### **OFFICE OF** THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

### SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

### **SOCIAL SECURITY CARDS** MAILED TO THE SOCIAL SECURITY **ADMINISTRATION'S FIELD OFFICES**

August 2008 A-09-07-27154

# **AUDIT REPORT**



### Mission

By conducting independent and objective audits, evaluations and investigations, we inspire public confidence in the integrity and security of SSA's programs and operations and protect them against fraud, waste and abuse. We provide timely, useful and reliable information and advice to Administration officials, Congress and the public.

### **Authority**

The Inspector General Act created independent audit and investigative units, called the Office of Inspector General (OIG). The mission of the OIG, as spelled out in the Act, is to:

- O Conduct and supervise independent and objective audits and investigations relating to agency programs and operations.
- O Promote economy, effectiveness, and efficiency within the agency.
- O Prevent and detect fraud, waste, and abuse in agency programs and operations.
- O Review and make recommendations regarding existing and proposed legislation and regulations relating to agency programs and operations.
- O Keep the agency head and the Congress fully and currently informed of problems in agency programs and operations.

To ensure objectivity, the IG Act empowers the IG with:

- O Independence to determine what reviews to perform.
- O Access to all information necessary for the reviews.
- O Authority to publish findings and recommendations based on the reviews.

### Vision

We strive for continual improvement in SSA's programs, operations and management by proactively seeking new ways to prevent and deter fraud, waste and abuse. We commit to integrity and excellence by supporting an environment that provides a valuable public service while encouraging employee development and retention and fostering diversity and innovation.



#### **MEMORANDUM**

Date: August 19, 2008 Refer To:

To: The Commissioner

From: Inspector General

Subject: Social Security Cards Mailed to the Social Security Administration's Field Offices

(A-09-07-27154)

### **OBJECTIVE**

Our objective was to evaluate the Social Security Administration's (SSA) controls over the receipt, safeguarding, and disposition of Social Security cards mailed to its field offices (FO).

### **BACKGROUND**

In Fiscal Year (FY) 2007, SSA processed approximately 17.4 million applications for Social Security cards: about 11.7 million replacement Social Security cards and 5.7 million original Social Security cards. SSA generally mails Social Security cards to the address where the applicant resides. Occasionally, applicants may request to pick up their card at an FO. Specifically, applicants who plan to relocate but do not have a forwarding address, are homeless, or have experienced problems receiving mail may have their Social Security cards mailed to an FO. Any cards mailed to an SSA office should be addressed directly to the FO manager.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> SSA, Social Security Unified Measurement System, Performance Report, Enumeration, Replacement and Original Social Security Numbers by Citizen and Alien Categories, National Report, FY 2007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> SSA, Program Operations Manual System (POMS), RM 00202.110.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> *Id*.

### Page 2 - The Commissioner

We identified 20,362 Social Security cards that were mailed to FOs in the United States and District of Columbia in FY 2007.<sup>4</sup> We evaluated the adequacy of SSA's policies and procedures by reviewing the FO with the highest number of cards mailed to the office in each of SSA's 10 regions. These FOs received 5,740 Social Security cards in FY 2007.

### **RESULTS OF REVIEW**

SSA needs to strengthen its controls over the receipt, safeguarding, and disposition of Social Security cards mailed to its FOs. Of the 10 FOs selected for review, we found that

- 9 did not have formal, written policies to ensure proper receipt, control, and disposition of Social Security cards mailed to the office;
- 7 did not maintain logs to determine whether Social Security cards were received in the mail, picked up by the applicant, or destroyed by the office;
- 5 did not ensure Social Security cards were properly secured at all times; and
- 10 did not always address Social Security cards to the FO manager, as required.

As a result, the Social Security cards mailed to FOs may have been susceptible to loss or theft. This occurred, in part, because (1) SSA's policies did not provide national guidance for securing, monitoring, tracking, or, if necessary, destroying Social Security cards received in the mail and (2) FOs were not fully aware that Social Security cards mailed to the office should be addressed to the FO manager.

