Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG)

R2SBMT I	Mountain Big Sagebrush						
General Information							
	nal contributors may be listed under "M		;")				
<u>Modelers</u> Louis Provencher	lprovencher@tnc.org	<u>Reviewers</u> Stanley G. Kitchen Michael Zielinski Gary N. Back	skitchen@fs.fed.us mike_zielinski@nv.blm.gov gback@srk.com				
Vegetation Type Shrubland	General Model Sources ✓Literature ✓Local Data	Rapid Assessme □ California ✔ Great Basin	entModel Zones Pacific Northwest South Central				
Dominant Species* ARTR PUTR2 SYOR	Expert Estimate LANDFIRE Mapping Zon 12 17 13 18 16	Great Lakes	Southeast S. Appalachians Southwest				

Geographic Range

Within the Great Basin, this type occurs in mountain ranges in central and northern Nevada, southern Idaho, Utah, and eastern California. Similar vegetation occurs in Wyoming, Colorado, Oregon, and Washington.

Biophysical Site Description

Within the Great Basin modeling zone, elevation ranges from 4500 feet in Idaho to 10,500 feet in the White Mountains of California (Winward and Tisdale 1977, Blaisdell et al. 1982, Cronquist et al. 1994, Miller and Eddleman 2000). However, elevations are predominantly between 5000 and 9000 feet. Mean annual precipitation is typically between 14 and 22 inches, but ranges from 10 to 30 inches (Mueggler and Stewart 1980, Tart 1996).

This type mostly occupies moist, productive rolling upland sites. Soils are typically deep and have well developed dark organic surface horizons (Hironaka et al. 1983, Tart 1996). However, at the high ends of its precipitation and elevation ranges mountain big sagebrush occurs on shallow and/or rocky soils.

Vegetation Description

This vegetation type is a mosaic of mountain big sagebrush (Artemisia tridentata var. vaseyana and A. tridentata var. pauciflora depending on taxonomy used) and herbaceous communities where tree (conifers) encroachment is unlikely (due to high elevation or soils). Codominant shrubs can include antelope bitterbrush, mountain snowberry, and viscid rabbitbrush. Graminoids are very diverse. Dominant graminoids include Idaho fescue, bluebunch wheatgrass, mountain brome, needlegrasses, slender wheatgrass, bluegrasses, or rough fescue. Among the large number of possible forb species, common forbs may include sulphur buckwheat, pussytoes, lupine, phlox, arrowleaf balsamroot, prairie smoke, and sticky geranium. Mueggler and Stewart (1980), Hironaka et al. (1983), Jensen et al. (1988), and Tart (1996) described several mountain big sagebrush habitat types.

*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Disturbance Description

Mean fire return intervals in and recovery times of mountain big sagebrush are subjects of lively debate in recent years (Welch and Criddle 2003). Mountain big sagebrush communities were historically subject to stand replacing fires with a mean return interval ranging from 10 years at the Ponderosa pine ecotone, 40+ years at the Wyoming big sagebrush ecotone, and up to 80 years in areas with a higher proportion of low sagebrush in the landscape (Crawford et al. 2004, Johnson 2000, Miller et al. 1994, Burkhardt and Tisdale 1969 and 1976, Houston 1973, Miller and Rose 1995, Miller et al. 2000). Under pre-settlement conditions mosaic burns generally exceeded 75% topkill due to the relatively continuous herbaceous layer. Brown (1982) reported that fire ignition and spread in big sagebrush is largely (90%) a function of herbaceous cover. Mountain big sagebrush communities are also subject to periodic mortality due to insects, diseases, winter kill, rodent outbreaks, and drought (Anderson and Inouye 2001). These disturbances in combination may have significantly reduced the cover of dense stands about every 50 to 100 years.

Recovery rates for shrub canopy cover vary widely in this type, depending post fire weather conditions, abundance of resprouting shrubs, and size and severity of the burn. Mountain big sagebrush typically reaches 5% canopy cover in 8 to 14 years (mean of 12 years). This may take as little as 4 years under favorable conditions and longer that 25 years in unfavorable situations (Pedersen et al. 2003, Miller unpublished data). Mountain big sagebrush typically reaches 25% canopy cover in about 25 years, but an average recovery time of 40 years was used because recovery may take as few as 9 years or as long as 70 years (Winward 1991, Pedersen et al. 2003, Miller unpublished data). Variation in recovery rates is dependent upon burn size and uniformity, survival of residual seed, and upon environmental factors (especially weather). Mountain snowberry and resprouting forms of bitterbrush may return to pre-burn cover values in a few years. Bitterbrush plants less than fifty years old are more likely to resprout than older plants (Simon 1990).

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

This type may be adjacent to forests dominated by aspen, Ponderosa pine, Douglas-fir or lodgepole pine. It also occurs adjacent to pinyon-juniper woodlands. This type probably served as an ignition source for adjacent aspen stands.

