## County Juvenile Recidivism Reports

## 1996-2000 Data as Reported by Individual County

The following pages contain juvenile data as reported by Oregon's 36* counties. After the county detail there are four tables summarizing each year - 1996 through 2000.

As with the statewide data, recidivism baseline data begin with an initial criminal referral occurring in any of the five years studied. Subsequent crime is tracked for 12 months following an initial referral.

Virtually all of the counties' recidivism data show a similar pattern. A small group of offenders commit a majority of new crimes. While the proportion of chronic offenders varied among counties, this pattern did not change by location.

* The Juvenile Justice Information System (JJIS) is the primary data source for the report. At present, 35 of 36 counties use JIIS. Data from the county not served by JIS - Multnomah - were converted for inclusion in the recidivism database.


## Baker County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |  |
| 0 | 66 | 73 | 59 | 51 | 89 | 56.9 | 56.2 | 48.4 | 45.9 | 64.5 |  |  |
| 1 | 25 | 20 | 16 | 27 | 21 | 21.6 | 15.4 | 13.1 | 24.3 | 15.2 |  |  |
| 2 | 11 | 12 | 21 | 15 | 17 | 9.5 | 9.2 | 17.2 | 13.5 | 12.3 |  |  |
| 3 | 4 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 3.4 | 7.7 | 6.6 | 4.5 | 3.6 |  |  |
| 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 2.2 |  |  |
| 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 5.4 | 0.7 |  |  |
| 6 O Over | 5 | 11 | 13 | 4 | 2 | 4.3 | 8.5 | 10.7 | 3.6 | 1.4 |  |  |
| TOTALS | 116 | 130 | 122 | 111 | 138 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |  | 2000.

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 3 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 3 offenders committed $12.0 \%$ of the total new referrals.


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $54.8 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $15.2 \%$ of offenders committed $72.0 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=1.51$ for all offenders
- Referral Rate $=7.15$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Benton County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders* New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 80 | 103 | 109 | 149 | 172 | 55.2 | 51.5 | 47.2 | 54.6 | 63.5 |  |
| 1 | 30 | 46 | 46 | 58 | 50 | 20.7 | 23.0 | 19.9 | 21.2 | 18.5 |  |
| 2 | 16 | 13 | 33 | 31 | 25 | 11.0 | 6.5 | 14.3 | 11.4 | 9.2 |  |
| 3 | 6 | 11 | 14 | 9 | 12 | 4.1 | 5.5 | 6.1 | 3.3 | 4.4 |  |
| 4 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 8 | 5 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 5.2 | 2.9 | 1.8 |  |
| 5 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 1.1 |  |
| 6 Over | 7 | 15 | 11 | 13 | 4 | 4.8 | 7.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 1.5 |  |
| TOTALS | 145 | 200 | 231 | 273 | 271 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 30 | 46 | 46 | 58 | 50 | 17.1 | 14.7 | 10.3 | 12.9 | 25.1 |
| 2 | 32 | 26 | 66 | 62 | 50 | 18.3 | 8.3 | 14.7 | 13.7 | 25.1 |
| 3 | 18 | 33 | 42 | 27 | 36 | 10.3 | 10.6 | 9.4 | 6.0 | 18.1 |
| 4 | 16 | 28 | 48 | 32 | 20 | 9.1 | 9.0 | 10.7 | 7.1 | 10.1 |
| 5 | 10 | 25 | 30 | 25 | 15 | 5.7 | 8.0 | 6.7 | 5.5 | 7.5 |
| 6 Over | 69 | 154 | 216 | 247 | 28 | 39.4 | 49.4 | 48.2 | 54.8 | 14.1 |
| TOTALS | 175 | 312 | 448 | 451 | 199 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

* Prior to 2000, Benton data were compiled from the county information system. The conversion to JIS could affect some findings.
- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 5 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 5 offenders committed $10.1 \%$ of the total new referrals.


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $54.7 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $14.2 \%$ of offenders committed $70.6 \%$ of new crime.
- $\quad$ Referral Rate $=1.42$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=7.04$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Clackamas County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 1,193 | 1,213 | 1,108 | 1,086 | 1,188 | 71.0 | 69.9 | 71.8 | 69.3 | 66.9 |  |
| 1 | 282 | 295 | 268 | 290 | 399 | 16.8 | 17.0 | 17.4 | 18.5 | 22.5 |  |
| 2 | 108 | 128 | 93 | 94 | 116 | 6.4 | 7.4 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.5 |  |
| 3 | 45 | 55 | 33 | 37 | 38 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.1 |  |
| 4 | 25 | 22 | 18 | 30 | 16 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 0.9 |  |
| 5 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 13 | 6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.3 |  |
| 6 Over | 21 | 13 | 18 | 17 | 14 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.8 |  |
| TOTALS | 1,680 | 1,735 | 1,543 | 1,567 | 1,777 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |  | 2000.

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 16 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 16 offenders committed $6.8 \%$ of the total new referrals.


## Clackamas County

## 1996-2000 Offender Recidivism



1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $69.7 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $5.3 \%$ of offenders committed $42.2 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.54$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=4.32$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Clatsop County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 267 | 235 | 217 | 182 | 239 | 73.2 | 73.2 | 73.1 | 67.4 | 72.0 |  |
| 1 | 48 | 59 | 46 | 47 | 55 | 13.2 | 18.4 | 15.5 | 17.4 | 16.6 |  |
| 2 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 18 | 23 | 5.2 | 3.7 | 6.4 | 6.7 | 6.9 |  |
| 3 | 17 | 6 | 7 | 12 | 6 | 4.7 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 4.4 | 1.8 |  |
| 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 1.2 |  |
| 5 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.6 |  |
| $6 \&$ Over | 6 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.9 |  |
| TOTALS | 365 | 321 | 297 | 270 | 332 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 48 | 59 | 46 | 47 | 55 | 22.0 | 37.3 | 31.9 | 26.4 | 32.7 |
| 2 | 38 | 24 | 38 | 36 | 46 | 17.4 | 15.2 | 26.4 | 20.2 | 27.4 |
| 3 | 51 | 18 | 21 | 36 | 18 | 23.4 | 11.4 | 14.6 | 20.2 | 10.7 |
| 4 | 16 | 8 | 16 | 28 | 16 | 7.3 | 5.1 | 11.1 | 15.7 | 9.5 |
| 5 | 20 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 9.2 | 6.3 | 10.4 | 8.4 | 6.0 |
| 6 \& Over | 45 | 39 | 8 | 16 | 23 | 20.6 | 24.7 | 5.6 | 9.0 | 13.7 |
| TOTALS | 218 | 158 | 144 | 178 | 168 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 4 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 4 offenders committed $9.5 \%$ of the total new referrals.


## 1996-2000 Offender Recidivism



1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $71.9 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $6.3 \%$ of offenders committed $49.5 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.55$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=4.33$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Columbia County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 233 | 232 | 230 | 209 | 201 | 69.1 | 68.4 | 64.6 | 68.5 | 65.3 |  |
| 1 | 57 | 59 | 71 | 61 | 54 | 16.9 | 17.4 | 19.9 | 20.0 | 17.5 |  |
| 2 | 22 | 20 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 6.5 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 7.5 | 7.5 |  |
| 3 | 10 | 14 | 20 | 6 | 13 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 5.6 | 2.0 | 4.2 |  |
| 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 11 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 3.6 |  |
| 5 | 6 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 1.3 |  |
| 6 Over | 6 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |  |
| TOTALS | 337 | 339 | 356 | 305 | 308 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 57 | 59 | 71 | 61 | 54 | 25.9 | 25.7 | 30.2 | 40.4 | 24.5 |
| 2 | 44 | 40 | 44 | 46 | 46 | 20.0 | 17.4 | 18.7 | 30.5 | 20.9 |
| 3 | 30 | 42 | 60 | 18 | 39 | 13.6 | 18.3 | 25.5 | 11.9 | 17.7 |
| 4 | 12 | 16 | 20 | 16 | 44 | 5.5 | 7.0 | 8.5 | 10.6 | 20.0 |
| 5 | 30 | 15 | 40 | 10 | 20 | 13.6 | 6.5 | 17.0 | 6.6 | 9.1 |
| 6 Over | 47 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 21.4 | 25.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.7 |
| TOTALS | 220 | 230 | 235 | 151 | 220 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 11 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 11 offenders committed $20.0 \%$ of the total new referrals.

1996-2000 Offender Recidivism


1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $67.2 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $7.8 \%$ of offenders committed $50.6 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.64$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=4.17$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Coos County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 380 | 335 | 359 | 255 | 312 | 59.0 | 58.1 | 58.8 | 54.5 | 70.6 |  |
| 1 | 126 | 110 | 129 | 123 | 81 | 19.6 | 19.1 | 21.1 | 26.3 | 18.3 |  |
| 2 | 54 | 51 | 43 | 51 | 28 | 8.4 | 8.8 | 7.0 | 10.9 | 6.3 |  |
| 3 | 40 | 36 | 34 | 20 | 11 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 5.6 | 4.3 | 2.5 |  |
| 4 | 18 | 19 | 24 | 8 | 4 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 1.7 | 0.9 |  |
| 5 | 10 | 7 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.7 |  |
| 6 Over | 16 | 19 | 12 | 7 | 3 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.7 |  |
| TOTALS | 644 | 577 | 611 | 468 | 442 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |  | 2000

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 4 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 4 offenders committed $7.3 \%$ of the total new referrals.


## 1996-2000 Offender Recidivism



1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $59.9 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $11.1 \%$ of offenders committed $56.1 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.85$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=4.29$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Crook County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 22 | 105 | 66.7 | 87.5 | 57.9 | 47.8 | 64.4 |  |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 14 | 32 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 30.4 | 19.6 |  |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 13 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 5.3 | 10.9 | 8.0 |  |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 8.7 | 4.9 |  |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 1.2 |  |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |  |
| $6 \&$ Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 |  |
| TOTALS | 6 | 8 | 19 | 46 | 163 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 14 | 32 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 38.5 | 35.0 | 29.4 |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 26 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 15.4 | 25.0 | 23.9 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.2 | 30.0 | 22.0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 7.3 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 |
| 6 Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.8 |
| TOTALS | 2 | 2 | 13 | 40 | 109 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 2 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 2 offenders committed $7.3 \%$ of the total new referrals.

1996-2000 Offender Recidivism


1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $61.6 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $8.3 \%$ of offenders committed $44.0 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.69$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=3.65$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Juvenile Recidivism

## Curry County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 128 | 98 | 141 | 122 | 100 | 61.5 | 56.0 | 62.9 | 63.2 | 62.1 |  |
| 1 | 41 | 44 | 41 | 40 | 33 | 19.7 | 25.1 | 18.3 | 20.7 | 20.5 |  |
| 2 | 15 | 15 | 22 | 15 | 14 | 7.2 | 8.6 | 9.8 | 7.8 | 8.7 |  |
| 3 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 2.5 |  |
| 4 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 3.6 | 3.1 |  |
| 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 |  |
| $6 \&$ Over | 4 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 2.5 |  |
| TOTALS | 208 | 175 | 224 | 193 | 161 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |  | 2000

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 5 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 5 offenders committed $16.3 \%$ of the total new referrals.


## Curry County

## 1996-2000 Offender Recidivism



1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $61.3 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $9.6 \%$ of offenders committed $53.7 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.81$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=4.54$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Deschutes County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 351 | 351 | 450 | 498 | 583 | 48.6 | 47.3 | 49.1 | 50.3 | 56.2 |  |
| 1 | 165 | 188 | 222 | 241 | 252 | 22.9 | 25.3 | 24.2 | 24.3 | 24.3 |  |
| 2 | 88 | 98 | 109 | 119 | 104 | 12.2 | 13.2 | 11.9 | 12.0 | 10.0 |  |
| 3 | 46 | 41 | 56 | 59 | 51 | 6.4 | 5.5 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 4.9 |  |
| 4 | 32 | 20 | 37 | 33 | 25 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.4 |  |
| 5 | 15 | 14 | 20 | 14 | 8 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 0.8 |  |
| 6 Over | 25 | 30 | 23 | 27 | 14 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 1.4 |  |
| TOTALS | 722 | 742 | 917 | 991 | 1,037 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 25 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 25 offenders committed $11.8 \%$ of the total new referrals.


