

CW Non proliferation Further notes.

Global advantage

Reduce the chances of further "field trials"
" " " " availability to insurgents.

" mutual anxieties of smaller countries.

Raises price of admission to the CW club.

[Undesirably - may focus more attention to CW by
major powers?]

Bargaining utilities: (@ CCD)

Reduce USSR anxiety that we might CW-arm the
Germans etc.

" USSR/China anxieties of 2:1 power play
with CW.

Separates the problems of verification and
definition. May allow some realistic progress
on the latter without minimizing its complexity.
If we can't get agreement on definition for
a CW-NPT, how can we proceed to a complete
ban in which verification is also central.

Nevertheless keeps the US in honest and open
engagement with continued steps toward CW
disarmament, counter to charges that we
are stalling.

Drafting problems. Differs from nuclear NPT: no party eschews the right to develop internal capability. Leave out "acquire", or smaller countries may be re-sensitized about some issues as in nuclear NPT.

Need a different title:

? Non-subornation of chemical warfare?
buy or receive

Parties agree not to give, sell, transmit, or permit, or cause to be

to or from any other nation

chemical munitions

devices intended to be employed for delivering thereof
chemicals intended to be used in the production
equipment or technical know how " " " " " "

Chemicals, devices, equipment.... capable of leading to the production of chemical munitions shall be presumed to come within the prohibition of this agreement unless they bear an obvious relationship to peaceful economic uses. ~~as to lawful military~~

... shall not restrict transmittal of data, equipment.... intended for defense against CW attacks, or for biomedical research purposes

? Elemental programs from Canada

Establishes a control commission which shall publish restricted lists of chemicals to be regarded prima facie as CW compounds. ~~The~~ ~~Transfers of~~ ~~more than 100 kg of~~ Parties will not transfer any quantities whatever of such compounds for intended use in war. Transfers of more than 100 kg of a listed compound will be registered within 90 days with the control commission with a statement of the intended use of ~~such~~ ~~any~~ said shipment in sufficient detail to assure the C.C. that a peaceful use is reasonably indicated. [Can protect the U.S. from unjustly ~~and~~ clandestine transfers of ambigious materials.]

? ~~promise to~~ ~~agree to~~ ~~make~~ ~~expeditious~~ ~~arrangements~~ ~~for~~ ~~the~~ ~~removal~~ ~~of~~ ~~CW~~ ~~munitions~~ ~~which~~ ~~may~~ ~~now~~ ~~be~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~control~~ ~~of~~ ~~nations~~ ~~other~~

Re: CW previously distributed. Parties who now possess CW munitions originally manufactured in other nations agree to dispose of them as rapidly as 1) consistent with their national security and 2) procedures for safe disposal protecting health of man and other wildlife, as well as men, can be worked out.

a
question
provided?

[This may open up the fate of WWII stocks! It is also an implied restriction on US - through allies* and pressure to their adherence to the treaty -.]

Re herbicides, tear gas. Has this option been considered as a unilateral bargaining statement by US in connection with Geneva protocol:

1. US does not consider these to be covered by the G.P.
2. " " " regard any use by others of these agents as cause for U.S. to apply the first-use-only relief of the G.P.

However, U.S. will undertake

1. Not to use tear gas in ~~use~~ ^{combat operations} and
2. Not to use herbicides for the destruction of crops or on such a scale as to cause ecological damage greater than would be unavoidably associated with the military operations facilitated [would not preclude base-perimeter clearing].

provided

1. other countries adhere to similar restraint
2. in quid pro quo (perhaps only nominal) re VC not attacking GVN food depots not harassing food production

[I haven't really worked out anything with an iota of substance. Needs suggestions about any credible restraint the VC would tacitly accept to stop defoliation. In view of the world furor

against the US as this issue, the VC would
probably just as soon ~~as~~ the US continuing
defoliation!