

Fiscal Year 2007 Appropriation

Analysis, Bills, and Reports

Annual Planning and Budget Division, November 2007

FY 2007 APPROPRIATIONS BILLS AND REPORTS
Table of Contents

ITEM

Section I — Analysis and Reporting Requirements

- Tab A. Analysis of Action on FY 2007 Appropriations
- Tab B. FY 2007 Reporting Requirements
- Tab C. FY 2007 Congressional Directives

Section II — Public Law and Committee Reports

- Tab A. Public Law 110-5
- Tab B. House Committee Report 109-465
- Tab C. Senate Committee Report 109-275
- Tab D. Conference Committee Report

Section III — House and Senate Versions of the Bills

- Tab A. H.R. 5386, as passed by the House on May 18, 2006
- Tab B. H.R. 5386 as passed by the Senate on June 29, 2006

Section IV — Floor Consideration

- Tab A. Selected Amendments Proposed on the House Floor
- Tab B. Selected Amendments Proposed on the Senate Floor
- Tab C. Highlights from Debate on the Conference Report

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Science and Technology					
Air toxics and Quality - H.100, S. 57					
		[HOUSE] Air Toxics and Quality.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$9,000,000 for Federal vehicle and fuels standards certification associated with implementation of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 renewable fuels standard. [SENATE] Air Toxics and Quality.—The Committee			
Clean air allowance trading program (also EPM)	9,259	0	0	0	9,259
Federal support for air quality mgmt (also EPM)	10,273	0	0	0	10,273
Federal support for air toxics program (also EPM)	2,265	0	0	0	2,265
Federal vehicle and fuels standards/ certification	0	0	0	0	0
Energy Policy Act (Fed vehicle & fuel standards)	11,400	(9,000)	(10,000)	0	1,400
Federal vehicle and fuels standards (other)	56,925	0	0	0	56,925
Radiation: Protection (also EPM; HSS)	2,054	0	0	0	2,054
Radiation: Response preparedness (also EPM)	3,586	0	0	0	3,586
Subtotal, Air Toxics and Quality	95,762	(9,000)	(10,000)	0	85,762
Climate protection - H.100					

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
--	------------------------	-----------------------------	------------------------------	---------------------------	--------------

[HOUSE] Climate Protection Program.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$6,000,000 for the climate protection program to restore base program research funding.

Climate protection program (also EPM)	12,550	6,000	0	0	12,550
Enforcement					
Forensics support (also HSS)	13,185	0	0	0	13,185

Homeland security - H.100, S.57

[HOUSE] Homeland Security.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$25,000,000 in critical infrastructure protection for WaterSentinel and related training and a decrease of \$5,000,000 in preparedness, response, and recovery for the decontamination program. [SENATE] The Committee recommends a decrease of \$23,604,000 in critical infrastructure protection for WaterSentinel and related training. The Committee does provide a \$10,000,000 increase above the enacted level for this important program.

Homeland security: Critical Infrastructure protection

Water sentinel and related training	41,735	(25,000)	(23,604)	0	18,131
Critical infrastructure protection (except water sentinel) (also EPM; HSS)	3,516	0	0	0	3,516
Subtotal, Homeland security: Critical infrastructure protection	45,251	(25,000)	(23,604)	0	21,647

Homeland Security: Preparedness, response, and recovery

Decontamination (also EPM; HSS)	24,667	(5,000)	0	0	24,667
---------------------------------	--------	---------	---	---	--------

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Laboratory preparedness & response (also EPM; HSS)	600	0	0	0	600
Safe buildings	4,000	0	0	0	4,000
Preparedness, response, and recovery (other activities) (also HSS)	15,231	0	0	0	15,231
(Transfer from Hazardous substance superfund)	(2,000)	0	0	0	(2,000)
Subtotal, Homeland security: Preparedness, response, and recovery	44,498	(5,000)	0	0	44,498
Homeland security: Protection of EPA personnel and infrastructure (also EPM; B&F; HSS)	2,079	0	0	0	2,079
Subtotal, Homeland security	91,828	(30,000)	(23,604)	0	68,224
Indoor air					
Indoor air: Radon program (also EPM)	442	0	0	0	442
Indoor air: Schools and workplace program (moved Reduce risks from indoor air (also EPM))	829	0	0	0	829
Subtotal, Indoor air	1,271	0	0	0	1,271
IT/ Data management/ Security					
IT/ Data management (also EPM; LUST; OSR; HSS)	4,268	0	0	0	4,268
Operations and administration	0	0	0	0	0
Science and Technology					

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Facilities infrastructure and operations (also EPM; B&F; LUST; OSR; HSS)	70,240	0	0	0	70,240
Pesticide licensing					
Pesticide: Registration of new pesticides (also EPM)	2,766	0	0	0	2,766
Pesticide Review/ Reregistration of existing pesticides (also EPM)	2,820	0	0	0	2,820
Subtotal, Pesticide licensing	5,586	0	0	0	5,586
Research/ Congressional priorities - H.100, S.57					
A mercury study at the Hubbard Brook Research Foundation in New Hampshire	0	30,000	30,000	0	30,000
Acid Rain Research at the University of Vermont	0	0	250	0	250
Aiken Greening at the University of Vermont	0	0	550	0	550
American Water Works Association Research Foundation Baylor University Nuclear Biological and Chemical Threat Detection Assessment, and Response to Protect Public Water Supplies	0	1,000	0	0	0
Center for Air Toxic Metals at the University of North Dakota	0	0	200	0	200
	0	0	2,500	0	2,500

[HOUSE] [SENATE] Research: Congressional Priorities.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$30,000,000 for programs of national and regional significance.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Center for the Study of Metals in the Environment	0	0	500	0	500
Central California Ozone Study, San Joaquin Valley wide Air Pollution Study Agency	0	375	400	0	400
Clean Air Counts, Environmental Protection Agency in Illinois	0	0	750	0	750
Consortium for Plant Biotechnology Research	0	750	750	0	750
Denver Zoological Foundation's Asian Tropics Sustainable Energy Model in Colorado	0	0	1,000	0	1,000
Environmental Systems Ctr of Excellence at Syracuse Univ., Indoor environmental quality & urban ecosystems sustainability	0	2,000	0	0	0
EPSCoR	0	0	1,000	0	1,000
Florida Department of Citrus Abscission Chemical Studies	0	1,000	0	0	0
Groundwater Hydrogeological Study for the City of bozeman, Montana	0	0	130	0	130
Integrated Consortium for energy and the Environment in Oklahoma	0	0	500	0	500
Irrigation Training and Research Center - Cal Poly, San Luis Obispo Flow Rate Measurement	0	250	0	0	0
Mickey Leland National Urban Air Toxics Research Center	0	1,500	0	0	0
National Alternative Fuels Training Consortium at West Virginia University	0	0	2,000	0	2,000

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
National Mining sustainability Program at the National Environmental Waste Technology, Testing, and Evaluation Center	0	0	1,000	0	1,000
Nevada Association of Countries water GIS project	0	0	500	0	500
New England Green Chemistry Consortium	0	750	0	0	0
Ohio State University Olean tangy River Wetlands Park teaching, research, and outreach initiative	0	500	0	0	0
Particulate matter research at the University of Pittsburgh in Pennsylvania	0	0	500	0	500
Proctor Maple research station in Underhill, Vermont	0	0	200	0	200
Southwest Center for Environmental Research and Policy	0	1,500	0	0	0
Texas Air Quality Study 2	0	0	750	0	750
Texas Tech University Center for Water Law and Policy	0	0	650	0	650
Tulsa Air Quality Study (ozone compliance)	0	300	0	0	0
University of Louisville Lung Biology/Translational Lung Disease program in Kentucky	0	0	1,500	0	1,500
University of South Alabama Center for Estuarine research in Alabama	0	0	500	0	500
Water Environment Research Foundation	0	3,000	2,600	0	2,600

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Water Systems Council Wellcare Program	0	1,000	1,200	0	1,200
Research: Clean Air - H.100					
[HOUSE] Research: Clean Air.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$6,450,000 to restore funding for clean air research including increases of \$3,950,000 for air toxics, \$1,600,000 for global change, and \$900,000 for particulate monitoring methods and tropospheric ozone research in the National Ambient Air Quality Standards program.					
Research: Air toxics	12,274	3,950	0	0	12,274
Research: Global change	17,456	1,600	0	0	17,456
Research: National ambient air quality standards (NAAQS)	65,456	900	0	0	65,456
Subtotal, Research Clean Air	95,186	6,450	0	0	95,186
Research: Clean Water					
Research: Drinking water	49,243	0	0	0	49,243
Research: Water quality	56,988	0	0	0	56,988
Subtotal, Research: Clean Water	106,231	0	0	0	106,231
Research: Human Health and Ecosystems - H.100, S.57					

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
<p>[HOUSE] Human Health and Ecosystems.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$9,755,000 to restore funding for human health and ecosystems research including increases of \$1,400,000 for endocrine disruptor research, \$3,355,000 for fellowships through the Science to Achieve Results program, and \$5,000,000 for the environmental monitoring and assessment program. [SENATE] Research: Human Health and Ecosystems.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$2,065,000 for human health and ecosystems; including a decrease of \$2,656,000 for computational toxicology; an increase of \$1,413,000 for endocrine disruptor research; and an increase of \$3,308,000 for fellowships through the Science to Achieve Results program.</p>					
Human health risk assessment	34,488	0	0	0	34,488
(By transfer from Hazardous substance superfund)	(3,847)	0	0	0	(3,847)
Research: Computational toxicology	14,983	0	(2,656)	0	12,327
Research: Endocrine disruptor	9,081	1,400	1,413	0	10,494
Research: Fellowship	8,383	3,355	3,308	0	11,691
Research: Human health and ecosystems	161,313	5,000	0	0	161,313
Subtotal, Research: Human Health and Ecosystems	228,248	9,755	2,065	0	226,466
Research: Land Protection					
Research: Land protection & restoration (also HSS)	10,553	0	0	0	10,553

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
(By transfer from Hazardous substance superfund)	(21,964)	(1,000)	0	0	(21,964)
(By transfer from Hazardous substance superfund)	0	(1,200)	0	0	0
Subtotal, Research: Land Protection	10,553	0	0	0	10,553
Research: Sustainability - H.101, S.57					
[HOUSE] Research: Sustainability.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$2,405,000 to restore partially the environmental technology verification program. [SENATE] Research: Sustainability.—The committee recommends an increase of \$2,500,000 for environmental technology verification.					
Research: Economics and decision science (EDS)	0	(1,200)	0	0	0
Research: Environmental technology verification (ETV)	10,553	0	0	0	10,553
Research: Sustainability (other activities)	0	0	0	0	0
(By transfer from Hazardous substance superfund)	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal, Research: Sustainability	2,495	0	0	0	2,495
Toxic Research and Prevention - H.101, S. 57					
[HOUSE] Toxics Research and Prevention.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$4,160,000 to restore funding for pesticides and toxics research. [SENATE] The Committee recommends an increase of \$4,127,000 for pesticides and toxics research.					