## 1996-2000 Offender Recidivism



1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $50.7 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $13.4 \%$ of offenders committed $55.3 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=1.07$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=4.41$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Douglas County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 617 | 546 | 570 | 523 | 515 | 58.1 | 57.5 | 62.8 | 60.3 | 64.5 |  |
| 1 | 213 | 201 | 176 | 182 | 128 | 20.1 | 21.2 | 19.4 | 21.0 | 16.0 |  |
| 2 | 77 | 88 | 75 | 76 | 69 | 7.3 | 9.3 | 8.3 | 8.8 | 8.6 |  |
| 3 | 50 | 36 | 38 | 35 | 42 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 5.3 |  |
| 4 | 27 | 24 | 18 | 17 | 23 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.9 |  |
| 5 | 15 | 16 | 8 | 18 | 8 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 1.0 |  |
| $6 \&$ Over | 63 | 38 | 22 | 16 | 14 | 5.9 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 1.8 |  |
| TOTALS | 1,062 | 949 | 907 | 867 | 799 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 213 | 201 | 176 | 182 | 128 | 16.2 | 18.9 | 22.9 | 24.5 | 19.4 |
| 2 | 154 | 176 | 150 | 152 | 138 | 11.7 | 16.6 | 19.5 | 20.4 | 20.9 |
| 3 | 150 | 108 | 114 | 105 | 126 | 11.4 | 10.2 | 14.8 | 14.1 | 19.1 |
| 4 | 108 | 96 | 72 | 68 | 92 | 8.2 | 9.0 | 9.4 | 9.1 | 14.0 |
| 5 | 75 | 80 | 40 | 90 | 40 | 5.7 | 7.5 | 5.2 | 12.1 | 6.1 |
| 6 \& Over | 615 | 400 | 216 | 147 | 135 | 46.8 | 37.7 | 28.1 | 19.8 | 20.5 |
| TOTALS | 1,315 | 1,061 | 768 | 744 | 659 | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 23 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 23 offenders committed $14.0 \%$ of the total new referrals.


## 1996-2000 Offender Recidivism



1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $60.5 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $11.5 \%$ of offenders committed $63.3 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.99$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=5.45$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Gilliam County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | na | na | 1 | 2 | 6 | na | na | 100.0 | 66.7 | 85.7 |  |
| 1 | na | na | 0 | 1 | 0 | na | na | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 |  |
| 2 | na | na | 0 | 0 | 1 | na | na | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 |  |
| 3 | na | na | 0 | 0 | 0 | na | na | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 4 | na | na | 0 | 0 | 0 | na | na | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 5 | na | na | 0 | 0 | 0 | na | na | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| $6 \&$ Over | na | na | 0 | 0 | 0 | na | na | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| TOTALS | na | na | 1 | 3 | 7 | na | na | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | na | na | 0 | 0 | 0 | na | na | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | na | na | 0 | 1 | 0 | na | na | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 2 | na | na | 0 | 0 | 2 | na | na | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 3 | na | na | 0 | 0 | 0 | na | na | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4 | na | na | 0 | 0 | 0 | na | na | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5 | na | na | 0 | 0 | 0 | na | na | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $6 \&$ Over | na | na | 0 | 0 | 0 | na | na | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| TOTALS | na | na | 0 | 1 | 2 | na | na | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

"na": data not available.

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 1 offender had 2 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 1 offender committed $100 \%$ of the total new referrals.

1998-2000 Offender Recidivism



Summary of findings, averages for 1998-2000:

- $81.8 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.27$ for all offenders.


## Grant County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 4 | 15 | 15 | 36 | 25 | 50.0 | 78.9 | 78.9 | 72.0 | 62.5 |  |
| 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 37.5 | 10.5 | 15.8 | 12.0 | 22.5 |  |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 12.5 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 7.5 |  |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 2.0 | 5.0 |  |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |  |
| $6 \&$ Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 |  |
| TOTALS | 8 | 19 | 19 | 50 | 40 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 60.0 | 28.6 | 50.0 | 23.1 | 33.3 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 6 | 40.0 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 46.2 | 22.2 |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 50.0 | 11.5 | 22.2 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.2 | 0.0 |
| $6 \&$ Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 |
| TOTALS | 5 | 7 | 6 | 26 | 27 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 2 offenders had 3 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 2 offenders committed $22.2 \%$ of the total new referrals.


## Grant County

1996-2000 Offender Recidivism


1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $69.9 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $5.2 \%$ of offenders committed $36.6 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.52$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=3.71$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Harney County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 40 | 14 | 8 | 21 | 27 | 76.9 | 63.6 | 66.7 | 61.8 | 57.4 |  |
| 1 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 14 | 13.5 | 18.2 | 8.3 | 26.5 | 29.8 |  |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3.8 | 9.1 | 25.0 | 2.9 | 6.4 |  |
| 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3.8 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 2.1 |  |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 |  |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 |  |
| $6 \&$ Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 |  |
| TOTALS | 52 | 22 | 12 | 34 | 47 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 14 | 33.3 | 28.6 | 14.3 | 33.3 | 45.2 |
| 2 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 19.0 | 28.6 | 85.7 | 7.4 | 19.4 |
| 3 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 28.6 | 42.9 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 9.7 |
| 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.8 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.5 | 0.0 |
| $6 \&$ Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.6 | 0.0 |
| TOTALS | 21 | 14 | 7 | 27 | 31 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 2 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 2 offenders committed $25.8 \%$ of the total new referrals.


## 1996-2000 Offender Recidivism



1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $65.9 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $6.6 \%$ of offenders committed $43.0 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.60$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=3.91$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Hood River County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 6 | 13 | 14 | 33 | 86 | 66.7 | 65.0 | 46.7 | 50.8 | 77.5 |  |
| 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 13 | 33.3 | 25.0 | 23.3 | 18.5 | 11.7 |  |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 12.3 | 5.4 |  |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 6.7 | 12.3 | 3.6 |  |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 3.1 | 1.8 |  |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 0.0 |  |
| $6 \&$ Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| TOTALS | 9 | 20 | 30 | 65 | 111 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 13 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 19.4 | 17.1 | 28.9 |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 16 | 12 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 16.7 | 22.9 | 26.7 |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 24 | 12 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 16.7 | 34.3 | 26.7 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 8 | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 11.4 | 17.8 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.9 | 14.3 | 0.0 |
| $6 \&$ Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| TOTALS | 3 | 10 | 36 | 70 | 45 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 2 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 2 offenders committed $17.8 \%$ of the total new referrals.


## 1996-2000 Offender Recidivism



1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $64.7 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $10.6 \%$ of offenders committed $53.7 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.70$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=3.52$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Jackson County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 1,238 | 1,127 | 1,191 | 1,073 | 1,074 | 63.8 | 60.4 | 64.4 | 63.5 | 64.2 |  |
| 1 | 369 | 374 | 341 | 316 | 341 | 19.0 | 20.0 | 18.5 | 18.7 | 20.4 |  |
| 2 | 158 | 161 | 146 | 161 | 109 | 8.1 | 8.6 | 7.9 | 9.5 | 6.5 |  |
| 3 | 76 | 88 | 75 | 53 | 58 | 3.9 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 3.5 |  |
| 4 | 45 | 49 | 47 | 31 | 36 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 2.2 |  |
| 5 | 22 | 25 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 |  |
| 6 \& Over | 32 | 42 | 28 | 34 | 34 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| TOTALS | 1,940 | 1,866 | 1,848 | 1,689 | 1,673 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |  | 2000

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 36 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 36 offenders committed $10.6 \%$ of the total new referrals.


## 1996-2000 Offender Recidivism



1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $63.3 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $9.3 \%$ of offenders committed $55.0 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.79$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=4.69$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Jefferson County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 136 | 110 | 154 | 123 | 173 | 56.4 | 51.9 | 62.3 | 57.7 | 64.6 |  |
| 1 | 61 | 57 | 51 | 53 | 58 | 25.3 | 26.9 | 20.6 | 24.9 | 21.6 |  |
| 2 | 23 | 24 | 26 | 22 | 23 | 9.5 | 11.3 | 10.5 | 10.3 | 8.6 |  |
| 3 | 12 | 9 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 2.6 |  |
| 4 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 1.1 |  |
| 5 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 |  |
| 6 Over | 2 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 0.7 |  |
| TOTALS | 241 | 212 | 247 | 213 | 268 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 3 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 3 offenders committed $7.4 \%$ of the total new referrals.


## 1996-2000 Offender Recidivism



1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals
JUVENILE RECIDIVISM Jefferson County 1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $58.9 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $7.4 \%$ of offenders committed $41.1 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.74$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=4.14$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Josephine County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 247 | 280 | 310 | 306 | 281 | 58.8 | 57.4 | 64.3 | 62.2 | 68.4 |  |
| 1 | 76 | 87 | 92 | 95 | 73 | 18.1 | 17.8 | 19.1 | 19.3 | 17.8 |  |
| 2 | 39 | 49 | 38 | 43 | 29 | 9.3 | 10.0 | 7.9 | 8.7 | 7.1 |  |
| 3 | 15 | 20 | 16 | 19 | 8 | 3.6 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 1.9 |  |
| 4 | 12 | 16 | 7 | 8 | 11 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.7 |  |
| 5 | 9 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 0.7 |  |
| $6 \&$ Over | 22 | 25 | 10 | 12 | 6 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 1.5 |  |
| TOTALS | 420 | 488 | 482 | 492 | 411 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |  | 2000.

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 11 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 11 offenders committed $16.4 \%$ of the total new referrals.


## 1996-2000 Offender Recidivism



1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $62.1 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $10.8 \%$ of offenders committed $62.2 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.94$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=5.43$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Klamath County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 342 | 330 | 370 | 355 | 353 | 65.0 | 61.9 | 64.5 | 64.9 | 65.1 |  |
| 1 | 104 | 102 | 129 | 118 | 103 | 19.8 | 19.1 | 22.5 | 21.6 | 19.0 |  |
| 2 | 39 | 45 | 42 | 38 | 47 | 7.4 | 8.4 | 7.3 | 6.9 | 8.7 |  |
| 3 | 17 | 31 | 22 | 19 | 18 | 3.2 | 5.8 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |
| 4 | 9 | 15 | 7 | 11 | 8 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.5 |  |
| 5 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1.3 |  |
| $6 \&$ Over | 9 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1.1 |  |
| TOTALS | 526 | 533 | 574 | 547 | 542 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 104 | 102 | 129 | 118 | 103 | 28.9 | 25.1 | 38.9 | 36.0 | 28.0 |
| 2 | 78 | 90 | 84 | 76 | 94 | 21.7 | 22.1 | 25.3 | 23.2 | 25.5 |
| 3 | 51 | 93 | 66 | 57 | 54 | 14.2 | 22.9 | 19.9 | 17.4 | 14.7 |
| 4 | 36 | 60 | 28 | 44 | 32 | 10.0 | 14.7 | 8.4 | 13.4 | 8.7 |
| 5 | 30 | 25 | 10 | 15 | 35 | 8.3 | 6.1 | 3.0 | 4.6 | 9.5 |
| 6 \& Over | 61 | 37 | 15 | 18 | 50 | 16.9 | 9.1 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 13.6 |
| TOTALS | 360 | 407 | 332 | 328 | 368 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 8 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 8 offenders committed $8.7 \%$ of the total new referrals.


