



**In the Matter of:**

**MICHAEL RIEDELL,**

**ARB CASE NO. 06-144**

**COMPLAINANT,**

**ALJ CASE NO. 2005-SOX-077**

**v.**

**DATE: September 28, 2007**

**VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS,**

**RESPONDENT.**

**BEFORE: THE ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD**

**Appearances:**

*For the Complainant:*

**Michael Riedell, *pro se*, Thousand Oaks, California**

*For the Respondent:*

**Patty Kinaga, Esq., *Jones Day, LLP*, Los Angeles, California**

### **FINAL ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

On August 23, 2007, the Administrative Review Board ordered the Complainant, Michael Riedell, to show cause why his complaint should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. On September 17, 2007, Riedell notified the Board by letter that he does not challenge a dismissal of his complaint for failure to prosecute and wishes to conclude all activity regarding the above-captioned complaint.

We construe Riedell's letter as a request to withdraw his objections to the Administrative Law Judge's Recommended Decision and Order Dismissing the Complaint pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1980.111(c) (2007). We **APPROVE** the request and

**DISMISS** his appeal. Accordingly, the Recommended Decision and Order Dismissing the Complaint becomes the Department of Labor's final order in this case.

**SO ORDERED.**

**M. CYNTHIA DOUGLASS**  
**Chief Administrative Appeals Judge**

**OLIVER M. TRANSUE**  
**Administrative Appeals Judge**