

PERISHABLE AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES ACT

ERRATA

NORINSBERG CORPORATION v. UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE.

No. 93-1842.

Errata.

In the Court Decision published at 54 Agric. Dec. 634, the correct name of the United States Court of Appeals that decided the case is the "DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT."

---

In re: ATLANTIC PRODUCE CO. AND JOSEPH PINTO.

PACA Docket No. D-94-533.

Errata.

In the Decision and Order issued by Donald A. Campbell, Judicial Officer on March 22, 1995, and published at 54 Agric. Dec. 701, several lines were omitted from the final page of the Decision. The last two paragraphs of the Decision on page 715 should read as follows:

Although *Caito* mentions briefly the Department's severe sanction policy, which has not been followed since *S.S. Farms Linn County, supra*, the overriding doctrine set forth in *Caito* is that, because of the peculiar nature of the perishable agricultural commodities industry, and the Congressional purpose that only financially responsible persons should be engaged in the perishable agricultural commodities industry, excuses why payment was not made in a particular case are not sufficient to prevent a license revocation where there have been repeated failures to pay a substantial amount of money, usually over an extended period of time. That doctrine is not altered by the new sanction policy set forth in *S.S. Farms Linn County*.

For the foregoing reasons, the following Order should be issued.