IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA 2 3 FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, 4 Plaintiff. CIVIL ACTION 5 NO. 1:01CV00126 ٧. 6 7 Judge Bullock SPEEDWAY MOTORSPORTS, INC., and OIL-CHEM RESEARCH CORP., 8 9 Defendants. 10 11 AND MONETARY RELIEF

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

STIPULATED FINAL ORDER FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION

Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission"), filed a Complaint for permanent injunction and other relief against Speedway Motorsports, Inc., and Oil-Chem Research Corp. pursuant to Section 13(b) of the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 53(b). Defendants deny the FTC's allegations.

The Commission and Defendants have agreed to the entry of the following Stipulated Final Order for Permanent Injunction in settlement of the Commission's complaint against Defendants. The Court, being advised in the premises, finds:

FINDINGS

- This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter and of the parties. 1.
- 2. The Complaint states a claim upon which relief may be granted against Speedway Motorsports, Inc. ("Speedway"), and Oil-Chem Research Corp. ("Oil-Chem") under Sections 5(a) and 13(b) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 53(b).
 - Venue is proper as to all parties in the Middle District of North Carolina. 3.
- 4. The activities of Speedway and Oil-Chem are in or affecting commerce, as defined in the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.
- Defendants deny the Commission's allegations and nothing herein shall be construed 5. as an admission or finding of liability or misrepresentation by Defendants. With respect to the

applicable standard for evaluating Defendants' conduct under the FTC Act, Defendants contend that the applicable standard is the "reasonable basis" standard. Defendants deny that the applicable standard is the "competent and reliable scientific evidence" standard. Defendants contend that zMAX produces results through a number of unique properties not found in other products.

- 6. Speedway and Oil-Chem have waived all rights that may arise under the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, amended by Pub. L. 104-121, 110 Stat. 847, 863-64 (1996).
- 7. This action and the relief awarded herein are in addition to, and not in lieu of, other remedies as may be provided by law.
 - 8. Each party shall bear its own costs and attorneys' fees.
 - 9. Entry of this Order is in the public interest.
- 10. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(d), the provisions of this Order are binding upon Defendants, and their officers, agents, servants, and employees, and all other persons or entities in active concert or participation with them, who receive actual notice of this Order by personal service or otherwise.

DEFINITIONS

For the purposes of this Order, the following definitions shall apply:

- 1. "Competent and reliable scientific evidence" shall mean tests, analyses, research, studies, or other evidence based on the expertise of professionals in the relevant area, that have been conducted and evaluated in an objective manner by persons qualified to do so, using procedures generally accepted in the profession to yield accurate and reliable results.
 - 2. Unless otherwise specified, "Defendants" shall mean:
 - A. Speedway, its divisions, subsidiaries, successors and assigns, and their officers, agents, servants, and employees;
 - B. Oil-Chem, its divisions, subsidiaries, successors and assigns, and their officers, agents, servants, and employees; and
 - C. Each of the foregoing, and any combination of the foregoing.

- 3. "zMAX" shall mean the aftermarket motor vehicle product known as the zMAX Power System, or any product of substantially similar composition marketed for use in motor vehicles.
- 4. A requirement that any Defendant "notify the Commission" or "file with the Commission" shall mean that the Defendant shall send the necessary information via first class mail, costs prepaid, to the Associate Director for Enforcement, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania, Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20580. Attn: FTC v. Speedway Motorsports, Inc., et al., (M.D. N.C.).
 - 5. The term "including" in this Order shall mean "without limitation."
- 6. The terms "and" and "or" in this Order shall be construed conjunctively or disjunctively as necessary, to make the applicable phrase or sentence inclusive rather than exclusive.