### LACK OF FORMAL POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

We found that 9 of 10 FOs did not have formal, written policies and procedures to ensure proper receipt, safeguarding, and disposition of Social Security cards mailed to the office (see Appendix B). Although the FOs had generally developed informal guidelines for handing Social Security cards received in the mail, we found the lack of formal policies and procedures had resulted in inconsistent practices between the FOs. We believe national guidance is necessary to ensure Social Security cards are properly controlled and safeguarded at all FOs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> We excluded Social Security cards that were mailed to (1) Social Security Card Centers in Brooklyn, New York, Queens, New York and Las Vegas, Nevada and (2) FOs in U.S. territories of Puerto Rico, Guam, American Samoa, and the Northern Mariana Islands.

### Page 3 - The Commissioner

Although SSA's policies require that detailed records be maintained for claimant checks mailed to an FO,<sup>5</sup> they do not provide national guidance for securing, monitoring, tracking, or, if necessary, destroying Social Security cards mailed to FOs.

As a result of a prior OIG audit,<sup>6</sup> one regional office issued written instructions to its FOs to implement new procedures for the receipt, control, and disposition of Social Security cards mailed to the office.<sup>7</sup> In addition, during our review, two FOs issued written instructions to improve controls over the handling of Social Security cards.

We also identified inconsistent practices between the FOs. For example, some FOs retained unclaimed Social Security cards for about 30 days while other FOs retained them for up to 8 months. In addition, one FO required that applicants sign a form when they picked up their cards while the remaining nine FOs did not obtain any written acknowledgment. Therefore, we encourage SSA to develop national policies and procedures to ensure uniformity in FO practices for processing Social Security cards mailed to the office.

### RECEIPT AND DISPOSITION OF SOCIAL SECURITY CARDS NOT LOGGED

We found that 7 of 10 FOs did not maintain logs to account for the receipt and disposition of Social Security cards mailed to the office (see Appendix B). In addition, two FOs only retained partial logs of the Social Security cards the FO either received or destroyed. Unless written records are properly maintained and retained, FOs may be ill-equipped to determine whether the Social Security cards were received in the mail, picked up by the applicant, or destroyed by the office.

As a result of a prior OIG audit, one regional office developed a log for its FOs to record the date each Social Security card is received, name and Social Security number (SSN) on the card, date the card is picked up, name of management official releasing the card to the applicant, and date the card is shredded, if not picked up within 30 days.<sup>8</sup>

During our review, two FOs developed logs to account for the receipt and disposition of Social Security cards received in the mail. Another two FOs revised their existing logs—one included the date of disposition for all Social Security cards received while the other included the date of the SSN application and extended the retention period from 30 days to 3 years. Other FOs generally believed a log was an effective tool for monitoring and controlling Social Security cards, especially since a log is also used for claimant checks mailed to the office.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> SSA. POMS. GN 02401.050.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Las Vegas Social Security Card Center, February 2007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> SSA, Regional Memorandum No. F-06-019, September 12, 2006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> *Id*.

### Page 4 - The Commissioner

Of the 5,740 Social Security cards mailed to the 10 FOs in our sample in FY 2007, we selected the 50 most recent Social Security cards mailed to each FO as of September 30, 2007. We found FOs were unable to provide logs or other written records to support the receipt and disposition of 437 (87.4 percent) of the 500 Social Security cards in our sample (see Appendix D). Although the FOs did not report any instances of loss or theft, we believe they should retain written documentation to substantiate whether the Social Security cards were received, picked up, or destroyed.

### STORAGE OF SOCIAL SECURITY CARDS COULD BE IMPROVED

We found that 5 of 10 FOs did not properly secure Social Security cards at all times (see Appendix B). Based on our interviews, these FOs stored the cards in a locked cabinet or drawer only at the end of the day. In addition, one FO placed the Social Security cards in a locked file cabinet during the day; however, all employees had unrestricted access to the key. Unless the cards are properly safeguarded at all times, there is an increased risk of SSN misuse, unauthorized disclosure, and identity theft.

