# Sample Immunization Program Evaluation Plan June 2008

_
tle:
tle:
ll be evaluating.
surance)
countability
Program
he above component you plan on
ndards)
ponent/activity you have chosen.  rogram Evaluation for help with this
ssions.
lank.

D. Stakeholders - Fill out the table below. Note: This table is a combination of Tables 1 and 2 in the Guide; therefore, it should include members of the evaluation team. Refer to Chapter 1, Pages 7-10 and Chapter 2, Page 12 of the Guide to Immunization Program Evaluation for help with this section.

Tables 1 and 2: Stakeholder Assessment and Engagement				
Group Name OR Person's Name and job title	Interests in or perspectives about the evaluation	Roles in the evaluation	Responsibilities in the evaluation	How and when to engage
Program Manager	Ensure adequate stakeholder input; Complete evaluation plan on time; Ensure results of evaluation are useful and utilized to improve program performance	Evaluation team leader	Oversee data collection and analysis; Write evaluation plan; Utilize evaluation findings	Involved in all activities throughout the evaluation
AFIX Coordinator	Evaluation and its findings could impact routine activities; Interested in providing useful feedback for providers	Stakeholder liaison; Data collection and analysis	Coordinate stakeholder meetings; Provide input on evaluation design, methods, and interpretation and use of findings; Share findings with stakeholders; Utilize evaluation findings	Meet regularly with PM to develop methods; Attend all SH meetings
AFIX field staff	Evaluation and its findings will directly impact day-to-day activities; Interested in providing useful feedback for providers	Provider liaisons; Data collection	Provide input on data collection methods; Data source and data collectors; Share findings with providers; Utilize evaluation findings	Meet regularly with AFIX Coordinator; Attend initial SH meeting and subsequent ones as needed
Provider office staff (clinical and admin.)	Interested in receiving constructive feedback on ways to improve immunization delivery and providers; Interested in minimizing AFIX-related burden on staff time	Represent providers' perspectives on AFIX Feedback;	Provide feedback during evaluation planning; Data source; Utilize evaluation findings	Invite to initial stakeholder meeting; Phone/Email communication during data collection phase; As needed, invite to other SH meetings to troubleshoot, share findings, etc.

E. Describing the program component/activity. <u>Briefly</u> describe the following aspects of the component/activity you are evaluating. Note: Please provide a more detailed description if the activity you are evaluating is new or not well established (e.g. activities related to adolescent vaccinations). *Refer to Chapter 2, Pages 13-14 of the Guide to Immunization Program Evaluation for help with this section.* 

### **Background:**

- Program has 5 AFIX staff
- Staff get some instructions on AFIX feedback sessions at the time they are hired
- None have received standardized training on AFIX Feedback standards
- Currently, program does not track how many feedback sessions include use of written quality improvement (QI) plans (as described in the AFIX standards, Feedback, Level I pg 10)
- Additionally, we do not know if QI plans contain the minimum requirements
- Although AFIX staff work with providers to agree upon opportunities for improvement, we do
  not track in a standardized manner whether providers are implementing the agreed upon
  changes.

#### **Context:**

- Feedback sessions are the only opportunity to interact directly with provider staff (especially decision-makers)
- Feedback visits are often combined with VFC visits and therefore, some of the items discussed for the AFIX portion might not get adequate time and attention
- Want to use the visit to help providers improve immunization delivery and increase their immunization rates

#### **Stage of Development:**

We have been implementing AFIX visits (including Feedback sessions) for the past 8 years. The process is very well-established.

#### **Target population:**

- Clinical and administrative staff in private and public immunization provider offices
- AFIX staff

#### **Objectives:**

- By March 2009, 100% of AFIX field staff in the state will receive training on how to conduct feedback sessions that meet all AFIX Level I standards.
- By June 2009, all AFIX field staff will report an increase in knowledge about the components of a feedback session including which key items should be included in a quality improvement (QI) plan.
- Between July and December 2009, during AFIX Feedback sessions, all AFIX field staff will develop QI plans that contain all key items.
- Starting in January 2010, all AFIX field staff will follow up with providers at least on a biannual basis to document their progress in implementing the improvement opportunities that were agreed upon at their last feedback session.

