# **Complete Summary**

#### **GUIDELINE TITLE**

Management of patients with dementia. A national clinical guideline.

# **BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)**

Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN). Management of patients with dementia. A national clinical guideline. Edinburgh (Scotland): Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN); 2006 Feb. 53 p. (SIGN publication; no. 86). [183 references]

#### **GUIDELINE STATUS**

This is the current release of the guideline.

This guideline updates a previous version: Interventions in the management of behavioural and psychological aspects of dementia. A national clinical guideline recommended for use in Scotland by the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network. Edinburgh (Scotland): Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN); 1998 Feb. 26 p. (SIGN publication; no. 22).

Any amendments to the guideline in the interim period will be noted on <u>Scottish</u> Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) Web site.

# \*\* REGULATORY ALERT \*\*

## FDA WARNING/REGULATORY ALERT

**Note from the National Guideline Clearinghouse**: This guideline references a drug(s) for which important revised regulatory and/or warning information has been released.

- June 17, 2008, Antipsychotics (conventional and atypical]): The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) notified healthcare professionals that both conventional and atypical antipsychotics are associated with an increased risk of mortality in elderly patients treated for dementia-related psychosis. The prescribing information for all antipsychotic drugs will now include information about the increased risk of death in the BOXED WARNING and WARNING sections.
- <u>December 12, 2007, Carbamazepine</u>: The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has provided recommendations for screening that should be performed on specific patient populations before starting treatment with carbamazepine.
- <u>September 17, 2007, Haloperidol (Haldol)</u>: Johnson and Johnson and the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) informed healthcare professionals that

the WARNINGS section of the prescribing information for haloperidol has been revised to include a new Cardiovascular subsection.

### **COMPLETE SUMMARY CONTENT**

\*\* REGULATORY ALERT \*\*

**SCOPE** 

METHODOLOGY - including Rating Scheme and Cost Analysis

RECOMMENDATIONS

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS

QUALIFYING STATEMENTS

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE

INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT

**CATEGORIES** 

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY

**DISCLAIMER** 

## SCOPE

# **DISEASE/CONDITION(S)**

Dementia

**Note**: This guideline does not address mild cognitive impairment, palliative care in advanced disease, risk, or prevention.

## **GUIDELINE CATEGORY**

Diagnosis

Evaluation

**Treatment** 

## **CLINICAL SPECIALTY**

Family Practice

Geriatrics

Internal Medicine

Neurology

Psychiatry

Psychology

#### **INTENDED USERS**

**Advanced Practice Nurses** 

Nurses

Occupational Therapists

**Physical Therapists** 

Physician Assistants

**Physicians** 

Psychologists/Non-physician Behavioral Health Clinicians

## **GUIDELINE OBJECTIVE(S)**

- To present evidence-based recommendations for the management of dementia
- To consider investigations and interventions in which direct benefit to the patient can be demonstrated

### **TARGET POPULATION**

Patients with all stages of dementia excluding mild cognitive impairment

#### INTERVENTIONS AND PRACTICES CONSIDERED

## **Diagnosis**

- 1. Detailed medical history including mode of onset, course of progression, pattern of cognitive impairment, presence of behavioural disturbances, hallucinations, and delusions
- 2. Diagnosis using Diagnostic and Statistical Manual, 4<sup>th</sup> edition (DSM-IV) and other scales for differential diagnosis of dementia
- 3. Cognitive testing using Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) and Adenbrooke's Cognitive Examination (ACE)
- 4. Screening for comorbid conditions
- 5. Imaging techniques such as computed tomography (CT) and single photon emission controlled tomography (SPECT)
- 6. Neuropsychological testing

#### Treatment

- 1. Non-pharmacological interventions including:
  - Behaviour management
  - Caregiver intervention programmes
  - Cognitive stimulation
  - Reality orientation therapy
  - Recreational activities

**Note**: The following non-pharmacological interventions are considered, but the evidence to support their use is mixed:

- Aromatherapy
- Environmental design
- Light therapy
- Multisensory stimulation
- Music therapy
- Physical activities
- Simulated presence
- Validation therapy

**Note**: The following non-pharmacological interventions were considered but not recommended:

- Memory books
- Reminiscence therapy

# 2. Pharmacological interventions including:

- Antidepressants
- Cholinesterase inhibitors (donepezil, galantamine, and rivastigmine)
- Conventional antipsychotics (use with caution)