CONDUCT PROHIBITIONS

I.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants, directly or through any corporation, partnership, subsidiary, division, or other device, and their officers, agents, servants, and employees, and all other persons or entities in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Order, by personal service or otherwise, in connection with the manufacturing, labeling, advertising, promotion, offering for sale, sale or distribution of zMAX, or any other product for use in any motor vehicle, in or affecting commerce, shall not make any representation, in any manner, expressly or by implication, that such product:

- A. increases gas mileage;
- B. increases gas mileage by a minimum of 10%, or by any other percentage, miles-pergallon, dollar, or other figure;
- C. reduces engine wear;
- D. reduces or eliminates engine wear at startup;
- E. reduces engine corrosion;

F. extends engine life; or

substantiates the representation.

G. reduces emissions,
unless at the time the representation is made, Defendants possess and rely upon competent and
reliable evidence, which when appropriate must be competent and reliable scientific evidence, that

II.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants, directly or through any corporation, partnership, subsidiary, division, or other device, and their officers, agents, servants, and employees, and all other persons or entities in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Order, by personal service or otherwise, in connection with the manufacturing, labeling, advertising, promotion, offering for sale, sale, or distribution of any product, service or program, in or affecting commerce, shall not misrepresent, in any manner, expressly or by implication, the existence, contents, validity, results, conclusions or interpretations of any test, study, or research.

III.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants, directly or through any corporation, partnership, subsidiary, division, or other device, and their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and all other persons or entities in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Order, by personal service or otherwise, in connection with the manufacturing, labeling, advertising, promotion, offering for sale, sale, or distribution of any product, service or program, in or affecting commerce, shall not misrepresent, in any manner, expressly or by implication, that endorsements or testimonials reflect:

- A. the actual and current opinions, findings, beliefs, and/or experiences of consumers providing endorsements or testimonials; or
- B. the typical or ordinary experience of members of the public who use the product. For purposes of this Part, "endorsement" shall mean as defined in 16 C.F.R. §§ 255.0(b).

MONETARY RELIEF

IV.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants shall pay refunds to purchasers of zMax in the amount of \$1,000,000.00, in accordance with the provisions of this Part. Administrative expenses will be borne by Defendants in addition to this amount.

- A. Within fifteen (15) days from the date of service of this order, Defendants shall compile a mailing list containing the name and last known address of all purchasers who purchased zMax prior to January 31, 2001, via the Defendants' internet site or via in an inbound telemarketing call. Within sixty (60) days from the date of service of this order, the Defendants shall provide Commission staff with a computer print-out copy of the mailing list, as well as provide the list in computer readable form.
- B. Within sixty (60) days from the date of service of this order, Defendants shall send via first-class mail, postage prepaid, a Notice of Refund Offer in a form mutually agreeable to the Parties, to all purchasers listed on the mailing list required by subpart A of this Part. The Court agrees to retain jurisdiction over this matter to resolve any disputes between the Parties regarding the form or content of the Notice of Refund Offer.
- C. Defendants shall also send via first-class mail, postage prepaid, a separate Notice of Refund Offer in a form mutually agreeable to the Parties, to all purchasers who contact Defendants or the Commission to request a refund within one hundred twenty (120) days from the date of service of this order. Each mailing shall be made

- within fifteen (15) business days after the period for purchasers to respond to the Notice of Refund Offer has expired.
- D. No information other than that form mutually agreed upon by the Parties, shall be included in or added to the Notice of Refund Offer, nor shall any other material be transmitted therewith. The envelope containing the Notice of Refund Offer shall be in the form set forth in Attachment B to this order.
- E. For each mailing returned by the U.S. Postal Service as undeliverable for which Defendants thereafter obtain a corrected address, Defendants shall, within fifteen (15) business days after receiving the corrected address, send a Notice of Refund Offer to the corrected address.
- F. Defendants shall send a refund check or credit card refund to (i) each direct purchaser who returns the completed application form appended to the Notice of Refund Offer to Defendants and (ii) to each purchaser contemplated under subpart "C" who returns the completed application form appended to the Notice of Refund Offer to Defendants. Defendants shall send refund checks by first-class mail, postage prepaid, or apply credit card refunds, within fifteen (15) business days after the period for purchasers to respond to the Notice of Refund Offer has expired. If a credit card refund cannot be processed, Defendants shall send a refund check in the manner specified above. The envelope containing the refund check shall be in the form set forth in Attachment C to this order.
- G. Refunds will be distributed to purchasers in one or more rounds, on a *pro rata* basis. For the first round, the *pro rata* share will be calculated by dividing \$1,000,000.00 by the total number of consumers requesting a refund pursuant to this Part.
- H. A second round of *pro rata* check or credit card refunds will be sent to pay out any money remaining if purchasers in the first round fail to cash their checks.
 Consumers eligible for the second round would include all consumers who cashed their checks or successfully had a credit applied to their credit card in the first round.
 The pro rata share would be determined by dividing the remaining available refund