As a result of a prior OIG audit, one regional office issued written instructions that stated all Social Security cards held in the FO should be secured in a locked file cabinet. In addition, SSA's policies require that FOs store any Social Security cards that are returned to the office and cannot be immediately destroyed in a locked cabinet or drawer. We believe this policy should be adopted for all cards held in the FO.

For example, one FO stored its Social Security cards on an open rack on the Operations Supervisor's desk during the day. The District Manager stated the rack allowed all employees to readily retrieve the cards when applicants visited the office to pick them up. However, to provide adequate safeguards over the Social Security cards mailed to the office, we believe FOs should restrict access to the cards and secure them in a locked cabinet or drawer at all times.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Regional Memorandum No. F-06-019, September 12, 2006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> SSA, POMS, RM 00201.080.B.1.

### SOCIAL SECURITY CARDS NOT PROPERLY ADDRESSED

We found that none of the 10 FOs always ensured Social Security cards mailed to the office were addressed to the FO manager, as required (see Appendix B). This occurred because eight FOs were not fully aware that Social Security cards mailed to the office should be addressed to the FO manager. Instead, they entered the FO's mailing address without a "care of" or "attention" heading. The remaining two FOs were aware of the requirement but inadvertently entered incorrect addresses because of clerical errors.

SSA's policies allow applicants to pick up their Social Security cards at an SSA office under certain circumstances. Social Security cards mailed to an SSA office should be addressed directly to the FO manager. Such a practice is necessary to establish proper accountability and control over the cards.

As part of our review of the 50 most recent Social Security cards mailed to each FO as of September 30, 2007, we evaluated how these cards were addressed. We found that 443 (88.6 percent) of the 500 Social Security cards in our sample were not addressed in accordance with SSA's policies and procedures (see Appendix D). During our review, FOs agreed to remind staff to properly address Social Security cards to management officials only.

### **CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Given the increased emphasis on the protection of personally identifiable information and the prevalence of identity theft, SSA needs to strengthen its controls and procedures over the Social Security cards mailed to its FOs. We found that FOs did not always (1) have formal, written policies for the receipt and disposition of Social Security cards; (2) maintain logs to determine whether the cards were received, picked up, or destroyed; (3) ensure cards were properly secured at all times; and (4) address cards to the FO manager. As a result, the Social Security cards mailed to FOs may be susceptible to loss or theft. Therefore, we recommend that SSA:

- 1. Develop formal, written policies and procedures over the receipt, safeguarding, and disposition of Social Security cards mailed to its FOs.
- Direct FOs to maintain a log to account for the receipt and disposition of Social Security cards received in the mail.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> SSA, POMS, RM 00202.110.B.2.

### Page 6 - The Commissioner

- 3. Instruct FOs to properly secure Social Security cards (for example, in a locked cabinet or drawer) at all times.
- 4. Implement controls to ensure Social Security cards mailed to the office are addressed to management officials.

### **AGENCY COMMENTS**

SSA agreed with all of our recommendations. See Appendix E for the text of SSA's comments.

Patrick P. O'Carroll, Jr.

Boll & Hanol 1-

# **Appendices**

```
APPENDIX A – Acronyms
```

APPENDIX B – Summary of Field Office Results

APPENDIX C – Scope and Methodology

APPENDIX D – Sampling Methodology and Results

APPENDIX E – Agency Comments

APPENDIX F – OIG Contacts and Staff Acknowledgments

### **Acronyms**

FO Field Office
FY Fiscal Year

MES Modernized Enumeration System

OIG Office of the Inspector General

POMS Program Operations Manual System

SSA Social Security Administration

SSN Social Security Number

### Summary of Field Office Results

Field Office	Lack of Formal Policies and Procedures	Receipt and Disposition of Cards Not Logged	Storage of Social Security Cards Could Be Improved	Social Security Cards Not Properly Addressed
Hyannis, MA	✓	✓	✓	✓
Rio Grande, NJ	✓	✓		✓
Salisbury, MD	✓	1, 4		✓
Panama City, FL	<b>✓</b>	✓	2	√3
Minneapolis, MN	<b>√</b> <sup>4</sup>	√4	√4	✓
Oklahoma City, OK	✓4	5	✓	✓
Kansas City, MO	<b>✓</b>	✓		✓
Denver, CO	<b>✓</b>	√4	✓	✓
San Diego, CA			✓	√3
Seattle, WA	✓	✓		✓
Total	9	7	5	10

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The field office retained a partial log of Social Security cards destroyed by the office.