## 1996-2000 Offender Recidivism



1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $64.3 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $7.5 \%$ of offenders committed $45.5 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.66$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=3.99$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Lake County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 43 | 32 | 28 | 31 | 54 | 65.2 | 64.0 | 70.0 | 56.4 | 73.0 |  |
| 1 | 14 | 8 | 8 | 13 | 9 | 21.2 | 16.0 | 20.0 | 23.6 | 12.2 |  |
| 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 3.0 | 12.0 | 5.0 | 10.9 | 8.1 |  |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.4 |  |
| 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6.1 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 |  |
| 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.4 |  |
| $6 \&$ Over | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| TOTALS | 66 | 50 | 40 | 55 | 74 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 14 | 8 | 8 | 13 | 9 | 30.4 | 21.6 | 44.4 | 30.2 | 23.7 |
| 2 | 4 | 12 | 4 | 12 | 12 | 8.7 | 32.4 | 22.2 | 27.9 | 31.6 |
| 3 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 13.0 | 8.1 | 33.3 | 20.9 | 31.6 |
| 4 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 34.8 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 9.3 | 0.0 |
| 5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0.0 | 27.0 | 0.0 | 11.6 | 13.2 |
| 6 Over | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| TOTALS | 46 | 37 | 18 | 43 | 38 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 4 offenders had 3 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 4 offenders committed $31.6 \%$ of the total new referrals.


## 1996-2000 Offender Recidivism



1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $66.0 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $8.1 \%$ of offenders committed $47.3 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.64$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=3.74$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Lane County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 1,431 | 1,340 | 1,127 | 1,160 | 1,135 | 64.7 | 63.4 | 61.8 | 64.4 | 65.7 |  |
| 1 | 372 | 361 | 329 | 345 | 317 | 16.8 | 17.1 | 18.0 | 19.2 | 18.3 |  |
| 2 | 172 | 176 | 169 | 126 | 130 | 7.8 | 8.3 | 9.3 | 7.0 | 7.5 |  |
| 3 | 91 | 85 | 76 | 80 | 51 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 3.0 |  |
| 4 | 49 | 54 | 46 | 46 | 45 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.6 |  |
| 5 | 32 | 31 | 36 | 12 | 13 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 0.8 |  |
| 6 Over | 64 | 65 | 42 | 32 | 37 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.1 |  |
| TOTALS | 2,211 | 2,112 | 1,825 | 1,801 | 1,728 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 45 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 45 offenders committed $13.3 \%$ of the total new referrals.


## 1996-2000 Offender Recidivism



1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $64.0 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $10.2 \%$ of offenders committed $60.7 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.86$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=5.12$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Lincoln County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 242 | 252 | 235 | 229 | 210 | 62.5 | 62.7 | 61.4 | 65.6 | 67.1 |  |
| 1 | 86 | 79 | 93 | 61 | 59 | 22.2 | 19.7 | 24.3 | 17.5 | 18.8 |  |
| 2 | 28 | 32 | 24 | 28 | 22 | 7.2 | 8.0 | 6.3 | 8.0 | 7.0 |  |
| 3 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 16 | 9 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 4.6 | 2.9 |  |
| 4 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 1.3 |  |
| 5 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.6 |  |
| $6 \&$ Over | 4 | 14 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 1.0 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 1.3 |  |
| TOTALS | 387 | 402 | 383 | 349 | 313 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 86 | 79 | 93 | 61 | 59 | 32.5 | 17.0 | 28.9 | 24.5 | 28.9 |
| 2 | 56 | 64 | 48 | 56 | 44 | 21.1 | 13.7 | 14.9 | 22.5 | 21.6 |
| 3 | 48 | 42 | 42 | 48 | 27 | 18.1 | 9.0 | 13.0 | 19.3 | 13.2 |
| 4 | 40 | 24 | 24 | 32 | 16 | 15.1 | 5.2 | 7.5 | 12.9 | 7.8 |
| 5 | 5 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 1.9 | 5.4 | 7.8 | 10.0 | 12.3 |
| 6 \& Over | 30 | 232 | 90 | 27 | 33 | 11.3 | 49.8 | 28.0 | 10.8 | 16.2 |
| TOTALS | 265 | 466 | 322 | 249 | 204 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 4 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 4 offenders committed $7.8 \%$ of the total new referrals.


## 1996-2000 Offender Recidivism



1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $63.7 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $8.4 \%$ of offenders committed $57.1 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.82$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=5.58$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Linn County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 602 | 451 | 518 | 437 | 428 | 61.9 | 57.9 | 66.5 | 68.4 | 67.5 |  |
| 1 | 173 | 160 | 151 | 107 | 123 | 17.8 | 20.5 | 19.4 | 16.7 | 19.4 |  |
| 2 | 75 | 77 | 58 | 54 | 49 | 7.7 | 9.9 | 7.4 | 8.5 | 7.7 |  |
| 3 | 48 | 36 | 29 | 20 | 21 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 3.3 |  |
| 4 | 26 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 0.9 |  |
| 5 | 13 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 |  |
| 6 Over | 35 | 24 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.8 |  |
| TOTALS | 972 | 779 | 779 | 639 | 634 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |  | 2000

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 6 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 6 offenders committed $6.0 \%$ of the total new referrals.


## 1996-2000 Offender Recidivism



1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $64.1 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $8.9 \%$ of offenders committed $56.1 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.80$ for all offenders
- Referral Rate $=5.03$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Malheur County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 332 | 278 | 310 | 262 | 277 | 64.1 | 60.2 | 64.2 | 69.9 | 63.8 |  |
| 1 | 101 | 94 | 95 | 46 | 72 | 19.5 | 20.3 | 19.7 | 12.3 | 16.6 |  |
| 2 | 36 | 40 | 32 | 27 | 28 | 6.9 | 8.7 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 6.5 |  |
| 3 | 17 | 23 | 19 | 12 | 16 | 3.3 | 5.0 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 3.7 |  |
| 4 | 9 | 7 | 12 | 6 | 9 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 2.1 |  |
| 5 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 14 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 3.2 |  |
| 6 Over | 14 | 12 | 13 | 17 | 18 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 4.5 | 4.1 |  |
| TOTALS | 518 | 462 | 483 | 375 | 434 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 101 | 94 | 95 | 46 | 72 | 23.9 | 23.6 | 25.2 | 14.1 | 16.1 |
| 2 | 72 | 80 | 64 | 54 | 56 | 17.0 | 20.1 | 17.0 | 16.6 | 12.6 |
| 3 | 51 | 69 | 57 | 36 | 48 | 12.1 | 17.3 | 15.1 | 11.0 | 10.8 |
| 4 | 36 | 28 | 48 | 24 | 36 | 8.5 | 7.0 | 12.7 | 7.4 | 8.1 |
| 5 | 45 | 40 | 10 | 25 | 70 | 10.6 | 10.1 | 2.7 | 7.7 | 15.7 |
| 6 \& Over | 118 | 87 | 103 | 141 | 164 | 27.9 | 21.9 | 27.3 | 43.3 | 36.8 |
| TOTALS | 423 | 398 | 377 | 326 | 446 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 9 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 9 offenders committed $8.1 \%$ of the total new referrals.


## 1996-2000 Offender Recidivism



1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $64.2 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $10.7 \%$ of offenders committed $62.7 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.87$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=5.11$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Marion County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 1,461 | 1,500 | 1,382 | 1,291 | 1,396 | 61.4 | 62.9 | 65.1 | 63.3 | 66.5 |  |
| 1 | 482 | 469 | 405 | 413 | 410 | 20.3 | 19.7 | 19.1 | 20.3 | 19.5 |  |
| 2 | 212 | 190 | 163 | 167 | 149 | 8.9 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 8.2 | 7.1 |  |
| 3 | 105 | 99 | 70 | 79 | 84 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 4.0 |  |
| 4 | 48 | 51 | 52 | 38 | 33 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 1.6 |  |
| 5 | 24 | 36 | 18 | 25 | 18 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.9 |  |
| $6 \&$ Over | 47 | 38 | 32 | 25 | 10 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.5 |  |
| TOTALS | 2,379 | 2,383 | 2,122 | 2,038 | 2,100 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 482 | 469 | 405 | 413 | 410 | 25.0 | 24.6 | 26.9 | 28.5 | 32.7 |
| 2 | 424 | 380 | 326 | 334 | 298 | 22.0 | 19.9 | 21.7 | 23.1 | 23.8 |
| 3 | 315 | 297 | 210 | 237 | 252 | 16.3 | 15.6 | 14.0 | 16.4 | 20.1 |
| 4 | 192 | 204 | 208 | 152 | 132 | 9.9 | 10.7 | 13.8 | 10.5 | 10.5 |
| 5 | 120 | 180 | 90 | 125 | 90 | 6.2 | 9.4 | 6.0 | 8.6 | 7.2 |
| 6 \& Over | 397 | 376 | 265 | 186 | 71 | 20.6 | 19.7 | 17.6 | 12.9 | 5.7 |
| TOTALS | 1,930 | 1,906 | 1,504 | 1,447 | 1,253 | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 33 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 33 offenders committed $10.5 \%$ of the total new referrals.


## 1996-2000 Offender Recidivism



1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $63.8 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $8.5 \%$ of offenders committed $51.0 \%$ of new crime.
- $\quad$ Referral Rate $=0.73$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=4.40$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Morrow County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 48 | 37 | 67 | 56 | 71 | 62.3 | 48.1 | 56.3 | 53.3 | 58.7 |  |
| 1 | 10 | 15 | 19 | 22 | 31 | 13.0 | 19.5 | 16.0 | 21.0 | 25.6 |  |
| 2 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 11.7 | 13.0 | 9.2 | 9.5 | 5.0 |  |
| 3 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 8 | 3 | 6.5 | 3.9 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 2.5 |  |
| 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 4.2 | 1.9 | 2.5 |  |
| 5 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 1.7 |  |
| $6 \&$ Over | 5 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 6.5 | 10.4 | 5.0 | 3.8 | 4.1 |  |
| TOTALS | 77 | 77 | 119 | 105 | 121 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 10 | 15 | 19 | 22 | 31 | 12.5 | 12.7 | 13.2 | 18.6 | 28.7 |
| 2 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 20 | 12 | 22.5 | 16.9 | 15.3 | 16.9 | 11.1 |
| 3 | 15 | 9 | 27 | 24 | 9 | 18.8 | 7.6 | 18.8 | 20.3 | 8.3 |
| 4 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 8 | 12 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 13.9 | 6.8 | 11.1 |
| 5 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 15 | 10 | 0.0 | 12.7 | 6.9 | 12.7 | 9.3 |
| 6 \& Over | 37 | 55 | 46 | 29 | 34 | 46.3 | 46.6 | 31.9 | 24.6 | 31.5 |
| TOTALS | 80 | 118 | 144 | 118 | 108 | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 3 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 3 offenders committed $11.1 \%$ of the total new referrals.


## 1996-2000 Offender Recidivism



1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $55.9 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $15.4 \%$ of offenders committed $66.7 \%$ of new crime.
- $\quad$ Referral Rate $=1.14$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=4.92$ offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Multnomah County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 2,706 | 2,601 | 2,383 | 2,095 | 1,987 | 61.9 | 62.2 | 61.7 | 63.0 | 62.1 |  |
| 1 | 892 | 797 | 820 | 623 | 615 | 20.4 | 19.1 | 21.2 | 18.7 | 19.2 |  |
| 2 | 367 | 386 | 306 | 298 | 248 | 8.4 | 9.2 | 7.9 | 9.0 | 7.7 |  |
| 3 | 200 | 182 | 158 | 145 | 122 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 3.8 |  |
| 4 | 90 | 101 | 90 | 57 | 102 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 3.2 |  |
| 5 | 57 | 56 | 36 | 42 | 54 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.7 |  |
| 6 Over | 58 | 56 | 69 | 63 | 73 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.3 |  |
| TOTALS | 4,370 | 4,179 | 3,862 | 3,323 | 3,201 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 892 | 797 | 820 | 623 | 615 | 26.8 | 24.8 | 27.5 | 24.6 | 22.5 |
| 2 | 734 | 772 | 612 | 596 | 496 | 22.0 | 24.0 | 20.5 | 23.5 | 18.1 |
| 3 | 600 | 546 | 474 | 435 | 366 | 18.0 | 17.0 | 15.9 | 17.2 | 13.4 |
| 4 | 360 | 404 | 360 | 228 | 408 | 10.8 | 12.6 | 12.1 | 9.0 | 14.9 |
| 5 | 285 | 280 | 180 | 210 | 270 | 8.5 | 8.7 | 6.0 | 8.3 | 9.9 |
| 6 \& Over | 463 | 413 | 540 | 441 | 583 | 13.9 | 12.9 | 18.1 | 17.4 | 21.3 |
| TOTALS | 3,334 | 3,212 | 2,986 | 2,533 | 2,738 | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 102 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 102 offenders committed $14.9 \%$ of the total new referrals.