monies by the total number of consumers who cashed checks or received credit card refunds in the first round (subtracting the total amount of their first round refund checks). In no case, however, would any second round consumer receive more than 100 percent of the monies, including shipping and handling charges, paid by such consumer to Defendants. A consumer shall have the right to participate in the refund distribution only upon signing a waiver of rights and release of all claims against Defendants. Defendants shall conduct additional rounds of *pro rata* refunds if necessary to apply the full \$1,000,000.00 amount to consumer refunds. Such additional rounds may be accomplished by applying additional credits to the credit cards of eligible consumers.

- I. Defendants shall notify any purchaser who applies for a refund but fails to apply properly, of any error in the purchaser's refund application, and shall provide a reasonable opportunity for the purchaser to rectify any such error.
- J. Within two hundred forty (240) days from the date of service of this order,

 Defendants shall furnish to Commission staff the following:
 - In computer readable form and in computer print-out form, a list of the
 names and addresses of all consumers who were sent refund checks or
 received credit card refunds pursuant to Part IV of this order, and for each
 name included on the list, the amount, check or transaction number and
 mailing or transaction date of every refund sent or applied;
 - A list of all Notices of Refund Offer returned to Defendants as undeliverable or rejected, all credit card refunds that could not be applied to consumer's credit cards; and
 - All other documents and records evidencing efforts made and actions taken by Defendants to identify, locate, contact and provide refunds to consumers requesting a refund.
- For purposes of this Part, "purchaser" shall mean any person who has purchased zMax and who has not previously received a full refund of the purchase price.

RECORD KEEPING

V.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants, for a period of five (5) years after the last date of dissemination of any representation covered by this Order, shall maintain and upon request make available to the Commission for inspection and copying:

- A. All advertisements and promotional materials containing the representation;
- B. All materials that were relied upon in disseminating the representation; and
- C. All tests, reports, studies, surveys, demonstrations, or other evidence in their possession, custody, or control, that contradict, qualify, or call into question the representation, or the basis relied upon for the representation, including complaints and other communications with consumers or with governmental entities or consumer protection organizations. Nothing in this subparagraph C requires Defendants to waive their rights to assert the attorney client privilege or work product doctrine, provided however, that if Defendants withhold materials otherwise called for under this Subparagraph (C) then Defendants shall create a privilege log identifying any materials withheld and specifying the bases for assertions of the attorney client privilege or work product doctrine. The FTC reserves the right to challenge Defendants' assertions of privilege.

MONITORING

VI.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants, for a period of five (5) years after the date of service of this Order, shall deliver a copy of this Order to all current and future officers and directors, and to all managers, employees, agents, and representatives having responsibilities with respect to the subject matter of this Order, and shall secure from each such person a signed and dated statement acknowledging receipt of the Order. Defendants shall deliver this Order to current personnel within thirty (30) calendar days after the date of service of this Order, and to future personnel within thirty (30) calendar days after the person assumes such position or responsibilities.

Defendants shall maintain and upon request make available to the Commission for inspection and copying each such signed and dated statement for a period of five (5) years after such statement is signed.

