

ANIMAL RULE II: CDER PERSPECTIVE/CASE STUDIES

Lewis K. Schrager, M.D.

NIAID Workshop on Aerosolization Technologies

Bethesda, MD

December 4, 2003



Food and Drug Administration
Division of Counter-Terrorism, HFD-970,
OCTAP, CDER

ANIMAL RULE: GOALS OF PRESENTATION

- Review criteria for drug approval under the Animal Rule
- Examples of issues arising when applying the Animal Rule to the design and conduct of studies
- Examples of solutions to these issues



ANIMAL RULE

21 CFR 314 Subpart I

21 CFR 601 Subpart H

May 31, 2002

- Allows reliance on adequate and well-controlled animal studies as evidence of effectiveness
- Applies when studies in humans are unethical/infeasible



ANIMAL RULE

- Rationale: further development of treatments to reduce or prevent the toxicity of chemical, biological, radiological or nuclear substances
- Does not apply if efficacy evaluations are feasible under any other FDA regulation



ANIMAL RULE: FOUR SCIENTIFIC CRITERIA NEEDED FOR APPROVAL

- 1: Pathophysiology of disease AND mechanisms of action of the drug must be well understood
- 2: Therapeutic effect demonstrated in more than one animal species, or in one sufficiently well-characterized animal model, expected to react with a response predictive for humans



ANIMAL RULE: FOUR SCIENTIFIC CRITERIA (continued)

- 3: Animal study endpoint is clearly related to the desired benefit in humans
 - ◆ Enhancement of survival
 - ◆ Prevention of major morbidity
- 4: Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic data of the product in the animal models allows selection of an effective dose in humans



ANIMAL RULE: ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

- Research performed under GLP standards
- Safety data obtained from humans
- Need for post-approval (Phase 4) studies



EXAMPLE 1: PYRIDOSTIGMINE BROMIDE (PB)

- PB approved as pre-exposure antidote to nerve agent Soman (February, 2003)
 - ◆ To date, the only product to have an indication approved under the Animal Rule
 - ◆ Approval limited: “FOR MILITARY COMBAT USE ONLY”



PB APPROVAL (2)

- Key points regarding PB approval
 - ◆ Need to understand pathophysiology of the toxic agent, and the mechanism of the drug's activity against the agent
 - ◆ Need for more than one animal species expected to react with a response predictive for humans



PB APPROVAL (3)

- Pathophysiology of disease/mechanism of drug action
 - ◆ Soman and other nerve agents disrupt functioning of the neuromuscular junction
 - IRREVERSIBLE INHIBITION of acetylcholinesterase (A-ChE)
 - Excess acetylcholine (A-Ch) builds up
 - Overstimulation leads to respiratory arrest (failure of respiratory muscles, excessive respiratory secretions and bronchoconstriction, central respiratory depression)



PB APPROVAL (4)

- PB protective mechanism
 - ◆ Reversibly binds A-ChE, temporarily protecting against irreversible Soman effects
 - ◆ BUT, atropine and pralidoxime (2-PAM) needed to counter the effects of Soman and to prevent PB potentiation of the Soman effect



PB APPROVAL (5)

- Early difficulties in assessing PB activity in animal models
 - ◆ Studies in small animals (mice, rats) revealed PB effects to be small and inconsistent
 - ◆ PB effects in mice and rats masked by high blood levels of carboxylesterase in these species
 - Inactivates Soman in the blood, making the animals highly resistant to Soman effects



CARBOXYLESTERASE (CaE): INTERSPECIES DIFFERENCES

SPECIES	PLASMA CaE (uM)	SOMAN LD₅₀ (uM)
Human	0	?
Rhesus	0	13
Rabbit	0.3	20
Guinea pig	0.9	28
Rat	4.2	104
Mouse	6.1	119



PB APPROVAL (6)

- Efficacy of PB + atropine + 2-PAM as prophylaxis against Soman first demonstrated consistently in guinea pigs
- Critical PB efficacy study performed in rhesus macaques
 - ◆ PB + atropine + 2-PAM increased Soman $LD_{50} \geq 40$ -fold over untreated monkeys
 - ◆ PB + atropine + 2-PAM increased Soman $LD_{50} \geq 25$ -fold over atropine and 2-PAM alone



PB APPROVAL: SUMMARY

- Precise understanding of the pathophysiological action of Soman and of PB's activity against the agent critical to ultimate approval and instructions for use
 - ◆ CAUTIONS
 - PB approved for use as PRETREATMENT for Soman
 - PB efficacy dependent upon rapid use of atropine and pralidoxime after Soman exposure
 - PB taken immediately prior to, or at the time of Soman exposure may exacerbate effects of a sub-lethal Soman dose
- Fundamental, unanticipated biological differences between species (presence or absence of carboxylesterase) resulted in differential efficacy of PB
 - ◆ Importance of understanding pathophysiological mechanisms
 - ◆ Importance of using multiple species



EXAMPLE 2: GENTAMICIN EFFICACY IN PNEUMONIC PLAGUE

- Key issues regarding the Animal Rule
 - ◆ Importance of understanding pathophysiology of disease and mechanism of drug action
 - ◆ Importance of pharmacokinetic (PK) studies, and PK bridging between animals and humans
 - ◆ Requirement for GLP standards



GENTAMICIN FOR PLAGUE: NATURAL HISTORY STUDY

- Indication sought: TREATMENT of pneumonic plague
 - ◆ Not pre- or postexposure prophylaxis
- Timing of gentamicin intervention was the key relevance of understanding plague pathophysiology
 - ◆ Key question: when to intervene?
- Approach taken: natural history study
 - ◆ Performed at USAMRIID, with NIAID support