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Research: Pesticides and toxics	26,224	4,160	4,127	0	30,351
Water: Human Health program					
Drinking water programs (also EPM)	3,243	0	0	0	3,243
Total, Science and Technology	788,274	19,770	5,088	0	765,551
(By transfer from Hazardous substance superfund)	(27,811)	(2,200)	(27,811)	0	(27,811)

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)**

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
<u>Environmental Programs and Management</u>					
Air Toxics and Quality - H.111, S.65					
[HOUSE] Air Toxics and Quality.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$6,565,000 for air toxics and quality including a decrease of \$2,800,000 in the Federal support for air quality management program for implementation of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 and \$3,765,000 in the stratospheric ozone program for					
[SENATE] The Committee recommends a decrease of \$8,565,000 for air toxics and quality; including a decrease of \$2,000,000 for Federal stationary source regulations; a decrease of \$1,800,000 for an air quality management program for implementation of the Energy Policy Act of 2005; and a decrease of \$4,765,000 in the stratospheric ozone program for the multilateral fund.					
Clean air allowance trading program (also S&T)	19,126	0	0	0	19,126
Federal stationary source regulations	25,678	0	(2,000)	0	23,678
Federal support for air quality management	0	0	0	0	0
Clean diesel initiative	0	0	0	0	0
Energy Policy Act Implementation	2,800	(2,800)	(1,800)	0	1,000
Federal support for air quality management (other activities) (also S&T)	85,266	0	0	0	85,266
Subtotal, Federal support for air quality management	88,066	(2,800)	(1,800)	0	86,266
Federal support for air toxics program (also S&T)	25,514	0	0	0	25,514

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Radiation: Protection (also S&T; HSS)	10,649	0	0	0	10,649
Radiation: Response preparedness (also S&T)	2,689	0	0	0	2,689
Stratospheric ozone: Domestic programs	5,221	0	0	0	5,221
Stratospheric ozone: Multilateral funds	13,365	(3,765)	(4,765)	0	8,600
Subtotal, Air Toxics and Quality	190,308	(6,565)	(8,565)	0	181,743
Brownfields	24,637	0	0	0	0

Climate protection program - H.111, S.65

[HOUSE] Climate Protection.—The Committee recommends a net decrease of \$1,000,000 for climate protection programs, including an increase of \$2,000,000 for Energy Star, a decrease of \$2,000,000 for the methane to markets initiative, and, in other climate change programs, a decrease of \$5,000,000 for the Asia-Pacific Partnership and an increase of \$4,000,000 to restore ongoing climate change programs.

[SENATE] The Committee recommends an increase of \$1,363,000 for climate protection, including an increase of \$3,813,000 for Energy Star; and a decrease of \$2,450,000 for Methane to Markets. Further, \$1,000,000 is provided for the Asia-Pacific Partnership.

Energy Star	45,723	2,000	3,813	0	49,536
Methane to Markets	4,421	(2,000)	(2,450)	0	1,971

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Climate protection program (other activities) (also S&T)	41,700	(1,000)	0	0	41,700
Subtotal, Climate protection	91,844	(1,000)	1,363	0	93,207

Compliance - H. 111, S. 65

[HOUSE] Compliance.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$987,000 in the compliance monitoring program for implementation of the Energy Policy Act of 2005.

[SENATE] The Committee recommends a decrease of \$4,098,000 for compliance; including a decrease of \$11,000 for the compliance assistance and centers program for implementation of the Energy Policy Act of 2005; a decrease of \$987,000 for the compliance monitoring program for implementation of the Energy Policy Act of 2005; and a decrease of \$3,000,000 for other activities in compliance monitoring.

Compliance assistance and Centers	0	0	0	0	0
Energy Policy Act Implementation	111	0	(111)	0	0
Other activities	28,780	0	0	0	28,780
Subtotal, Compliance assistance and centers	28,891	0	(111)	0	28,780
Compliance incentives (also HSS)	9,702	0	0	0	9,702

Compliance monitoring

Energy Policy Act implementation	987	(987)	(987)	0	0
----------------------------------	-----	-------	-------	---	---

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Other activities	92,032	0	(3,000)	0	89,032
Subtotal, Compliance monitoring	93,019	(987)	(3,987)	0	89,032
Subtotal, Compliance	131,612	(987)	(4,098)	0	127,514

Enforcement - H.111, S.65

[HOUSE] Enforcement.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$957,000 for enforcement, including a decrease of \$753,000 in the civil enforcement program for implementation of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 and an increase of \$1,710,000 to restore the environmental justice program. The Committee notes that there is also an increase, as requested, in the Superfund account for environmental justice activities.

[SENATE] The Committee recommends an increase of \$957,000 for enforcement; including a decrease of \$753,000 for the civil enforcement program for implementation of the Energy Policy Act of 2005; and, an increase of \$1,710,000 for environmental justice.

Energy Policy Act	753	(753)	(753)	0	0
Civil enforcement (also OSR; HSS) (other)	120,025	0	0	0	120,025
Subtotal, Civil enforcement	120,778	(753)	(753)	0	120,025
Criminal enforcement (also HSS)	37,794	0	0	0	37,794
Enforcement training (also HSS)	2,504	0	0	0	2,504
Environmental Justice (also HSS)	3,859	1,710	1,710	0	5,569

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
NEPA implementation	13,787	0	0	0	13,787
Subtotal, Enforcement	178,722	957	957	0	179,679
Environmental protection/Congressional priorities - H.					
111, S. 65	0	40,000	40,000	0	40,000
[HOUSE] [SENATE] Environmental Protection: Congressional Priorities.—The Committee recommends \$40,000,000 for programs of national and regional significance					
America's Clean Water Foundation on Farm Assessment & Environmental Review Program	0	3,000	0	0	0
Antibiofilm products for preventing bacterial disease project at Montana State University	0	0	500	0	500
Big Sky Economic Development Authority for a mercury control demonstration project in Billings, Montana	0	0	500	0	500
Central New York Watersheds in Onondaga, Wayne, & Cayuga Counties water quality management	0	2,000	0	0	0
Chesapeake Bay Small Watersheds Program	0	0	1,500	0	1,500
Columbia Basin Groundwater Management Area in Washington	0	0	500	0	500
Ethanol demonstration project in Hawaii	0	0	1,000	0	1,000
Fife Lake Remediation Project in Michigan	0	0	300	0	300
Great Lakes Indian Fish & Wildlife Commission for an environmental program	0	0	300	0	300
Groundwater Protection Council	0	650	0	0	0

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Iowa State University project on mitigating emissions from egg farms	0	1,000	0	0	0
Lake Champlain Basin Program	0	0	1,700	0	1,700
Long Island Sound restoration	0	1,800	1,000	0	1,000
Molecular tracking of waterborne bacteria project at the University of Southern Mississippi	0	0	600	0	600
National Biosolids Partnership (Water Environment Federation)	0	1,000	0	0	0
National Environmental Training Center for Small communities at West Virginia University	0	0	750	0	750
National Hispanic Healthy Farm Workers Initiative (Self Reliance Foundation)	0	1,000	0	0	0
National Rural Water Association	0	11,000	11,000	0	11,000
NE Colorado Surface Water/Groundwater Conservation Program (Central Colorado Water Conservation District)	0	250	0	0	0
Red River Watershed Institute at Louisiana State University	0	0	450	0	450
Region 10 gas pipeline permitting in Alaska	0	0	2,000	0	2,000
Rural Community Assistance Program	0	3,500	3,000	0	3,000

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Small Public Water system Technology Centers at Western Kentucky University, the University of new Hampshire, the University of Alaska-Sitka, Pennsylvania State University, the University of Missouri-Columbia, Montana State University, the University of Illinois, and Mississippi State University	0	0	4,000	0	4,000
Southwest Missouri Water quality Improvement project	0	0	1,975	0	1,975
Storm Lake water quality project in Iowa	0	0	300	0	300
The Beaver Creek Watershed Restoration project in Knox County, Tennessee	0	0	500	0	500
The multi-purpose sensors to detect and analyze contaminants and time-lapsing imaging of shallow subsurface fluid flow at Boise State University	0	0	1,000	0	1,000
The new environmental technologies for small businesses project at the University of Northern Iowa	0	0	400	0	400
The Ozarks Environmental and Water Resources Institute at Missouri State University	0	0	1,000	0	1,000
Yerington mine containment transport model and health risk assessment	0	0	500	0	500

Geographic programs - H.111, S. 66

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
--	---------------------	--------------------------	---------------------------	------------------------	--------------

[HOUSE] Geographic Programs.—The Committee recommends a net increase of \$1,000,000 for geographic programs, including a decrease of \$6,397,000 for the Corsica River watershed pilot project in the Chesapeake Bay program, an increase of \$2,397,000 for competitive grants for community based efforts in the Chesapeake Bay program, an increase of \$6,000,000 for the Puget Sound program, and a decrease of \$1,000,000 for regional geographic initiatives.

[SENATE] The Committee recommends a decrease of \$928,000 for geographic programs; including an increase of \$587,000 for the Great Lakes; an increase of \$1,000,000 for the Gulf of Mexico; an increase of \$66,000 for Lake Champlain; an increase of \$3,000 for the Long Island Sound; a decrease of \$3,000,000 for the community action for a renewed environment program; an increase of \$971,000 for Puget Sound; and an increase of \$522,000 for other activities in geographic programs. Further, the Committee directs the increase of \$522,000 for other activities in geographic programs be added to the \$1,000,000 currently budgeted for the Lake Pontchartrain Basin Restoration program.

Geographic program: Chesapeake Bay	26,398	(4,000)	0	0	26,398
Geographic program: Great Lakes	20,577	0	587	0	21,164
Geographic program: Gulf of Mexico	4,311	0	1,000	0	5,311
Geographic program: Lake Champlain	934	0	66	0	1,000
Geographic program: Long Island Sound	467	0	3	0	470
Geographic program: Other	0	0	0	0	0

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Community action for a renewed environment (CARE)	4,448	0	(3,000)	0	1,448
Geographic program: Puget Sound	0	6,000	971	0	971
Other Activities	4,602	0	522	0	5,124
Subtotal, Geographic program: Other	9,050	6,000	(1,507)	0	7,543
Regional geographic initiatives	9,137	(1,000)	(1,077)	0	8,060
Subtotal, Geographic programs	70,874	1,000	(928)	0	69,946
Homeland security	0	0	0	0	0
Homeland security: Communication and information (also HSS)	0	0	0	0	0
Laboratory preparedness & response (also S&T)	1,200	0	0	0	1,200
Communication and information (other activities)	5,600	0	0	0	5,600
Subtotal, Homeland security: Communication and information	6,800	0	0	0	6,800
Homeland security: Critical infrastructure protection	0	0	0	0	0
Decontamination (also S&T; EPM; HSS)	99	0	0	0	99
Critical infrastructure protection (except decontamination) (also S&T; HSS)	7,144	0	0	0	7,144

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Subtotal, Homeland security : critical infrastructure protection	7,243	0	0	0	7,243
Homeland security: Preparedness, response, and recovery (also S&T; HSS)					
Decontamination (also S&T; EPM; HSS)	3,329	0	0	0	3,329
Homeland security: Protection of EPA personnel and infrastructure (also S&T; B&F; HSS)	6,269	0	0	0	6,269
Subtotal, Homeland security	23,641	0	0	0	23,641
Indoor air	0	0	0	0	0
Indoor air: Radon program (also S&T)	5,519	0	0	0	5,519
Reduce risks from indoor air (also S&T)	23,464	0	0	0	23,464
Subtotal, indoor air	28,983	0	0	0	28,983
Information exchange/outreach - H.111, S. 66					

[HOUSE] Information Exchange/Outreach.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$9,650,000 for information exchange/outreach, including increases of \$9,000,000 for environmental education and \$650,000 for the exchange network. [SENATE] The Committee recommends an increase of \$4,017,000 for information exchange and outreach programs; including a decrease of \$1,852,000 for congressional, intergovernmental, external relations; an increase of \$7,000,000 for environmental education; and a decrease of \$1,131,000 for State and local prevention and preparedness.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Children and other sensitive populations: Agency coordination	6,064	0	0	0	0
Congressional, intergovernmental, external relations (also HSS)	52,143	0	(1,852)	0	0
Environmental education	0	9,000	7,000	0	0
Exchange network (also HSS)	16,049	650	0	0	0
Small business ombudsman	3,502	0	0	0	0
Small minority business assistance	2,646	0	0	0	0
State and local prevention and preparedness	12,508	0	(1,131)	0	0
Toxics release inventory (TRI) /Right to know	15,243	0	0	0	0
Tribal - Capacity building	11,436	0	0	0	0
Subtotal, Information exchange/Outreach	119,591	9,650	4,017	0	0

International programs - S. 66

[SENATE] The Committee recommends a decrease of \$312,000 for the United States and Mexico Border program

Commission for environmental cooperation	4,137	0	0	0	4,137
Environment and Trade	1,861	0	0	0	1,861

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
International capacity building	6,390	0	0	0	6,390
Persistent organic pollutants (POPs) implementation	1,809	0	0	0	1,809
U.S. / Mexico border	6,061	0	(312)	0	5,749
Subtotal, International programs	20,258	0	(312)	0	19,946

IT/Data management /Security- S. 66

[SENATE] The Committee recommends a decrease of \$2,240,000 for IT/Data management.