 $<sup>^{2}\,</sup>$  Social Security cards were locked during the day, but all employees had access to the key.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Social Security cards were not always addressed to the field office manager because of clerical errors.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The field office initiated corrective action during our review.

 $<sup>^{5}\,</sup>$  The field office retained a partial log of Social Security cards received by the office.

### Scope and Methodology

We obtained a data extract from the Social Security Administration's (SSA) Modernized Enumeration System (MES) of Social Security cards that were mailed to its field offices (FO) between October 1, 2006 and September 30, 2007. Using this data extract, we identified a population of 20,362 Social Security cards that were mailed to FOs in the United States and District of Columbia.<sup>1</sup>

From our population, we reviewed the FO with the highest number of Social Security cards mailed to the office in each of SSA's 10 regions in Fiscal Year (FY) 2007. Specifically, we evaluated the adequacy of SSA's policies and procedures over the receipt and disposition of Social Security cards mailed to its FOs. The following table provides a breakdown of the FOs selected for review, along with the number of cards mailed to the office during FY 2007:

SSA Region	Field Office	Social Security Cards	
Boston, MA	Hyannis, MA	281	
New York, NY	Rio Grande, NJ	77	
Philadelphia, PA	Salisbury, MD	2,887	
Atlanta, GA	Panama City, FL	763	
Chicago, IL	Minneapolis, MN	424	
Dallas, TX	Oklahoma City, OK	81	
Kansas City, MO	Kansas City, MO	180	
Denver, CO	Denver, CO	481	
San Francisco, CA	San Diego, CA	363	
Seattle, WA	Seattle, WA	203	
Total		5,740	

To accomplish our objective, we

 reviewed SSA's Program Operations Manual System and other policy memorandums;

 interviewed employees from SSA's Headquarters, 10 regional offices, and 10 FOs to obtain information about its policies and procedures over the receipt, safeguarding, and disposition of Social Security cards addressed to FOs;

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We excluded Social Security cards that were mailed to (1) Social Security Card Centers in Brooklyn, New York, Queens, New York and Las Vegas, Nevada and (2) FOs in U.S. territories of Puerto Rico, Guam, American Samoa, and the Northern Mariana Islands.

- for each FO, selected the 50 most recent Social Security cards mailed to the office as of September 30, 2007; and
- determined whether the Social Security cards were properly addressed, secured, received, picked up, or destroyed.

We determined the computer-processed data from the MES were sufficiently reliable for our intended use. Our work was conducted at 10 SSA FOs between January and March 2008. The entity reviewed was the Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Operations.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

### Sampling Methodology and Results

From the Social Security Administration's (SSA) Modernized Enumeration System, we obtained a data extract of Social Security cards that were mailed to its field offices (FO) in Fiscal Year (FY) 2007. We identified a population of 20,362 Social Security cards that were mailed to FOs in the United States and District of Columbia.<sup>1</sup>

From our population, we reviewed the FO with the highest number of Social Security cards mailed to the office in each of SSA's 10 regions. A total of 5,740 cards were mailed to these FOs in FY 2007. We selected a total of 500 Social Security cards to evaluate the adequacy of SSA's policies and procedures. Specifically, for each FO, we selected the 50 most recent cards mailed to the office as of September 30, 2007.

Based on our interviews, we found that FOs were unable to provide logs or other written records to support the receipt and disposition of 437 (87.4 percent) of the 500 Social Security cards in our sample. In addition, we found that 443 (88.6 percent) of the 500 Social Security cards in our sample were not addressed in accordance with SSA's policies and procedures. The following tables provide the details of our sample results.

