be unable to determine if the organization qualifies for loan assistance.

*Description of Respondents:* Not-forprofit institutions; State, local or tribal government.

Number of Respondents: 6. Frequency of Responses: Recordkeeping; Reporting: On occasion. Total Burden Hours: 36.

#### Charlene Parker,

Departmental Information Collection Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. E6–861 Filed 1–24–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–XT–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

#### Agricultural Marketing Service

[Docket Number FV-06-302]

#### United States Standards for Grades of Sweet Cherries

**AGENCY:** Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

#### ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS), prior to undertaking research and other work associated with revising official grade standards, is soliciting comments on the possible revisions of the United States Standards for Grades of Sweet Cherries. At a 2003 meeting with the Fruit and Vegetable Industry Advisory Committee, AMS was asked to review the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable grade standards for usefulness in serving the industry. As a result AMS has identified row sizes for possible inclusion into the sweet cherries grade standards. Additionally, AMS is seeking comments regarding any other revisions that may be necessary to better serve the industry.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by March 27, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments to the Standardization Section, Fresh Products Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, Agricultural Marketing Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1400 Independence Ave. SW., Room 1661 South Building, Stop 0240, Washington, DC 20250–0240; Fax (202) 720–8871, E-mail

FPB.DocketClerk@usda.gov. Comments should make reference to the dates and page number of this issue of the **Federal Register** and will be made available for public inspection in the above office during regular business hours. The United States Standards for Grades of Sweet Cherries are available either at the above address or by accessing the AMS, Fresh Products Branch Web site at: http://www.ams.usda.gov/standards/ stanfrfv.htm.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cheri L. Emery, at the above address or call (202) 720–2185; E-mail *Cheri.Emery@usda.gov.* 

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 203(c) of the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (7 U.S.C. 1621-1627), as amended, directs and authorizes the Secretary of Agriculture "To develop and improve standards of quality, condition, quantity, grade and packaging and recommend and demonstrate such standards in order to encourage uniformity and consistency in commercial practices." AMS is committed to carrying out this authority in a manner that facilitates the marketing of agricultural commodities. AMS makes copies of official standards available upon request. The United States Standards for Grades of Fruits and Vegetables not connected with Federal Marketing Orders or U.S. Import Requirements no longer appear in the Code of Federal Regulations, but are maintained by USDA/AMS/Fruit and Vegetable Programs.

AMS is proposing to revise the voluntary United States Standards for Grades of Sweet Cherries using procedures that appear in Part 36, Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (7 CFR part 36). These standards were published on May 7, 1971.

#### Background

At a 2003 meeting with the Fruit and Vegetable Industry Advisory Committee, AMS was asked to review the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable grade standards for usefulness in serving the industry. AMS has identified the United States Standards for Grades of Sweet Cherries for possible revision. AMS is considering incorporating a standard row size into the standards. This row size would correspond with current row sizes being used by the industry. However, prior to undertaking detailed work to develop the proposed revision to the standards, AMS is soliciting comments on the proposed revision and any other comments on the United States Standards for Grades of Sweet Cherries to better serve the industry.

This notice provides for a 60-day comment period for interested parties to comment on whether any changes are necessary to the standards. Should AMS conclude that there is a need for any revisions of the standards, the proposed revisions will be published in the **Federal Register** with a request for comments in accordance with 7 CFR part 36. Authority: 7 U.S.C. 1621–1627.

Dated: January 19, 2006.

## Lloyd C. Day,

Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service. [FR Doc. E6–862 Filed 1–24–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

# DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

# **Commodity Credit Corporation**

Notice of Change to Address for Hand Delivery of 2006 Applications to the Market Development Programs, Technical Assistance for Specialty Crops (TASC); Quality Samples Program (QSP); Market Access Program (MAP); Foreign Market Development Program (FMD); and Emerging Markets Program (EMP)

Reference Original **Federal Register** Notice Publication Dates and Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Numbers: TASC—70 FR 76230, December 23, 2005, CFDA 10.604; QSP—70 FR 76742, December 28, 2005, CFDA 10.605; MAP—70 FR 76740, December 28, 2005, CFDA 10.601; FMD—70 FR 76738, December 28, 2005, CFDA 10.600; and EMP—70 FR 76735, December 28, 2005, CFDA 10.603.

**SUMMARY:** The Commodity Credit Corporation is notifying the public of a change in address for hand delivery (including FedEx, DHL, UPS, etc.) of applications for the programs referenced above. Deliver to: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Foreign Agricultural Service, Marketing Operations Staff, 1400 Independence Ave., SW., Room 4932 South Building, Washington, DC 20250–1042.

**DATES:** All applications for the TASC program for the February 1 deadline must be received by 5 p.m. eastern standard time February 1, 2006. All applications for the QSP, MAP, FMD, and EMP programs must be received by 5 p.m. eastern standard time, March 13, 2006.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Entities wishing to apply for funding assistance should contact the Marketing Operations Staff, Foreign Agricultural Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1400 Independence Ave., SW., STOP 1042, Washington, DC 20250–1042, phone: (202) 720–4327, fax: (202) 720– 9361, e-mail: mosadmin@fas.usda.gov. Information is also available on the Foreign Agricultural Service Web site at http://www.fas.usda.gov/mos/ marketdev.asp. Signed at Washington, DC, on January 18, 2006.