Information security (also HSS)	5,562	0	0	0	5,562
IT/Data management (also S&T; LUST; OSR; HSS)	0	0	0	0	0
(\$22.59 million moved to EPM Compliance in FY06)	96,807	0	(2,240)	0	94,567
Subtotal, IT /Data management/ security	102,369	0	(2,240)	0	100,129

Legal/Science/Regulatory/Economic review - H. 112, S. 66

[HOUSE] Legal/Science/Regulatory/Economic Review—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$2,000,000 for regulatory innovation.

[SENATE] The Committee recommends a decrease of \$4,004,000 for legal/science/regulatory/economic review programs; including a decrease of \$3,000,000 for regulatory innovation; and a decrease of \$1,004,000 for regulatory/economic management and analysis.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Administrative law	4,861	0	0	0	4,861
Alternative dispute resolution (also HSS)	1,230	0	0	0	1,230
Civil rights / Title VI compliance	11,054	0	0	0	11,054
Legal advice: Environmental program (also HSS)	37,525	0	0	0	37,525
Legal advice: Support program	13,466	0	0	0	13,466
Regional science and technology	3,521	0	0	0	3,521
Regulatory innovation	25,853	(2,000)	(3,000)	0	22,853
Regulatory/ Economic-management and analysis	17,555	0	(1,004)	0	16,551
Science advisory board	4,616	0	0	0	4,616
Subtotal, Legal/Science/Regulatory/Economic review	119,681	(2,000)	(4,004)	0	115,677

Operations and administration - H.112, S. 66

[HOUSE] Operations and Administration.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$1,000,000 for facilities infrastructure and operations.

[SENATE] The Committee recommends a decrease of \$3,000,000 for operations and administration; including a decrease of \$1,000,000 for acquisition management; and a decrease of \$2,000,000 for facilities infrastructure and operations

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Acquisition management (also LUST; HSS)	25,418	0	(1,000)	0	24,418
Central planning, budgeting, and finance (also LUST; HSS)	83,548	0	0	0	83,548
Facilities infrastructure and operations (also S&T; B&F; LUST; OSR; HSS)	294,760	(1,000)	(2,000)	0	292,760
Financial assistance grants/ Interagency agreements (IAG) management (also HSS)	21,847	0	0	0	21,847
Human resources management (also LUST; HSS)	40,202	0	0	0	40,202
Subtotal, Operations and administration	465,775	(1,000)	(3,000)	0	462,775

Pesticide licensing - H.112, S. 66

[HOUSE] Pesticide Licensing.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$4,500,000 for pesticide licensing including increases of \$2,000,000 for registration of new pesticides and \$2,500,000 for review/reregistration of existing pesticides.

[SENATE] The Committee recommends an increase of \$5,836,000 for pesticide licensing programs; including an increase of \$1,836,000 for registration of new pesticides; and an increase of \$4,000,000 for review and reregistration of existing pesticides.

Pesticides: Field programs	24,926	0	0	0	24,926
Pesticides: Registration of new pesticides (also S&T)	39,768	2,000	1,836	0	41,604
Pesticides: Review/ Deregistration of existing pesticides (also S&T)	51,814	2,500	4,000	0	55,814
Science policy and biotechnology	1,754	0	0	0	1,754

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Subtotal, Pesticide licensing	118,262	4,500	5,836	0	124,098
Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)					
[SENATE]—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$3,480,000 for resource conservation and recovery act; including a decrease of \$976,000 for RCRA: Corrective action; and, a decrease of \$2,094,000 for RCRA: Waste management; and a decrease of \$410,000 for RCRA: Waste minimization and recycling.					
RCRA: Corrective action	40,372	0	(976)	0	39,396
RCRA: Waste management	67,887	0	(2,094)	0	65,793
RCRA Waste minimization and recycling	12,235	0	(410)	0	11,825
Subtotal, Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)	120,494	0	(3,480)	0	117,014
Toxics Risk Review and Prevention - H.112, S. 66					
[HOUSE] Toxics Risk Review and Prevention.—The Committee recommends a net decrease of \$1,230,000 for toxics review and prevention, including an increase of \$770,000 for endocrine disruptors and a decrease of \$2,000,000 for the pollution prevention program.					

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Toxics substances: Chemical risk management	7,736	0	1,272	0	9,008
Toxics substances: Chemical risk review & reduction	44,637	0	1,905	0	46,542
Endocrine disruptors	7,985	770	782	0	8,767
Toxics substances: Lead risk reduction program	11,368	0	0	0	11,368
Pollution prevention program	21,292	(2,000)	(2,000)	0	19,292
Subtotal, Toxics risk review and prevention	93,018	(1,230)	1,959	0	94,977
Underground storage tanks (LUST/UST) (also LUST) - H.112, S. 67	11,714	(1,500)	(2,000)	0	9,714

[SENATE] The Committee recommends an increase of \$1,959,000 for toxic risk review and prevention; including an increase of \$1,272,000 for toxic substances: chemical risk management; an increase of \$1,905,000 for toxic substances: chemical risk review and reduction; and an increase of \$782,000 for endocrine disruptors; and, a decrease of \$2,000,000 for the pollution prevention program. Further, the Committee directs the increase of \$1,905,000 for toxic substances: chemical risk review and reduction be allocated to the review and assessment of information submitted under the High Production Volume Challenge program.

[HOUSE] Underground Storage Tanks.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$1,500,000 in the underground storage tank program for implementation of the Energy Policy Act of 2005.

[SENATE] The Committee recommends a decrease of \$2,000,000 for the underground storage tank program.

Water: Ecosystems - H.112, S. 67

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
<p>[HOUSE] Water: Ecosystems.—The Committee recommends a net decrease of \$14,000,000 for water/ecosystems, including a decrease of \$20,000,000 for Great Lakes Legacy Act programs and an increase of \$6,000,000 for the National Estuary Program. Direction on distribution of National Estuary Program funding is provided below.</p> <p>[SENATE] The Committee recommends a decrease of \$17,000,000 for water: ecosystems; including a decrease of \$19,000,000 for the Great Lakes Legacy Act; and an increase of \$2,000,000 for the National Estuary program.</p>					
Great Lakes Legacy Act	49,600	(20,000)	(19,000)	0	30,600
National estuary program/Coastal waterways	18,417	6,000	2,000	0	20,417
Wetlands	20,992	0	0	0	20,992
Subtotal, Water: Ecosystems	89,009	(14,000)	(17,000)	0	72,009
Water, Human health protection	0	0	0	0	0
Beach/Fish programs	2,654	0	0	0	2,654
Drinking water programs (also EPM)	99,121	0	0	0	99,121
Subtotal, Water: Human health protection	101,775	0	0	0	101,775

Water quality protection - H.112, S. 67

[HOUSE] Water Quality Protection.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$2,000,000 for surface water protection to restore and improve the quality of rivers, lakes, and streams.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
	[SENATE] Water Quality Protection.-The Committee recommends a decrease of \$2,000,000 for marine pollution.				
Marine pollution	12,462	0	(2,000)	0	10,462
Surface water protection					
Water quality monitoring	7,121	0	0	0	7,121
Surface water protection (other activities)	184,467	2,000	(2,448)	0	182,019
	[SENATE] The Committee recommends a decrease of \$2,448,000 for other activities in surface water protection.				
Subtotal, Surface water protection	191,588	2,000	(2,448)	0	189,140
Subtotal, Water quality protection	204,050	2,000	(4,448)	0	199,602
<hr/>					
Total, Environmental Programs and Management	2,306,617	29,825	4,057	0	2,286,037

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)**

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
--	------------------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------	---------------------------	--------------

Office of Inspector General - H. 115

[HOUSE] The Committee recommends \$35,100,000, the budget request for the Office of the Inspector General, a decrease of \$1,804,000 below the fiscal year 2006 level. In addition, the Committee recommends that \$13,316,000, as requested, be transferred to this account from the Hazardous Substance Superfund account.

[SENATE] The Committee recommends \$35,100,000 for the Office of Inspector General, which is equal to the budget request and \$1,804,000 below the fiscal year 2006 level. In addition, \$12,316,000 will be available by transfer from the Superfund account, for a total of \$48,416,000.

Audits, evaluations, and investigations	35,100	0	0	0	35,100
-----------------------------------------	--------	---	---	---	--------

Total Inspector General	35,100	0	0	0	35,100
--------------------------------	---------------	----------	----------	----------	---------------

(By transfer from Hazardous substance superfund)	(13,316)	0	0	0	(13,316)
--------------------------------------------------	----------	---	---	---	----------

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
<u>Building and Facilities</u>					
Homeland security					
Homeland security: Protection of EPA personnel and infrastructure (also S&T; EPM; HSS)	11,385	0	0	0	11,385
Operations and administration	0	0	0	0	0
Facilities infrastructure and operations (also S&T; EPM; HSS; LUST; OSR)	28,431	0	0	0	28,431
Total, Building and Facilities	39,816	0	0	0	39,816

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
<u>Hazardous Substance Superfund</u>					
Air toxics and quality					
Radiation: Protection (also S&T: EPM)	2,323	0	0	0	2,323
Audits, evaluations, and investigations (Transfer to Office of Inspector General)	13,316 (13,316)	0	0	0	13,316 (13,316)
Compliance S.76					
[SENATE] The Committee recommends a decrease of \$200,000 for the compliance program; including a decrease of \$1,000 for compliance assistance and centers; and a decrease of \$189,000 for compliance monitoring.					
Compliance assistance and centers (also EPM; LUST; OSR)	22	0	(11)	0	11
Compliance incentives (also EPM)	143	0	0	0	143
Compliance monitoring (also EPM)	1,144	0	(189)	0	955
Subtotal, Compliance	1,309	0	(200)	0	1,109
Enforcement - H. 123 S.76					

[HOUSE] Enforcement.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$3,000,000 for Superfund enforcement, which leaves an increase of almost \$4 million above the 2006 level.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
[SENATE] The Committee recommends a decrease of \$541,000 for the forensics support program					
Civil enforcement (also EPM; OSR)	883	0	0	0	883
Criminal enforcement (also EPM)	8,502	0	0	0	8,502
Enforcement training (also EPM)	622	0	0	0	622
Environmental justice (also EPM)	757	0	0	0	757
Forensics support (also S&T)	4,184	0	(541)	0	3,643
Superfund: Enforcement	163,651	(3,000)	0	0	163,651
Superfund: Federal facilities enforcement	10,197	0	0	0	10,197
Subtotal, Enforcement	188,796	(3,000)	(541)	0	188,255

Homeland security - H.123 S.76

[HOUSE] Homeland Security: Preparedness, Response, and Recovery.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$10,300,000 for homeland security: preparedness, response, and recovery, including decreases of \$1,800,000 for decontamination and \$8,500,000 for laboratory preparedness and response.