At the lower elevation, dry end of the type, mountain big sagebrush could be confused with Wyoming big sagebrush. At the higher elevation, moist end of the type, mountain big sagebrush, while generally recognized as A. tridentata var. vaseyana, could be confused with A. tridentata var. pauciflora and spiked big sagebrush (Artemisia tridentata ssp. Spiciformis) or mountain shrub communities characterized by Amelanchier, Prunus, and or Rosa.

Uncharacteristic conditions in this type include herbaceous canopy cover less than 40% and dominance of the herbaceous layer by mulesears (Wyethia amplexcaulis) on clayey soils.

This PNVG is similar to the PNVG ROSBMT for the Northern and Central Rockies model zone.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data 🗸 Literature 🗌 Local Data 🖌 Expert Estimate

This type occupies areas ranging in size from 10's to 10,000's of acres. Disturbance patch size can also range from 10,s to 1,000's of acres. The distribution of past burns was assumed to consist of many small patches in the landscape.

Issues/Problems

Reviewers and modelers had very different opinions on the range of mean FRIs and mountain big sagebrush recovery times (see Welch and Criddle 2003). It is increasingly agreed upon that a MFI of 20 years, which used to be the accepted norm, is simply too frequent to sustain populations of Greater Sage Grouse and mountain big sagebrush ecosystems whose recovery time varies from 10-70 years. Reviewers consistently suggested longer FRIs and recovery times. The revised model is a compromise with longer recovery times

and FRIs. Modeler and reviewers also disagreed on the choice of FRG: II (modeler) vs. IV (reviewers). In future efforts, this PNVG should be restricted to high elevations where conifer encroachment is unlikely. The PNVG with conifer encroachment is R2SBMTwc.

Model Evolution and Comments

The three development classes chosen for this PNVG correspond to the early, mid-, and late seral stages familiar to range ecologists. The depleted or decadent sagebrush condition was considered uncharacteristic of the pre-settlement condition, thus not included.

Resprouting bitterbrush in mountain big sagebrush types is potentially important to wildlife in early stand development.

Succession Control of		gency FRCC Guid	lebook (www.frcc.gov).	
Dominant Species* and Canopy Position				
ARTRV PUTR2 SYOR2		Max		
		0%	5 %	
	0		no data	
	Tree Size Class	s no data		
Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
Dominant Species* and	Structure Data	(for upper layer	r lifeform)	
		Min	Max	
PUTR2 SYMPH	Cover	6 %	25 %	
	Height	no data	no data	
	Tree Size Class no data			
Upper Layer Lifeform	 Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: 			
	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position ARTRV PUTR2 SYOR2 Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data Dominant Species* and Canopy Position ARTRV PUTR2 SYMPH Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position Structure Data ARTRV Cover PUTR2 Height SYOR2 Tree Size Class Upper Layer Lifeform Upper layer I Herbaceous Upper layer I Shrub Tree Tree Structure Data Dominant Species* and Canopy Position Structure Data ARTRV Cover PUTR2 Height SYMPH Cover Height Tree Size Class Upper Layer Lifeform Upper layer I Height SYMPH	Canopy Position Structure Data (for upper layer ARTRV Min PUTR2 Cover 0 % Fuel Model no data Tree Size Class no data Upper Laver Lifeform Upper layer lifeform differs from Upper layer lifeform differs from Shrub Tree Upper layer lifeform differs from Shrub Tree Structure Data (for upper layer Fuel Model no data Min Cover 6 % Height no data Tree Min Cover 6 % Height no data SYMPH Tree Size Class Upper Layer Lifeform Upper layer lifeform differs from Herbaceous Upper layer lifeform differs from Herbaceous Upper layer lifeform differs from	

Class C 35%		Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
				Min	Max	
Late1 Closed	1	ARTRV PUTR2 SYMPH	Cover	26 %	45 %	
Description			Height	no data	no data	
	e dominant lifeform.		Tree Size	Class no data		
Shrub cover 26-45+%. Herbaceous cover is typically <50%. Insects and disease every 75 yrs on average will thin the stand and cause a transition to class B. Replacement fire is every 50 yrs on average. Succession keeps vegetation in class C.		Upper Laver Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
Class D	0%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure	Data (for upper layer li	feform)	
		<u> </u>		Min	Max	
Description			Cover	%	%	
Description			Height	no data	no data	
			Tree Size	Class no data		
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data		yer lifeform differs from nd cover of dominant life		
Class E	0%	Dominant Species* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
		Canopy Position		Min	Max	
Description			Cover	%	%	
Description			Height	no data	no data	
			Tree Size	Class no data		
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	■ Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
		Fuel Model no data				
Disturbances						

Disturbances Modeled ✓ Fire ✓ Insects/Disease ✓ Wind/Weather/Stress □ Native Grazing □ Competition	Fire Regime Group: 4 I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity					
Other:	Fire Intervals (FI)					
Other	Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and					
Historical Fire Size (acres)	maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the					
Avg: no data	inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling.					
Min: no data	Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.					
Max: no data						
Sources of Fire Pagime Data		Avg Fl	Min Fl	Max Fl	Probability	Percent of All Fires
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	48	15	100	0.02083	100
✓ Literature	Mixed					
Local Data	Surface					
✓Expert Estimate	All Fires	48			0.02085	
References						

Anderson, J.E. and R.S. Inouye 2001. Landscape-scale changes in plant species abundance and biodiversity of a sagebrush steppe over 45 years. Ecological Monographs 71:531-556.