**Note**: The following pharmacological interventions were considered but not recommended:

- Anticonvulsants
- Acetyl-L-camitine
- Anti-inflammatories
- Aspirin (with the exception of people with vascular dementia who have a history of vascular disease)
- Benzodiazepines
- Cerebrolysin
- Ginkgo
- Lecithin
- Lithium
- Melatonin
- Memantine
- Nicergoline
- Oestrogen
- Physostigmine
- Salvia
- Selegiline
- Trazodone

## **MAJOR OUTCOMES CONSIDERED**

- Accuracy of diagnostic testing
- Activities of daily living
- Symptoms of dementia including depression, behavioural disorders, and cognitive function
- Nursing home placement

#### **METHODOLOGY**

# METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT EVIDENCE

Hand-searches of Published Literature (Primary Sources) Searches of Electronic Databases

### **DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT THE EVIDENCE**

The evidence base for this guideline was synthesized in accordance with Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) methodology. A systematic review of the literature was carried out using an explicit search strategy devised by a SIGN Information Officer. Databases searched include Medline, Embase, Cinahl,

PsychINFO, and the Cochrane Library. The year range covered was 1997-2004. Internet searches were carried out on various websites including the New Zealand Guidelines Programme, NELH Guidelines Finder, and the US National Guidelines Clearinghouse. The Medline version of the main search strategies can be found on the SIGN website, in the section covering supplementary guideline material. The main searches were supplemented by material identified by individual members of the development group.

#### NUMBER OF SOURCE DOCUMENTS

705

# METHODS USED TO ASSESS THE QUALITY AND STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Weighting According to a Rating Scheme (Scheme Given)

#### RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

### **Levels of Evidence**

- **1++**: High quality meta-analyses, systematic reviews of randomised controlled trials (RCTs), or RCTs with a very low risk of bias
- **1+**: Well-conducted meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a low risk of bias
- 1-: Meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a high risk of bias
- **2++**: High quality systematic reviews of case control or cohort studies High quality case control or cohort studies with a very low risk of confounding or bias and a high probability that the relationship is causal
- **2+**: Well-conducted case control or cohort studies with a low risk of confounding or bias and a moderate probability that the relationship is causal
- **2-**: Case control or cohort studies with a high risk of confounding or bias and a significant risk that the relationship is not causal
- **3**: Non-analytic studies (e.g., case reports, case series)
- 4: Expert opinion

#### METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Review of Published Meta-Analyses Systematic Review with Evidence Tables

## **DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE**

Once papers have been selected as potential sources of evidence, the methodology used in each study is assessed to ensure its validity. The result of this assessment will affect the level of evidence allocated to the paper, which will in turn influence the grade of recommendation that it supports.

The methodological assessment is based on a number of key questions that focus on those aspects of the study design that research has shown to have a significant influence on the validity of the results reported and conclusions drawn. These key questions differ between study types, and a range of checklists is used to bring a degree of consistency to the assessment process. Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) has based its assessments on the MERGE (Method for Evaluating Research and Guideline Evidence) checklists developed by the New South Wales Department of Health, which have been subjected to wide consultation and evaluation. These checklists were subjected to detailed evaluation and adaptation to meet SIGN's requirements for a balance between methodological rigour and practicality of use.

The assessment process inevitably involves a degree of subjective judgment. The extent to which a study meets a particular criterion (e.g., an acceptable level of loss to follow up) and, more importantly, the likely impact of this on the reported results from the study will depend on the clinical context. To minimise any potential bias resulting from this, each study must be evaluated independently by at least two group members. Any differences in assessment should then be discussed by the full group. Where differences cannot be resolved, an independent reviewer or an experienced member of SIGN Executive staff will arbitrate to reach an agreed quality assessment.

#### **Evidence Tables**

Evidence tables are compiled by SIGN executive staff based on the quality assessments of individual studies provided by guideline development group members. The tables summarise all the validated studies identified from the systematic literature review relating to each key question. They are presented in a standard format to make it easier to compare results across studies, and will present separately the evidence for each outcome measure used in the published studies. These evidence tables form an essential part of the guideline development record and ensure that the basis of the guideline development group's recommendations is transparent.

