p.m. Eastern time) at 888 First Street, NE., Room 2A, Washington, DC 20426.

44. From the Commission's Home Page on the Internet, this information is available in the Federal Energy Regulatory Records Information System (FERRIS). The full text of this document is available on FERRIS in PDF and WordPerfect format for viewing, printing, and/or downloading. To access this document in FERRIS, type the docket number excluding the last three digits of this document in the docket number field.

45. User assistance is available for FERRIS and the Commission's Web site during normal business hours from our Help line at (202) 502–8222 or the Public Reference Room at (202) 502– 8371 Press 0, TTY (202) 502–8659. E-Mail the Public Reference Room at *public.referenceroom@ferc.gov.*

By direction of the Commission.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–1699 Filed 1–24–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–U

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

28 CFR Part 16

[AAG/A Order No. 004-2003]

Privacy Act of 1974; Implementation

AGENCY: Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration. **ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice is exempting a Privacy Act system of records entitled "Clandestine Laboratory Seizure System (CLSS), Justice/DEA–002," from subsections (c)(3) and (4); (d)(1), (2), (3) and (4); (e)(1), (2) and (3), (e)(5) and (e)(8); and (g) of the Privacy Act of 1974.

DATES: Submit any comments by February 26, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Address all comments to Mary Cahill, Management and Planning Staff, Justice Management Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC (1400 National Place Building).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Cahill, (202) 307–1823.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The exemptions will be applied only to the extent that information in a record is subject to an exemption pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(j) and (k).

This order relates to individuals rather than small business entities. Nevertheless, pursuant to the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, this order will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

List of Subjects in 28 CFR Part 16

Administrative practices and procedures, Courts, Freedom of Information Act and Privacy.

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Attorney General by 5 U.S.C. 552a and delegated to me by Attorney General Order No. 793–78, 28 CFR part 16 is amended as follows:

PART 16—[AMENDED]

Subpart E—Exemption of Records Systems under the Privacy Act

1. The authority for part 16 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 301, 552, 552(a), 552b(g), and 553; 18 U.S.C. 4203(a)(1); 28 U.S.C. 509, 510 and 534; 31 U.S.C. 3717 and 9701.

2. Section 16.98 is amended as follows: (a) By revising paragraph (c)

(b) By revising the first sentence of paragraph (d)

(c) By removing paragraphs (g) and (h) The revisions read as follows:

§16.98 Exemption of the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA)—limited access.

(c) Systems of records identified in paragraphs (c)(1) through (c)(7) below are exempted pursuant to the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552a (j)(2) from subsections (c)(3) and (4); (d)(1), (2), (3) and (4); (e)(1), (2) and (3), (e)(5), (e)(8); and (g) of 5 U.S.C. 552a. In addition, systems of records identified in paragraphs (c)(1), (c)(2), (c)(3), (c)(4), (c)(5), and (c)(6) below are also exempted pursuant to the provisions of 552a(k)(1) from subsections (c)(3); (d)(1), (2), (3) and (4); and (e)(1):

(1) Air Intelligence Program (Justice/ DEA–001)

(2) Clandestine Laboratory Seizure System (Justice/DEA–002)

(3) Investigative Reporting and Filing System (Justice/DEA–008)

(4) Planning and Inspection Division Records (Justice/DEA–010)

(5) Operation Files (Justice/DEA-011)

(6) Security Files (Justice/DEA–013)

(7) System to Retrieve Information from Drug Evidence (Stride/Ballistics) (Justice/DEA–014)

(d) Exemptions apply to the following systems of records only to the extent that information in the systems is subject to exemption pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(j)(2), (k)(1), and (k)(2): Air Intelligence Program (Justice/DEA–001); Clandestine Laboratory Seizure System (CLSS) (Justice/DEA–002); Planning and Inspection Division Records (Justice/ DEA–010); and Security Files (Justice/ DEA–013). * * *

Dated: January 17, 2003.

Paul R. Corts,

Assistant Attorney General for Administration. [FR Doc. 03–1670 Filed 1–24–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410-09–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[FL-69-1-9940b; FRL-7443-2]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Florida: Approval of Revisions to the Florida State Implementation Plan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing approval of revision to the Florida State Implementation Plan (SIP) submitted on September 7, 1999, by the State of Florida through the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP). The purpose of the revisions to rule 62– 212.400 is to correct discrepancies between State and Federal rule language on exemptions from Prevention of Significant Deterioration and to include additional provisions.

In the Final Rules Section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving Florida's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time. DATES: Written comments must be received on or before February 26, 2003. **ADDRESSES:** All comments should be addressed to Heidi LeSane at the EPA, Region 4 Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960.