GENTAMICIN FOR PLAGUE: NATURAL HISTORY STUDY (2)

- Goal: determine timing for gentamicin intervention
 - ◆ 6 African green monkeys exposed to aerosolized *Y. pestis*, strain CO92
 - Gentamicin MIC = 1 µg/mL
 - ◆ Planned dose: 100 ± 50 LD₅₀
 - Delivered via USAMRIID automated aerosol exposure platform



GENTAMICIN FOR PLAGUE: NATURAL HISTORY STUDY (3)

➤ Results

- ◆ Four of six animals became bacteremic after aerosol exposure to $> 20 \text{ LD}_{50}$ of *Y. pestis*
 - Insufficient exposure to viable organisms likely responsible for failure to develop disease in two
- ◆ All bacteremic animals were blood culture positive no later than 72 hours post-exposure
- ◆ Fever was the most consistent early clinical sign of disease



GENTAMICIN FOR PLAGUE: NATURAL HISTORY STUDY (4)

- Natural history study of aerosolized *Y. pestis* exposure resulted in the following trial design regarding timing of gentamicin intervention for a *treatment* indication:
 - 76 hours after exposure to *Y. pestis*
 - Or development of consistent fever ($\geq 1.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ above baseline temperature x 2 hours) in the majority of the monkeys in the exposure cohort



GENTAMICIN FOR PLAGUE: PHARMACOKINETIC STUDY

➤ Goals

- ◆ Determine gentamicin dose that would result in a peak serum gentamicin concentration of 10x the *Y. pestis* MIC (10µg/mL)
 - ◆ Determine the equivalent human gentamicin dose
- Performed at SRI, under NIAID contract



GENTAMICIN FOR PLAGUE: PHARMACOKINETIC STUDY (2)

➤ Methods

- ◆ 12 AGMs (6 male, 6 female)
- ◆ Single dose
 - IM (n = 6)
 - IV, 20 minute infusion (n = 6)
- ◆ 3 mg/kg, 4.5 mg/kg, 6 mg/kg doses
 - Target peak serum concentration of gentamicin = 10µg/ml



GENTAMICIN FOR PLAGUE: PHARMACOKINETIC STUDY (3)

- Methods (continued)
 - ◆ 1 week washout between doses
 - ◆ Blood sampling: days 1, 8 and 15
 - IM: predose, 2, 20, 40 minutes and 1, 2, 3, 6, 8 hours after injection
 - IV: predose, end of infusion, 20, 40 minutes and 1, 2, 3, 6 and 8 hours after infusion



GENTAMICIN FOR PLAGUE: PHARMACOKINETIC STUDY (4)

➤ Results

- ◆ Lowest gentamicin dose from the PK study achieving the target peak serum concentration (10 µg/ml) = 3 mg/kg
- ◆ A once daily dose leaves the serum gentamicin concentration below *Y. pestis* MIC of 1 µg/ml for approximately 17 hours
- ◆ Dosing every 12 hours results in greater time above the serum gentamicin MIC for *Y. pestis*



GENTAMICIN FOR PLAGUE: PHARMACOKINETIC STUDY (5)

- Results (continued)
 - ◆ 3 mg/kg every 12 hours gentamicin dose in AGMs mimics a daily human gentamicin dose of 10 mg/kg
 - ◆ **KEY PROBLEM:** 10 mg/kg daily gentamicin dose in humans is greater than the maximum recommended dose of 5 mg/kg/day in humans with life threatening infections
 - Would increase risk of ototoxicity and nephrotoxicity



GENTAMICIN FOR PLAGUE: PHARMACOKINETIC STUDY (5)

- **DECISION:** Use 3 mg/kg every 12 hour dose for INITIAL efficacy study
 - ◆ Achieve “proof of concept” (best chance of gentamicin efficacy)



GENTAMICIN FOR PLAGUE: PHARMACOKINETIC STUDY (5)

- **CONSEQUENCE:** Further studies will be necessary for applicability to humans under the Animal Rule
 - ◆ If gentamicin efficacy established against pneumonic plague in AGMs at 3 mg/kg every 12 hours, will need to test lower doses with acceptable relative toxicity profile in humans



GENTAMICIN FOR PLAGUE: GLP REQUIREMENTS UNDER THE ANIMAL RULE

- **ISSUE:** Efficacy studies must be conducted under GLP standards
 - ◆ 21 CFR 58
- **PROBLEM:** Animal aerosolization facilities not GLP-validated at USAMRIID



GENTAMICIN FOR PLAGUE: GLP REQUIREMENTS (2)

- SOLUTION: Members of CDER Division of Scientific Investigation (DSI) consulted early in the process
 - ◆ Education as to actual requirements under 21 CFR 58
 - ◆ Attempt to interpret regulations in a straightforward and minimally intrusive manner



CONCLUSIONS

- Animal Rule creates new opportunities, and new challenges, for researchers mobilized to combat bioterrorism
- Need for careful consideration and planning to address the four scientific criteria
 - ◆ Pathophysiological mechanisms
 - ◆ Demonstration of effect in animal species with response predictive for humans
 - ◆ Relationship of study endpoint to desired human benefit
 - ◆ Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic data in animals that permit selection of effective human dose



CONCLUSIONS (2)

- Other requirements also must be considered
 - ◆ GLP requirements
 - ◆ Need for human safety data
 - ◆ Postmarketing studies



CONCLUSIONS (3)

- **EARLY COMMUNICATION WITH DIVISION OF COUNTER-TERRORISM, AND WITH REVIEW DIVISIONS, IMPORTANT IN ENSURING AN EFFICIENT PROCESS**

- ◆ Contact: (301) 827-7265; (301) 827-7711
- ◆ E-mail: SchragerL@CDER.FDA.GOV