VII.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants shall, for a period of five (5) years, notify the Commission at least thirty (30) calendar days prior to any change in either corporation that may affect compliance obligations arising under this Order, including but not limited to a dissolution, assignment, sale, merger, or other action that would result in the emergence of a successor corporation; the creation or dissolution of a subsidiary, parent, or affiliate that engages in any acts or practices subject to this Order; the proposed filing of a bankruptcy petition; or a change in the corporate name or address. *Provided, however*, that, with respect to any proposed change in either corporation about which Defendants learn less than thirty (30) calendar days prior to the date such action is to take place, they shall notify the Commission as soon as is practicable after obtaining such knowledge.

VIII.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants shall, within sixty (60) calendar days after the service of this Order, file with the Commission a report, in addition to an initial report, in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with this Order. Defendants have submitted an initial compliance report dated December 23, 2002 describing the means by which they will comply with Parts I-III of this Order. The staff has sent a letter dated December 26, 2002 responding to Defendants' initial compliance report.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Commission is authorized to monitor the compliance of Defendants with this Order by all lawful means, including but not limited to the following means:

- A. The Commission is authorized, without further leave of court, to obtain discovery from any person in the manner provided by Chapter V of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Fed. R. Civ. P. 26-37, including but not limited to the use of compulsory process pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 45, for the purpose of monitoring and investigating the compliance of defendants with this Order.
- B. Nothing in this Order shall limit the Commission's lawful use of compulsory process, pursuant to Sections 9 and 20 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 49, 57b-1, to investigate whether defendants have violated any provision of this Order or Sections 5 or 12 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45, 55.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT OF ORDER AND RIGHT TO REOPEN

X.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND ORDERED that, within fifteen days after service of this Order, Defendants shall submit to the Commission a truthful sworn statement, in the form shown on Attachment D, that shall acknowledge receipt of this Order.

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

XI.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for purposes of construction, modification, and enforcement of this Order.

5

6

7

1

2

3

4

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

...........

SPEEDWAY MOTORSPORTS, INC.

OIL-CHEM RESEARCH CORP.

8

10

11

12

13

JONATHAN COW LAUREEN KAPIN CRAIG LISHER

MELVIN ORLANS

EDWIN RODRIGUEZ Counsel for Plaintiff

Federal Trade Commission

Washington, DC 20580

202-326-2533

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WILLIAM R. BROOKS, Vice-President

on behalf of Defendants Speedway Motorsports, Inc. Oil-Chem Research Corp.

Fred T. Lowrance

Melanie Dubis

PARKER POE

ADAMS & BERNSTEIN LLP

401 South Tryon Street

Suite 3000

Charlotte, NC 28202

(704) 372-9012

(704) 334-4706 (fax)

Kenneth A. Gallo

Leiv H. Blad Jr.

Aimée D. Latimer

Allyson Baker

CLIFFORD CHANCE

ROGERS & WELLS LLP

2001 K Street NW

Washington, D.C. 20009

(202) 912-5000

(202) 912-6000 (fax)

Counsel for Defendants

1			
2	IT IS SO ORDERED, this North Carolina	day of	, 2002 in Greensboro,
3	rvortii Caronna.		
4			
5		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
6			
7			
8			
9			
10	,		,
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23 24			
li li			
25			
26 27			
- 11			
28			

ATTACHMENT A - LETTER

ATTACHMENT B - REFUND NOTICE LETTER ENVELOPE

Forwarding and Return Postage Guaranteed: [address of consumer refund administrator]

Window Envelope

[The following statement is to appear in a box, on the left hand side of the envelope in red, in extra large, bold type face]

ATTENTION: IMPORTANT REFUND INFORMATION INSIDE

ATTACHMENT C - REFUND CHECK ENVELOPE

Forwarding and Return Postage Guaranteed: [address of consumer refund administrator]

