

PROJECT facts

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
OFFICE OF FOSSIL ENERGY
NATIONAL ENERGY TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY

Power Plant Improvement
Initiative (PPII)

02/2008



GREENIDGE MULTI-POLLUTANT CONTROL PROJECT

Project Description

This project will demonstrate an in-duct SNCR/SCR hybrid in combination with low- NO_x burners (LNBS) and a circulating fluidized bed dry scrubber (CFBDS) system using recycled baghouse ash and activated carbon injection to cost-effectively reduce emissions of NO_x , SO_2 , mercury, acidic gases, and particulate matter to levels equal to or lower than those required by regulation at an existing 107-MW plant that fires 2 to 4 percent sulfur eastern bituminous coal. The project also will evaluate the effect of biomass co-firing on the multi-pollutant control system. To complement existing LNBS, an SNCR is strategically located upstream of a single-bed in-duct SCR. Urea injection required for the SNCR also generates the ammonia required for the SCR. Having the SCR downstream of the SNCR allows the SNCR to operate at lower temperatures than normal (usually avoided to protect against ammonia slip), which enhances its performance. The CFBDS system uses a reactor vessel to facilitate contact of flue gas with separately injected dry hydrated lime, activated carbon, and water. The activated carbon absorbs mercury, and the lime reacts with the SO_2 , sulfur trioxide (SO_3), hydrochloric acid (HCl), and hydrofluoric acid (HF) gases to form benign solids, all of which are captured in the baghouse. Lime and activated carbon sorbents captured in the baghouse are recycled to the CFBDS to enhance utilization. Performance testing of the SNCR/SCR hybrid and CFBDS will include an assessment of the impact of biomass co-firing with the coal at heat inputs up to 10 percent.

CONTACTS

Brad Tomer

Acting Director
Office of Major Demonstrations
National Energy Technology
Laboratory
3610 Collins Ferry Road
P.O. Box 880
Morgantown, WV 26507-0880
304-285-4692
brad.tomer@netl.doe.gov

Wolfe Huber

National Energy Technology
Laboratory
626 Cochran Mill Road
P.O. Box 10940
Pittsburgh, PA 15236-0940
412-386-5747
wolfe.huber@netl.doe.gov


PARTNER

CONSOL Energy Inc.
Pittsburgh, PA

ADDITIONAL TEAM MEMBERS

AES Greenidge, LLC

Babcock Power Environmental, Inc.



Schematic of the SNCR/SCR hybrid and CFBDS demonstration project



LOCATION

AES Greenidge Unit No. 4
Dresden, NY

COST

Total Project Value
\$32,742,976

DOE/Non-DOE Share
\$14,341,423 / \$18,401,553

ADDRESS

National Energy Technology Laboratory

1450 Queen Avenue SW
Albany, OR 97321-2198
541-967-5892

2175 University Avenue South
Suite 201
Fairbanks, AK 99709
907-452-2559

3610 Collins Ferry Road
P.O. Box 880
Morgantown, WV 26507-0880
304-285-4764

626 Cochran's Mill Road
P.O. Box 10940
Pittsburgh, PA 15236-0940
412-386-4687

One West Third Street, Suite 1400
Tulsa, OK 74103-3519
918-699-2000

CUSTOMER SERVICE

1-800-553-7681

WEBSITE

www.netl.doe.gov

Benefits

The power industry is seeking lower cost and more compatible multi-pollutant control alternatives to SCR and wet scrubbers for the 473 domestic coal-fired generating units with capacities ranging from 50–300 MW. Economics of scale that make SCR and wet scrubbers viable for large plants do not apply to these relatively small units, and small units typically are space constrained, making it difficult, if not impossible, to install conventional SCR and wet scrubbers. Greenidge Unit No. 4 is representative of the small coal-fired electricity generating units that together represent almost one-quarter of the U.S. coal-fired generating capacity. The NO_x control technology to be demonstrated at Greenidge is estimated to require about 65 percent of the capital costs and 75 percent of the levelized annual costs of a conventional SCR unit. The CFBDS is projected to use at least 60 percent less activated carbon for a given level of mercury control because the carbon has a greater average contact time in the CFBDS reactor than in a flue gas duct. Reducing the carbon feed rate results in substantial mercury control cost savings. Also, the CFBDS is estimated to require about half the capital cost of a conventional wet scrubber. The acid gas control afforded by the CFBDS is important because this removes the precursors to acid aerosols, which can form PM_{2.5} once emitted. Acid gases must be reported to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) as part of the Toxic Release Inventory. Moreover, biomass co-firing may improve overall emissions performance through reduced fuel-bound nitrogen and sulfur levels, increased volatile content, and general combustion characteristics.



The photo shows a view of the Greenidge power plant facing northeast. Turbine generator Unit 4, a 107-MW output reheat unit, is at left.