Copies of the state submittal are available at the following addresses for inspection during normal business hours:

- Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960.
- Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Twin Towers Office Building, 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, Florida 32399–2400.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Heidi LeSane at (404) 562–9035 (E-mail: *lesean.heidi@epa.gov*).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: January 8, 2003.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4. [FR Doc. 03–1633 Filed 1–24–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 52, 61 and 62

[SD-001-0013, SD-001-0014, SD-001-0015; FRL-7443-7]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; South Dakota

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to partially approve and partially disapprove State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the State of South Dakota on May 6, 1999 and June 30, 2000. The revisions modify the State's air quality rules so they are consistent with federal rules and clarify existing provisions. EPA is also proposing to remove from the SIP or not approve into the SIP, certain provisions of the State's air quality rules because they are not related to attainment or maintenance of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) and are not appropriate for inclusion in the SIP. This action is being taken under section 110 of the Clean Air Act.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before February 26, 2003. **ADDRESSES:** Written comments may be mailed to Richard R. Long, Director, Air and Radiation Program, Mailcode 8P–AR, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado 80202. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air and Radiation Program, Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado 80202. Copies of the State documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection at the South Dakota Department of Environmental and Natural Resources, Air Quality Program, Joe Foss Building, 523 East Capitol, Pierre, South Dakota 57501.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Laurel Dygowski, EPA, Region VIII, (303) 312–6144.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For the purpose of this document, we are giving meaning to certain words as follows: (a) The words "EPA," "we," "us" or "our" mean or refer to the United States Environmental Protection Agency; (b) The words State or South Dakota mean the State of South Dakota unless the context indicates otherwise.

In this document we are proposing to partially approve and partially disapprove SIP revisions submitted by the State of South Dakota on May 6, 1999 and June 30, 2000. These revisions modify the State's air quality rules so they are consistent with federal rules and clarify existing provisions. We have already acted on several portions of the State's May 6, 1999 submittal. Below is a discussion of the revisions to the State's air quality rules and whether or not they are being proposed for approval into the SIP. We also identify those provisions of the submittal that have already been acted on. The June 30, 2000 submittal also revised the State's New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) in Chapter 74:36:07. We have addressed the majority of the NSPS revisions in Chapter 74:36:07 in a separate document (67 FR 57520).

I. Summary of SIP Revision

A. Chapter 74:36:01, South Dakota Air Pollution Control Program (SDAPCP)

The State's May 6, 1999 submittal made the following revisions to the Chapter 74:36:01—Definitions:

1. Minor changes were made to the definitions of "allowable emissions," "final permit," "permit modification," and "reference method."

2. Two definitions were deleted, "FAA" and "organized disposal system," because earlier rule revisions had deleted the sections that contained these words.

3. Substantive changes were made to the following definitions: (a) "minor source" was revised to indicate that a minor source will be based on its potential emissions of a *criteria* *pollutant* rather than *any regulated pollutant;* (b) "particulate matter" was revised to read "a broad class of chemically and physically diverse substances that exist as discrete particles, liquid droplets, or solids over a wide range of sizes"; (c) "PM10" was revised to indicate that an *equivalent method*, in addition to the applicable reference method, may be used to measure PM10; and (d) "VOC" was revised to exclude additional compounds of carbon from the definition.

4. A definition for "PM2.5" was added.

5. Minor changes were made in the sections "Administrative permit amendment defined" (section 74:36:01:03), "Applicable requirements of Clean Air Act defined" (74:36:01:05), and "Significant defined" (section 74:36:01:17).

6. Imbedded in the State's prior definition of "major modification defined" (section 74:36:01:07) was a definition for "physical change or change in the method of operation." The State has deleted the definition of "physical change or change in the method of operation" from the definition of "major modification defined" and added a separate definition for "physical change or change in the method of operation" at section 74:36:01:20.

7. The definition of "major source defined" (section 74:36:01:08) was revised to indicate that controls are considered when determining whether a source is major under section 112 of the Clean Air Act.

8. The definition of "modification defined" (section 74:36:01:10) was revised to delete the references to what is not considered a modification and the references to the permitting required upon modification.

We have reviewed all the revisions identified above in (1) through (8). We believe the revisions are acceptable and are proposing to approve them into the SIP, or are deleting provisions from the SIP as appropriate.

The definitions of PM10 and PM2.5 may appear to contain director discretion provisions; ¹ both definitions indicate that pollutants will be "measured by an applicable reference or equivalent method." However, because of other provisions in the State's rules and/or our action on those other provisions, we do not believe the

¹ A director discretion provision would allow the State to revise portions of a SIP without completing a formal SIP revision. Because we believe the SIP can only be revised through a formal SIP revision, we usually do not approve SIPs, or parts of SIPs, that contain director discretion provisions.