## 1996-2000 Offender Recidivism



1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals
JUVENILE RECIDIVISM
Multnomah County
1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $62.2 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $9.6 \%$ of offenders committed $53.0 \%$ of new crime.
- $\quad$ Referral Rate $=0.78$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=4.33$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Polk County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 278 | 295 | 288 | 268 | 310 | 60.3 | 62.4 | 65.8 | 67.2 | 67.4 |  |
| 1 | 80 | 91 | 86 | 78 | 85 | 17.4 | 19.2 | 19.6 | 19.5 | 18.5 |  |
| 2 | 49 | 46 | 32 | 31 | 29 | 10.6 | 9.7 | 7.3 | 7.8 | 6.3 |  |
| 3 | 27 | 24 | 19 | 12 | 17 | 5.9 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 3.0 | 3.7 |  |
| 4 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.3 |  |
| 5 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.3 |  |
| $6 \&$ Over | 6 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.5 |  |
| TOTALS | 461 | 473 | 438 | 399 | 460 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 80 | 91 | 86 | 78 | 85 | 20.3 | 26.5 | 31.7 | 34.1 | 27.8 |
| 2 | 98 | 92 | 64 | 62 | 58 | 24.8 | 26.8 | 23.6 | 27.1 | 19.0 |
| 3 | 81 | 72 | 57 | 36 | 51 | 20.5 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 15.7 | 16.7 |
| 4 | 48 | 24 | 24 | 16 | 24 | 12.2 | 7.0 | 8.9 | 7.0 | 7.8 |
| 5 | 45 | 35 | 15 | 5 | 30 | 11.4 | 10.2 | 5.5 | 2.2 | 9.8 |
| 6 \& Over | 43 | 29 | 25 | 32 | 58 | 10.9 | 8.5 | 9.2 | 14.0 | 19.0 |
| TOTALS | 395 | 343 | 271 | 229 | 306 | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 6 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 4 offenders committed $7.8 \%$ of the total new referrals.


## 1996-2000 Offender Recidivism



1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $64.5 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $8.3 \%$ of offenders committed $48.6 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.69$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=4.05$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Sherman County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 71.4 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 |  |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| $6 \&$ Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| TOTALS | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $6 \&$ Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| TOTALS | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 2 offenders had 1 new referral].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 2 offenders committed $100 \%$ of the total new referrals.


## 1996-2000 Offender Recidivism



1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $54.6 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $9.1 \%$ of offenders committed $42.9 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.64$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=3.00$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Tillamook County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 130 | 132 | 123 | 138 | 116 | 57.0 | 60.8 | 55.2 | 67.0 | 57.1 |  |
| 1 | 63 | 44 | 56 | 35 | 46 | 27.6 | 20.3 | 25.1 | 17.0 | 22.7 |  |
| 2 | 19 | 21 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 8.3 | 9.7 | 8.5 | 9.2 | 9.4 |  |
| 3 | 6 | 10 | 11 | 2 | 6 | 2.6 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 1.0 | 3.0 |  |
| 4 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 1.3 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |
| 5 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |
| 6 O Over | 2 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 3.9 | 5.9 |  |
| TOTALS | 228 | 217 | 223 | 206 | 203 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 3 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 3 offenders committed $5.6 \%$ of the total new referrals.

1996-2000 Offender Recidivism


1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $59.3 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $9.0 \%$ of offenders committed $52.1 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.85$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=4.91$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Umatilla County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 484 | 457 | 421 | 430 | 431 | 64.1 | 59.0 | 58.1 | 61.3 | 63.7 |  |
| 1 | 151 | 156 | 140 | 150 | 129 | 20.0 | 20.1 | 19.3 | 21.4 | 19.1 |  |
| 2 | 46 | 85 | 82 | 46 | 48 | 6.1 | 11.0 | 11.3 | 6.6 | 7.1 |  |
| 3 | 39 | 36 | 36 | 28 | 27 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| 4 | 16 | 15 | 19 | 21 | 17 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 2.5 |  |
| 5 | 9 | 14 | 17 | 13 | 14 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 2.1 |  |
| 6 Over | 10 | 12 | 10 | 13 | 11 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.6 |  |
| TOTALS | 755 | 775 | 725 | 701 | 677 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |  | 2000.

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 17 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 17 offenders committed $12.8 \%$ of the total new referrals.


## 1996-2000 Offender Recidivism



1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $61.2 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $10.4 \%$ of offenders committed $54.8 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.82$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=4.31$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Union County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 149 | 113 | 128 | 148 | 82 | 65.6 | 61.7 | 65.0 | 64.1 | 61.2 |  |
| 1 | 39 | 31 | 38 | 52 | 36 | 17.2 | 16.9 | 19.3 | 22.5 | 26.9 |  |
| 2 | 18 | 14 | 21 | 22 | 6 | 7.9 | 7.7 | 10.7 | 9.5 | 4.5 |  |
| 3 | 9 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 2.2 |  |
| 4 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 3.0 |  |
| 5 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 0.7 |  |
| 6 Over | 2 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0.9 | 3.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.5 |  |
| TOTALS | 227 | 183 | 197 | 231 | 134 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 39 | 31 | 38 | 52 | 36 | 23.5 | 17.3 | 31.7 | 38.5 | 39.1 |
| 2 | 36 | 28 | 42 | 44 | 12 | 21.7 | 15.6 | 35.0 | 32.6 | 13.0 |
| 3 | 27 | 30 | 18 | 9 | 9 | 16.3 | 16.8 | 15.0 | 6.7 | 9.8 |
| 4 | 20 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 16 | 12.0 | 4.5 | 6.7 | 3.0 | 17.4 |
| 5 | 25 | 35 | 5 | 20 | 5 | 15.1 | 19.6 | 4.2 | 14.8 | 5.4 |
| 6 \& Over | 19 | 47 | 9 | 6 | 14 | 11.4 | 26.3 | 7.5 | 4.4 | 15.2 |
| TOTALS | 166 | 179 | 120 | 135 | 92 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 4 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 4 offenders committed $17.4 \%$ of the total new referrals.

1996-2000 Offender Recidivism


1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $63.8 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $7.7 \%$ of offenders committed $48.3 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.71$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=4.45$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Wallowa County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 12 | 11 | 20 | 30 | 37 | 52.2 | 45.8 | 71.4 | 75.0 | 75.5 |  |
| 1 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 13.0 | 25.0 | 10.7 | 12.5 | 18.4 |  |
| 2 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 17.4 | 12.5 | 3.6 | 7.5 | 0.0 |  |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 13.0 | 12.5 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 2.0 |  |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 |  |
| $6 \&$ Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 4.1 |  |
| TOTALS | 23 | 24 | 28 | 40 | 49 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 12.0 | 23.1 | 16.7 | 17.2 | 27.3 |
| 2 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 32.0 | 23.1 | 11.1 | 20.7 | 0.0 |
| 3 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 36.0 | 34.6 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 12.1 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 20.0 | 19.2 | 0.0 | 17.2 | 0.0 |
| 6 \& Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.8 | 60.6 |
| TOTALS | 25 | 26 | 18 | 29 | 33 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 1 offender had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 1 offender committed $12.1 \%$ of the total new referrals.


## 1996-2000 Offender Recidivism



1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $67.1 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $10.4 \%$ of offenders committed $63.4 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.80$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=4.88$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Wasco County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 171 | 182 | 179 | 164 | 130 | 63.3 | 70.5 | 66.1 | 63.3 | 66.7 |  |
| 1 | 59 | 48 | 51 | 52 | 40 | 21.9 | 18.6 | 18.8 | 20.1 | 20.5 |  |
| 2 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 24 | 12 | 6.7 | 7.8 | 8.1 | 9.3 | 6.2 |  |
| 3 | 9 | 5 | 13 | 6 | 4 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 2.1 |  |
| 4 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.6 |  |
| 5 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.5 |  |
| $6 \&$ Over | 3 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 0.5 |  |
| TOTALS | 270 | 258 | 271 | 259 | 195 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 59 | 48 | 51 | 52 | 40 | 31.6 | 41.0 | 30.9 | 27.1 | 33.9 |
| 2 | 36 | 40 | 44 | 48 | 24 | 19.3 | 34.2 | 26.7 | 25.0 | 20.3 |
| 3 | 27 | 15 | 39 | 18 | 12 | 14.4 | 12.8 | 23.6 | 9.4 | 10.2 |
| 4 | 24 | 4 | 16 | 20 | 20 | 12.8 | 3.4 | 9.7 | 10.4 | 16.9 |
| 5 | 20 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 10.7 | 8.5 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 12.7 |
| 6 \& Over | 21 | 0 | 10 | 49 | 7 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 25.5 | 5.9 |
| TOTALS | 187 | 117 | 165 | 192 | 118 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 5 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 5 offenders committed $16.9 \%$ of the total new referrals.


## 1996-2000 Offender Recidivism



1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $65.9 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $6.5 \%$ of offenders committed $43.3 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.62$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=4.16$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Washington County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 1,290 | 1,241 | 1,131 | 1,080 | 1,189 | 66.8 | 63.4 | 67.5 | 68.6 | 71.0 |  |
| 1 | 306 | 369 | 301 | 297 | 288 | 15.8 | 18.9 | 18.0 | 18.9 | 17.2 |  |
| 2 | 152 | 182 | 120 | 106 | 94 | 7.9 | 9.3 | 7.2 | 6.7 | 5.6 |  |
| 3 | 83 | 81 | 43 | 44 | 47 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 |  |
| 4 | 42 | 46 | 26 | 15 | 21 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.3 |  |
| 5 | 23 | 19 | 16 | 12 | 12 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 |  |
| 6 Over | 36 | 19 | 39 | 20 | 23 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 |  |
| TOTALS | 1,932 | 1,957 | 1,676 | 1,574 | 1,674 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 21 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 21 offenders committed $8.6 \%$ of the total new referrals.


## 1996-2000 Offender Recidivism



1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $67.3 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $7.6 \%$ of offenders committed $51.2 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.67$ for all offenders.
- $\quad$ Referral Rate $=4.51$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.


## Wheeler County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | na | 1 | na | na | 1 | na | 100.0 | na | na | 100.0 |
| 1 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | 0.0 | na | na | 0.0 |
| 2 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | 0.0 | na | na | 0.0 |
| 3 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | 0.0 | na | na | 0.0 |
| 4 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | 0.0 | na | na | 0.0 |
| 5 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | 0.0 | na | na | 0.0 |
| $6 \&$ Over | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | 0.0 | na | na | 0.0 |
| TOTALS | na | 1 | na | na | 1 | na | $100 \%$ | na | na | $100 \%$ |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | 0.0 | na | na | 0.0 |
| 1 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | 0.0 | na | na | 0.0 |
| 2 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | 0.0 | na | na | 0.0 |
| 3 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | 0.0 | na | na | 0.0 |
| 4 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | 0.0 | na | na | 0.0 |
| 5 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | 0.0 | na | na | 0.0 |
| $6 \&$ Over | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | 0.0 | na | na | 0.0 |
| TOTALS | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | $100 \%$ | na | na | $100 \%$ |

"na": Data not available - no offenders.

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 1 offender had no new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row.

1997 \& 2000 Offender Recidivism


1997 \& 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1997 \& 2000:

- $100 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.0$ for all offenders.


## Yamhill County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

## 1996-2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of | Number of Offenders |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Offenders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |
| 0 | 467 | 470 | 417 | 446 | 395 | 56.0 | 60.6 | 59.7 | 61.3 | 66.2 |  |
| 1 | 186 | 149 | 145 | 157 | 112 | 22.3 | 19.2 | 20.7 | 21.6 | 18.8 |  |
| 2 | 76 | 69 | 75 | 68 | 50 | 9.1 | 8.9 | 10.7 | 9.4 | 8.4 |  |
| 3 | 40 | 36 | 30 | 26 | 23 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 3.9 |  |
| 4 | 28 | 26 | 15 | 16 | 10 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1.7 |  |
| 5 | 13 | 12 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.8 |  |
| 6 Over | 24 | 13 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.3 |  |
| TOTALS | 834 | 775 | 699 | 727 | 597 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


| Number of | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) |  |  |  |  | Percent of Overall Crime |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Referrals | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |  | 2000.