[SENATE] Homeland Security.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$5,000,000 for homeland security activities; including, a decrease of 4,000,000 for decontamination; and a decrease of \$1,000,000 for laboratory preparedness and response.

Homeland security, Communication and information (also EPM)

0	0	0	0	0	0
---	---	---	---	---	---

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Laboratory preparedness and response (also S&T; EPM; HSS)	300	0	0	0	300
Homeland security: Critical infrastructure protection	0	0	0	0	0
Decontamination (also S&T; EPM; HSS)	198	0	0	0	198
Critical infrastructure protection (other activities) (also S&T; EPM; HSS)	1,374	0	0	0	1,374
Subtotal, Homeland security: Critical infrastructure protection	1,572	0	0	0	1,572
Homeland Security: Preparedness, response, and recovery					
Decontamination (also S&T; EPM; HSS)	12,271	(1,800)	(4,000)	0	8,271
Laboratory preparedness and response (also S&T; EPM; HSS)	9,500	(8,500)	(1,000)	0	8,500
Preparedness, response, and recovery (other activities) (also HSS)	28,004	0	0	0	28,004
(Transfer to Science and Technology)	(2,000)	0	0	0	(2,000)
Subtotal, Homeland security: Preparedness, response, and recovery	49,775	(10,300)	(5,000)	0	42,775
Homeland security: Protection of EPA personnel and infrastructure (also S&T; EPM; B&F)					
	594	0	0	0	594
Subtotal: Homeland security	52,241	(10,300)	(5,000)	0	45,241
Information exchange/outreach S.76					

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
[SENATE] The Committee recommends a decrease of \$41,000 for congressional, intergovernmental, and external relations.					
Congressional. Intergovernmental, external relations (also EPM)	130	0	(41)	0	89
Exchange network (also EPM)	1,432	0	0	0	1,432
Subtotal, Information exchange/Outreach	1,562	0	(41)	0	1,562
IT/Data management/security	0	0	0	0	0
Information security (also EPM)	789	0	0	0	789
IT Data management (also S&T; EPM; LUST; OSR)	17,120	0	0	0	17,120
Subtotal, IT /Data management/ security	17,909	0	0	0	17,909
Legal/Science/Regulatory/Economic review					
Alternative dispute resolution (also EPM)	887	0	0	0	887
Legal advice: Environmental program (also EPM)	691	0	0	691	
Subtotal, Legal/Science/Regulatory/Economic review	1,578	0	0	0	1,578
Operations and administration - H.123 S.76					

[HOUSE] Operations and Administration.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$1,000,000 in the acquisition management program for contract workforce education.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
[SENATE] The Committee recommends a decrease of \$2,000,000 for acquisition management.					
Financial assistance grants / Interagency agreements (IAG) management (also EPM)	2,921	0	0	0	2,921
Facilities infrastructure and operations (also S&T; EPM; B&F; LUST; OSR:)	73,945	0	0	0	73,945
Acquisition management (also EPM; LUST)	23,514	(1,000)	(2,000)	0	21,514
Human resources management (also EPM; LUST)	5,270	0	0	0	5,270
Central planning, budgeting, and finance (also EPM; LUST)	25,541	0	0	0	25,541
Subtotal, Operations and administration	131,191	(1,000)	(2,000)	0	129,191
Research: Human Health and Ecosystems					
Human health risk assessment	3,847	0	0	0	3,847
(By transfer from Science and Technology)	(3,847)	0	0	0	(3,847)
Research : Land protection - H.123					
[HOUSE] Research: Land Protection.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$2,200,000 research, including increases of \$1,000,000 for land protection and restoration and \$1,200,000 to continue the Superfund innovative technology evaluation program.					
Research: Land protection and restoration	21,964	1,000	0	0	21,964

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
(Transfer to Science and Technology)	(21,964)	(1,000)	0	0	(21,964)
Research: Superfund innovative technology (SITE) program	0	1,200	0	0	0
(Transfer to Science and Technology)	0	(1,200)	0	0	0
Subtotal, Research: Land protection	21,964	2,200	0	0	21,964
Research: Sustainability					
Pollution prevention (also S&T)	0	0	0	0	0
(Transfer to Science and Technology)	0	0	0	0	0

Superfund cleanup - H. 123 S.76

[HOUSE] Superfund Cleanup.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$10,000,000 to restore base funding for Superfund cleanup including increases of \$1,000,000 for emergency response and removal, \$1,000,000 for EPA emergency preparedness, \$7,000,000 for the remedial program, and \$1,000,000 for support to other Federal agencies.

[SENATE] The Committee recommends an increase of \$10,172,000 for Superfund cleanup activities; including an increase of \$1,185,000 for emergency response and removal; and, an increase of \$1,677,000 for EPA emergency preparedness; and an increase of \$7,310,000 for remedial actions.

Superfund: Emergency response and removal	192,399	1,000	1,185	0	193,584
Superfund: EPA emergency preparedness	8,863	1,000	1,677	0	10,540

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Superfund: Federal facilities	31,487	0	0	0	31,487
Superfund: Remedial	581,595	7,000	7,310	0	588,905
Superfund: Support to other Federal agencies	8,575	1,000	0	0	8,575
Subtotal: Superfund cleanup	822,919	10,000	10,172	0	833,091
<hr/>					
Total Hazardous Substance Superfund	1,258,955	(2,100)	2,390	0	1,259,386
(Transfer to Office of Inspector General)	(13,316)	0	0	0	(13,316)
(Transfer to Science and Technology)	(27,811)	(2,200)	0	0	(27,811)

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION**
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
<u>Leaking Underground Storage Tanks (LUST)</u>					
Compliance					
Compliance assistance and centers (also EPM; LUST; HSS)	839	0	0	0	839
IT/ Data management / Security					
IT/ Data management (also S&T; EPM; HSS; OSR)	176	0	0	0	176
Operations and Administration					
Acquisition management (also EPM; HSS)	361	0	0	0	361
Central planning, budgeting and finance (also EPM; HSS)	1,015	0	0	0	1,015
Facilities infrastructure and operations (also S&T; EPM; B&F; HSS; OSR)	917	0	0	0	917
Human resource management (also EPM; HSS)	3	0	0	0	3
Subtotal, Operations and administration	2,296	0	0	0	2,296
Research: Land protection					
Research: Land protection and restoration (also S&T; HSS; OSR)	651	0	0	0	651
Underground storage tanks (LUST / UST)	0	0	0	0	0
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 109 -148)	0	0	0	0	0

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

Underground storage tanks (LUST / UST)	10,590	0	0	0	10,590
LUST Cooperative agreements	58,207	0	0	0	58,207
Subtotal, Underground Storage Tanks (LUST/UST)	68,797	0	0	0	68,797
<hr/>					
Total, Leaking Underground Storage Tank Program	72,759	0	0	0	72,759

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
<u>Oil Spill Response</u>					
Compliance					
Compliance assistance and centers (also EPM; HSS; LUST)	280	0	0	0	280
Enforcement					
Civil enforcement (also EPM; HSS)	1,826	0	0	0	1,826
IT/ Data management / Security	0	0	0	0	0
IT / Data management (also S&T; EPM; HSS; LUST)	33	0	0	0	33
Oil					
Oil spill: Prevention: preparedness and response	12,965	0	0	0	12,965
Operations and administration	0	0	0	0	0
Facilities infrastructure and operations (also S&T; EPM; B&F; HSS; LUST)	499	0	0	0	499
Research: Land Protection					
Research: Land protection and restoration (also S&T; HSS; LUST)	903	0	0	0	903
Total, Oil Spill Response	16,506	0	0	0	16,506

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
--	------------------------	-----------------------------	------------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------

State and Tribal Assistance Grants (STAG) H. 133

[HOUSE] The Committee recommends \$3,007,348,000 for State and tribal assistance grants, a decrease of \$206,361,000 below the fiscal year 2006 enacted level and \$209k900,000 above the budget request. These numbers do not reflect the one-time rescission of \$80 million agreements. While the rescission was

[SENATE] No specific language

Air toxics and quality

Clean school bus initiative

0 0 0 0 0

Brownfield's

Brownfield's projects

89,119 0 0 0 89,119

Infrastructure assistance - H.133, S. 84

[HOUSE] Infrastructure Assistance: Clean Water State Revolving Fund.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$23,500,000 for diesel emissions reduction grants.

[SENATE] The Committee recommends a decrease of \$14,363,000 for infrastructure assistance programs; including an increase of \$10,000,000 for the Alaska native villages program; a decrease of \$29,373,000 for diesel emissions reduction grants; an increase of \$6,000,000 for the Mexico border program; and a decrease of \$990,000 for Puerto Rico. Further, the Committee directs the increase of \$6,000,000 for the Mexico border program be allocated as follows: \$4,000,000 for the El Paso Reclaimed Water project; and, \$2,000,000 for the Brownsville/Weir Reservoir project.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Infrastructure assistance: Alaska Native villages	14,850	0	10,000	0	24,850
Infrastructure assistance: Clean water state revolving fund (SRF)	687,555	0	0	0	687,555
Diesel emissions reduction grants	49,500	(23,500)	(29,373)	0	20,127
Infrastructure assistance; Drinking water state revolving fund (SRF)	841,500	0	0	0	841,500
Infrastructure assistance; Mexico border	24,750	0	6,000	0	30,750
Infrastructure assistance; Puerto Rico	990	0	(990)	0	0
Subtotal; Infrastructure Assistance	1,619,145	(23,500)	(14,363)	0	1,604,782

STAG Infrastructure grants/Congressional Priorities

[HOUSE] State and Tribal Infrastructure Grants/Congressional Priorities.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$200,000,000 for targeted STAG infrastructure grants

[SENATE] The Committee recommends an increase of \$210,000,000 for targeted project grants which require a local match of 45 percent per grant unless a hardship waiver is provided by the EPA.

10th Street Sewer Line Repairs for the City of Great Bend, KS	0	500	500	0	500
3 Rivers Wet Weather Demonstration Program, PA	0	800	800	0	800
Ahoscie--Wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	750	0	0	0

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Albany—Interceptor pipeline upgrade (sewer)	0	1,000	0	0	0
Albuquerque--Water infrastructure improvements	0	1,000	0	0	0
Alexandria/Arlington County--Four Mile Run restoration	0	500	0	0	0
Anderson County \$275,000;	0	0	0	0	0
Arcadia & Sierra Madre—Water infrastructure improvements	0	1,000	0	0	0
Arcola/Fresno area--Water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	500	0	0	0
Aurora—Wastewater infrastructure improvement	0	300	0	0	0
Ballston Spa--Water infrastructure improvements	0	1,000	0	0	0
Banning—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements (Brinton Reservoir)	0	500	0	0	0
Batesville—Wastewater infrastructure planning	0	50	0	0	0
Beaumont Mills Sewer Improvements Project for Spartanburg, SC	0	200	200	0	200
Beaumont—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements (recycled water reservoir)	0	500	0	0	0
Beaver Falls- Wastewater Infrastructure & Steam bank Stabilization	0	280	0	0	0
Bellefonte Water Infrastructure Assessment and Repair Project in Centre County, PA	0	150	150	0	150

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Belleve--Central pipeline segment/water infrastructure improvements	0	500	0	0	0
Bellflower--Water infrastructure improvements	0	300	0	0	0
Bethel--Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	1,000	0	0	0
Bolivia--Waccamaw waterline extension	0	375	0	0	0
Borough of Dumont--Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	1,000	0	0	0
Borough of Hopatcong--Wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	1,000	300	0	300
Boston--Water infrastructure improvements (groundwater depletion)	0	750	0	0	0
Bronx--Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements (Twin Lakes)	0	500	0	0	0
Bucks County Warrington Township - wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	1,000	0	0	0
Butler County- wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	1,000	0	0	0
Calimesa--Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements (storm drain project)	0	600	0	0	0
Callahan--Wastewater treatment plant	0	1,000	0	0	0
Carnation--Wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	500	0	0	0
Cary--Regional water reclamation facility planning/design/permitting	0	750	0	0	0

