# **Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model**

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

## Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG)

ROPICO	Persistent Lodgepole Pine	
--------	---------------------------	--

## **General Information**

Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments")						
Modelers		<b>Reviewers</b>				
Don G. Despain	don_despain@usgs.gov	Steve Barrett	sbarrett@mtdig.net			
		Cathy Stewart	cstewart@fs.fed.us			

Vegetation Type	General Model Sources	Rapid AssessmentModel Zones		
Forested	Literature	California	Pacific Northwest South Central	
Dominant Species*	Expert Estimate	Great Lakes	Southeast	
PICO CAGE VASC CARO	LANDFIRE Mapping Zones           10         21           19         22           20         29	<ul> <li>☐ Northeast</li> <li>☐ Northern Plains</li> <li>☑ N-Cent.Rockies</li> </ul>	S. Appalachians Southwest	

## **Geographic Range**

Northern Rockies, especially on the Yellowstone Plateau.

## **Biophysical Site Description**

This type occurs on coarse, sterile soils derived largely from silicic rocks, (rhyolite, granite, and some sterile sandstone). Annual precipitation averages 25-35 in. with fairly even distribution across the months with slightly more in the spring and less during the summer.

### **Vegetation Description**

Mature to overmature stands are dominated by slow growing lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta Dougl.). Lodgepole pine occurs in nearly pure stands throughout all successional stages (i.e., lodgepole pine plays early-seral and quasi-climax roles in this system). With a sparse lodgepole pine understory and forest floor of scattered clumps of Geyer's sedge, Ross' sedge and some grouse whortleberry patches; early succession stands can be dense lodgepole pine seedlings to saplings that thin over time to widely spaced trees with a multi-aged. It is often associated with Purshia tridentata.

## **Disturbance Description**

Fire is infrequent and often quite patchy due to lack of surface fuels. High winds are needed to carry crown fire which transitions to the crowns above patches of lodgepole reproduction. Pine beetles kill the larger trees leaving the younger trees and patches of establishment sites for new trees. This can produce conditions more conducive to larger crown fires.

Mistletoe may cause mortality in older trees and the profusion of induced branches and partial crown mortality, which may predispose them to intense torching that may lead to crown fire.

## Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Mid-seral stages may be confused with dense stands of lodgepole dominated seral stages of more moist

\*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

PNVGs. They can be distinguished by a more continuous cover of herbaceous growth and the occasional presence of spruce or fir seedlings.

This type corresponds to cool habitat types dominated by lodgepole pine (Pfister et al. 1977).

#### Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data ☐ Literature ☐ Local Data ✔ Expert Estimate

Patch size ranges from a few tens of acres to a few hundred on sandstone outcrops to areas of thousands to tens of thousand on rhyolite and granite.

## **Issues/Problems**

## **Model Evolution and Comments**

Workshop code was PICO1.

Peer-review was incorporated on 4/6/2005 and resulted in adding blowdown disturbances (1 in 1000 years) to classes B and D; adding competition/maintenance to class B (i.e., doghair conditions resulting in delayed succession); and changing the frequency of fire in class A to match the frequency in other classes (400 year frequency); and adding mixed severity fire to class C at a low frequency.

# Succession Classes\*\*

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

Class A 15%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	<u>d</u> <u>Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)</u>				
Early1 PostRep	PICO		Min	Max		
Description	CAGE2 CARO5	Cover	0%	100 %		
		Height	no data	no data		
Sparse to dense lodgepole pine seedlings to young pole-sized		Tree Size	e Class no data			
trees. Sparse herbaceous ground cover mostly of Carex geyeri and C. rossii. Lodgepole are slow growing, and succession to class B occurs after 60 years.	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	n dominant lifeform. feform are:				
Class B 25 %	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structur	e Data (for upper layer			
Mid1 Closed	PICO CAGE2 CARO5	0	Min	Max		
<b>Description</b>		Cover	30 %	100 %		
Sparse to dense pole sized		Height	no data	no data		
lodgepole pine and a sparse		Tree Size Class no data				
herbaceous layer dominated by Carex geyeri. Insects may open up the canopy, causing a transition to class C. Competition in the doghair condition may delay succession, otherwise the class succeeds to class D after200 years.	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				

\*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Class C15 %Mid1 OpenDescriptionScattered pole sized lodgepole pine	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position PICO CAGE2 CARO5	Min       Max         Cover       0 %       30 %         Height       no data       no data         Tree Size Class       no data       10 data				
in a Carex matrix similar to a bunch grass grassland with variousother herbaceous species. Approximately 33% of fires in this class will be mixed severity, maintaining the open condition; the rest of fires will be replacement severity, causing a transition to class A. At 200 years, this class succeeds to class D.	Upper Laver Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class D 45 %	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	<u>d</u> <u>Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)</u>				
Late1 Closed	PICO		Min	Max		
<u>Description</u>	CAGE2 CARO5	Cover	30 %	100 %		
Multi-aged sparse to dense		Height Tree Size Class	no data no data	no data		
herbaceous layer dominated by Carex geyeri. Insects and blowdown may open the canopy, causing a transition to class C.	Upper Laver Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class E 0%	Dominant Species* and	- Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
	Canopy Position		Min	Max		
Late1 Closed		Cover	%	%		
Description		Height	no data	no data		
		Tree Size Class	no data			
	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				

Disturbances Modeled ✓ Fire ✓ Insects/Disease ✓ Wind/Weather/Stress □ Native Grazing ✓ Competition □ Other:	Fire Regime Group: 5 I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity					
☐ Other ☐ Other <u>Historical Fire Size (acres)</u> Avg: no data Min: no data Max: no data	Fire Intervals (FI) Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.					
Courses of Fire Regime Date		Avg Fl	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	450	300	600	0.00222	88
✓ Literature	Mixed	3500			0.00029	11
Local Data	Surface					
✓Expert Estimate	All Fires	399			0.00252	
References						

Barrett, S. W. 2004. Altered fire intervals and fire cycles in the Northern Rockies. Fire Management Today 64(3): 25-29.

Barrett, S. W. 2004. Fire Regimes in the Northern Rockies. Fire Management Today 64(2): 32-38.

Bradley, Anne F. 1992. Fire ecology of the forest habitat types of eastern Idaho and western Wyoming. GTR INT-290. Ogden, UT: USDA Forest Service. 92 p.

Despain, Don G. 1990. Vegetation of Yellowstone National Park: Consequences of history and environment. Boulder, CO: Roberts Reinhart Publishers. 239 p.

Pfister, R. D., B. L. Kovalchik, S. F. Arno, and R. C. Presby. 1977. Forest habitat types of Montana. USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, General Technical Report, INT-34.

Romme, WH 1982. Fire and landscape diversity in subalpine forests of Yellowstoe national Park. Ecological Monographs: 52(2):199-221