## Office of Inspector General Project Quality Scorecards – Third Quarter Fiscal Year 2007

Report Number	Staff Days	Project Cost (000s)	Elapsed Days from Kickoff to OCPL	Planning	Field Work	Evidence	Supervision	Draft Report Preparation and Timeliness	Significance	Report Communication	Total Assignment Score
2007-P-00021	282	217	177	2.0	4.0	4.0	2.0	13.0	2.0	8.3	35.3
2007-P-00022	568	473	352	2.0	3.0	4.0	3.1	8.0	3.0	8.1	31.2
2007-P-00023	1220	932	476	2.0	3.0	4.0	2.5	1.5	2.0	5.4	20.4
2007-P-00024	341	284	131	3.0	3.9	3.5	5.0	13.0	3.0	8.7	40.1
2007-P-00025	139	105	196	3.0	3.5	3.5	5.0	13.0	1.0	7.6	36.6
2007-1-00070	329	275	98	3.0	3.4	4.0	4.8	12.5	3.0	7.2	37.9
2007-1-00071	299	250	69	3.0	3.0	4.0	4.8	12.5	3.0	8.2	38.5
2007-S-00001	164	148	102	3.0	4.0	3.0	5.0	11.3	1.0	8.3	35.6
2007-P-00026	728	580	560	1.0	4.0	3.5	5.0	1.0	2.0	8.2	24.7
2007-P-00027	542	440	313	2.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	6.0	3.0	4.8	25.8
2007-4-00065	318	265	228	2.0	3.0	4.0	4.1	7.0	6.0	5.9	32.0
2007-4-00064	27	23	134	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.6	13.0	3.0	8.9	40.5

## Titles of the Reviews

2007-P-00021 - EPA Can Improve Its Managing of Superfund Interagency Agreements with U.S. Army Corp of Engineers

2007-P-00022 - Promoting Tribal Success in EPA Programs

2007-P-00023 - Better Enforcement Oversight Needed for Major Facilities with Water Discharge Permits in Long Term Significant Noncompliance

2007-P-00024 - Number and Cost to Award and Mange EPA Earmark Grants, and the Grants Impact on the Agency Mission

2007-P-00025 - EPA Can Improve the Oversight of Audit Followup

2007-1-00070 - Fiscal Year 2006 and 2005 Financial Statements for the Pesticides Reregistration and Expedited Processing Fund

2007-1-00071 - Fiscal Year 2006 and 2005 Financial Statements for the Pesticide Registration Fund

2007-S-00001 - U.S. Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board Did Not Adhere to Its Merit Promotion Plan

2007-P-00026 - EPA Needs to Take More Action in Implementing Alternative Approaches to Superfund Cleanups

2007-P-00027 - Overcoming Obstacles to Measuring Compliance: Practices in Selected Federal Agencies

2007-4-00065 - The Environmental Careers Organization Reported Outlays for Five EPA Cooperative Agreements

2007-4-00064 - Mixed Funding Claim No. 2 for the Armour Road Superfund Site, North Kansas City, Missouri.

The project quality scorecard reflects the OIG's process for measuring quality of audit, evaluation, and other reviews. The process to measure quality is part of the OIG's overall quality control system that serves as a basis for ensuring our results will consistently meet customers' needs and withstand challenges. Generally, all OIG audits, program evaluations, and other reviews are conducted in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. The OIG's Project Management Handbook is the OIG's policy document for conducting all reviews in accordance with these and other professional standards.

The scoring process encompasses an evaluation of activities from preliminary research to the point that an OIG team submits a draft report to the OIG's Office of Congressional and Public Liaison (OCPL) for edit. The process includes a measurement for report communication that encompasses the readability, completeness, conciseness, and presentation of draft reports. Staff days are measured based on a goal of providing the report to OCPL within 200 days; teams receive +5 points if a report comes in under 200 days; a point is deducted for every 50 days beyond 200 days.

Scores on the attached scoresheets are not necessarily representative of the quality of the final report, since revisions to the draft may be made. Teams may improve the report based in part on the scorecards results and the Agency's comments to the draft report.

The maximum number of points that can be earned in each specific phase are:

Planning	3 points
Field Work	4 points
Evidence	4 points
Supervision	5 points
Draft Report Preparation and Timeliness	8 points
Significance	10 points
Report Communication	9 points