

TEMPRET PERIODIC PHYSICAL REVIEW RECORD

DATE

NAME

RATE/GRADE

SOCIAL SECURITY NO.

DATE (Physical examination received)

DATE (Physical examination forwarded to G-KMA/63)

DATE (Records received G-KMA/63)

1. DOES THE ATTACHED MATERIAL PROVIDE SUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO ALLOW YOU TO MAKE A DEFINITE DETERMINATION OF THE EVALUEE'S CURRENT PHYSICAL CONDITION AS RELATED TO THE REASON FOR HIS BEING PLACED ON THE TDRL?

- a. YES (If YES, complete the following questions.)
- b. NO (If NO, specify information required and return this form to G-P.)

2. BASED ON ALL AVAILABLE MEDICAL DOCUMENTATION, THE EVALUEE'S CONDITION SINCE THE INITIAL FINDING OF NOT FIT FOR DUTY HAS:

- DETERIORATED IMPROVED REMAINED STABLE

IN ACCORDANCE WITH 17-J-2 PERSMAN, EXPLAIN ANY SIGNIFICANT CHANGE IN EVALUEE'S CONDITION SINCE BEING PLACED ON TDRL.

3. BASED ON THE AVAILABLE MEDICAL DOCUMENTATION AND ACCEPTED MEDICAL PRINCIPLES, IT IS REASONABLE TO CONCLUDE THAT THE CONDITION OF THE EVALUEE DURING THE NEXT YEAR WILL:

- DETERIORATE IMPROVE REMAIN STABLE UNDETERMINED

WHAT SUPPORTS YOUR CONCLUSION?

4. CONSIDERING ALL OF THE ABOVE:

a. COULD THE EVALUEE EVER BE EXPECTED TO MEET THE PHYSICAL STANDARDS FOR RETENTION ON ACTIVE DUTY AND RETURNED TO THE SERVICE FIT FOR FULL DUTY?

- YES NO UNDETERMINED

b. BASED UPON AVAILABLE MEDICAL DOCUMENTATION AND ACCEPTED MEDICAL PRINCIPLES, IS A LATENT IMPAIRMENT FACTOR PRESENT AS DEFINED BY ARTICLE 17-A- 10(c) PERSMAN?

- YES NO

IN YOUR OPINION, TO WHAT PERCENTAGE CAN THE EVALUEE'S DISABILITY INCREASE AS A RESULT OF THIS IMPAIRMENT?

c. IS THE EVALUEE'S CURRENT CONDITION RATABLE AT 30% OR MORE?

- YES NO

d. IF THE DISABILITY IS CURRENTLY RATABLE AT LESS THAN 30%, AND BASED UPON ACCEPTED MEDICAL PRINCIPLES, AND TAKING INTO CONSIDERATION THE PROBABILITY OF DETERIORATION FOR A LATENT IMPAIRMENT, IS THERE THE POSSIBILITY OF AN INCREASE IN THE PERCENTAGE OF DISABILITY TO 30% OR MORE IN THE NEAR FUTURE?

- YES NO

5. CONCLUSION. IN VIEW OF THE FOREGOING, CAN IT NOW BE CONCLUDED THAT THE EVALUEE HAS A PERMANENT DISABILITY?

- YES NO

IF HE DOES NOT, WHAT MEDICAL PRINCIPLE OR EVIDENCE SUPPORTS YOUR CONCLUSION?

6. MEDICAL REVIEWER'S RECOMMENDATION

a. REFER TO CPEB BECAUSE ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS EXIST:

- 1. EVALUEE'S CONDITION IS STABLE AND HE CAN BE PROCESSED FOR PERMANENT RETIREMENT OR SEPARATION.
- 2. EVALUEE'S CONDITION HAS IMPROVED TO THE EXTENT HE MEETS RETENTION STANDARDS AND IS FIT FOR FULL DUTY. HE CAN BE PROCESSED FOR REGULAR SERVICE RETIREMENT, DISCHARGE OR REENLISTMENT.
- 3. PROBABLE DETERIORATION OF EVALUEE'S CONDITION DUE TO LATENT IMPAIRMENT CAN NOW BE DETERMINED AND HE MAY BE PERMANENTLY RETIRED WITH DISABILITY RATING OF 30% OR MORE.
- 4. EVALUEE'S PHYSICAL DISABILITY WILL BE PERMANENTLY 80% OR GREATER.
- 5. OTHER CONDITION.

b. CONTINUE ON TDRL - WHAT MEDICAL PRINCIPLE OR EVIDENCE SUPPORTS THIS RECOMMENDATION? WHAT DO YOU EXPECT TO HAPPEN TO THE EVALUEE'S PHYSICAL CONDITION?

(Signature)

7. SERVICE MEMBER'S CAREER STATUS?

a. EVALUEE COMPLETES 5 YEARS ON TDRL (Day, Mo., Yr.) _____

b. EVALUEE HAS ACTIVE SERVICE FOR RETIREMENT AS OF _____ Yrs. _____ Mos. _____ Days

c. AGE OF EVALUEE _____

d. STATUS: USCG USCGR

8. G-P ACTION:

a. DOES MEMBER HAVE OVER 20 YEARS SERVICE FOR RETIREMENT?

YES NO

b. DISPOSITION:

CONTINUE ON TDRL

REFER TO CPEB TO PROCESS FOR RETURN TO DUTY, SEPARATION WITH SEVERANCE OR PERMANENT RETIREMENT.

(Signature)