

Concept Paper Evaluation Criteria for Peer Review

The criteria for evaluating concept papers are described in detail in each solicitation. For some concept papers (identified on the <u>NIJ Funding page</u>), peer reviewers answer the following questions, distilled from that detailed list, as they evaluate each concept paper.

Eligibility: Is the concept paper responsive to the goals of the solicitation?

Problem importance: Does the applicant demonstrate an understanding of, or make a case for, the problem and its importance?

Quality and technical merit: Is the applicant aware of the state of current knowledge? Are the proposed methods of research and analysis sound?

Impact of the proposed project: Does the proposed research have the potential to significantly advance the policy and practice of criminal justice and related agencies?

Capabilities, demonstrated productivity, and experience of applicant: Do the applicants have the skills, resources, and access to complete the proposed research? Does the management plan seem reasonable?

Budget: Is the cost consistent with the level of effort required? Is the cost reasonable given the perceived benefit?

Dissemination strategy: Does the applicant propose to disseminate results to appropriate researchers, practitioners, and policymakers?

Conclusion: Should the applicant be invited to submit a full proposal?

Updated: 11/30/04