Window Envelope

(indicates a check is enclosed)

1	ATTACHMENT D - AFFIDAVIT			
2				
3	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA			
4	v v			
5	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,			
6	Plaintiff,	CIVIL ACTION		
7	v.)	NO. 1:01CV00126		
8)			
9	SPEEDWAY MOTORSPORTS, INC., and) OIL-CHEM RESEARCH CORP.,)	Judge Bullock		
10	Defendants.	;		
11)			
12	AFFIDAVIT OF WILLIAM R. BROOKS			
13	William R. Brooks, being duly sworn, hereby states and affirms:			
14	1. My name is William R. Brooks. I am a citizen of the United States and am over the			
15	age of eighteen. I have personal knowledge of the matters discussed in this declaration, and if			
16	called as a witness, I could and would competently testify as to the matters stated herein. I am			
17	Vice-President and Chief Financial Officer of defendant Speedway Motorsports, Inc., and Director			
18	and Vice-President of defendant Oil-Chem Research Corp.			
19	2. My current business address is			
20	My current business telephone number is			
21	·			
22	3. On (date), I	received a copy of the Stipulated Final Order and		
23	Settlement of Claims for Monetary Relief as to Defendants Speedway Motorsports, Inc., and Oil-			
24	Chem Research Corp., which was signed by the Honorable Frank Bullock, United States District			
25	Court Judge for the Middle District of North Carolina. A true and correct copy of the Order that I			
26	received is appended to this Affidavit.			
27				
41				

۱				
2	I hereby declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America			
3	that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on (date), at (city, state)			
4	·			
5				
6				
7				
8	William R. Brooks			
9	STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA			
10	COUNTY OF			
11				
12	BEFORE ME this day personally appeared William R. Brooks, who being first duly sworn,			
13	deposes and says that he has read and understands the foregoing statement and that he has executed			
14	the same for the purposes contained therein.			
15	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me thisday of, 2002,			
16	by William R. Brooks. He is personally known to me or has presented (state identification)			
17	as identification.			
18				
19				
20				
21	Print Name			
22	NOTARY PUBLIC ,			
23	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
24	Commission Number Affix Seal			
25				
26				
27				
28				

CLIFFORD

CLIFFORD CHANCE US LLP

THE WILLIAM P ROGERS BUILDING 2001 K STREET NW WASHINGTON DC 20006 1001

TEL +1 202 912 5000 FAX +1 202 912 6000 www.cliffordchance.com

Leiv H. Blad Jr. Partner

DIRECT TEL +1 202 912 5122 DIRECT FAX +1 202 912 6000 leiv.blad@cliffordchance.com

DEC 2 6 2002

ENFORCEMENT DIVISION

December 23, 2002

Elaine D. Kolish Director Federal Trade Commission Enforcement Division IBCP Washington, DC 20580

Re: Federal Trade Commission v. Speedway Motorsports, Inc. and Oil-Chem Research Corp., Civil Action No. 1:01CV00126
Compliance Report

Dear Ms. Kolish:

c.

In anticipation of the Stipulated Final Order (the "Order"), Oil-Chem Research Corp. ("Oil-Chem") and Speedway Motorsports, Inc. ("SMI") submit this initial Compliance Report describing the means by which they will comply with the conduct provisions of the Order. Oil-Chem and SMI also will submit an additional Compliance Report sixty (60) days after the date of service of the Order.

Set forth below are the claims Oil-Chem intends to make in the advertising and promotion of zMAX. As substantiation for each claim, Oil-Chem refers to confidential, Bates-stamped documents produced under seal during the litigation of this action. Oil-Chem and SMI request that the Commission continue to preserve the confidentiality of these documents pursuant to the Protective Order executed by the parties during the litigation.

a.	ZMAX soaks into metal.	
	Substantiation:	DX 35, 36
b.	zMAX reduces friction.	
	Substantiation:	DX 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 39, 40, 46, 49 50, 51, 52, 53
		50, 51, 52, 55

Substantiation: **DX 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 46, 49, 50,**

zMAX increases horsepower.