- Starting in January 2010, all providers will implement by the proposed date at least 50% of the improvement opportunities that were agreed upon at their last feedback session.
- F. Fill out the table below. You may choose to describe the entire component or the specific activity or activities within the component that you are evaluating. Note: This table should represent the current status of the component or activity NOT where you would like to be in the future. Refer to Chapter 2, Pages 15-17 of the Guide to Immunization Program Evaluation for help with this section.

Table 3: Program Component/Activity Description					
Inputs	Activities Initial Subsequent		Outputs	Outcomes Short-/	
	IIIItiai	Subsequent		Mid-term	Long-term
Funding	Develop	Review provider	Protocols	Increased	Decrease in missed
	AFIX	records & assess		provider	opportunities
Staff	protocol	coverage level	Trained staff	knowledge	
					Decrease in invalid
Time	Train AFIX	Provide feedback	Providers	Increased	doses
	staff	& recommend	visited	provider	
CoCASA		strategies for		motivation	Increase in timely
	Set up	improvement	Coverage		vaccine receipt for
	provider		assessment	Change in	eligible population
	visits	Acknowledge and		office	
		reward improved	Feedback	practices	Increased
		performance	provided	and policies	immunization
					coverage
		Document	Rewards	Change in	
		outcome of visit in	provided	physician	Decreased VPD
		database		behavior	
		(CoCASA)	Data in		
		_	database		
		Promote	- 0		
		information	Information		
		exchange	exchanged		

G. Optional – If you have created a logic model in addition to Table 3, please submit your logic model as an attachment (instructions will be provided in PAPA). Refer to Chapter 2, Page 17 of the Guide to Immunization Program Evaluation for help with this section.

H. Focusing the evaluation and Data Collection and Analysis - Fill out the table below. Note: The number of questions and indicators per question can vary. For each indicator, you need to develop a target and BRIEFLY list/describe the data sources and the method, timeline and person responsible for data collection and analysis. Refer to Chapter 2, Pages 18-29 of the Guide to Immunization Program Evaluation for help with this section.

Table 8: Data Collection and Analysis					
INDICATOR(S)	TARGET(S)	DATA SOURCE(S)	DATA COLLECTION	ANALYSIS	
Evaluation Question #1: How many providers receive a quality improvement (QI) plan containing the 4 key items* (Level 1, AFIX Feedback Standards)?					
1. Percent of providers that received a QI plan following an AFIX visit	1. 80% of providers received a QI plan following an AFIX visit	1. AFIX field staff; site visit notes	1. Method: Review site visit notes from 2007-2008; if not available or incomplete, survey AFIX field staff Timeline: Dec 2008-Jan 2009  Person Responsible: AFIX coordinator and AFIX field staff	1. Method: Frequencies Timeline: Dec 2008-Jan 2009 Person Responsible: AFIX coordinator and AFIX field staff	
2. Percent of QI plans that include all four key items	2. 100% of QI plans contain all four key items	2. QI Plans	2. Method: Review a sample of QI plans from 2007-2008 developed by each AFIX field staff Timeline: Dec 2008-Jan 2009 Person Responsible: AFIX coordinator	2. Method: Frequencies Timeline: Dec 2008-Jan 2009 Person Responsible: AFIX coordinator	
Evaluation Question #2: Do AFIX staff know how to write a QI plan (including 4 key items)?					
1. Percent of AFIX field staff trained on how to write a QI plan (including all four key items)	1. 100% of AFIX field staff received training on QI plans before conducting AFIX visits	1. Staff training records	1. Method: Review staff training records Timeline: Dec 2008 Person Responsible: AFIX coordinator	1. Method: Frequencies Timeline: Dec 2008 Person Responsible: AFIX coordinator	