Additional details can be found in the companion document titled "An Introduction to the SIGN Methodology for the Development of Evidence-based Clinical Guidelines." (Edinburgh [UK]: Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network. [SIGN publication; no. 50]). Available from the <u>SIGN Web site</u>.

# METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Expert Consensus

# DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

# Synthesising the Evidence

Guideline recommendations are graded to differentiate between those based on strong evidence and those based on weak evidence. This judgment is made on the basis of an (objective) assessment of the design and quality of each study and a (perhaps more subjective) judgment on the consistency, clinical relevance and external validity of the whole body of evidence. The aim is to produce a recommendation that is evidence-based, but which is relevant to the way in which health care is delivered in Scotland and is therefore implementable.

It is important to emphasise that the grading does not relate to the importance of the recommendation, but to the strength of the supporting evidence and, in particular, to the predictive power of the study designs from which that data was obtained. Thus, the grading assigned to a recommendation indicates to users the likelihood that, if that recommendation is implemented, the predicted outcome will be achieved.

# **Considered Judgment**

It is rare for the evidence to show clearly and unambiguously what course of action should be recommended for any given question. Consequently, it is not always clear to those who were not involved in the decision making process how guideline developers were able to arrive at their recommendations, given the evidence they had to base them on. In order to address this problem, the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) has introduced the concept of considered judgement.

Under the heading of considered judgement, guideline development groups are expected to summarise their view of the total body of evidence covered by each evidence table. This summary view is expected to cover the following aspects:

- Quantity, quality, and consistency of evidence
- Generalisability of study findings
- Directness of application to the target population of the guideline
- Clinical impact (i.e., the extent of the impact on the target patient population, and the resources need to treat them.)
- Implementability (i.e., how practical it would be for the NHS in Scotland to implement the recommendation.)

Guideline development groups are provided with a pro forma in which to record the main points from their considered judgement. Once they have considered these issues, the group is asked to summarise their view of the evidence and assign a level of evidence to it, before going on to derive a graded recommendation.

Additional detail about SIGN's process for formulating guideline recommendations is provided in Section 6 of the companion document titled "SIGN 50: A Guideline Developers' Handbook." (Edinburgh [UK]: Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network. [SIGN publication; no. 50], available from the <u>SIGN Web site</u>.

## RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS

### **Grades of Recommendations**

**Note**: The grade of recommendation relates to the strength of the evidence on which the recommendation is based. It does not reflect the clinical importance of the recommendation.

**Grade A**: At least one meta-analysis, systematic review of randomized controlled trials (RCTs), or RCT rated as 1++ and directly applicable to the target population; *or* 

A body of evidence consisting principally of studies rated as 1+, directly applicable to the target population, and demonstrating overall consistency of results

**Grade B**: A body of evidence including studies rated as 2++, directly applicable to the target population, and demonstrating overall consistency of results; *or* 

Extrapolated evidence from studies rated as 1++ or 1+

**Grade C**: A body of evidence including studies rated as 2+, directly applicable to the target population and demonstrating overall consistency of results; *or* 

Extrapolated evidence from studies rated as 2++

**Grade D**: Evidence level 3 or 4; or

Extrapolated evidence from studies rated as 2+

**Good Practice Points**: Recommended best practice based on the clinical experience of the quideline development group.

# **COST ANALYSIS**

The guideline developer reviewed one study that noted a cost savings of delay to institutionalisation as a result of caregiver training.

## **METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION**

External Peer Review Internal Peer Review

#### **DESCRIPTION OF METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION**

The national open meeting is the main consultative phase of the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) guideline development, at which the guideline development group presents its draft recommendations for the first time. The national open meeting for this guideline was held on 2 February 2004 and was attended by representatives of all the key specialties relevant to the guideline. The draft guideline was also available on the SIGN website for a limited period at this stage to allow those unable to attend the meeting to contribute to the development of the guideline.

This guideline was also reviewed in draft form by the independent expert referees, who were asked to comment primarily on the comprehensiveness and accuracy of interpretation of the evidence base supporting the recommendations in the guideline.

### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

#### **MAJOR RECOMMENDATIONS**

**Note from the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) and National Guideline Clearinghouse (NGC)**: In addition to these evidence-based recommendations, the guideline development group also identifies points of best clinical practice in the full-text guideline document.