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Castleford—Water system upgrade	0	300	0	0	0
Cecil Township- Wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	750	0	0	0
Cedar Bog Nature Preserve Wastewater Facilities Project, OH	0	100	100	0	100
Central Metropolitan Interceptor Sewer Project, Milwaukee, WI	0	750	750	0	750
Charlestown—Wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	400	0	0	0
Charlotte--Sardis Road booster station expansion	0	750	0	0	0
Chautauqua County, Rural Water Distribution System, KS	0	500	500	0	500
City & County of San Francisco— Flood control project	0	700	0	0	0
City of Bayou La Batre Water Project, AL	0	2,000	2,000	0	2,000
City of Box Elder Water and Wastewater Upgrades Project, SD	0	500	500	0	500
City of Harlan--Sewer line expansion	0	1,500	0	0	0
City of Hazelton Wastewater System Improvements, ID	0	469	469	0	469
City of Marsing Water System Reconstruction Project, ID	0	500	500	0	500
City of Moultrie Phase II Wastewater Treatment Plant, GA	0	100	100	0	100

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Claiborne County Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements 838000	0	0	0	0	0
Cleveland \$500,000;	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton County, Lock Haven--Sewer pump station	0	500	0	0	0
Colfax--Wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	500	0	0	0
College Park--Watershed storm water management plan	0	100	0	0	0
Combined Sewer Overflow Program, Minneapolis, MN	0	400	400	0	400
Combined Sewer Overflow Program, Wilmington, DE	0	300	300	0	300
Combined Sewer Overflow Project for the City of Clinton, IA	0	250	250	0	250
Combined Sewer Overflow Replacement, Brockton, MA	0	350	350	0	350
Combined Sewer Overflow Replacement, Marlborough, MA	0	350	350	0	350
Combined Sewer Project, Pennsauken Township, NJ	0	300	300	0	300
Conrad--Wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	750	0	0	0
Copperhill \$250,000-- Water & Wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	0	0	0	0
Crestview, Belvedere, and Highland Wastewater Project for Jefferson County, OH	0	250	250	0	250

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
CSO Separation Project for Manchester, NH	0	500	500	0	500
Cumberland--Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	125	0	0	0
Dearborn County Regional Sewer District	0	600	0	0	0
Delaware County Regional Water Quality Control Authority	0	200	0	0	0
Chester--Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	715	0	0	0
Denmark--Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	700	0	0	0
Des Moines--Water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	1,000	0	0	0
Desert Hot Springs--Water & wastewater infrastructure improvement	0	1,000	0	0	0
Detroit--Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	300	0	0	0
Downey--Groundwater well supply	0	400	400	0	400
Drainage Improvement Program, New London, CT	0	500	500	0	500
Drinking Water Distribution System Improvement for Berlin Waterworks, NH	0	400	400	0	400
Drinking Water Improvement Project, East Providence, RI	0	400	400	0	400
Drinking Water Program, Chatham, IL	0	400	400	0	400
Drinking Water Program, Delmar, DE	0	400	400	0	400

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Drinking Water Project for the Arkansas Valley Conduit, CO	0	600	600	0	600
Drinking Water System Upgrades, City Of Carlsbad, NM	0	400	400	0	400
Drinking Water System Upgrades, City of Rancho, NM	0	400	400	0	400
Drinking Water Treatment Facility Project for Magna, UT	0	1,000	1,000	0	1,000
Dubois town--Sewage pump station replacement	0	300	0	0	0
Dunbar Sanitary Authority Sewer Project in Fayette County	0	250	250	0	250
Durham--Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	250	0	0	0
East TN Development District	0	1,250	0	0	0
Eckley--Water treatment plant	0	150	140	0	140
Elkin/Jonesville/Rhonda--Wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	750	0	0	0
Enfield--Wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	550	0	0	0
Etowah--Wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	41	0	0	0
Fairfax County--Storm water management	0	1,000	0	0	0
Fairway and Mission--Water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	500	0	0	0

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Fayette County- Water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	1,575	0	0	0
Flats East Bank Sewer Project for the City of Cleveland, OH	0	500	500	0	500
Frametown--Water infrastructure improvements	0	500	0	0	0
Fremont Reservoir Project, OH	0	400	400	0	400
Gadson County, Quincy--Wastewater infrastructure design	0	490	0	0	0
Gaffney--Wastewater treatment plant renovation and expansion	0	500	0	0	0
Glencoe--Storm drainage & sewer system improvements	0	330	0	0	0
Goshen--Wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	70	0	0	0
Goshen--Water infrastructure improvements	0	300	0	0	0
Grandview--Water infrastructure improvements	0	500	0	0	0
Green River Valley Water District Hart County Water Project, KY	0	1,000	1,000	0	1,000
Greenburgh--Stormwater infrastructure improvements	0	300	0	0	0
Hanover County--Wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	1,000	0	0	0
Harrogate 137,000	0	0	0	0	0

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Henry County--Water infrastructure improvements	0	1,000	0	0	0
Holcombe--Wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	1,265	0	0	0
Homer/Banks County--Wastewater treatment plant	0	1,000	0	0	0
Inflow Elimination Project, Thompsonville, CT	0	400	400	0	400
Jacksonville Wastewater Infrastructure Improvement Project, FL	0	500	500	0	500
Jarrell--Wastewater treatment facility	0	350	0	0	0
Jasper Corridor X--Interchange sewer project	0	1,400	0	0	0
Jefferson Parish Infiltration/Inflow Management Project, LA	0	500	500	0	500
Jefferson Township--Expand sewer system	0	2,000	0	0	0
Jeromesville wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	1,000	0	0	0
Johnstown Regional Sewage Overflow Elimination Project, PA	0	250	250	0	250
Joplin--Wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	1,100	0	0	0
Kane County--Water supply management plan	0	275	0	0	0
Keith Springs Water Extension Project for Franklin County, TN	0	500	500	0	500

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Knox County--Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	700	0	0	0
Lake Forest/Lake Bluff/Highland Park/Highwood-Sewer improvements	0	500	0	0	0
Lake Region Water Treatment Plant, South FL Water Dist, FL	0	450	450	0	450
Lancaster--Water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	1,000	0	0	0
Landers--Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements (water resources plan)	0	500	0	0	0
Largo--Wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	2,000	0	0	0
Laurel Park--Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	1,760	0	0	0
Lenoir & Morgantown--Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	750	0	0	0
Lodi--Water infrastructure improvementsf	0	1,000	0	0	0
Louisville--Combined sewer & sanitary sewer overflow mitigation	0	1,000	0	0	0
Lower Cape Fear Water and Sewer Authority Project, NC	0	500	500	0	500
Lynwood--Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	281	0	0	0
Marion--Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	1,000	0	0	0
Marlett/Hobart Water System Upgrades, Lake Tahoe, NV	0	375	375	0	375

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Martin Slough Interceptor Project, Eureka, CA	0	500	500	0	500
Mason county/Skokomish Indian Tribe Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	0	1,000	0	0	0
Matthews Mill Village Water and Sewer Improvement Project, SC	0	400	400	0	400
Maumelle Basin Wastewater Treatment Plant, Maumelle, AR	0	600	600	0	600
McCarthyville Drinking Water Treatment Plant Upgrade Project, OH	0	100	100	0	100
McDonald County Wastewater Infrastructure Project, MO	0	250	250	0	250
Merrillville--Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	500	0	0	0
Mesa--Arsenic mitigation water infrastructure improvements	0	500	0	0	0
Metairie--Water infrastructure improvements	0	385	0	0	0
Metro North Georgia Water Planning District, GA	0	400	400	0	400
Mifflintown Municipal Authority Wastewater System Improvements Project in Juniata County, PA	0	200	200	0	200
Milton--Drinking water renovation & extension	0	1,000	0	0	0
Minneapolis--Wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	1,000	0	0	0
Missouri River Treatment Plant Enhancement Project, MO	0	1,000	1,000	0	1,000

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Monroe--Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	1,000	0	0	0
Monterey--Water management	0	750	0	0	0
Montgomery County--Water infrastructure improvements	0	500	0	0	0
Morgan County Water District System Improvement Project, KY	0	300	300	0	300
Municipal Authority of Bloomsburg/Wastewater Treatment Facility in Columbia County, PA	0	150	150	0	150
Municipal Water System, Shreveport, LA	0	600	600	0	600
Neuse Drinking Water Facility Improvements Project, NC	0	750	750	0	750
New Brighton--Water and wastewater, infrastructure improvements	0	500	0	0	0
New Britain/Plainville/Bristol-- CT Regional Drinking Water pipeline Water infrastructure improvements	0	1,000	0	0	0
New Haven--Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	303	0	0	0
New Windsor- Wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	700	0	0	0
North Central Water Users, ND	0	300	300	0	300
North Georgia--Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	1,000	0	0	0
North Star/ Cumberland Waterline Project for Guernsey County, OH	0	250	250	0	250

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Northampton--Drinking water filtration plant	0	500	0	0	0
Norwalk--Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	1,000	0	0	0
Ocala/Marion County--Silver Spring pollution abatement program	0	700	0	0	0
Olanta--Water infrastructure improvements	0	110	0	0	0
Onancock wastewater treatment plant project, VA	0	500	500	0	500
Onancock--Wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	1,000	0	0	0
Orion--Removal & replacement of groundwater storage tank	0	123	0	0	0
Ottawa County- Water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	1,000	0	0	0
Overton--Arsenic treatment facility	0	1,000	0	0	0
Pennsboro--Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	550	0	0	0
Perrysburg- wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	300	0	0	0
Philadelphia--Watershed water quality improvements	0	165	300	0	300
Pipe Replacement Project, Hammond, LA	0	500	500	0	500
Placerville--Wastewater treatment plant upgrade (Hangtown Creek)	0	1,500	0	0	0

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Polk County—SW FL Water Management District Lake Peace River & Myakka River watershed & drinking water improvements	0	1,000	0	0	0
Pueblo/Otero/Bent/Prowers/Crowley Counties-Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	675	0	0	0
Regional Water Main Corrosion Mitigation Project for Ottawa County, OH	0	400	400	0	400
Regional Water Treatment Facility, Riverdale, ND	0	250	250	0	250
Regional Water Treatment Facility, Washburn, ND	0	750	750	0	750
Remediation of Section 16 Land Lagoon for the Rankin County Board of Supervisors in Rankin County, MS	0	100	100	0	100
Replacement of Regional Wastewater Plant, Moorefield, WV	0	3,300	3,300	0	3,300
Replacement of Water Treatment Facility, Sun Prairie, WI	0	200	200	0	200
Richton Park—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	190	0	0	0
Ridgeland Wastewater Treatment Plant Project, SC	0	200	200	0	200
Ridgewood—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	700	0	0	0
Rochester--Reservoir cover	0	1,000	0	0	0
Rogers—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	750	0	0	0
Rye--Sewer pump station repairs	0	200	0	0	0

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Safford—Wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	1,000	0	0	0
Salt Lake City--Water infrastructure improvements	0	700	0	0	0
Sandy 9400 South Storm Drain Improvements Project, UT	0	500	500	0	500
Sanitary Sewer Overflow Prevention Project for the City of Austin, TX	0	400	400	0	400
Scottsboro—Water infrastructure improvements	0	242	0	0	0
SE TN Development District	0	0	0	0	0
Sedan—Water infrastructure improvements.	0	1,000	0	0	0
Sewage Infrastructure Program, Macomb, County, MI	0	300	300	0	300
Sewer and Wastewater Collection Improvements for the City of LaPorte, IN	0	500	500	0	500
Sewer and Water Infrastructure Project, Puyallup, WA	0	0	400	0	400
Sewer Extension Program, Rockland County, NY	0	300	300	0	300
Sewer Infrastructure Program, Baltimore, MD	0	1,000	1,000	0	1,000
Sewer Infrastructure Program, Cumberland, MD	0	350	350	0	350
Sewer Infrastructure Program, Frostburg, MD	0	500	500	0	500

