

A spiral-bound notebook with a light-colored, textured cover and a silver metal spiral binding on the left side. The text is centered on the page.

“A new scientific truth does not triumph by convincing its opponents and making them see the light, but rather because its opponents eventually die, and a new generation grows up that is familiar with it.”

NIH Clinical Trials: Intro

Mary Ellen Michel, Ph.D.

- Program Director, NINDS (NCMRR alumna)
- Traumatic Brain Injury and Stroke (translational research)
- 301-496-1447

michelm@ninds.nih.gov

Common Pitfalls

- Weak involvement of statistical/methodological expertise
- Too many “outcomes”
- Restrictive inclusion/exclusion criteria
- Insufficient resources
- Rush to efficacy vs. constant piloting

NIH Discussion Points

Why should it be done?

- Need, relevance, timeliness
- Expected impact on practice

Who is the target population?

- Disease, condition, subgroups
- Inclusion/exclusion criteria

“Phases” of trials: pilot to efficacy

- Study design
- Outcome measure(s)

NIH Grant Mechanisms

Trials require and consume resource\$

- Individual research grant R01/U01
- Consortium/network
- Facilities: coordinating center
- Nesting: P50, P01, specific aim within an R01

All trials require human subjects safety monitoring

Submitting a Clinical Trial Application

- Protocol and operations manual finished
- Study personnel in place
 - Coordinator, statistician
- Sites lined up and screened:
 - Institutional Review Board (IRB), assurances
- Data/safety monitoring plan
 - Prospective design—stopping rules
 - Adverse events
- Focus on the outcome of interest

Human Subjects

- Make sure of your assurances (OHRP)

<http://ohrp.osophs.dhhs.gov>

- Safety monitoring plan *required*
- Inclusion policies: women, minorities, children
- Data quality control
- Informed consent, vulnerable populations

Trial Design

- Phase II and NINDS Pilot Trials
 - Fixed sample size
 - Staged designs
 - Selection trials

} Types of trials

 - **NOT** underpowered Phase III
- Phase III or Efficacy Trials
 - Safety/stopping rules/interim analyses
 - Large, simple trials
 - Primary outcome measure

Surrogate Markers

- When/why will they be used?
- Necessary for safety?
- Related to primary outcome?
- Measure > Analyze?
- All equally important?
- Imaging
- ICP/MAP/ CPP/etc
- Biochemistry
- Neuropsychology
- Test batteries
- Worsen/improve
- Quality of Life (QOL)

Acute Traumatic Brain Injury

- Narayan et al. 2002. Clinical trials in head injury. J. Neurotrauma 19: 503

“why have all the trials failed??”

Treatments were ineffective under the conditions tested.

Bench to Bedside?

Animal Models

Treat within 1 hr

Single dose

Measure infarct size

Outcome at 3 days

No adjunct therapy

Inbred rodents

Clinical Trials

Treat within 8 hrs

Multiple doses

Measure Glasgow

Outcome Score (GOS)

Outcome at 12 months

Multiple therapies

Variable populations

Translation

- **Obtain adequate preliminary data**
 - Animal models: diversity and replication
 - Pharmacokinetics and timing
 - Long-term outcome
- **Target appropriate mechanism**
 - Occurs in human disease
 - Realistic expectations

Priorities in Basic Research

- Preclinical development: multiple models, range of severities, dose and timing of intervention
- Create “animal clinic”: surrogate markers, drug interactions, treatment cocktails, secondary insults
- Long-term outcomes

Priorities for Clinical Studies

- Follow the preclinical lead
 - Timing/duration of target mechanism
 - Timing/duration of intervention
- Patient population(s)
- Monitor management
- Outcome measures that show a clinically significant effect

Contacts at NINDS

- Preclinical Development

- Bob Baughman

301-496-1779

- Tom Miller

- Clinical Trials

- John Marler

301-496-9135

- Scott Janis