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 10 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 10 offenders committed $11.1 \%$ of the total new referrals.


## 1996-2000 Offender Recidivism



1996-2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals


Summary of findings, averages for 1996 - 2000:

- $60.4 \%$ of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- $9.6 \%$ of offenders committed $51.1 \%$ of new crime.
- Referral Rate $=0.80$ for all offenders.
- Referral Rate $=4.25$ for offenders with three or more new crimes.

1996

| 1996 |  |  | No New Referrals |  |  | 1 New Referral |  |  | 2 New Referrals |  |  | Chronic Offender (3+) Referrals |  |  |  | Re-referral Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | Total Number of Offender s | Total <br> Number <br> of New <br> Referral <br> s | Offender s With <br> No New <br> Referrals | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { Offender } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | \% of Referral s | Offender $s$ With 1 New Referral | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { Offender } \\ s \end{gathered}$ | \% of Referral s | Offender s With 2 New Referrals | \% of Offender s | $\begin{array}{c}\% \text { of } \\ \text { Referral } \\ \mathrm{s}\end{array}$ | Chronic Offender s | Total <br> Number <br> of 3+ <br> Referral <br> s | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { Offender } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | \% of Referral s | Rate - All Offender s | Rate Chronic <br> Offenders |
| Baker | 116 | 125 | 66 | 56.9\% | 0.0\% | 25 | 21.6\% | 20.0\% | 11 | 9.5\% | 17.6\% | 14 | 78 | 12.1\% | 62.4\% | 1.08 | 5.57 |
| Benton | 145 | 175 | 80 | 55.2\% | 0.0\% | 30 | 20.7\% | 17.1\% | 16 | 11.0\% | 18.3\% | 19 | 113 | 13.1\% | 64.6\% | 1.21 | 5.95 |
| Clackamas | 1680 | 964 | 1193 | 71.0\% | 0.0\% | 282 | 16.8\% | 29.3\% | 108 | 6.4\% | 22.4\% | 97 | 466 | 5.8\% | 48.3\% | 0.57 | 4.80 |
| Clatsop | 365 | 218 | 267 | 73.2\% | 0.0\% | 48 | 13.2\% | 22.0\% | 19 | 5.2\% | 17.4\% | 31 | 132 | 8.5\% | 60.6\% | 0.60 | 4.26 |
| Columbia | 337 | 220 | 233 | 69.1\% | 0.0\% | 57 | 16.9\% | 25.9\% | 22 | 6.5\% | 20.0\% | 25 | 119 | 7.4\% | 54.1\% | 0.65 | 4.76 |
| Coos | 644 | 592 | 380 | 59.0\% | 0.0\% | 126 | 19.6\% | 21.3\% | 54 | 8.4\% | 18.2\% | 84 | 358 | 13.0\% | 60.5\% | 0.92 | 4.26 |
| Crook | 6 | 2 | 4 | 66.7\% | 0.0\% | 2 | 33.3\% | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.33 | 0.00 |
| Curry | 208 | 177 | 128 | 61.5\% | 0.0\% | 41 | 19.7\% | 23.2\% | 15 | 7.2\% | 16.9\% | 24 | 106 | 11.5\% | 59.9\% | 0.85 | 4.42 |
| Deschutes | 722 | 872 | 351 | 48.6\% | 0.0\% | 165 | 22.9\% | 18.9\% | 88 | 12.2\% | 20.2\% | 118 | 531 | 16.3\% | 60.9\% | 1.21 | 4.50 |
| Douglas | 1062 | 1315 | 617 | 58.1\% | 0.0\% | 213 | 20.1\% | 16.2\% | 77 | 7.3\% | 11.7\% | 155 | 948 | 14.6\% | 72.1\% | 1.24 | 6.12 |
| Gilliam | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Grant | 8 | 5 | 4 | 50.0\% | 0.0\% | 3 | 37.5\% | 60.0\% | 1 | 12.5\% | 40.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.63 | n/a |
| Harney | 52 | 21 | 40 | 76.9\% | 0.0\% | 7 | 13.5\% | 33.3\% | 2 | 3.8\% | 19.0\% | 3 | 10 | 5.8\% | 47.6\% | 0.40 | 3.33 |
| Hood River | 9 | 3 | 6 | 66.7\% | 0.0\% | 3 | 33.3\% | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.33 | n/a |
| Jackson | 1940 | 1441 | 1238 | 63.8\% | 0.0\% | 369 | 19.0\% | 25.6\% | 158 | 8.1\% | 21.9\% | 175 | 756 | 9.0\% | 52.5\% | 0.74 | 4.32 |
| Jefferson | 241 | 191 | 136 | 56.4\% | 0.0\% | 61 | 25.3\% | 31.9\% | 23 | 9.5\% | 24.1\% | 21 | 84 | 8.7\% | 44.0\% | 0.79 | 4.00 |
| Josephine | 420 | 499 | 247 | 58.8\% | 0.0\% | 76 | 18.1\% | 15.2\% | 39 | 9.3\% | 15.6\% | 58 | 345 | 13.8\% | 69.1\% | 1.19 | 5.95 |
| Klamath | 526 | 360 | 342 | 65.0\% | 0.0\% | 104 | 19.8\% | 28.9\% | 39 | 7.4\% | 21.7\% | 41 | 178 | 7.8\% | 49.4\% | 0.68 | 4.34 |
| Lake | 66 | 46 | 43 | 65.2\% | 0.0\% | 14 | 21.2\% | 30.4\% | 2 | 3.0\% | 8.7\% | 7 | 28 | 10.6\% | 60.9\% | 0.70 | 4.00 |
| Lane | 2211 | 1870 | 1431 | 64.7\% | 0.0\% | 372 | 16.8\% | 19.9\% | 172 | 7.8\% | 18.4\% | 236 | 1154 | 10.7\% | 61.7\% | 0.85 | 4.89 |
| Lincoln | 387 | 265 | 242 | 62.5\% | 0.0\% | 86 | 22.2\% | 32.5\% | 28 | 7.2\% | 21.1\% | 31 | 123 | 8.0\% | 46.4\% | 0.68 | 3.97 |
| Linn | 972 | 980 | 602 | 61.9\% | 0.0\% | 173 | 17.8\% | 17.7\% | 75 | 7.7\% | 15.3\% | 122 | 657 | 12.6\% | 67.0\% | 1.01 | 5.39 |
| Malheur | 518 | 423 | 332 | 64.1\% | 0.0\% | 101 | 19.5\% | 23.9\% | 36 | 6.9\% | 17.0\% | 49 | 250 | 9.5\% | 59.1\% | 0.82 | 5.10 |
| Marion | 2379 | 1930 | 1461 | 61.4\% | 0.0\% | 482 | 20.3\% | 25.0\% | 212 | 8.9\% | 22.0\% | 224 | 1024 | 9.4\% | 53.1\% | 0.81 | 4.57 |
| Morrow | 77 | 80 | 48 | 62.3\% | 0.0\% | 10 | 13.0\% | 12.5\% | 9 | 11.7\% | 22.5\% | 10 | 52 | 13.0\% | 65.0\% | 1.04 | 5.20 |
| Multnomah | 4370 | 3334 | 2706 | 61.9\% | 0.0\% | 892 | 20.4\% | 26.8\% | 367 | 8.4\% | 22.0\% | 405 | 1708 | 9.3\% | 51.2\% | 0.76 | 4.22 |
| Polk | 461 | 395 | 278 | 60.3\% | 0.0\% | 80 | 17.4\% | 20.3\% | 49 | 10.6\% | 24.8\% | 54 | 217 | 11.7\% | 54.9\% | 0.86 | 4.02 |
| Sherman | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.00 | n/a |
| Tillamook | 228 | 181 | 130 | 57.0\% | 0.0\% | 63 | 27.6\% | 34.8\% | 19 | 8.3\% | 21.0\% | 16 | 80 | 7.0\% | 44.2\% | 0.79 | 5.00 |
| Umatilla | 755 | 549 | 484 | 64.1\% | 0.0\% | 151 | 20.0\% | 27.5\% | 46 | 6.1\% | 16.8\% | 74 | 306 | 9.8\% | 55.7\% | 0.73 | 4.14 |
| Union | 227 | 166 | 149 | 65.6\% | 0.0\% | 39 | 17.2\% | 23.5\% | 18 | 7.9\% | 21.7\% | 21 | 91 | 9.3\% | 54.8\% | 0.73 | 4.33 |
| Wallowa | 23 | 25 | 12 | 52.2\% | 0.0\% | 3 | 13.0\% | 12.0\% | 4 | 17.4\% | 32.0\% | 4 | 14 | 17.4\% | 56.0\% | 1.09 | 3.50 |
| Wasco Washingto | 270 | 187 | 171 | 63.3\% | 0.0\% | 59 | 21.9\% | 31.6\% | 18 | 6.7\% | 19.3\% | 22 | 92 | 8.1\% | 49.2\% | 0.69 | 4.18 |
| n | 1932 | 1427 | 1290 | 66.8\% | 0.0\% | 306 | 15.8\% | 21.4\% | 152 | 7.9\% | 21.3\% | 184 | 817 | 9.5\% | 57.3\% | 0.74 | 4.44 |
| Wheeler | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Yamhill | 834 | 828 | 467 | 56.0\% | 0.0\% | 186 | 22.3\% | 22.5\% | 76 | 9.1\% | 18.4\% | 105 | 490 | 12.6\% | 59.2\% | 0.99 | 4.67 |

