OYA Principles of Effective Intervention

- Assess risk. Offender risk/need assessments drive effective programs. Use objective, standardized, and validated assessment of youth risk and need factors.
- **Target treatment to risk level of youth offenders.** Use proven treatment interventions that target known predictors of crime and recidivism to prepare youth offenders for success in the community.
- 3. **Develop and implement evidence-based programs.** Programs that scientifically designed research has demonstrated as effective in reducing recidivism.
- **4.** Use cognitive behavioral and social learning approaches in treatment services. Systematic use of behavioral contingencies including rewards and/or incentives is an integral component of all treatment services. Train skills with guided practice (i.e., modeling, behavioral rehearsal, performance feedback, etc.).
- **Conduct interventions in an appropriate setting,** matching youth and interventions based on an assessment of risk, need, and responsivity.
- **Ensure fidelity of program to evidence-based model.** Well-trained staff implement programs. Staff deliver services as designed, beginning with assessment and continuing through aftercare. Staff receive ongoing training and clinical supervision.
- 7. Address youth responsivity. Treatment services and staff are matched to the needs and abilities of the youth, including motivation, personality characteristics, identity characteristics (age, gender, race, and ethnicity), and cognitive/intellectual abilities.
- **Plan for reintegration.** Support youth offenders toward completion of treatment. Involve families, provide continuity in programming, and structured support during transitions in treatment, placement, and/or supervision level. Ensure youth receive specific aftercare services (e.g. relapse prevention, safety plans, etc.) and ongoing support in home communities.
- 9. Evaluate programs and control quality. Measure relevant practices and provide feedback to ensure quality. Conduct evaluations to establish evidence of reduced recidivism and replicate programs that produce the desired outcomes.
- **10. Make certain programs are supported** by qualified and involved leadership and staff, and community partners and stakeholders who understand program objectives.



OYA Principles of Effective Intervention

Bibliography

Andrews, D.A., Bonta James, and R.D. Hoge. March 1990. "Classification for Effective Rehabilitation: Rediscovering Psychology." *Criminal Justice and Behavior* 17(1): 19-52.

Cullen, Francis T. and Paul Gendreau. July 2000. "Assessing Correctional Rehabilitation: Policy, Practice, and Prospects" In Criminal Justice 2000, Volume 3: Policies, Processes, and Decisions of the Criminal Justice System, pages 109-175, ed. Juley Horney. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, National Institute of Justice.

Gornik, Mark. 2001. Moving from Correctional Program to Correctional Strategy: Using Proven Practices to Change Criminal Behavior. National Institute of Corrections. Presented by Mark Gornik to OYA during training in November 2004 and accessed at http://www.nicic.org/Library/017624 on January 21, 2005.

Kennedy, Sharon M. Winter 2003-2004. "Practitioners Guide to Responsivity: Maximizing Treatment Effectiveness." *Journal of Community Corrections*. 13 (2): 7-10; 22-26; 30.

Latessa, Edward J. n.d. "The Principles of Effective Intervention: Results and Lessons Learned from Ohio." Presented by Edward J Latessa, Ph.D. Center for Criminal Justice Research, Division of Criminal Justice, University of Cincinnati.

Latessa, Edward J. n.d. "What Works and What Doesn't in Reducing Recidivism: The Principles of Effective Intervention." Presented by Edward J. Latessa to OYA administration, staff, and partners January 2004.

Matthews, Betsy, Dana Jones Hubbard, and Edward Latessa. December 2001. "Making the Next Step: Using Evaluability Assessment to Improve Correctional Programming." *The Prison Journal* 81 (4): 454-472.

Pealer, Jennifer A. and Edward J. Latessa. December 2004. Applying the Principles of Effective Intervention to Juvenile Correctional Programs. *Corrections Today* 66 (7):26-29.