Table 1 – Receipt and Disposition of Social Security Cards Not Logged

Field Office	Population	Sample	Cards Not Logged
Hyannis, MA	281	50	50
Rio Grande, NJ	77	50	50
Salisbury, MD <sup>2</sup>	2,887	50	37
Panama City, FL	763	50	50
Minneapolis, MN	424	50	50
Oklahoma City, OK <sup>3</sup>	81	50	50
Kansas City, MO	180	50	50
Denver, CO	481	50	50
San Diego, CA	363	50	0
Seattle, WA	203	50	50
Total	5,740	500	437

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We excluded Social Security cards that were mailed to (1) Social Security Card Centers in Brooklyn, New York, Queens, New York and Las Vegas, Nevada and (2) FOs in U.S. territories of Puerto Rico, Guam, American Samoa, and the Northern Mariana Islands.

<sup>3</sup> FO discarded partial logs of the Social Security cards received by the office after 30 days.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> FO retained partial logs of the Social Security cards that were destroyed.

Table 2 – Social Security Cards Not Properly Addressed

Field Office	Population	Sample	Cards Improperly Addressed
Hyannis, MA	281	50	50
Rio Grande, NJ	77	50	50
Salisbury, MD	2,887	50	50
Panama City, FL	763	50	13
Minneapolis, MN	424	50	50
Oklahoma City, OK	81	50	50
Kansas City, MO	180	50	50
Denver, CO	481	50	50
San Diego, CA	363	50	30
Seattle, WA	203	50	50
Total	5,740	500	443

## **Agency Comments**



### **MEMORANDUM**

Date: July 28, 2008 Refer To: S1J-3

To: Patrick P. O'Carroll, Jr.

Inspector General

From: David V. Foster /s/

Executive Counselor to the Commissioner

Subject: Office of the Inspector General (OIG) Draft Report, "Social Security Cards Mailed to the Social

Security Administration's Field Offices" (A-09-07-27154)--INFORMATION

We appreciate OIG's efforts in conducting this review. Attached is our response to the recommendations.

Please let me know if we can be of further assistance. Direct staff inquiries to Ms. Candace Skurnik, Director, Audit Management and Liaison Staff, at (410) 965-4636.

Attachment

### COMMENTS ON THE OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL'S DRAFT REPORT, "SOCIAL SECURITY CARDS MAILED TO THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION'S FIELD OFFICES" (A-09-07-27154)

Thank you for the opportunity to review and provide comments on this draft report. We are committed to ensuring that we properly handle all Social Security cards in Field Offices (FOs) to protect the integrity of the Social Security Number.

### **Recommendation 1**

Develop formal, written policies and procedures over the receipt, safeguarding, and disposition of Social Security cards mailed to its FOs.

### **Comment**

We agree. We will develop policies and procedures over the receipt, safeguarding, and disposition of Social Security cards mailed to our FOs. Once developed, we will distribute the Program Operations Manual System to all employees.

### **Recommendation 2**

Direct FOs to maintain a log to account for the receipt and disposition of Social Security cards received in the mail.

### **Comment**

We agree. We will include in our development of policies/procedures, outlined in recommendation 1, guidance to ensure the importance of the control and security of Social Security cards received in the mail. In order to balance the need for security with service delivery concerns, we will need to make certain that the control methods are not overly burdensome on the FOs.

### **Recommendation 3**

Instruct FOs to properly secure Social Security cards (for example, in a locked cabinet or drawer) at all times.

### **Comment**

We agree. We will include in our development of the policies/procedures, outlined in recommendation 1, instructions for FOs to properly secure Social Security cards at all times.

### **Recommendation 4**

Implement controls to ensure Social Security cards mailed to the office are addressed to management officials.

### **Comment**

We agree. We will release a reminder in our December 2008, Annual Security Reminders, Administrative Message. Once the policies/procedures are in place regarding recommendations 1, 2, and 3, we will pursue adding questions covering all of these issues in our Onsite Security Control and Audit Review guide in order to ensure compliance.

