
Douglas	192	156	81.3%	36	18.8%	34	17.7%	2	1.0%
Gilliam	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grant	9	5	55.6%	4	44.4%	4	44.4%	0	0.0%
Harney	12	9	75.0%	3	25.0%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%
Hood River	44	34	77.3%	10	22.7%	8	18.2%	2	4.5%
Jackson	453	343	75.7%	110	24.3%	99	21.9%	11	2.4%
Jefferson	83	60	72.3%	23	27.7%	23	27.7%	0	0.0%
Josephine	126	92	73.0%	34	27.0%	32	25.4%	2	1.6%
Klamath	120	87	72.5%	33	27.5%	29	24.2%	4	3.3%
Lake	6	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%
Lane	464	364	78.4%	100	21.6%	87	18.8%	13	2.8%
Lincoln	82	64	78.0%	18	22.0%	17	20.7%	1	1.2%
Linn	167	119	71.3%	48	28.7%	41	24.6%	7	4.2%
Malheur	111	76	68.5%	35	31.5%	34	30.6%	1	0.9%
Marion	520	389	74.8%	131	25.2%	112	21.5%	19	3.7%
Morrow	26	23	88.5%	3	11.5%	3	11.5%	0	0.0%
Multnomah	845	600	71.0%	245	29.0%	201	23.8%	44	5.2%
Polk	119	86	72.3%	33	27.7%	27	22.7%	6	5.0%
Sherman	2	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%
Tillamook	54	41	75.9%	13	24.1%	12	22.2%	1	1.9%
Umatilla	186	134	72.0%	52	28.0%	43	23.1%	9	4.8%
Union	28	24	85.7%	4	14.3%	4	14.3%	0	0.0%
Wallowa	12	9	75.0%	3	25.0%	3	25.0%	0	0.0%
Wasco	52	43	82.7%	9	17.3%	8	15.4%	1	1.9%
Washington	344	288	83.7%	56	16.3%	52	15.1%	4	1.2%
Wheeler	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Yamhill	146	109	74.7%	37	25.3%	33	22.6%	4	2.7%
Total	5,291	4,018	75.9%	1,273	24.1%	1,112	21.0%	161	3.0%