1997

| 1997 |  |  | No New Referrals |  |  | 1 New Referral |  |  | 2 New Referrals |  |  | Chronic Offender (3+) Referrals |  |  |  | Re-referral Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | Total Number of Offender S | Total <br> Number <br> of New Referral <br> s | Offender <br> s <br> With <br> No New <br> Referrals | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { Offender } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\% \text { of } \\ \text { Referral } \\ s\end{array}$ <br> $0.0 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Offender } \\ \text { s } \\ \text { With } \\ \\ 1 \text { New } \\ \text { Referral } \end{gathered}$ | \% of Offender s | $\begin{array}{c}\% \text { of } \\ \text { Referral } \\ \text { s }\end{array}$ <br> $8.0 \%$ | Offender <br> s <br> With <br> 2 New <br> Referrals | \% of Offender s | $\begin{array}{c}\% \text { of } \\ \text { Referral } \\ s\end{array}$ <br> s. | Chronic Offender S | Total <br> Number <br> of 3+ Referral <br> s | \% of Offender s |  | Rate - All Offender s | Rate Chronic <br> Offenders |
| Baker | 130 | 249 | 73 | 56.2\% | 0.0\% | 20 | 15.4\% | 8.0\% | 12 | 9.2\% | 9.6\% | 25 | 205 | 19.2\% | 82.3\% | 1.92 | 8.20 |
| Benton | 200 | 312 | 103 | 51.5\% | 0.0\% | 46 | 23.0\% | 14.7\% | 13 | 6.5\% | 8.3\% | 38 | 240 | 19.0\% | 76.9\% | 1.56 | 6.32 |
| Clackamas | 1735 | 935 | 1213 | 69.9\% | 0.0\% | 295 | 17.0\% | 31.6\% | 128 | 7.4\% | 27.4\% | 99 | 384 | 5.7\% | 41.1\% | 0.54 | 3.88 |
| Clatsop | 321 | 158 | 235 | 73.2\% | 0.0\% | 59 | 18.4\% | 37.3\% | 12 | 3.7\% | 15.2\% | 15 | 75 | 4.7\% | 47.5\% | 0.49 | 5.00 |
| Columbia | 339 | 230 | 232 | 68.4\% | 0.0\% | 59 | 17.4\% | 25.7\% | 20 | 5.9\% | 17.4\% | 28 | 131 | 8.3\% | 57.0\% | 0.68 | 4.68 |
| Coos | 577 | 568 | 335 | 58.1\% | 0.0\% | 110 | 19.1\% | 19.4\% | 51 | 8.8\% | 18.0\% | 81 | 356 | 14.0\% | 62.7\% | 0.98 | 4.40 |
| Crook | 8 | 2 | 7 | 87.5\% | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1 | 12.5\% | 100.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.25 | n/a |
| Curry | 175 | 147 | 98 | 56.0\% | 0.0\% | 44 | 25.1\% | 29.9\% | 15 | 8.6\% | 20.4\% | 18 | 73 | 10.3\% | 49.7\% | 0.84 | 4.06 |
| Deschutes | 742 | 902 | 351 | 47.3\% | 0.0\% | 188 | 25.3\% | 20.8\% | 98 | 13.2\% | 21.7\% | 105 | 518 | 14.2\% | 57.4\% | 1.22 | 4.93 |
| Douglas | 949 | 1061 | 546 | 57.5\% | 0.0\% | 201 | 21.2\% | 18.9\% | 88 | 9.3\% | 16.6\% | 114 | 684 | 12.0\% | 64.5\% | 1.12 | 6.00 |
| Gilliam | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Grant | 19 | 7 | 15 | 78.9\% | 0.0\% | 2 | 10.5\% | 28.6\% | 1 | 5.3\% | 28.6\% | 1 | 3 | 5.3\% | 42.9\% | 0.37 | 3.00 |
| Harney | 22 | 14 | 14 | 63.6\% | 0.0\% | 4 | 18.2\% | 28.6\% | 2 | 9.1\% | 28.6\% | 2 | 6 | 9.1\% | 42.9\% | 0.64 | 3.00 |
| Hood River | 20 | 10 | 13 | 65.0\% | 0.0\% | 5 | 25.0\% | 50.0\% | 1 | 5.0\% | 20.0\% | 1 | 3 | 5.0\% | 30.0\% | 0.50 | 3.00 |
| Jackson | 1866 | 1597 | 1127 | 60.4\% | 0.0\% | 374 | 20.0\% | 23.4\% | 161 | 8.6\% | 20.2\% | 204 | 901 | 10.9\% | 56.4\% | 0.86 | 4.42 |
| Jefferson | 212 | 191 | 110 | 51.9\% | 0.0\% | 57 | 26.9\% | 29.8\% | 24 | 11.3\% | 25.1\% | 21 | 86 | 9.9\% | 45.0\% | 0.90 | 4.10 |
| Josephine | 488 | 590 | 280 | 57.4\% | 0.0\% | 87 | 17.8\% | 14.7\% | 49 | 10.0\% | 16.6\% | 72 | 405 | 14.8\% | 68.6\% | 1.21 | 5.63 |
| Klamath | 533 | 407 | 330 | 61.9\% | 0.0\% | 102 | 19.1\% | 25.1\% | 45 | 8.4\% | 22.1\% | 56 | 215 | 10.5\% | 52.8\% | 0.76 | 3.84 |
| Lake | 50 | 37 | 32 | 64.0\% | 0.0\% | 8 | 16.0\% | 21.6\% | 6 | 12.0\% | 32.4\% | 4 | 17 | 8.0\% | 45.9\% | 0.74 | 4.25 |
| Lane | 2112 | 2028 | 1340 | 63.4\% | 0.0\% | 361 | 17.1\% | 17.8\% | 176 | 8.3\% | 17.4\% | 235 | 1315 | 11.1\% | 64.8\% | 0.96 | 5.60 |
| Lincoln | 402 | 466 | 252 | 62.7\% | 0.0\% | 79 | 19.7\% | 17.0\% | 32 | 8.0\% | 13.7\% | 39 | 323 | 9.7\% | 69.3\% | 1.16 | 8.28 |
| Linn | 779 | 792 | 451 | 57.9\% | 0.0\% | 160 | 20.5\% | 20.2\% | 77 | 9.9\% | 19.4\% | 91 | 478 | 11.7\% | 60.4\% | 1.02 | 5.25 |
| Malheur | 462 | 398 | 278 | 60.2\% | 0.0\% | 94 | 20.3\% | 23.6\% | 40 | 8.7\% | 20.1\% | 50 | 224 | 10.8\% | 56.3\% | 0.86 | 4.48 |
| Marion | 2383 | 1906 | 1500 | 62.9\% | 0.0\% | 469 | 19.7\% | 24.6\% | 190 | 8.0\% | 19.9\% | 224 | 1057 | 9.4\% | 55.5\% | 0.80 | 4.72 |
| Morrow | 77 | 118 | 37 | 48.1\% | 0.0\% | 15 | 19.5\% | 12.7\% | 10 | 13.0\% | 16.9\% | 15 | 83 | 19.5\% | 70.3\% | 1.53 | 5.53 |
| Multnomah | 4179 | 3212 | 2601 | 62.2\% | 0.0\% | 797 | 19.1\% | 24.8\% | 386 | 9.2\% | 24.0\% | 395 | 1643 | 9.5\% | 51.2\% | 0.77 | 4.16 |
| Polk | 473 | 343 | 295 | 62.4\% | 0.0\% | 91 | 19.2\% | 26.5\% | 46 | 9.7\% | 26.8\% | 41 | 160 | 8.7\% | 46.6\% | 0.73 | 3.90 |
| Sherman | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.00 | n/a |
| Tillamook | 217 | 158 | 132 | 60.8\% | 0.0\% | 44 | 20.3\% | 27.8\% | 21 | 9.7\% | 26.6\% | 20 | 72 | 9.2\% | 45.6\% | 0.73 | 3.60 |
| Umatilla | 775 | 654 | 457 | 59.0\% | 0.0\% | 156 | 20.1\% | 23.9\% | 85 | 11.0\% | 26.0\% | 77 | 328 | 9.9\% | 50.2\% | 0.84 | 4.26 |
| Union | 183 | 179 | 113 | 61.7\% | 0.0\% | 31 | 16.9\% | 17.3\% | 14 | 7.7\% | 15.6\% | 25 | 120 | 13.7\% | 67.0\% | 0.98 | 4.80 |
| Wallowa | 24 | 26 | 11 | 45.8\% | 0.0\% | 6 | 25.0\% | 23.1\% | 3 | 12.5\% | 23.1\% | 4 | 14 | 16.7\% | 53.8\% | 1.08 | 3.50 |
| Wasco Washingto | 258 | 117 | 182 | 70.5\% | 0.0\% | 48 | 18.6\% | 41.0\% | 20 | 7.8\% | 34.2\% | 8 | 29 | 3.1\% | 24.8\% | 0.45 | 3.63 |
| n | 1957 | 1384 | 1241 | 63.4\% | 0.0\% | 369 | 18.9\% | 26.7\% | 182 | 9.3\% | 26.3\% | 165 | 651 | 8.4\% | 47.0\% | 0.71 | 3.95 |
| Wheeler | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100.0\% | n/a | 0 | 0.0\% | n/a | 0 | 0.0\% | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | n/a | 0.00 | n/a |
| Yamhill | 775 | 657 | 470 | 60.6\% | 0.0\% | 149 | 19.2\% | 22.7\% | 69 | 8.9\% | 21.0\% | 87 | 370 | 11.2\% | 56.3\% | 0.85 | 4.25 |

1998

| 1998 |  |  | No New Referrals |  |  | 1 New Referral |  |  | 2 New Referrals |  |  | Chronic Offender (3+) Referrals |  |  |  | Re-referral Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | Total <br> Number <br> of Offender s | Total <br> Number <br> of New Referral <br> s | Offender <br> s <br> With <br> No New <br> Referrals | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { Offender } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | \% of Referral s | Offender <br> s <br> With <br> 1 New <br> Referral | $\begin{array}{c}\% \text { of } \\ \text { Offender } \\ s\end{array}$ | \% of Referral s | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Offender } \\ \text { s } \\ \text { With } \\ 2 \text { New } \\ \text { Referrals } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { Offender } \\ s \end{gathered}$ | \% of Referral s | Chronic Offender s | Total <br> Number <br> of 3+ Referral s | \% of Offender s | $\begin{array}{c}\% \text { of } \\ \text { Referral } \\ \mathrm{s}\end{array}$ | Rate - All Offender s | Rate Chronic <br> Offenders |
| Baker | 122 | 318 | 59 | 48.4\% | 0.0\% | 16 | 13.1\% | 5.0\% | 21 | 17.2\% | 13.2\% | 26 | 260 | 21.3\% | 81.8\% | 2.61 | 10.00 |
| Benton | 231 | 448 | 109 | 47.2\% | 0.0\% | 46 | 19.9\% | 10.3\% | 33 | 14.3\% | 14.7\% | 43 | 336 | 18.6\% | 75.0\% | 1.94 | 7.81 |
| Clackamas | 1543 | 782 | 1108 | 71.8\% | 0.0\% | 268 | 17.4\% | 34.3\% | 93 | 6.0\% | 23.8\% | 74 | 328 | 4.8\% | 41.9\% | 0.51 | 4.43 |
| Clatsop | 297 | 144 | 217 | 73.1\% | 0.0\% | 46 | 15.5\% | 31.9\% | 19 | 6.4\% | 26.4\% | 15 | 60 | 5.1\% | 41.7\% | 0.48 | 4.00 |
| Columbia | 356 | 235 | 230 | 64.6\% | 0.0\% | 71 | 19.9\% | 30.2\% | 22 | 6.2\% | 18.7\% | 33 | 120 | 9.3\% | 51.1\% | 0.66 | 3.64 |
| Coos | 611 | 557 | 359 | 58.8\% | 0.0\% | 129 | 21.1\% | 23.2\% | 43 | 7.0\% | 15.4\% | 80 | 342 | 13.1\% | 61.4\% | 0.91 | 4.28 |
| Crook | 19 | 13 | 11 | 57.9\% | 0.0\% | 5 | 26.3\% | 38.5\% | 1 | 5.3\% | 15.4\% | 2 | 6 | 10.5\% | 46.2\% | 0.68 | 3.00 |
| Curry | 224 | 188 | 141 | 62.9\% | 0.0\% | 41 | 18.3\% | 21.8\% | 22 | 9.8\% | 23.4\% | 20 | 103 | 8.9\% | 54.8\% | 0.84 | 5.15 |
| Deschutes | 917 | 1031 | 450 | 49.1\% | 0.0\% | 222 | 24.2\% | 21.5\% | 109 | 11.9\% | 21.1\% | 136 | 591 | 14.8\% | 57.3\% | 1.12 | 4.35 |
| Douglas | 907 | 768 | 570 | 62.8\% | 0.0\% | 176 | 19.4\% | 22.9\% | 75 | 8.3\% | 19.5\% | 86 | 442 | 9.5\% | 57.6\% | 0.85 | 5.14 |
| Gilliam | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100.0\% | n/a | 0 | 0.0\% | n/a | 0 | 0.0\% | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | n/a | 0.00 | n/a |
| Grant | 19 | 6 | 15 | 78.9\% | 0.0\% | 3 | 15.8\% | 50.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1 | 3 | 5.3\% | 50.0\% | 0.32 | 3.00 |
| Harney Hood | 12 | 7 | 8 | 66.7\% | 0.0\% | 1 | 8.3\% | 14.3\% | 3 | 25.0\% | 85.7\% | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.58 | n/a |
| River | 30 | 36 | 14 | 46.7\% | 0.0\% | 7 | 23.3\% | 19.4\% | 3 | 10.0\% | 16.7\% | 6 | 23 | 20.0\% | 63.9\% | 1.20 | 3.83 |
| Jackson | 1848 | 1384 | 1191 | 64.4\% | 0.0\% | 341 | 18.5\% | 24.6\% | 146 | 7.9\% | 21.1\% | 170 | 751 | 9.2\% | 54.3\% | 0.75 | 4.42 |
| Jefferson | 247 | 175 | 154 | 62.3\% | 0.0\% | 51 | 20.6\% | 29.1\% | 26 | 10.5\% | 29.7\% | 16 | 72 | 6.5\% | 41.1\% | 0.71 | 4.50 |
| Josephine | 482 | 356 | 310 | 64.3\% | 0.0\% | 92 | 19.1\% | 25.8\% | 38 | 7.9\% | 21.3\% | 42 | 188 | 8.7\% | 52.8\% | 0.74 | 4.48 |
| Klamath | 574 | 332 | 370 | 64.5\% | 0.0\% | 129 | 22.5\% | 38.9\% | 42 | 7.3\% | 25.3\% | 33 | 119 | 5.7\% | 35.8\% | 0.58 | 3.61 |
| Lake | 40 | 18 | 28 | 70.0\% | 0.0\% | 8 | 20.0\% | 44.4\% | 2 | 5.0\% | 22.2\% | 2 | 6 | 5.0\% | 33.3\% | 0.45 | 3.00 |
| Lane | 1825 | 1674 | 1127 | 61.8\% | 0.0\% | 329 | 18.0\% | 19.7\% | 169 | 9.3\% | 20.2\% | 200 | 1007 | 11.0\% | 60.2\% | 0.92 | 5.04 |
| Lincoln | 383 | 322 | 235 | 61.4\% | 0.0\% | 93 | 24.3\% | 28.9\% | 24 | 6.3\% | 14.9\% | 31 | 181 | 8.1\% | 56.2\% | 0.84 | 5.84 |
| Linn | 779 | 474 | 518 | 66.5\% | 0.0\% | 151 | 19.4\% | 31.9\% | 58 | 7.4\% | 24.5\% | 52 | 207 | 6.7\% | 43.7\% | 0.61 | 3.98 |
| Malheur | 483 | 377 | 310 | 64.2\% | 0.0\% | 95 | 19.7\% | 25.2\% | 32 | 6.6\% | 17.0\% | 46 | 218 | 9.5\% | 57.8\% | 0.78 | 4.74 |
| Marion | 2122 | 1504 | 1382 | 65.1\% | 0.0\% | 405 | 19.1\% | 26.9\% | 163 | 7.7\% | 21.7\% | 172 | 773 | 8.1\% | 51.4\% | 0.71 | 4.49 |
| Morrow | 119 | 144 | 67 | 56.3\% | 0.0\% | 19 | 16.0\% | 13.2\% | 11 | 9.2\% | 15.3\% | 22 | 103 | 18.5\% | 71.5\% | 1.21 | 4.68 |
| Multnomah | 3862 | 2986 | 2383 | 61.7\% | 0.0\% | 820 | 21.2\% | 27.5\% | 306 | 7.9\% | 20.5\% | 353 | 1554 | 9.1\% | 52.0\% | 0.77 | 4.40 |
| Polk | 438 | 271 | 288 | 65.8\% | 0.0\% | 86 | 19.6\% | 31.7\% | 32 | 7.3\% | 23.6\% | 32 | 121 | 7.3\% | 44.6\% | 0.62 | 3.78 |
| Sherman | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100.0\% | n/a | 0 | 0.0\% | n/a | 0 | 0.0\% | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | n/a | 0.00 | n/a |
| Tillamook | 223 | 200 | 123 | 55.2\% | 0.0\% | 56 | 25.1\% | 28.0\% | 19 | 8.5\% | 19.0\% | 25 | 106 | 11.2\% | 53.0\% | 0.90 | 4.24 |
| Umatilla | 725 | 662 | 421 | 58.1\% | 0.0\% | 140 | 19.3\% | 21.1\% | 82 | 11.3\% | 24.8\% | 82 | 358 | 11.3\% | 54.1\% | 0.91 | 4.37 |
| Union | 197 | 120 | 128 | 65.0\% | 0.0\% | 38 | 19.3\% | 31.7\% | 21 | 10.7\% | 35.0\% | 10 | 40 | 5.1\% | 33.3\% | 0.61 | 4.00 |
| Wallowa | 28 | 18 | 20 | 71.4\% | 0.0\% | 3 | 10.7\% | 16.7\% | 1 | 3.6\% | 11.1\% | 4 | 13 | 14.3\% | 72.2\% | 0.64 | 3.25 |
| Wasco | 271 | 165 | 179 | 66.1\% | 0.0\% | 51 | 18.8\% | 30.9\% | 22 | 8.1\% | 26.7\% | 19 | 70 | 7.0\% | 42.4\% | 0.61 | 3.68 |
| Washington | 1676 | 1176 | 1131 | 67.5\% | 0.0\% | 301 | 18.0\% | 25.6\% | 120 | 7.2\% | 20.4\% | 124 | 635 | 7.4\% | 54.0\% | 0.70 | 5.12 |
| Wheeler | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Yamhill | 699 | 552 | 417 | 59.7\% | 0.0\% | 145 | 20.7\% | 26.3\% | 75 | 10.7\% | 27.2\% | 62 | 257 | 8.9\% | 46.6\% | 0.79 | 4.15 |