The strength of recommendation grading (A-D) and level of evidence (1++, 1+, 1, 2++, 2+, 2-, 3, 4) are defined at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

#### **Diagnosis**

## **History Taking and Differential Diagnosis**

- **B** Diagnostic and Statistical Manual, 4<sup>th</sup> edition (DSM-IV) or National Institute of Neurologic, Communicative Disorders and Stroke-Alzheimer's disease and related Disorders Association (NINCDS-ADRDA) criteria should be used for the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease.
- **B** The Hachinski Ischaemic Scale or National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke

Association Internationale pour la Recherche et l'Enseignement en Neurosciences (NINDS-AIRENS) criteria may be used to assist in the diagnosis of vascular dementia.

**C** - Diagnostic criteria for dementia with Lewy bodies and fronto-temporal dementia should be considered in clinical assessment.

## **Initial Cognitive Testing**

**B** - In individuals with suspected cognitive impairment, the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) should be used in the diagnosis of dementia.

## **Screening for Comorbid Conditions**

**B** - As part of the assessment for suspected dementia, the presence of comorbid depression should be considered.

# The Use of Imaging

- $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{C}}$  Structural imaging should ideally form part of the diagnostic workup of patients with suspected dementia.
- **C** Single photon emission controlled tomography (SPECT) may be used in combination with computed tomography (CT) to aid the differential diagnosis of dementia when the diagnosis is in doubt.

# The Role of Cerebrospinal Fluid and Electroencephalography

**B** - Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and Electroencephalography (EEG) examinations are not recommended as routine investigations for dementia.

# **Neuropsychological Testing**

**B** - Neuropsychological testing should be used in the diagnosis of dementia, especially in patients where dementia is not clinically obvious.

# **Non-Pharmacological Interventions**

# **Behaviour Management**

**B** - Behaviour management may be used to reduce depression in people with dementia.

# **Caregiver Intervention Programmes**

 ${\bf B}$  - Caregivers should receive comprehensive training on interventions that are effective for people with dementia.

# **Cognitive Stimulation**

**B** - Cognitive stimulation should be offered to individuals with dementia.

# **Reality Orientation Therapy**

**D** - Reality orientation therapy should be used by a skilled practitioner, on an individualised basis, with people who are disorientated in time, place and person.

#### **Recreational Activities**

**B** - Recreational activities should be introduced to people with dementia to enhance quality of life and well-being.

## **Pharmacological Interventions**

# **Cholinesterase Inhibitors**

Donepezil

- **B** Donepezil, at daily doses of 5 mg and above, can be used to treat cognitive decline in people with Alzheimer's disease.
- **B** Donepezil, at daily doses of 5 mg and above, can be used for the management of associated symptoms in people with Alzheimer's disease.

#### Galantamine

- **B** Galantamine, at daily doses of 16 mg and above, can be used to treat cognitive decline in people with Alzheimer's disease and people with mixed dementias.
- **B** Galantamine, at daily doses of 16 mg and above, can be used for the management of associated symptoms in people with Alzheimer's disease.

## Rivastigmine

- **B** Rivastigmine, at daily doses of 6 mg and above, can be used to treat cognitive decline in people with Alzheimer's disease.
- **B** Rivastigmine, at daily doses of 6 mg and above, can be used to treat cognitive decline in people with dementia with Lewy bodies.
- **B** Rivastigmine, at daily doses of 6 mg and above, can be used for the management of associated symptoms in people with Alzheimer's disease and dementia with Lewy bodies.

# **Antidepressants**

**D** - Antidepressants can be used for the treatment of comorbid depression in dementia providing their use is evaluated carefully for each patient.

# **Antipsychotics**

**A** - If necessary, conventional antipsychotics may be used with caution, given their side effect profile, to treat the associated symptoms of dementia.

## **Clinically Ineffective Interventions**

## Anti-Inflammatories

- **A** Anti-inflammatories are not recommended for treatment of cognitive decline in people with Alzheimer's disease.
- ${\bf B}$  Hydroxychloroquine is not recommended for the treatment of associated symptoms in people with dementia.
- **A** Prednisolone is not recommended for the treatment of associated symptoms in people with Alzheimer's disease.