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Sewer Infrastructure Program, Narragansett Bay Comm., RI	0	500	500	0	500
Sewer Infrastructure Program, Saginaw, MI	0	400	400	0	400
Sewer Infrastructure Program, Westernport, mD	0	500	500	0	500
Sewer Infrastructure Project for the City of Pelahatchie, MS	0	500	500	0	500
Sewer Line Improvements, Lake Placid, NY	0	300	300	0	300
Sewer Rehabilitation Program, New Castle County, DE	0	200	200	0	200
Sewer Replacement Program, Lowville, NY	0	300	300	0	300
Sewer System Enhancements for the City of Brewton, AL	0	500	500	0	500
Sewer System Improvements Project for Adair Village, OR	0	200	200	0	200
Sewer System Project, New Hyde, Park, NY	0	300	300	0	300
Sewer System Rehabilitation Project for the City of Atlanta, GA	0	500	500	0	500
Sewer System Upgrades, Clark County, NV	0	375	375	0	375
Sewer Upgrade Project, Davenport, IA	0	500	500	0	500
Sewer Upgrade Project, Ottumwa, IA	0	400	400	0	400

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Sewer Upgrade Project, Sacramento, CA	0	500	500	0	500
Sewer Upgrades, Bristol County, MA	0	350	350	0	350
Sewer Upgrades, Village of Park Forest, IL	0	300	300	0	300
Somerset--Interconnection water project	0	2,000	0	0	0
Somersworth--Wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	700	0	0	0
South Bend--Sewer overflow sensory control network	0	1,000	0	0	0
South Sioux City--Sanitary sewer crossing between Nebraska & Iowa	0	1,000	450	0	450
South Topeka Water and Sewer Infrastructure Project, KS	0	500	500	0	500
Southeast Area Regional Expansion, ND	0	300	300	0	300
Southern Ohio Diversification Initiative and Wastewater Treatment Facility Project, OH	0	500	500	0	500
Spooner--Wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	1,238	0	0	0
Spring-Fishburne Storm water Drainage Project for Charleston, SC	0	200	200	0	200
St. Anthony Wastewater System Improvement Project, ID	0	563	563	0	563
St. Johns River Water Management District Brevard/Orange/Osceola Counties-- Expansion of Taylor Creek Reservoir	0	1,000	0	0	0

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Stanly County--Water infrastructure improvements	0	500	0	0	0
Steward--Wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	300	0	0	0
Stoddard County Wastewater Improvements Project, MO	0	275	275	0	275
Storm water Infrastructure Project for the City of Portland, OR	0	300	300	0	300
Storm water Infrastructure Project for the City of Sheridan, WY	0	500	500	0	500
Storm water Mitigation Project, Mill River, CT	0	400	400	0	400
Storm water Mitigation Project, Village of Olympia Fields, IL	0	300	300	0	300
Storm water Project for Lanana Creek, TX	0	500	500	0	500
Sublette--Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	340	0	0	0
Sylva--Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	574	0	0	0
Tate County Independence--Water infrastructure improvements	0	825	0	0	0
Twin Falls--Wastewater treatment	0	1,000	0	0	0
Valdosta--Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	500	0	0	0
Valley Utilities Project for Bernalillo County, NM	0	1,000	1,000	0	1,000

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)**

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Village of Wellington—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	700	0	0	0
Virginia—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	1,000	0	0	0
Wadesville—Wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	1,000	0	0	0
Warsaw--Water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	400	0	0	0
Waste, Sewer, and Infrastructure Project for Lampton in Marion County, MS	0	150	150	0	150
Waste, Sewer, and Infrastructure Project for Prentiss County-Wheeler Community, MS	0	750	750	0	750
Wast, Sewer, and Infrastructure Project for the City of Crystal Springs, MS	0	250	250	0	250
Waste, Sewer, and Infrastructure Project for the City of Flora, MS	0	2,000	2,000	0	2,000
Waste, Sewer, and Infrastructure Project for the City of Greenville, MS	0	500	500	0	500
Waste, Sewer, and Infrastructure Project for the City of Jackson, MS	0	500	500	0	500
Waste, Sewer, and Infrastructure Project for the City of Magnolia, MS	0	150	150	0	150
Waste, Sewer, and Infrastructure Project for the City of Oxford, MS	0	500	500	0	500
Waste, Sewer, and Infrastructure Project for the City of Pearl, MS	0	200	200	0	200
Waste, Sewer, and Infrastructure Project for the City of Picayune, MS	0	250	250	0	250

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Waste, Sewer, and Infrastructure Project for the City of Ridgeland, MS	0	500	500	0	500
Waste, Sewer, and Infrastructure Project for the City of Waynesboro, MS	0	200	200	0	200
Waste, Sewer, and Infrastructure Project for the City of Flowood, MS	0	300	300	0	300
Waste, Sewer, and Infrastructure Project for the Fulton, MS	0	700	700	0	700
Waste, Sewer, and Infrastructure Project for the Town of Boyle, MS	0	350	350	0	350
Waste, Sewer, and Infrastructure Project for the West Rankin Utility Authority, MS	0	500	500	0	500
Wastewater and Drinking Water Project for Idaho Springs, CO	0	800	800	0	800
Wastewater Collection System Improvements for the City of Belen, NM	0	1,000	1,000	0	1,000
Wastewater Collection System, NW Arkansas Conserv. Auth., AR	0	600	600	0	600
Wastewater Facilities Upgrades, Grand Rapids, MN	0	300	300	0	300
Wastewater Facility Improvements for the Town of Philipsburg, MT	0	750	750	0	750
Wastewater Improvement, Reno, NV	0	375	375	0	375
Wastewater Improvements Project for Centerville, IN	0	500	500	0	500
Wastewater Infrastructure Program, Genesee County, MI	0	400	400	0	400

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Wastewater Infrastructure Project, Passaic Valley Sewage Comm, NJ	0	300	300	0	300
Wastewater Infrastructure Project, Rahway Valley Sewage Auth., NJ	0	400	400	0	400
Wastewater Infrastructure, Lake Whatcom, WA	0	0	400	0	400
Wastewater Plant Construction Project for the Village of Ruidoso, NM	0	500	500	0	500
Wastewater Plant Improvements, Hardwick, VT	0	500	500	0	500
Wastewater Plant Improvements, Powell, VT	0	500	500	0	500
Wastewater System Improvement Project for the Town of Greenfield, NH	0	400	400	0	400
Wastewater System Improvements for the Town of Fairfield, MT	0	5,000	5,000	0	5,000
Wastewater System Improvements for the Town of Winifred, MT	0	500	500	0	500
Wastewater System Improvements, Fayetteville, AR	0	600	600	0	600
Wastewater System Project for the City of Coburg, OR	0	300	300	0	300
Wastewater System Upgrades, City of Bozeman, MT	0	400	400	0	400
Wastewater System Upgrades, City of Hobbs, NM	0	400	400	0	400
Wastewater System Upgrades, Village of Owego, NY	0	375	375	0	375

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Wastewater Treatment Facility Project for Jaffrey, NH	0	375	375	0	375
Wastewater Treatment Facility, Columbus, IN	0	250	250	0	250
Wastewater Treatment Facility, Plainville, CT	0	400	400	0	400
Wastewater Treatment Improvements, Warden, WA	0	0	600	0	600
Wastewater Treatment Plant Construction, Wilmar, MN	0	300	300	0	300
Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion in Bullhead City, AZ	0	1,000	1,000	0	1,000
Wastewater Treatment Plant Project, Bastrop, LA	0	600	600	0	600
Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades, Lincoln, NE	0	450	450	0	450
Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades, Monmouth, IL	0	400	400	0	400
Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades, Red Cliff, CO	0	400	400	0	400
Wastewater Treatment Plant, Escambia County, FL	0	450	450	0	450
Wastewater Treatment Project for Dauphin Borough, PA	0	400	400	0	400
Wastewater Treatment project for Franklin Township, PA	0	300	300	0	300
Wastewater Treatment Project for Presque Isle, ME	0	700	700	0	700

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Wastewater Treatment Project for the city of Calais, ME	0	300	300	0	300
Wastewater Treatment Project for the City of Scranton Sewer Authority, PA	0	300	300	0	300
Wastewater Treatment Project for the Town of Hudson, WY	0	500	500	0	500
Wastewater Treatment Project, Rock Island, WA	0	0	600	0	600
Water and Sewer Improvement Project for Kodiak, AK	0	0	500	0	500
Water and Sewer Infrastructure Project for Lake Murray, OK	0	500	500	0	500
Water and Sewer Infrastructure Project for the City of Brookhaven, MS	0	500	500	0	500
Water and Sewer Infrastructure Project for the City of Prescott, KS	0	335	335	0	335
Water and Sewer Line Replacement, Riverdale, IL	0	400	400	0	400
Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements for the Town of Twin Bridges, MT	0	300	300	0	300
Water Commission Water Main Rehabilitation for the City of Lincoln, RI	0	800	800	0	800
Water Improvements Project for Centerfield, UT	0	1,500	1,500	0	1,500
Water Infrastructure Improvements for the City of Troy, MT	0	400	400	0	400
Water Infrastructure, Devils Lake, ND	0	3,000	3,000	0	3,000

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Water Line and Wastewater Facility, Lakota, ND	0	350	350	0	350
Water Main Infrastructure Project for the City of Parma, OH	0	500	500	0	500
Water Supply Project, East Palo Alto, CA	0	400	400	0	400
Water Supply Project, St. Louis, MI	0	400	400	0	400
Water System Construction and Improvements Project for the Town of Bynum, MT	0	400	400	0	400
Water System Improvements for the Town of Neihart, MT	0	50	50	0	50
Water System Improvements, Crow Tribe, MT	0	400	400	0	400
Water System Infrastructure Improvements for the City of Belgrade, MT	0	750	750	0	750
Water System infrastructure Improvements for the City of Helena	0	1,000	1,000	0	1,000
Water System Project, Parsippany Troy-Hills, NJ	0	300	300	0	300
Water System Project, Waukesha, WI	0	750	750	0	750
Water System Upgrade Project for Lancaster, NH	0	225	225	0	225
Water System Upgrades Santa Ana, CA	0	500	500	0	500
Water System Upgrades, Chelan County, WA	0	0	600	0	600

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Water System Upgrades, Corning, NY	0	300	300	0	300
Water System Upgrades, Crosby, ND	0	300	300	0	300
Water System Upgrades, East Hampton, CT	0	400	400	0	400
Water System Upgrades, Walsh, ND	0	500	500	0	500
Water Tank Replacement Project for the City of Warwick, RI	0	200	200	0	200
Water Treatment Facility Improvements for the City of Billings, MT	0	500	500	0	500
Water Treatment Plant Expansion Project for the City of Center, TX	0	500	500	0	500
Water Treatment System, St. Claire Shores, MI	0	300	300	0	300
Waterford--Evergreen/Farmington sanitary sewer overflow control	0	1,000	0	0	0
Wayne County--Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	1,000	0	0	0
Wellhead Program, Southington, CT	0	400	400	0	400
Wellhead Treatment, Inland Empire of Perchlorate Taskforce, San Bernardino, CA	0	1,000	1,000	0	1,000
West Palm Beach--Drinking water algae control	0	1,000	0	0	0
West Springfield--Combined sewer overflow cleanup	0	1,500	0	0	0

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Weston--Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	250	0	0	0
Westover--Sanitary sewer service extension	0	825	0	0	0
Wewoka- Water Tower	0	165	0	0	0
Woodruff Citywide Sewer Project, SC	0	100	100	0	100
Yucca Valley--Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	0	350	0	0	0
Subtotal; State and Tribal Assistance Grants (excluding categorical grants)	1,708,264	176,500	195,637	0	1,903,901

Categorical Grants H. 138, S.87

[HOUSE] The Committee recommends a net increase of \$33,400,000 for categorical grants, including increases of \$10,300,000 for nonpoint source (Sec.319), \$35,100,000 for State and local air quality management and \$9,000,000 for targeted watersheds, and decreases of \$20,000,000 for implementing underground storage tank requirements in the Energy Policy Act of 2005, and \$1,000,00 for wetlands program development.

