# User Benefits of RNAV Departure Operations at DFW and ATL

Dr. Ralf H. Mayer

FAA – ATPAC Meeting Washington, DC 11 April 2007



# Outline

- Background
  - FAA Roadmap for Performance-Based Navigation
- Terminal RNAV Departure Operations at Dallas-Fort Worth International Airport (DFW) and Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport (ATL)
  - Procedure design
  - Operational changes
  - Benefit Mechanism
    - Benefit Metric: Departure efficiency
- Monte Carlo Model Evaluation of Operational Changes
  - Departure Efficiency Benefits
    - Departure Capacity
    - Departure Delay
- Post-Implementation Operational Evaluation
  - Departure Efficiency
- Summary

# Background

•



- Area Navigation (RNAV) Operations
  - ... a method of navigation which permits aircraft operation on any desired flight path ...
    - GPS / DME / IRU
  - Implementation framework:
    - FAA AC 90-100, U.S. Terminal and En Route Area Navigation (RNAV) Operations, January 2005
    - Roadmap for Performance Based
      Navigation: Evolution for RNAV and RNP
      Capabilities 2006-2025, July 2006

#### **Terminal RNAV Operations**

- Over 190 RNAV Departure and Arrival procedures implemented
- Key implementation sites:
  - Dallas-Fort Worth
  - Atlanta

# **DFW Departure Procedure Design**



- Procedures
  - 16 RNAV Departure Procedures
- Implementation Date
  - 6 September 2005
- Key Design Objectives
  - Improved departure efficiency
    - Increased departure capacity
    - Reduced departure delay
  - Improved airspace utilization
    - RNAV-enabled diverging operations
      within approved noise footprint

#### **RNAV Equipage**

- ~84% (/E, /G, /R, /J, /L, /Q)

## **Overview of the Evaluation Process**



# **Key Operational Change**





- Departure Efficiency Metric
  - Distribution of measured inter-departure time values

# Pre-Implementation Departure Efficiency Model Validation



Results based on about 1,000 observed operations per day and approximately 50,000 modeled departure operations

RF

### **Modeled Departure Efficiency**



Results based approximately 50,000 modeled departure operations per modeled scenario

# **Modeled Departure Efficiency Benefits**

- Airport departure capacity gain
  - 11 to 20 additional operations per hour (84% to 100% RNAV participation)
- Average departure delay reduction per aircraft
  - 1.3 minutes (Pre vs. Post Impl.)
    - 2005 Level of departure demand
- Annual departure delay reduction benefits to users
  - \$8.5 million/year
    - 84% RNAV participation
    - 2005 Level of departure demand





# Post-Implementation Operational Evaluation Visualization of Operations



# DFW arrival and departure operations in North-flow operational configuration

# Post-Implementation Operational Evaluation Visualization of Departure Operations



DFW departure operations in both North-flow and South-flow operational configuration

# Validation of DFW Departure Efficiency Benefits



#### Validation of Model Estimates

 Observed operational changes indicate that departure efficiency benefits were largely realized within the first two months after implementation



# **Revised ATL Departure Procedure Design**



ATL East Flow Revised RNAV Departures

- Revised Procedures
  - 16 RNAV Departure Procedures
- Implementation Dates
  - 13 April 2006
    - Diverging courses in East Ops
  - TBD, Summer 2007
    - Diverging courses in West Ops

#### Key Design Objectives

- Improved departure efficiency
  - Increased departure capacity
  - Reduced departure delay

#### - Improved airspace utilization

 RNAV-enabled diverging operations within approved noise footprint

# ATL RNAV Departure Procedures East Flow Operations

#### **Baseline (2005)**

**Revised (2006)** 



#### Key operational change:

- Revised RNAV procedures enable diverging departure operations off runway 09L to CUBAL and LIDAS
  - Implementation: April 2006

# ATL RNAV Departure Procedures West Flow Operations

#### **Baseline (2005)**

Revised (2007)



#### Key operational change:

- Revised RNAV procedures will enable diverging departure operations off Runway 26L to SNUFY and MPASS
  - Expected implementation: Summer 2007

# ATL RNAV Departure Procedures Operational Considerations



- Procedure revisions enable diverging departure operations on one airport complex only:
  - East Flow Operations
    - South complex (2006)
  - West Flow Operations
    - North complex (2007)
- Departure demand differs on the two airport complexes:
  - North complex has greater departure demand
    - Approximately 100 flights more per day
  - South complex has more Heavy/B757 class aircraft
    - Approximately 80 flights more per day



# **Model Evaluation of Departure Efficiency**



# **Modeled Departure Efficiency Benefits**

- Airport departure capacity gain
  - About 10 additional operations per hour
- Average departure delay reduction per aircraft
  - 2005 Level of departure demand
    - 2.5 minutes in East ops (2006)
    - 4.5 minutes in West ops (2007)
- Annual departure delay reduction benefits to users
  - 2005 Level of departure demand
    - \$8.5M per year (2006 impl.)
    - \$34M per year (2007 impl.)



# Validation of ATL Departure Efficiency Benefits



#### Validation of Model Estimates

 Observed operational changes indicate that departure separation efficiency benefits were largely realized within the first two months after implementation

# Summary

# Terminal RNAV Departure Operations

- Incremental implementation at major U.S. airports
- Increased use of advanced flight automation systems
  - Navigational capability available today on the majority of commercial aircraft
- Departure Separation Efficiency Benefits
  - ATL departure capacity benefits
    - About 10 additional departures per hour
  - Annual departure delay reduction benefits to users
    - April 13, 2006 implementation
      \$8.5M/year
    - TBD/Summer 2007 implementation \$34M/year additional

# Summary

• Departure Separation Efficiency Benefits

#### - DFW departure capacity benefits

 11 to 20 additional operations per hour for RNAV participation rates of 84% to 100%, respectively

#### - Annual departure delay reduction benefits to users

84% RNAV participation \$8.5M/year
 100% RNAV participation \$12.9M/year

#### Cost associated with mixed RNAV/non-RNAV operations

• 84% RNAV participation >\$4M/year

#### – Benefit Validation

• Observed operational changes indicate that departure separation efficiency benefits were largely realized within the first two months after implementation

# CENTER FOR ADVIANCED AUVATION SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT

rmayer@mitre.org