## Oestrogen

**B** - Oestrogen is not recommended for the treatment of associated symptoms in women with dementia.

### Selegiline

**A** - Selegiline is not recommended for the treatment of core or associated symptoms in people with Alzheimer's disease.

# **Interventions Lacking Evidence of Clinical Effectiveness**

#### Anticonvulsants

**A** - Valproate is not recommended for the treatment of behavioural symptoms associated with dementia.

## **Information for Discussion with Patients and Carers**

## **Supportive Information for Patients and Carers**

**C** - Patients and carers should be offered information tailored to the patient's perceived needs.

# Disclosure of Diagnosis

- **C** Healthcare professionals should be aware that many people with dementia can understand their diagnosis, receive information and be involved in decision making.
- **C** Healthcare professionals should be aware that some people with dementia may not wish to know their diagnosis.
- **D** Healthcare professionals should be aware that in some situations disclosure of a diagnosis of dementia may be inappropriate.

#### **Definitions:**

#### **Grades of Recommendations**

**Grade A**: At least one meta-analysis, systematic review of randomized controlled trials (RCTs), or RCT rated as 1++ and directly applicable to the target population; *or* 

A body of evidence consisting principally of studies rated as 1+, directly applicable to the target population, and demonstrating overall consistency of results

**Grade B**: A body of evidence including studies rated as 2++, directly applicable to the target population, and demonstrating overall consistency of results; *or* 

Extrapolated evidence from studies rated as 1++ or 1+

**Grade C**: A body of evidence including studies rated as 2+, directly applicable to the target population and demonstrating overall consistency of results; *or* 

Extrapolated evidence from studies rated as 2++

**Grade D**: Evidence level 3 or 4; or

Extrapolated evidence from studies rated as 2+

### **Levels of Evidence**

- **1++**: High quality meta-analyses, systematic reviews of randomised controlled trials (RCTs), or RCTs with a very low risk of bias
- **1+**: Well-conducted meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a low risk of bias
- 1-: Meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a high risk of bias
- **2++**: High quality systematic reviews of case control or cohort studies High quality case control or cohort studies with a very low risk of confounding or bias and a high probability that the relationship is causal
- **2+**: Well-conducted case control or cohort studies with a low risk of confounding or bias and a moderate probability that the relationship is causal
- **2-**: Case control or cohort studies with a high risk of confounding or bias and a significant risk that the relationship is not causal
- **3**: Non-analytic studies (e.g., case reports, case series)
- 4: Expert opinion

### **CLINICAL ALGORITHM(S)**

None provided

## **EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS**

#### TYPE OF EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

The type of supporting evidence is identified and graded for each recommendation (see "Major Recommendations").

## BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS

## **POTENTIAL BENEFITS**

Appropriate diagnosis and management of patients with behavioural and psychological aspects of dementia

#### **POTENTIAL HARMS**

- Side effects of *antipsychotics* such as sedation, movement disorder and increased confusion are all recognised. Concern has been expressed that the use of these drugs accelerates decline in Alzheimer's disease but a causal effect has not been established.
- Although evidence supports the use of olanzapine and risperidone in the management of behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementias (BPSD), particularly psychosis and aggression, these drugs are not currently recommended by the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) due to concerns about serious adverse events, particularly stroke.

# **Subgroups Most Likely to be Harmed**

Practitioners should be aware that up to 60% of patients with dementia with Lewy bodies suffer adverse reactions to *antipsychotic drugs*.

## **QUALIFYING STATEMENTS**

# **QUALIFYING STATEMENTS**

This guideline is not intended to be construed or to serve as a standard of medical care. Standards of medical care are determined on the basis of all clinical data available for an individual case and are subject to change as scientific knowledge and technology advance and patterns of care evolve. Adherence to guideline recommendations will not ensure a successful outcome in every case, nor should they be construed as including all proper methods of care or excluding other acceptable methods of care aimed at the same results. The ultimate judgment must be made by the appropriate healthcare professional(s) responsible for clinical decisions regarding a particular clinical procedure or treatment plan. This judgement should only be arrived at following discussion of the options with the patient, family and carers, covering the diagnostic and treatment choices available. It is advised, however, that significant departures from the national guideline or any local guidelines derived from it should be fully documented in the patient's case notes at the time the relevant decision is taken.