#### A. Ellen Terpstra,

Administrator, Foreign Agricultural Service and Vice President, Commodity Credit Corporation.

[FR Doc. 06–679 Filed 1–24–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–10–M

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

## Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service Final Revised Guidelines for State Plans of Work for the Agricultural Research and Extension Formula Funds

**AGENCY:** Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service, USDA.

# **ACTION:** Final notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service (CSREES) is implementing the revisions to the Guidelines for State Plans of Work for the Agricultural **Research and Extension Formula Funds** [64 FR 19242-19248]. These guidelines prescribe the procedures to be followed by the eligible institutions receiving Federal agricultural research and extension formula funds under the Hatch Act of 1887, as amended (7 U.S.C. 361a et seq.); sections 3(b)(1) and (c) of the Smith-Lever Act of 1914, as amended (7 U.S.C. 343 (b)(1) and (c)); and sections 1444 and 1445 of the National Agricultural Research, Extension, and Teaching Policy Act of 1977, as amended (7 U.S.C. 3221 and 3222). The recipients of these funds are commonly referred to as the 1862 landgrant institutions and 1890 land-grant institutions, including Tuskegee University and West Virginia State University. CSREES also is revising and reinstating a previously approved information collection (OMB No. 0524-0036) associated with these Guidelines.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Bart Hewitt; Program Analyst, Planning and Accountability, Office of the Administrator; CSREES–USDA; Washington, DC 20250; at 202–720– 5623, 202–720–7714 (fax) or via electronic mail at *bhewitt@csrees.usda.gov.* 

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** CSREES published a notice and request for comment on the Proposed Revised Guidelines for State Plans of Work for the Agricultural Research and Extension Formula Funds in the **Federal Register** on June 7, 2005 (70 FR 33055–33062).

#### Public Comments and Guideline Changes in Response

In the Notice of the Proposed Guidelines, CSREES invited comments on the Proposed Guidelines as well as comments on (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collections techniques or other forms of information technology.

CSREES received 22 sets of comments.

#### Burden

*Comment:* Half of the commentors (11) stated that the number of burden hours required is underestimated. One commentor stated that the number of burden hours required is overestimated. And one commentor stated that the number of burden hours appeared to be reasonable estimates. The other nine commentors had no comment on burden hours required.

CSREES Response: CSREES fully expected that half of the commentors would indicate that the number of burden hours was underestimated. CSREES contacted nine states for a burden survey based on the proposed guidelines. Seven States responded. We asked these states to complete the survey giving the estimated number of hours it will take to complete each portion of the Plan of Work (POW) and Annual Report, above and beyond the number of hours it would normally take to plan and report for their own State's purposes. The number represented in the guidelines is based on the median of the results of this survey, and based on a per institutional response. Thus, half of the responses are at or below this figure and half of the responses are at or above this figure. Also, since this number is based on each individual institutional response, it must be understood that a combined research institution and extension institution cooperating on a POW is considered to be two responses and is, thus, expected to be double this published figure since it represents two institutional responses. It also is significant to note that none of

the states surveyed which were below this median estimate commented that the burden hours were underestimated.

*Comment:* One commentor stated that quantifying inputs would be overly burdensome.

*CSREES Response:* While quantifying inputs does put some burden on the States, it is necessary to report to Congress and the Office of Management and Budget what impacts are generated by what dollars. To reduce the burden on the states, CSREES will only ask for the types of funds used, and the estimated number of Full-Time Equivalents (FTEs) in the initial POW. Actual numbers on these will be asked in the Annual Report.

#### **Hatch Act Funding**

*Comment:* One commentor felt that there is no need for the Hatch Act anymore and that the budget should be cut. Moreover, this commentor stated that all research should be funded by agribusiness.

*CSREES Response:* CSREES appreciates and accepts all comments. However, this comment is beyond the scope of these Guidelines.

# **Due Date**

*Comment:* Three commentors noted that the period covered in the Guidelines appears incorrect. The Guidelines state October 1, 2007, through September 30, 2011.

*CSREES Response:* CSREES agrees. The period should read October 1, 2006, through September 30, 2011. This is corrected in the final Guidelines.

*Comment:* Nine commentors state that the April 1, 2006, deadline for submitting the POW will be difficult to meet. One commentor suggests that having the Annual Report and POW submitted 60 days apart from each other would be less burdensome.

CSREES Response: CSREES needs to have 90 days to review and approve the POWs before funds can be released for the first quarter of fiscal year (FY) 2007. CSREES agrees to move the initial due date for the FY 2007-2011 POW to June 1, 2006. However, if any State institution does not submit their Plan by June 1, 2006, CSREES cannot guarantee prompt release of the first quarter funds for FY 2007 on October 1, 2006, since it can only do so with an approved POW. The due dates for the subsequent Annual Report of Accomplishments and the Annual Plan of Work Update will remain April 1 each year.

# Elements of the Planned Programs Section

*Comment:* Two commentors suggest that while the Program Logic Model is