Table 8: Data Collection and Analysis				
INDICATOR(S)	TARGET(S)	DATA SOURCE(S)	DATA COLLECTION	ANALYSIS
2. Percent of AFIX field staff who feel confident in their ability to write a QI plan	2. 100% of AFIX field staff feel confident in their ability to write a QI plan	2. AFIX field staff	2. Method: Survey AFIX field staff about barriers and facilitators of QI plan development Timeline: Dec 2008- Jan 2009 Person Responsible: AFIX coordinator	2. Method: Frequencies; Qualitative content Timeline: Jan 2009 Person Responsible: AFIX coordinator
Evaluation Question	n #3: How many prov	viders implemen	t the interventions (A)	KA
"recommendations"	') that were agreed up	on during their	AFIX Feedback visit?	
1. Percent of providers that implemented one or more recommendations (by the proposed date)	1. Providers implemented at least 50% of recommendations (by the proposed date)	1. Providers; AFIX field staff; Site visit notes and QI plans from 2007-2008	1. Method: Survey (a sample of) providers who received visits during 2007-2008 to identify which recommendations were implemented; survey (a sample of) providers and all AFIX field staff about barriers and facilitators of implementation of recommendations; Review site visit notes and QI plans from 2007-2008  Timeline: Dec 2008-Feb 2009  Person Responsible: AFIX coordinator and AFIX field staff	1. Method: Frequencies; Qualitative content Timeline: Feb 2009 Person Responsible: AFIX coordinator and AFIX field staff
2. Percent of feedback visits that were conducted with provider staff who have the authority to implement changes	2. 100% of AFIX feedback sessions are conducted with provider staff who have the authority to implement changes	2. Site visit notes from 2007-2008	2. <b>Method:</b> Review site visit notes and QI plans from 2007-2008 <b>Timeline:</b> Dec 2008-Jan 2009 <b>Person Responsible:</b> AFIX field staff	2. Method: Frequencies Timeline: Jan 2009 Person Responsible: AFIX field staff

<sup>\*</sup>The four key items in a QI plan include: 1. Opportunity for improvement on which to focus. 2. Define action steps for implementing the intervention. 3. Responsible party for implementation. 4. Date to implement intervention. (AFIX Standards, page 10)

I. Ensuring use and sharing lessons learned - Fill out the table below. Note: We understand that this portion of your evaluation will occur in the distant future but please provide your best estimate. Refer to Chapter 2, Page 30-31 of the Guide to Immunization Program Evaluation for help with this section.

Table 9: Disseminating Findings				
TARGET PERSON OR GROUP'S NAME	TARGET'S EVALUATION USE(S)	DISSEMINATION ITEMS AND METHODS	TIMELINE FOR DISSEMINATION	PERSON RESPONSIBLE
Program manager	Determine the current status of AFIX Feedback sessions; Utilize findings to improve training provided to field staff and maximize Feedback sessions to ensure more providers are implementing agreed upon recommendations	Provide a complete report of findings (electronic and hardcopy)	At the completion of the evaluation (~ March 2009)	AFIX Coordinator
AFIX field staff	Identify areas of improvement for AFIX Feedback sessions; Adjust methodology for recommendations made during feedback sessions.	Present a summary of evaluation findings during monthly staff meetings	At the completion of the evaluation (~ March 2009)	AFIX Coordinator
Immunization providers (All AFIX providers including data sources)	Improve implementation of agreed upon interventions; Use as benchmark to see how they are performing compared to other providers with regard to implementing recommendations	Provide a summary of relevant findings (electronic and hardcopy)	At the completion of the evaluation (~ March 2009)	AFIX Field staff
NIC 2009 Conference	Learn about methodology for evaluating AFIX Feedback visits	Presentation	February 2009	Program Manager

## **END EVALUATION PLAN**

This document can be found on the CDC website at: <a href="http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/programs/progeval/downloads/eval-afix-examples-2008.pdf">http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/programs/progeval/downloads/eval-afix-examples-2008.pdf</a>