[SENATE] The Committee recommends an increase of \$7,277,000 for categorical grants; including a decrease of \$1,401,000 for hazardous waste financial assistance; and an increase of \$6,000,000 for nonpoint source (sec.319) grants; a decrease of \$3,000,000 for other activities in pollution control (sec. 106) grants; an increase of \$15,000,000 for State and local air quality management grants; an increase of \$9,678,000 for targeted watersheds; a decrease of \$20,000,000 for underground storage tanks; and an increase of \$1,000,000 for wastewater operator training. Further, the Committee directs the \$9,678,000 increase for targeted watersheds for a regional program for the Chesapeake Bay.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Categorical Grant: Beaches Protection	9,900	0	0	0	9,900
Categorical Grant: Brownfield's	49,495	0	0	0	49,495
Categorical Grant: Environmental Information	14,850	0	0	0	14,850
Categorical Grant: Hazardous Waste Financial Assistance	103,345	0	(1,401)	0	101,944
Categorical Grant: Homeland Security	4,950	0	0	0	4,950
Categorical Grant: Lead	13,563	0	0	0	13,563
Categorical Grant: Nonpoint Source (Sec 319)	194,040	10,300	6,000	0	200,040
Categorical Grant: Pesticides enforcement	18,711	0	0	0	18,711
Categorical Grant: Pesticides Program implementation	12,969	0	0	0	12,969
Categorical Grant: Pollution Control (Sec. 106) Water Quality Monitoring	18,500	0	0	0	18,500
Pollution Control (Sec. 106) (other activities)	203,161	0	(3,000)	0	200,161
Subtotal Categorical Grant: Pollution control (Sec. 106)	221,661	0	(3,000)	0	218,661
Categorical Grant: Pollution Prevention	5,940	0	0	0	5,940
Categorical Grant: Public Water System Supervision (PWSS)	99,099	0	0	0	99,099

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Categorical Grant: Radon	8,074	0	0	0	8,074
Categorical Grant: Sector Program	2,227	0	0	0	2,227
Categorical Grant: State and local air quality management	185,180	35,100	15,000	0	200,180
Categorical Grant: Targeted Watersheds	6,930	9,000	9,678	0	16,608
Categorical Grant: Toxics substance compliance	5,098	0	0	0	5,098
Categorical Grant: Tribal air quality management	10,940	0	0	0	10,940
Categorical Grant: Tribal general assistance program	56,925	0	0	0	56,925
Categorical Grant: Underground injection control (UIC)	10,890	0	0	0	10,890
Categorical Grant: Underground storage tanks	37,567	(20,000)	(20,000)	0	17,567
Categorical Grant: Wastewater operator training	0	0	1,000	0	1,000
Categorical Grant: Water Quality Cooperative	0	15,830	0	0	0
Categorical Grant: Wetlands Program Development	16,830	(1,000)	0	0	16,830
Subtotal, Categorical Grants	1,089,184	49,230	7,277	0	1,096,461
Subtotal State and Tribal Assistance Grants	2,797,448	225,730	202,914	0	3,000,362

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SUMMARY OF FY 2007 BILL AND REPORT LANGUAGE BY APPROPRIATION
(dollars in thousands)

	President's Request	House Action vs. Request	Senate Action vs. Request	Conference vs. Request	Final Amount
Rescission of expired contracts, grants, and interagency agreements (various EPA accounts)	0	0	0	0	0
Total, State and Tribal Assistance Grants	2,797,448	225,730	202,914	0	3,000,362

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
FY 2007 HOUSE REPORTING REQUIREMENTS**

REPORT

**REPORT
REFERENCE**

DUE DATE

OFFICE OF ENFORCEMENT AND COMPLIANCE ASSURANCE

Environmental Council of the States: The Committee is pleased that EPA is working with the Environmental Council of the States to assess the actual costs incurred by States to implement environmental regulations and then compare those costs with EPA estimates. The EPA should provide periodic briefings to the Committee on this effort.

**H. Rpt. 109-465
p. 138** **Periodically**

OFFICE OF POLLUTION PREVENTION AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

High-Density Polyethylene Pesticide Containers: The Committee commends the Agriculture Container Recycling Council for its development of a voluntary program for recycling agricultural and professional specialty pesticides containers. This program has facilitated the proper disposal of millions of plastic pesticide containers at no cost to farmers. The EPA has been developing regulations on this recycling and, to ensure the program remains a viable, free service that is available to agricultural producers, the Committee expects EPA to issue a final rulemaking on the recycling of high density polyethylene pesticide containers within 60 days of enactment of this Act.

**H. Rpt. 109-465
p. 113** **Within 60 days
of enactment of
this Act.**

OFFICE OF WATER

STAG Technical Corrections: The EPA should report to the Committee at least once a year and within 30 days of the close of each fiscal year with a list of the technical corrections it has made to STAG special project infrastructure grants during that fiscal year.

**H. Rpt. 109-465
p. 138** **Within 30 days
of the close of
each fiscal year**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
FY 2007 SENATE REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.**

REPORT

**REPORT
REFERENCE**

DUE DATE

OFFICE OF POLLUTION PREVENTION AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

The Committee is aware of the work being done on pesticide harmonization with Canada, especially in the area of joint labeling of identical or substantially similar products. Not less than 90 days after enactment of this act, the Committee directs the agency to provide a progress report on harmonization and joint labeling efforts. This report shall include any statutory or regulatory barriers to completing harmonization, and any actions EPA is undertaking to create a labeling system that allows identical products sold on both sides of the border to carry a joint label.

**S. Rpt. 109-275,
p. 67**

**Not less than 90
days after
enactment of
this Act**

OFFICE OF WATER

Chesapeake Bay Program: The Committee directs EPA to immediately implement all of the Government Accountability Office's [GAO] October 2005. recommendations to the Chesapeake Bay Program [CBP]. The Committee further directs EPA to develop a Chesapeake Bay action plan to maximize pollution reduction in the Chesapeake 2000 agreement. This plan must: (1) clearly articulate strategic targets the CBP expects to achieve in each year through 2010; (2) describe the actual activities the CBP will implement in each year to achieve these annual targets; and (3) identify the amount and source of funding that will be used to accomplish each of these activities. The Committee directs EPA to submit an annual performance assessment of progress made on this action plan.

**S. Rpt. 109-275
p. 67**

**Annual –
Action Plan**

Tributary Report Card: The Committee further directs EPA to publish and widely circulate within 6 months of the date of enactment of this act and annually thereafter a "tributary report card" to evaluate progress made in achieving and maintaining nutrient and sediment reduction

REPORT

**REPORT
REFERENCE**

DUE DATE

goals for each major tributary of the Chesapeake Bay and each separable segment of such a tributary. The report card should include a list of the primary sources of pollution and actions being taken to remediate those sources. Finally, EPA shall ensure that effluent limits for any point source shall be consistent with the assumptions identified in the tributary strategies.

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
FY 2007 HOUSE CONGRESSIONAL DIRECTIVES**

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION AND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

Staffing Analysis in Regional Offices: The Committee continues to believe that the EPA needs to do a better job of using limited staff resources and commends the EPA for initiating a workforce assessment. A thorough analysis of staffing in the Regional Offices should be conducted and staffing and funding should be realigned as indicated by that analysis. In addition, those States that are doing a good job of running their programs do not need as much oversight as States that have problems with program implementation. EPA should consider differential oversight and focus its limited resources on States that have problems while providing somewhat less oversight to States with adequate programs and minimal oversight to States with excellent programs. The State oversight analysis should be done on a program by program basis. **H. Rpt. 109-465
p. 93**

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

Global Climate Change Study: There are a number of environmental changes associated with global climate change that may have a direct impact on human health. Much of the country may experience more serious air pollution, increased number of heat waves, and the emergence of more pest borne diseases. Certain vulnerable populations will suffer the most, in particular the very young and the very old. In order to better understand and plan for these problems, the EPA should contract with the National Academies of Science to conduct a study of the potential health impacts of global climate change on the U.S. population at large, and especially on the young, the elderly, those with respiratory diseases, and those in communities situated in areas particularly vulnerable to pollution and other environmental problems including minority communities. The study should also review and make recommendations on areas that the United States needs to improve medical preparedness and response capabilities and public health systems to deal with the health impacts associated with increased pollution and other environmental changes. The Committee expects the EPA to provide \$1 million with available funds to support this study in fiscal year 2007. **H. Rpt. 109-465
p. 113**

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

Budget Justification: The Committee expects the EPA to continue to prepare its budget justification in the order specified in the table **H. Rpt. 109-455
p. 92-93**

accompanying this report. There should be an explanation of every program/project, including those proposed for elimination. Each program/project should provide details and funding for each program and project element funded in the current year and the proposed funding for each element in the budget year along with an explanation of any increase or decrease in funding and any change in emphasis.

Program Reductions - Impacts: The Committee was extremely disappointed with some of the EPA responses to Committee hearing questions for the record. EPA, in several instances, reported that it could not explain the impact on programs and projects of proposed reductions because the allocation of funds was yet to be determined. EPA should not propose program reductions if it cannot clearly identify the impact of those reductions on programs and projects. These details should be included in the Congressional budget justification.

H. Rpt. 109-465
p. 93

Fixed Cost Increases: The Committee has included the fixed cost increases proposed in the budget request for EPA. Any additional fixed cost increases not included in the appropriation for fiscal year 2007 should be absorbed through FTE reductions, which should be achieved through attrition.

H. Rpt. 109-465
p. 93

Workforce Assessment: The Committee continues to believe that the EPA needs to do a better job of using limited staff resources and commends the EPA for initiating a workforce assessment. A thorough analysis of staffing in the Regional Offices should be conducted and staffing and funding should be realigned as indicated by that analysis. In addition, those States that are doing a good job of running their programs do not need as much oversight as States that have problems with program implementation. EPA should consider differential oversight and focus its limited resources on States that have problems while providing somewhat less oversight to States with adequate programs and minimal oversight to States with excellent programs. The State oversight analysis should be done on a program by program basis.

H. Rpt. 109-465
p. 93

OFFICE OF ENFORCEMENT AND COMPLIANCE ASSURANCE

Enforcement and Compliance Activities: EPA and the States focus on the number of environmental pollution permits issued, the number of environmental standards established, the number of facilities inspected, and other such outputs of performance. These measures can provide important information for EPA and State managers but they do not measure the actual environmental outcomes that must be known to ensure that resources are being allocated in the most cost effective ways to improve environmental conditions and public health. Further, the Government Accountability Office, EPA's Office of Inspector General, and the National Academy of Public Administration have identified ways

H. Rpt 109-465
p. 93

that EPA can achieve its goals more efficiently and effectively by moving from traditional and intensive enforcement and compliance activities to more cost effective, efficient, and results oriented approaches. The Committee expects EPA to make substantive changes in these areas in 2007 and to include a description of those changes in the 2008 budget justification.