1999

| 1999 |  |  | No New Referrals |  |  | 1 New Referral |  |  | 2 New Referrals |  |  | Chronic Offender (3+) Referrals |  |  |  | Re-referral Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | Total Number of Offender s | Total <br> Number <br> of New Referral <br> s | Offender <br> s <br> With <br> No New <br> Referrals | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { Offender } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\% \text { of } \\ \text { Referral } \\ s\end{array}$ <br> $0.0 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Offender } \\ \text { s } \\ \text { With } \\ \\ 1 \text { New } \\ \text { Referral } \end{gathered}$ | \% of Offender s | $\begin{array}{c}\% \text { of } \\ \text { Referral } \\ s\end{array}$ <br> s. | Offender <br> s <br> With <br> 2 New <br> Referrals | \% of Offender s | $\begin{array}{c}\% \text { of } \\ \text { Referral } \\ \mathrm{s}\end{array}$ | Chronic Offender S | Total <br> Number <br> of 3+ <br> Referral <br> s | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { Offender } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\% \text { of } \\ \text { Referral } \\ \mathrm{s}\end{array}$ | Rate - All Offender s | Rate Chronic <br> Offenders |
| Baker | 111 | 141 | 51 | 45.9\% | 0.0\% | 27 | 24.3\% | 19.1\% | 15 | 13.5\% | 21.3\% | 18 | 84 | 16.2\% | 59.6\% | 1.27 | 4.67 |
| Benton | 273 | 451 | 149 | 54.6\% | 0.0\% | 58 | 21.2\% | 12.9\% | 31 | 11.4\% | 13.7\% | 35 | 331 | 12.8\% | 73.4\% | 1.65 | 9.46 |
| Clackamas | 1567 | 897 | 1086 | 69.3\% | 0.0\% | 290 | 18.5\% | 32.3\% | 94 | 6.0\% | 21.0\% | 97 | 419 | 6.2\% | 46.7\% | 0.57 | 4.32 |
| Clatsop | 270 | 178 | 182 | 67.4\% | 0.0\% | 47 | 17.4\% | 26.4\% | 18 | 6.7\% | 20.2\% | 23 | 95 | 8.5\% | 53.4\% | 0.66 | 4.13 |
| Columbia | 305 | 151 | 209 | 68.5\% | 0.0\% | 61 | 20.0\% | 40.4\% | 23 | 7.5\% | 30.5\% | 12 | 44 | 3.9\% | 29.1\% | 0.50 | 3.67 |
| Coos | 468 | 394 | 255 | 54.5\% | 0.0\% | 123 | 26.3\% | 31.2\% | 51 | 10.9\% | 25.9\% | 39 | 169 | 8.3\% | 42.9\% | 0.84 | 4.33 |
| Crook | 46 | 40 | 22 | 47.8\% | 0.0\% | 14 | 30.4\% | 35.0\% | 5 | 10.9\% | 25.0\% | 5 | 16 | 10.9\% | 40.0\% | 0.87 | 3.20 |
| Curry | 193 | 144 | 122 | 63.2\% | 0.0\% | 40 | 20.7\% | 27.8\% | 15 | 7.8\% | 20.8\% | 16 | 74 | 8.3\% | 51.4\% | 0.75 | 4.63 |
| Deschutes | 991 | 1054 | 498 | 50.3\% | 0.0\% | 241 | 24.3\% | 22.9\% | 119 | 12.0\% | 22.6\% | 133 | 575 | 13.4\% | 54.6\% | 1.06 | 4.32 |
| Douglas | 867 | 744 | 523 | 60.3\% | 0.0\% | 182 | 21.0\% | 24.5\% | 76 | 8.8\% | 20.4\% | 86 | 410 | 9.9\% | 55.1\% | 0.86 | 4.77 |
| Gilliam | 3 | 1 | 2 | 66.7\% | 0.0\% | 1 | 33.3\% | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.33 | n/a |
| Grant | 50 | 26 | 36 | 72.0\% | 0.0\% | 6 | 12.0\% | 23.1\% | 6 | 12.0\% | 46.2\% | 2 | 8 | 4.0\% | 30.8\% | 0.52 | 4.00 |
| Harney | 34 | 27 | 21 | 61.8\% | 0.0\% | 9 | 26.5\% | 33.3\% | 1 | 2.9\% | 7.4\% | 3 | 16 | 8.8\% | 59.3\% | 0.79 | 5.33 |
| Hood River | 65 | 70 | 33 | 50.8\% | 0.0\% | 12 | 18.5\% | 17.1\% | 8 | 12.3\% | 22.9\% | 12 | 42 | 18.5\% | 60.0\% | 1.08 | 3.50 |
| Jackson | 1689 | 1354 | 1073 | 63.5\% | 0.0\% | 316 | 18.7\% | 23.3\% | 161 | 9.5\% | 23.8\% | 139 | 716 | 8.2\% | 52.9\% | 0.80 | 5.15 |
| Jefferson | 213 | 156 | 123 | 57.7\% | 0.0\% | 53 | 24.9\% | 34.0\% | 22 | 10.3\% | 28.2\% | 15 | 59 | 7.0\% | 37.8\% | 0.73 | 3.93 |
| Josephine | 492 | 452 | 306 | 62.2\% | 0.0\% | 95 | 19.3\% | 21.0\% | 43 | 8.7\% | 19.0\% | 48 | 271 | 9.8\% | 60.0\% | 0.92 | 5.65 |
| Klamath | 547 | 328 | 355 | 64.9\% | 0.0\% | 118 | 21.6\% | 36.0\% | 38 | 6.9\% | 23.2\% | 36 | 134 | 6.6\% | 40.9\% | 0.60 | 3.72 |
| Lake | 55 | 43 | 31 | 56.4\% | 0.0\% | 13 | 23.6\% | 30.2\% | 6 | 10.9\% | 27.9\% | 5 | 18 | 9.1\% | 41.9\% | 0.78 | 3.60 |
| Lane | 1801 | 1392 | 1160 | 64.4\% | 0.0\% | 345 | 19.2\% | 24.8\% | 126 | 7.0\% | 18.1\% | 170 | 795 | 9.4\% | 57.1\% | 0.77 | 4.68 |
| Lincoln | 349 | 249 | 229 | 65.6\% | 0.0\% | 61 | 17.5\% | 24.5\% | 28 | 8.0\% | 22.5\% | 31 | 132 | 8.9\% | 53.0\% | 0.71 | 4.26 |
| Linn | 639 | 401 | 437 | 68.4\% | 0.0\% | 107 | 16.7\% | 26.7\% | 54 | 8.5\% | 26.9\% | 41 | 186 | 6.4\% | 46.4\% | 0.63 | 4.54 |
| Malheur | 375 | 326 | 262 | 69.9\% | 0.0\% | 46 | 12.3\% | 14.1\% | 27 | 7.2\% | 16.6\% | 40 | 226 | 10.7\% | 69.3\% | 0.87 | 5.65 |
| Marion | 2038 | 1447 | 1291 | 63.3\% | 0.0\% | 413 | 20.3\% | 28.5\% | 167 | 8.2\% | 23.1\% | 167 | 700 | 8.2\% | 48.4\% | 0.71 | 4.19 |
| Morrow | 105 | 118 | 56 | 53.3\% | 0.0\% | 22 | 21.0\% | 18.6\% | 10 | 9.5\% | 16.9\% | 17 | 76 | 16.2\% | 64.4\% | 1.12 | 4.47 |
| Multnomah | 3323 | 2533 | 2095 | 63.0\% | 0.0\% | 623 | 18.7\% | 24.6\% | 298 | 9.0\% | 23.5\% | 307 | 1314 | 9.2\% | 51.9\% | 0.76 | 4.28 |
| Polk | 399 | 229 | 268 | 67.2\% | 0.0\% | 78 | 19.5\% | 34.1\% | 31 | 7.8\% | 27.1\% | 22 | 89 | 5.5\% | 38.9\% | 0.57 | 4.05 |
| Sherman | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1 | 3 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| Tillamook | 206 | 159 | 138 | 67.0\% | 0.0\% | 35 | 17.0\% | 22.0\% | 19 | 9.2\% | 23.9\% | 14 | 86 | 6.8\% | 54.1\% | 0.77 | 6.14 |
| Umatilla | 701 | 567 | 430 | 61.3\% | 0.0\% | 150 | 21.4\% | 26.5\% | 46 | 6.6\% | 16.2\% | 75 | 325 | 10.7\% | 57.3\% | 0.81 | 4.33 |
| Union | 231 | 135 | 148 | 64.1\% | 0.0\% | 52 | 22.5\% | 38.5\% | 22 | 9.5\% | 32.6\% | 9 | 39 | 3.9\% | 28.9\% | 0.58 | 4.33 |
| Wallowa | 40 | 29 | 30 | 75.0\% | 0.0\% | 5 | 12.5\% | 17.2\% | 3 | 7.5\% | 20.7\% | 2 | 18 | 5.0\% | 62.1\% | 0.73 | 9.00 |
| Wasco Washingto | 259 | 192 | 164 | 63.3\% | 0.0\% | 52 | 20.1\% | 27.1\% | 24 | 9.3\% | 25.0\% | 19 | 92 | 7.3\% | 47.9\% | 0.74 | 4.84 |
| n | 1574 | 910 | 1080 | 68.6\% | 0.0\% | 297 | 18.9\% | 32.6\% | 106 | 6.7\% | 23.3\% | 91 | 401 | 5.8\% | 44.1\% | 0.58 | 4.41 |
| Wheeler | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Yamhill | 727 | 517 | 446 | 61.3\% | 0.0\% | 157 | 21.6\% | 30.4\% | 68 | 9.4\% | 26.3\% | 56 | 224 | 7.7\% | 43.3\% | 0.71 | 4.00 |