### OIG Contacts and Staff Acknowledgments

### **OIG Contacts**

James J. Klein, Director, San Francisco Audit Division, (510) 970-1739

Jack H. Trudel, Audit Manager, (510) 970-1733

### **Acknowledgments**

In addition to those named above:

Regina Finley, Auditor

For additional copies of this report, please visit our web site at <a href="https://www.socialsecurity.gov/oig">www.socialsecurity.gov/oig</a> or contact the Office of the Inspector General's Public Affairs Staff Assistant at (410) 965-4518. Refer to Common Identification Number A-09-07-27154.

#### DISTRIBUTION SCHEDULE

Commissioner of Social Security

Office of Management and Budget, Income Maintenance Branch

Chairman and Ranking Member, Committee on Ways and Means

Chief of Staff, Committee on Ways and Means

Chairman and Ranking Minority Member, Subcommittee on Social Security

Majority and Minority Staff Director, Subcommittee on Social Security

Chairman and Ranking Minority Member, Subcommittee on Human Resources

Chairman and Ranking Minority Member, Committee on Budget, House of Representatives

Chairman and Ranking Minority Member, Committee on Government Reform and Oversight

Chairman and Ranking Minority Member, Committee on Governmental Affairs

Chairman and Ranking Minority Member, Committee on Appropriations, House of Representatives

Chairman and Ranking Minority, Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies, Committee on Appropriations, House of Representatives

Chairman and Ranking Minority Member, Committee on Appropriations, U.S. Senate

Chairman and Ranking Minority Member, Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies, Committee on Appropriations, U.S. Senate

Chairman and Ranking Minority Member, Committee on Finance

Chairman and Ranking Minority Member, Subcommittee on Social Security and Family Policy

Chairman and Ranking Minority Member, Senate Special Committee on Aging Social Security Advisory Board

### Overview of the Office of the Inspector General

The Office of the Inspector General (OIG) is comprised of an Office of Audit (OA), Office of Investigations (OI), Office of the Counsel to the Inspector General (OCIG), Office of External Relations (OER), and Office of Technology and Resource Management (OTRM). To ensure compliance with policies and procedures, internal controls, and professional standards, the OIG also has a comprehensive Professional Responsibility and Quality Assurance program.

### Office of Audit

OA conducts financial and performance audits of the Social Security Administration's (SSA) programs and operations and makes recommendations to ensure program objectives are achieved effectively and efficiently. Financial audits assess whether SSA's financial statements fairly present SSA's financial position, results of operations, and cash flow. Performance audits review the economy, efficiency, and effectiveness of SSA's programs and operations. OA also conducts short-term management reviews and program evaluations on issues of concern to SSA, Congress, and the general public.

### Office of Investigations

OI conducts investigations related to fraud, waste, abuse, and mismanagement in SSA programs and operations. This includes wrongdoing by applicants, beneficiaries, contractors, third parties, or SSA employees performing their official duties. This office serves as liaison to the Department of Justice on all matters relating to the investigation of SSA programs and personnel. OI also conducts joint investigations with other Federal, State, and local law enforcement agencies.

### Office of the Counsel to the Inspector General

OCIG provides independent legal advice and counsel to the IG on various matters, including statutes, regulations, legislation, and policy directives. OCIG also advises the IG on investigative procedures and techniques, as well as on legal implications and conclusions to be drawn from audit and investigative material. Also, OCIG administers the Civil Monetary Penalty program.

### Office of External Relations

OER manages OIG's external and public affairs programs, and serves as the principal advisor on news releases and in providing information to the various news reporting services. OER develops OIG's media and public information policies, directs OIG's external and public affairs programs, and serves as the primary contact for those seeking information about OIG. OER prepares OIG publications, speeches, and presentations to internal and external organizations, and responds to Congressional correspondence.

### Office of Technology and Resource Management

OTRM supports OIG by providing information management and systems security. OTRM also coordinates OIG's budget, procurement, telecommunications, facilities, and human resources. In addition, OTRM is the focal point for OIG's strategic planning function, and the development and monitoring of performance measures. In addition, OTRM receives and assigns for action allegations of criminal and administrative violations of Social Security laws, identifies fugitives receiving benefit payments from SSA, and provides technological assistance to investigations.