Blaisdell, J.P., R.B. Murry, and E.D. McArthur. 1982. Managing Intermountain rangelands-- sagebrush-grass ranges. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-134. Ogden, UT: U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 41p.

Brown J.K. 1982. Fuel and fire behaviorprediction in big sagebrush. Res. Pap. INT-197. Ogden, UT: U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 10p.

Brown, J. K. and J. K. Smith, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Burkhardt, W.J. and E.W. Tisdale. 1969. Nature and successional status of western juniper vegetation in Idaho. Journal of Range Management 22(4):264-270.

Burkhardt, W.J. and E.W. Tisdale. 1976. Causes of juniper invasion in southwestern Idaho. Ecology 57:472-484.

Crawford, J.A., R.A. Olson, N.E. West, J.C. Mosley, M.A. Schroeder, T.D. Whitson, R.F. Miller, M.A. Gregg, and C.S. Boyd. 2004. Ecology and management of sage-grouse and sage-grouse habitat. J. Range Mgmt. 57:2-19.

Cronquist, A., A.H. Holmgren, N.H. Holmgren, and others. 1994. Intermountain Flora: Vascular Plabts of the Intermountain West, U.S.A. Vol. 5. Asterales. New York: The New York Botanical Garden. 496p.

Hironaka, M., M.A. Fosberg, and A.H. Winward. 1983. Sagebrush-Grass Habitat Types of Southern Idaho. University of Idaho Forest, Wildlife and Range Experiment Station, Bulletin Number 35. Moscow, ID. 44p.

Houston, D.B. 1973. Wildfires in northen Yellowstone National Park. Ecology 54:1111-1117.

^{*}Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Jensen, M.E., L.S. Peck, and M.V. Wilson. 1988. A sagebrush community type classification for mountainous northeastern Nevada rangelands. The Great Basin Naturalist 48:422-433.

Johnson, Kathleen. 2000. Artemisia tridentata ssp. Vaseyana. In: Fire Effects Information System [Online], U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/ [2004, September 17].

Miller, R.F. And L.L. Eddleman. 2000. Spatial and temporal changes of sage grouse habitat in the sagebrush biome. Technical Bulletin 151. Corvallis, OR: Oregon State University Agricultural Experiment Satation. 35p.

Miller, R.F. and J.A. Rose. 1995. Historic expansion of Juniperus occidentalis (western juniper) in southeastern Oregon. The Great Basin Naturalist 55(1):37-45.

Miller, R.F., T.J. Svejcar, and J.A. Rose. 2000. Impacts of western juniper on plant community composition and structure. Journal of Range Management 53(6):574-585.

Miller, R.F.; Svejcar, T.J.; West, N.E. 1994. Implications of livestock grazing in the Intermountain sagebrush region: Plant composition. In: Vavra, M, Laycock, W.A., Pieper, R.D., eds. Ecological implications of livestock herbivory in the West. Denver, CO: Society for Range Management: 101-146.

Mueggler, W.F. and W.L. Stewart. 1980. Grassland and shrubland habitat types of Western Montana. USDA Forest

Service GTR INT-66. 154p.

Pedersen, E.K., J.W. Connelly, J.R. Hendrickson, and W.E. Grant. 2003. Effect of sheep grazing and fire on sage grouse populations in southeastern Idaho. Ecological Modeling 165:23-47.

Simon, S.A. 1990. Fire effects from prescribed underburning in central Oregon ponderosa pine plant communities: first and second growing season after burning. Pp. 93-109. In Fire in Pacific Northwest Ecosystems. Thomas E. Bedell, editor. Department of Rangeland Resources, Oregon State University, Covallis, OR. 145p.

Tart, D.L. 1996. Big sagebrush plant associations of the Pinedale Ranger district. Pinedale, WY: USDA For. Serv. Bridger-Teton National Forest. Jackson, WY. 97 p.

Welch, B. L, C. Criddle. 2003. Countering Misinformation Concerning Big Sagebrush. Research Paper RMRS-RP-40. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 28 p.

Winward, A.H. 1991. A renewed commitment to management in sagebrush grasslands. In: Management in the Sagebrush Steppes. Oregon State University Agricultural Experiment Station Special Report 880. Corvallis OR. pp.2-7.

Winward, A.H. and E.W. Tisdale. 1977. Taxonomy of the Artemisia tridentata complex in Idaho. Bulletin No. 19. Moscow, ID: University of Idaho, College of Forestry, Wildlife and Range. 15p.

Wyoming Interagency Vegetation Committee. 2002. Wyoming Guidelines for Managing Sagebrush Communities with

Emphasis on Fire Management. Wyoming Game and Fish Department and Wyoming BLM. Cheyene, WY 53

^{*}Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

pp.