# **IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE**

## **DESCRIPTION OF IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY**

Implementation of national clinical guidelines is the responsibility of local National Health Service (NHS) organizations and is an essential part of clinical governance. It is acknowledged that not every guideline can be implemented immediately on publication, but mechanisms should be in place to ensure that the care provided is reviewed against the guideline recommendations and the reasons for any differences assessed and, where appropriate, addressed. These discussions should involve both clinical staff and management. Local arrangements may then be

made to implement the national guideline in individual hospitals, units and practices, and to monitor compliance. This may be done by a variety of means including patient-specific reminders, continuing education and training, and clinical audit.

Key areas to be considered for implementation are:

- The recognition of comorbid depression in dementia by primary care which will require significant training input
- The routine use of structural imaging which will require more access to imaging facilities given thenature of dementia and the prospect of treatment
- Widespread availability of information for patients and carers. This needs to extend beyond general practitioners' (GPs)' surgeries and appear in areas where older people go, such as libraries, post offices or supermarkets
- Clear strategies by NHS boards for the funding of cholinesterase inhibitors and associated infrastructure development of caregiver training programmes.

Key points for audit are identified in the original guideline document.

#### **IMPLEMENTATION TOOLS**

Audit Criteria/Indicators
Patient Resources
Quick Reference Guides/Physician Guides

For information about <u>availability</u>, see the "Availability of Companion Documents" and "Patient Resources" fields below.

# INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT CATEGORIES

#### **IOM CARE NEED**

Living with Illness

#### **IOM DOMAIN**

Effectiveness Patient-centeredness

# **IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY**

## **BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)**

Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN). Management of patients with dementia. A national clinical guideline. Edinburgh (Scotland): Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN); 2006 Feb. 53 p. (SIGN publication; no. 86). [183 references]

#### **ADAPTATION**

Not applicable: The guideline was not adapted from another source.

### **DATE RELEASED**

1998 Feb (revised 2006 Feb)

# **GUIDELINE DEVELOPER(S)**

Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network - National Government Agency [Non-U.S.]

## **SOURCE(S) OF FUNDING**

Scottish Executive Health Department

#### **GUIDELINE COMMITTEE**

Not stated

### **COMPOSITION OF GROUP THAT AUTHORED THE GUIDELINE**

Guideline Development Group: Dr Peter Connelly (Chair) Consultant Old Age Psychiatrist, Murray Royal Hospital, Perth; Dr Carole Archibald, Associate Consultant/Trainer, Dementia Services Development Centre, University of Stirling; Dr Simon Backett, Consultant Old Age Psychiatrist, St John's Hospital, Livingston; Miss Jenni Brockie, Information Officer, SIGN; Dr Andrew Carnon, Consultant in Public Health Medicine, Dumfries and Galloway NHS Board; Dr Gisu Cooper, General Practitioner, Leith Walk Surgery, Edinburgh; Mrs Christina Cooper, Dementia Advocate, TODAY Group, Stratheden Hospital, Cupar; Ms Ann Fraser, Senior Physiotherapist, Royal Victoria Hospital, Edinburgh; Ms Eva Frigola Capell, Clinical Psychologist, Spain (SIGN Visiting Fellow); Dr John Greene Consultant Neurologist, Southern General Hospital, Glasgow; Professor Donald Hadley, Consultant Neuroradiologist, Southern General Hospital, Glasgow; Dr Roberta James, Programme Manager, SIGN; Ms Gail Kilbane, Service Manager, Alzheimer's Scotland, Action on Dementia, Kirkcaldy; Ms Caroline Lawrie, Charge Nurse, Bangour Village Hospital, Broxburn; Ms Margo Mason, Senior Occupational Therapist, Royal Victoria Hospital, Edinburgh; Mr Sandy McAfee, Consultant Clinical Psychologist, St John's Hospital, Livingston; Dr Gary Morrison, Consultant Psychiatrist, Crichton Royal Hospital, Dumfries; Ms Julie Penn, Memory Clinic Support Worker, Alzheimer's Scotland, Action on Dementia, Kirkcaldy; Dr Dan Rutherford, General Practitioner, Fife; Ms Sandra Stark, Nursing and Quality Consultant, Ardoch Consulting, Doune

# FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES/CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Declarations of interests were made by all members of the guideline development group. Further details are available from the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) Executive.

