# Unconventional Resources Technology Advisory Committee

Guide DeHoratiis
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary
Office of Oil and Natural Gas
Acting Designated Federal Officer

## **Member Responsibilities**

### SGE [special Government employees]

- Federal ethics laws and regulations
- avoid any action creating the appearance that they are violating the law or the ethical standards
- provide expert opinion


### Representative members

- represent the particular point of view associated with their appointment.
- particular point of view stated in appointment letter from the Secretary of Energy.

### Conflict of interest

- avoid conflict of interest and the appearance of conflict of interest.
- GC test: direct and predictable benefit

## Oil and Gas R&D Funding



## Traditional <u>and</u> Section 999 Natural Gas and Oil Technology Programs

Budget (\$ million)

	FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08
NATURAL GAS	43.6	32.7	12.0	19.8
OIL TECHNOLOGY	33.0	31.7	2.7	5.0
OTHER OIL AND GAS	_	_	_	22.3
SECTION 999-ULTRA DEEP	0	0	50.0	50.0
GRAND TOTAL	76.6	64.4	64.7	97.1

### **Committee Instructions**

### Role: Provide advice to DOE

- Provide recommendations on the development and priorities of the research program
- Look at objectives of the annual plan within the context of the overall program
- Focus on Consortium-administered portion of the Plan, and also comment on NETL research and potential for duplication between NETL and Consortium portions

#### Guidance

- Focus on big picture. Don't rewrite plan but advise on strengths and weaknesses.
- Consensus is good, but should not be forced.
- Majority opinion with minority viewpoint is fine.

## **Meeting Objectives**

- Finalize Committee advice by March 2008
  - During Today's meeting
    - Speakers provide background presentations
      - Committee asks clarifying questions
    - Facilitated Committee Discussions
      - Initiate discussion on Plan
      - Develop process to complete Committee work
  - March meeting in DC
    - Draft final recommendations
    - Appoint editing subcommittee
  - Conference call in March
    - Approval of final recommendations that will be presented to DOE

## **Strategic Questions for the Committee**

- Does the plan, as a whole, represent the best approach for utilizing the R&D funds available?
  - Does it fit well within the overall oil and gas program?
- Are the plan's goals & objectives appropriate?
  - Do they comply with the intent of EPACT 999?
  - Are they achievable yet challenging?
  - Do annual activities work toward longer-term goals?
- Are the proposed R&D themes appropriate?
  - Do number of themes fit the expected budget?
  - Do they allow flexibility given the uncertainty of response?
- Is the solicitation process appropriate?
  - Fair and open, competitive, transparent?