U.S. Department of Labor

Office of Administrative Law Judges 800 K Street, NW, Suite 400-N Washington, DC 20001-8002

(202) 693-7300 (202) 693-7365 (FAX)



Issue Date: 25 January 2008

Case No.: 2008-STA-00018

In the Matter of

MICHAEL DOUCETTE,

Complainant,

ν.

LILY TRANSPORTATION CORP..

Respondent.

APPEARANCES: Stephanie Jazlowiecki, Esquire

For the Complainant

Katherine Clark, Esquire For the Respondent

BEFORE: John M. Vittone

Chief Administrative Law Judge

RECOMMENDED ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND CANCELLING HEARING

This case arises under Section 405, the employee protection provision, of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1982, 49 U.S.C.A. § 31105 (West 2003), and the implementing regulations at 29 C.F.R. Part 1978. The parties have filed a request for approval of their settlement agreement and dismissal of the complaint with prejudice.

Pursuant to section 31105(b)(2)(C) of the Act, "[b]efore the final order is issued, the proceeding may be ended by a settlement agreement made by the Secretary, the complainant, and the person alleged to have committed the violation." Under regulations implementing the STAA, the parties may settle a case at any time after the filing of objections to the Assistant Secretary's findings "if the participating parties agree to a settlement and such settlement is approved by the Administrative Review Board . . . or the ALJ." 29 C.F.R. \$1978.111(d)(2). Under the STAA a settlement agreement cannot become effective until its terms have been reviewed and determined

to be fair, adequate, and reasonable, and in the public interest. *Tankersly v. Triple Crown Services, Inc.*, 1992-STA-8 (Sec'y Feb. 18, 1993). Consistent with that required review, the regulations direct the parties to file a copy of the settlement "with the ALJ or the Administrative Review Board as the case may be." *Id.*

I have carefully reviewed the parties' settlement agreement and have determined that it constitutes a fair, adequate and reasonable settlement of the complaint and is in the public interest. Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1978.109(c), however, the Administrative Review Board must issue the final order of dismissal of a STAA complaint resolved by settlement. *See Howick v. Experience Hendrix, LLC*, ARB No. 02-049, ALJ No. 2000-STA-32 (ARB Sept. 26, 2002).

Accordingly, **IT IS RECOMMENDED** that the Administrative Review Board **APPROVE** the settlement agreement, which is incorporated by reference, and **DISMISS** the complaint with prejudice. The hearing scheduled for February 25, 2008, in Portland, Maine, is hereby **CANCELLED**. **SO ORDERED**.

A

JOHN M. VITTONE
Chief Administrative Law Judge