#### **GUIDELINE STATUS**

This is the current release of the guideline.

This guideline updates a previous version: Interventions in the management of behavioural and psychological aspects of dementia. A national clinical guideline recommended for use in Scotland by the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network. Edinburgh (Scotland): Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN); 1998 Feb. 26 p. (SIGN publication; no. 22).

Any amendments to the guideline in the interim period will be noted on <u>Scottish</u> Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) Web site.

#### **GUIDELINE AVAILABILITY**

Electronic copies: Available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the <u>Scottish</u> <u>Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) Web site</u>.

## **AVAILABILITY OF COMPANION DOCUMENTS**

The following are available:

- Quick reference guide: Management of patients with dementia. Edinburgh (UK): Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network, 2006 Feb. 2 p. Available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the <u>Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines</u> <u>Network (SIGN) Web site</u>.
- SIGN 50: A guideline developer's handbook. Edinburgh (Scotland): Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network, 2001 Feb. (SIGN publication; no. 50). Electronic copies available from the <u>SIGN Web site</u>.
- Appraising the quality of clinical guidelines. The SIGN guide to the AGREE (Appraisal of Guidelines Research & Evaluation) guideline appraisal instrument. Edinburgh (Scotland): Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network, 2001. Available from the SIGN Web site.
- A background paper on the legal implications of guidelines. Edinburgh (Scotland): Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network.
- A sample Mini-Mental State Examination and Addenbrooke's Cognitive
   Examination can be found in Annex 7 of the original guideline document.
- A sample Short Form of the Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly (Short IQCODE) can be found in Annex 8 of the <u>original guideline</u> <u>document</u>.

### **PATIENT RESOURCES**

The following is available:

• For patients: dementia. Edinburgh (Scotland): Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN); 2007. 32 p.

Electronic copies: Available from the <u>Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network</u> (SIGN) Web site.

Please note: This patient information is intended to provide health professionals with information to share with their patients to help them better understand their health and their diagnosed disorders. By providing access to this patient information, it is not the intention of NGC to provide specific medical

advice for particular patients. Rather we urge patients and their representatives to review this material and then to consult with a licensed health professional for evaluation of treatment options suitable for them as well as for diagnosis and answers to their personal medical questions. This patient information has been derived and prepared from a guideline for health care professionals included on NGC by the authors or publishers of that original guideline. The patient information is not reviewed by NGC to establish whether or not it accurately reflects the original guideline's content.

#### NGC STATUS

This summary was completed by ECRI on February 6, 2002. The information was verified by the guideline developer as of April 9, 2002. This summary was updated by ECRI on April 7, 2006. The updated information was verified by the guideline developer on May 1, 2006. This summary was updated by ECRI Institute on October 2, 2007, following the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) advisory on Haloperidol. This summary was updated by ECRI Institute on November 9, 2007, following the U.S. Food and Drug Administration advisory on Antidepressant drugs. This summary was updated by ECRI Institute on January 10, 2008, following the U.S. Food and Drug Administration advisory on Carbamazepine. This summary was updated by ECRI Institute on July 25, 2008, following the U.S. Food and Drug Administration advisory on Antipsychotics.

### COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

This NGC summary is based on the original guideline, which is subject to the guideline developer's copyright restrictions. Please refer to the guideline developer's Web site, <a href="https://www.sign.ac.uk">www.sign.ac.uk</a>, for further details.

#### **DISCLAIMER**

## **NGC DISCLAIMER**

The National Guideline Clearinghouse™ (NGC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the guidelines represented on this site.

All guidelines summarized by NGC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public or private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, and similar entities.

Guidelines represented on the NGC Web site are submitted by guideline developers, and are screened solely to determine that they meet the NGC Inclusion Criteria which may be found at <a href="http://www.guideline.gov/about/inclusion.aspx">http://www.guideline.gov/about/inclusion.aspx</a>.

NGC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI Institute make no warranties concerning the content or clinical efficacy or effectiveness of the clinical practice guidelines and related materials represented on this site. Moreover, the views and opinions of developers or authors of guidelines represented on this site do not necessarily state or reflect those of NGC, AHRQ, or its contractor ECRI Institute, and inclusion or hosting of guidelines in NGC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

© 1998-2008 National Guideline Clearinghouse

Date Modified: 9/15/2008