Elaine Kolish December 23, 2002 Page 2

	51, 52, 53
d.	zMAX dissipates engine heat.
	Substantiation: DX 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 35, 36, 46, 49,
	50, 51, 52, 53
e.	zMAX helps to improve or restore gas mileage and reduce emissions in older cars, by virtue of reducing engine deposits.
	Substantiation: DX 15, 16, 18, 19, 26, 37, 38, 41, 42, 43, 45, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53
f.	zMAX helps to maintain gas mileage and emissions in newer cars, by virtue of reducing engine deposits.
	Substantiation: DX 15, 16, 37, 38, 41, 42, 43, 45, 47, 48, 49, 50,
	51, 52, 53
g.	zMAX helps to reduce wear on engine valve-stems and guides and piston rings and skirts, by virtue of reducing engine deposits.
	Substantiation: DX 15, 16, 28, 32, 33, 34, 39, 40, 41, 42, 49, 50,
	51, 52, 53
h.	zMAX helps to extend engine life, by virtue of reducing engine deposits.
	Substantiation: DX 15, 16, 28, 32, 33, 34, 41, 42, 49, 50, 51, 52,
	53

Oil-Chem and SMI will provide copies of the Order to all employees and agents responsible for the advertising and promotion of zMAX. Oil-Chem and SMI continue to intend to comply with the Federal Trade Commission Act and with Parts I, II, and III of the Order. Oil-Chem and SMI have informed such employees and agents of the companies' high standards for truth and accuracy in all advertising and their commitment to enforcing these standards at all times. More specifically, Oil-Chem and SMI have instructed their employees and agents with responsibility for the advertising and marketing of zMAX that they must comply with Parts I, II, and III of the Order. To ensure such compliance, Oil-

CHANCE

Elaine Kolish December 23, 2002 Page 3

Chem and SMI will submit all print and broadcast advertising to outside counsel before such advertising is published for the purpose of obtaining a legal opinion that such advertising complies with Parts I, II, and III of the Order.

Oil-Chem and SMI request guidance from the FTC regarding its response to the claims set forth above. I understand that you will provide me with such a response in a return letter.

Sincerely,

Leiv H. Blad Jr.

cc: O. Bruton Smith

William R. Brooks Ed Rachanski Sr. Fred Lowrance



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20580

Division of Enforcement Bureau of Consumer Protection

December 26, 2002

Oil-Chem Research Corp. 6800 W. 73rd St. Bedford Park, Illinois 60638

Speedway Motor Sports, Inc. U.S. Highway 29 North Concord, North Carolina 28026

Re:

FTC v. Speedway Motorsports, Inc., and Oil-Chem Research Corp.,

1:01CV00126 (M.D. NC)

Gentlemen:

The Division of Enforcement has reviewed your submission dated December 23, 2002, which you have submitted to show the manner in which the defendants will comply with Parts I - III of the Stipulated Final Order for Permanent Injunction and Monetary Relief ("order") if it is entered in the above-referenced matter.

The information that you have submitted consists of a description of your compliance procedures and your description of certain claims that you intend to make in future advertising. The staff has concluded, on the assumption that such information is accurate and complete, that no compliance action would be merited if the order is entered. We will not be precluded, however, from recommending to the Commission an appropriate action if the submitted information is inaccurate or incomplete or if any defendant violates the terms of the order. Because you have not submitted any advertisements for the staff to review at this time, we reserve the right to request that you submit substantiation under the order for any additional express or implied claims made in future advertising and, if appropriate, to recommend that the Commission initiate an enforcement action based on such claims. The opinions expressed in this letter are those of the staff and not necessarily those of the Commission or of any Commissioner.

Sincerely,

Elaine D. Kolish

Associate Director

Elaine D. Kalist