| 2000 |  |  | No New Referrals |  |  | 1 New Referral |  |  | 2 New Referrals |  |  | Chronic Offender (3+) Referrals |  |  |  | Re-referral Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | Total Number of Offender S | Total <br> Number <br> of New <br> Referral <br> s | Offender <br> s With <br> No New <br> Referrals | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { Offender } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | \% of Referral s | Offender s With 1 New Referral | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { Offender } \\ s \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { \% of } \\ \text { Referral } \\ \text { s }\end{array}$ | Offender s With 2 New Referrals | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { Offender } \\ \mathrm{s} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\% \text { of } \\ \text { Referral } \\ \mathrm{s}\end{array}$ | Chronic Offender s | Total <br> Number <br> of 3+ <br> Referral <br> s | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { Offender } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\% \text { of } \\ \text { Referral } \\ s\end{array}$ | Rate - All Offender s | Rate Chronic <br> Offenders |
| Baker | 138 | 100 | 89 | 64.5\% | 0.0\% | 21 | 15.2\% | 21.0\% | 17 | 12.3\% | 34.0\% | 11 | 45 | 8.0\% | 45.0\% | 0.72 | 4.09 |
| Benton | 271 | 199 | 172 | 63.5\% | 0.0\% | 50 | 18.5\% | 25.1\% | 25 | 9.2\% | 25.1\% | 24 | 99 | 8.9\% | 49.7\% | 0.73 | 4.13 |
| Clackamas | 1777 | 938 | 1188 | 66.9\% | 0.0\% | 399 | 22.5\% | 42.5\% | 116 | 6.5\% | 24.7\% | 74 | 307 | 4.2\% | 32.7\% | 0.53 | 4.15 |
| Clatsop | 332 | 168 | 239 | 72.0\% | 0.0\% | 55 | 16.6\% | 32.7\% | 23 | 6.9\% | 27.4\% | 15 | 67 | 4.5\% | 39.9\% | 0.51 | 4.47 |
| Columbia | 308 | 220 | 201 | 65.3\% | 0.0\% | 54 | 17.5\% | 24.5\% | 23 | 7.5\% | 20.9\% | 30 | 120 | 9.7\% | 54.5\% | 0.71 | 4.00 |
| Coos | 442 | 220 | 312 | 70.6\% | 0.0\% | 81 | 18.3\% | 36.8\% | 28 | 6.3\% | 25.5\% | 21 | 83 | 4.8\% | 37.7\% | 0.50 | 3.95 |
| Crook | 163 | 109 | 105 | 64.4\% | 0.0\% | 32 | 19.6\% | 29.4\% | 13 | 8.0\% | 23.9\% | 13 | 51 | 8.0\% | 46.8\% | 0.67 | 3.92 |
| Curry | 161 | 123 | 100 | 62.1\% | 0.0\% | 33 | 20.5\% | 26.8\% | 14 | 8.7\% | 22.8\% | 14 | 62 | 8.7\% | 50.4\% | 0.76 | 4.43 |
| Deschutes | 1037 | 846 | 583 | 56.2\% | 0.0\% | 252 | 24.3\% | 29.8\% | 104 | 10.0\% | 24.6\% | 98 | 386 | 9.5\% | 45.6\% | 0.82 | 3.94 |
| Douglas | 799 | 659 | 515 | 64.5\% | 0.0\% | 128 | 16.0\% | 19.4\% | 69 | 8.6\% | 20.9\% | 87 | 393 | 10.9\% | 59.6\% | 0.82 | 4.52 |
| Gilliam | 7 | 2 | 6 | 85.7\% | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1 | 14.3\% | 100.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.29 | n/a |
| Grant | 40 | 27 | 25 | 62.5\% | 0.0\% | 9 | 22.5\% | 33.3\% | 3 | 7.5\% | 22.2\% | 3 | 12 | 7.5\% | 44.4\% | 0.68 | 4.00 |
| Harney | 47 | 31 | 27 | 57.4\% | 0.0\% | 14 | 29.8\% | 45.2\% | 3 | 6.4\% | 19.4\% | 3 | 11 | 6.4\% | 35.5\% | 0.66 | 3.67 |
| Hood River | 111 | 45 | 86 | 77.5\% | 0.0\% | 13 | 11.7\% | 28.9\% | 6 | 5.4\% | 26.7\% | 6 | 20 | 5.4\% | 44.4\% | 0.41 | 3.33 |
| Jackson | 1673 | 1357 | 1074 | 64.2\% | 0.0\% | 341 | 20.4\% | 25.1\% | 109 | 6.5\% | 16.1\% | 149 | 798 | 8.9\% | 58.8\% | 0.81 | 5.36 |
| Jefferson | 268 | 163 | 173 | 64.6\% | 0.0\% | 58 | 21.6\% | 35.6\% | 23 | 8.6\% | 28.2\% | 14 | 59 | 5.2\% | 36.2\% | 0.61 | 4.21 |
| Josephine | 411 | 268 | 281 | 68.4\% | 0.0\% | 73 | 17.8\% | 27.2\% | 29 | 7.1\% | 21.6\% | 28 | 137 | 6.8\% | 51.1\% | 0.65 | 4.89 |
| Klamath | 542 | 368 | 353 | 65.1\% | 0.0\% | 103 | 19.0\% | 28.0\% | 47 | 8.7\% | 25.5\% | 39 | 171 | 7.2\% | 46.5\% | 0.68 | 4.38 |
| Lake | 74 | 38 | 54 | 73.0\% | 0.0\% | 9 | 12.2\% | 23.7\% | 6 | 8.1\% | 31.6\% | 5 | 17 | 6.8\% | 44.7\% | 0.51 | 3.40 |
| Lane | 1728 | 1356 | 1135 | 65.7\% | 0.0\% | 317 | 18.3\% | 23.4\% | 130 | 7.5\% | 19.2\% | 146 | 779 | 8.4\% | 57.4\% | 0.78 | 5.34 |
| Lincoln | 313 | 204 | 210 | 67.1\% | 0.0\% | 59 | 18.8\% | 28.9\% | 22 | 7.0\% | 21.6\% | 22 | 101 | 7.0\% | 49.5\% | 0.65 | 4.59 |
| Linn | 634 | 403 | 428 | 67.5\% | 0.0\% | 123 | 19.4\% | 30.5\% | 49 | 7.7\% | 24.3\% | 34 | 182 | 5.4\% | 45.2\% | 0.64 | 5.35 |
| Malheur | 434 | 446 | 277 | 63.8\% | 0.0\% | 72 | 16.6\% | 16.1\% | 28 | 6.5\% | 12.6\% | 57 | 318 | 13.1\% | 71.3\% | 1.03 | 5.58 |
| Marion | 2100 | 1253 | 1396 | 66.5\% | 0.0\% | 410 | 19.5\% | 32.7\% | 149 | 7.1\% | 23.8\% | 145 | 545 | 6.9\% | 43.5\% | 0.60 | 3.76 |
| Morrow | 121 | 108 | 71 | 58.7\% | 0.0\% | 31 | 25.6\% | 28.7\% | 6 | 5.0\% | 11.1\% | 13 | 65 | 10.7\% | 60.2\% | 0.89 | 5.00 |
| Multnomah | 3201 | 2738 | 1987 | 62.1\% | 0.0\% | 615 | 19.2\% | 22.5\% | 248 | 7.7\% | 18.1\% | 351 | 1627 | 11.0\% | 59.4\% | 0.86 | 4.64 |
| Polk | 460 | 306 | 310 | 67.4\% | 0.0\% | 85 | 18.5\% | 27.8\% | 29 | 6.3\% | 19.0\% | 36 | 163 | 7.8\% | 53.3\% | 0.67 | 4.53 |
| Sherman | 7 | 2 | 5 | 71.4\% | 0.0\% | 2 | 28.6\% | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.29 | n/a |
| Tillamook | 203 | 216 | 116 | 57.1\% | 0.0\% | 46 | 22.7\% | 21.3\% | 19 | 9.4\% | 17.6\% | 22 | 132 | 10.8\% | 61.1\% | 1.06 | 6.00 |
| Umatilla | 677 | 532 | 431 | 63.7\% | 0.0\% | 129 | 19.1\% | 24.2\% | 48 | 7.1\% | 18.0\% | 69 | 307 | 10.2\% | 57.7\% | 0.79 | 4.45 |
| Union | 134 | 92 | 82 | 61.2\% | 0.0\% | 36 | 26.9\% | 39.1\% | 6 | 4.5\% | 13.0\% | 10 | 44 | 7.5\% | 47.8\% | 0.69 | 4.40 |
| Wallowa | 49 | 33 | 37 | 75.5\% | 0.0\% | 9 | 18.4\% | 27.3\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3 | 24 | 6.1\% | 72.7\% | 0.67 | 8.00 |
| Wasco Washingto | 195 | 118 | 130 | 66.7\% | 0.0\% | 40 | 20.5\% | 33.9\% | 12 | 6.2\% | 20.3\% | 13 | 54 | 6.7\% | 45.8\% | 0.61 | 4.15 |
| n | 1674 | 977 | 1189 | 71.0\% | 0.0\% | 288 | 17.2\% | 29.5\% | 94 | 5.6\% | 19.2\% | 103 | 501 | 6.2\% | 51.3\% | 0.58 | 4.86 |
| Wheeler | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100.0\% | n/a | 0 | 0.0\% | n/a | 0 | 0.0\% | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | n/a | 0.00 | n/a |
| Yamhill | 597 | 359 | 395 | 66.2\% | 0.0\% | 112 | 18.8\% | 31.2\% | 50 | 8.4\% | 27.9\% | 40 | 147 | 6.7\% | 40.9\% | 0.60 | 3.68 |