OFFICE OF WATER

WaterSentinel Pilot Project: The funding recommended for the WaterSentinel program includes sufficient monies for one additional pilot project. EPA should ensure that this additional pilot project is located in a metropolitan area which is highly vulnerable from a homeland security threat perspective and which has funding support from the local council of governments. **H. Rpt. 109-465 p. 101**

WaterSentinel Funding: Any future WaterSentinel funding should be requested through the Department of Homeland Security and EPA should coordinate with the Office of Management and Budget to ensure this happens beginning in fiscal year 2008. While the Committee agrees that EPA's expertise is important for program success and that it had a critical role to play in establishing the program, the Committee also believes strongly that continuing program funding must be provided through the Department of Homeland Security. **H. Rpt. 109-465 p. 101**

Chesapeake Bay Program: No funds are provided in the Chesapeake Bay program for a pilot project in the Corsica River. The Committee encourages EPA to use the increase recommended by the Committee for competitive grants for community-based efforts and to award grants based upon their contribution to pounds of nitrogen, phosphorous, and sediment removed. The Committee also expects the Chesapeake Bay program to provide facilitator training to its staff and to use a facilitator at each of its committee, subcommittee, and task group meetings to ensure that those meetings and the subsequent actions taken achieve results. This also could be achieved through the use of trained facilitators associated with State and other partner organizations. **H. Rpt. 109-465 p. 112**

Public-Private Partnerships: EPA should encourage local governments and communities to pursue innovative public-private partnerships, such as the Adopt-A-Waterway program, which, at no additional cost to taxpayers, help to implement storm water pollution prevention activities, curb urban runoff, and improve water quality. Further, EPA should work with the States to enter into public-private partnerships, such as Adopt-A-Waterway, to fulfill their public education and outreach responsibilities. **H. Rpt. 109-465 p. 112-113**

OFFICE OF SOLID WASTE AND EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Energy Policy Act of 2005 – UST Funds: The Committee has not provided categorical grant funding for the underground storage tank program authorized in the Energy Policy Act of 2005. This important National issue is a high priority for the legislative committee of jurisdiction and for the Congress as a whole. The Committee suggests that EPA use the funds that will be freed up in 2008 from the financial information system replacement effort to fund this activity in the 2008 budget request.

**H. Rpt. 109-465
p. 139**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
FY 2007 SENATE CONGRESSIONAL DIRECTIVES**

OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR (HOMELAND SECURITY)

WaterSentinel: The Committee is concerned with the Agency's implementation of the WaterSentinel program. The threat of bioterrorism remains real and immediate. Thus, the Committee has provided \$10,000,000 for an additional pilot project. EPA should ensure that this additional pilot project demonstrates the best available realtime early warning system technology to monitor and protect the drinking water supplies of a high threat area serving multiple risk targets of national significance.

**S. Rpt. 109-275
p. 58**

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

Reprogramming. 1. *Definition.*—"Reprogramming," as defined in these procedures, includes the reallocation of funds from one budget activity to another. In cases where either the House or Senate Committee report displays an allocation of an appropriation below the activity level, that more detailed level shall be the basis for reprogramming. For construction accounts, a reprogramming constitutes the reallocation of funds from one construction project (identified in the justification or Committee report) to another. A reprogramming shall also consist of any significant departure from the program described in the agency's budget justifications. This includes proposed reorganizations even without a change in funding.

2. *Guidelines for Reprogramming.*—(a) A reprogramming should be made only when an unforeseen situation arises; and then only if postponement of the project or the activity until the next appropriation year would result in actual loss or damage. Mere convenience or desire should not be factors for consideration.

(b) Any project or activity, which may be deferred through reprogramming, shall not later be accomplished by means of further reprogramming; but, instead, funds should again be sought for the deferred project or activity through the regular appropriations process.

(c) Reprogramming should not be employed to initiate new programs or to change allocations specifically denied, limited or increased by the Congress in the act or the report. In cases where unforeseen events or conditions are deemed to require changes, proposals shall be submitted in advance to the Committee, regardless of amounts involved, and be fully explained and justified.

(d) Reprogramming proposals submitted to the Committee for approval shall be considered approved 30 calendar days after receipt if the Committee has posed no objection. However, agencies will be

**S. Rpt. 109-275
p. 6-8**

expected to extend the approval deadline if specifically requested by either Committee.

(e) Proposed changes to estimated working capital fund bills and estimated overhead charges, deductions, reserves or holdbacks, as such estimates were presented in annual budget justifications, shall be submitted through the reprogramming process.

3. *Criteria and Exceptions.*—Any proposed reprogramming must be submitted to the Committee in writing prior to implementation if it exceeds \$500,000 annually or results in an increase or decrease of more than 10 percent annually in affected programs, with the following exceptions:

(a) With regard to the tribal priority allocations activity of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, Operations of Indian Programs account, there is no restriction on reprogrammings among the programs within this activity. However, the Bureau shall report on all reprogrammings made during the first 6 months of the fiscal year by no later than May 1 of each year, and shall provide a final report of all reprogrammings for the previous fiscal year by no later than November 1 of each year.

(b) With regard to the Environmental Protection Agency, State and Tribal Assistance Grants account, reprogramming requests associated with States and Tribes applying for partnership grants do not need to be submitted to the Committee for approval should such grants exceed the normal reprogramming limitations. In addition, the Agency need not submit a request to move funds between wastewater and drinking water objectives for those grants targeted to specific communities.

4. *Quarterly Reports.*—(a) All reprogrammings shall be reported to the Committee quarterly and shall include cumulative totals.

(b) Any significant shifts of funding among object classifications also should be reported to the Committee.

5. *Administrative Overhead Accounts.*—For all appropriations where costs of overhead administrative expenses are funded in part from “assessments” of various budget activities within an appropriation, the assessments shall be shown in justifications under the discussion of administrative expenses.

6. *Contingency Accounts.*—For all appropriations where assessments are made against various budget activities or allocations for contingencies the Committee expects a full explanation, as part of the budget justification, consistent with section 405 of this act. The assessed, and the purpose of the fund. The Committee expects reports each year detailing the use of these funds. In no case shall a fund be used to finance projects and activities disapproved or limited by Congress or to finance new permanent positions or to finance programs or activities that could be foreseen and included in the normal budget review process. Contingency funds shall not be used to initiate new programs.

7. *Report Language.*—Any limitation, directive, or earmarking

contained in either the House or Senate report which is not contradicted by the other report nor specifically denied in the conference report shall be considered as having been approved by both Houses of Congress.

8. *Assessments.*—No assessments shall be levied against any program, budget activity, subactivity, or project funded by the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act unless such assessments and the basis therefore are presented to the Committees on Appropriations and are approved by such Committees, in compliance with these procedures.

9. *Land Acquisitions and Forest Legacy.*—Lands shall not be acquired for more than the approved appraised value (as addressed in section 301(3) of Public Law 91-646) except for condemnations and declarations of taking, unless such acquisitions are submitted to the Committees on Appropriations for approval in compliance with these procedures.

10. *Land Exchanges.*—Land exchanges, wherein the estimated value of the Federal lands to be exchanged is greater than \$500,000, shall not be consummated until the Committees on Appropriations have had a 30-day period in which to examine the proposed exchange.

11. *Appropriations Structure.*—The appropriation structure for any agency shall not be altered without advance approval of the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

12. *Other.*—Appropriations for fiscal year 2006 reflect a 1 percent across-the-board rescission contained in section 3801 of chapter 8 of title III of division B of Public Law 109-148 and a .476 percent across-the-board rescission on section 439 of Public Law 109-54.

OFFICE OF PREVENTION, PESTICIDES, AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

Pesticide Registration Improvement Act (PRIA): The Committee is aware that provisions of the Pesticide Registration Improvement Act [PRIA] remain in effect until 2008 and, therefore, discourages EPA from diverting limited resources and staff for the development of pesticide tolerance or any other fees which cannot be collected while PRIA is in effect. **S. Rpt. 109-275 p. 67**

OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

Clean Energy Technology Exports Initiative: The Committee expects EPA to continue to participate in the nine agency Clean Energy Technology Exports Initiative. **S. Rpt. 109-275 p. 67**

OFFICE OF WATER

Chesapeake Bay Program: The Committee directs EPA to immediately implement all of the Government Accountability Office's [GAO] October 2005. recommendations to the Chesapeake Bay Program [CBP]. The Committee further directs EPA to develop a Chesapeake Bay action plan to maximize pollution reduction in the Chesapeake 2000 agreement. This plan must: (1) clearly articulate strategic targets the CBP expects to achieve in each year through 2010; (2) describe the actual activities the CBP will implement in each year to achieve these annual targets; and (3) identify the amount and source of funding that will be used to accomplish each of these activities. The Committee directs EPA to submit an annual performance assessment of progress made on this action plan. The Committee further directs EPA to publish and widely circulate within 6 months of the date of enactment of this act and annually thereafter a "tributary report card" to evaluate progress made in achieving and maintaining nutrient and sediment reduction goals for each major tributary of the Chesapeake Bay and each separable segment of such a tributary. The report card should include a list of the primary sources of pollution and actions being taken to remediate those sources. Finally, EPA shall ensure that effluent limits for any point source shall be consistent with the assumptions identified in the tributary strategies.

**S. Rpt. 109-275
p. 67 - 68**

OFFICE OF SOLID WASTE AND EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Arsenic Trioxide Site in Southeast North Dakota:

The Committee is concerned that EPA may be planning to use Point of Use [POU] Treatment alternatives for rural water customers within the Arsenic Trioxide Site in Southeast North Dakota. The Committee directs the Agency to evaluate and reconcile any plan to use such devices with the EPA's 1986 Record of Decision for the Site, which found "that these alternatives would not effectively prevent, mitigate, or minimize threats to and provide protection of public health, welfare, and the environment." Further, the Committee expects the Agency not to consider a POU alternative and to remain consistent with the protection of public health by exercising the highly successful remedy alternative of Rural Water Treatment Systems.

**S. Rpt. 109-275
p. 76**

Record of Decision Libby, Montana: Despite years of remediation efforts, the Committee remains concerned that EPA has not yet issued a Record of Decision [ROD] for Libby, Montana. The Committee directs the Agency to work with the community to develop an acceptable timeline for completion of the project. It is of paramount importance that EPA allow for extensive input by the community at all phases of the process to determine the priorities of the community. Also, local businesses should be given every

**S. Rpt. 109-275
p. 76**

consideration in the Agency's contracting process. Finally, the Committee expects EPA to follow proper scientific procedures during the remediation process to ensure the safety of the community.

One Hundred Tenth Congress
of the
United States of America

AT THE FIRST SESSION

*Begun and held at the City of Washington on Thursday,
the fourth day of January, two thousand and seven*

Joint Resolution

Making further continuing appropriations for the fiscal year 2007, and for other purposes.

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That this joint resolution may be cited as the "Revised Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2007".

SEC. 2. The Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2007 (Public Law 109-289, division B), as amended by Public Laws 109-369 and 109-383, is amended to read as follows:

"DIVISION B—CONTINUING APPROPRIATIONS RESOLUTION,
2007

"The following sums are hereby appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, and out of applicable corporate or other revenues, receipts, and funds, for the several departments, agencies, corporations, and other organizational units of Government for fiscal year 2007, and for other purposes, namely:

"TITLE I—FULL-YEAR CONTINUING APPROPRIATIONS

"SEC. 101. (a) Such amounts as may be necessary, at the level specified in subsection (c) and under the authority and conditions provided in the applicable appropriations Act for fiscal year 2006, for projects or activities (including the costs of direct loans and loan guarantees) that are not otherwise provided for and for which appropriations, funds, or other authority were made available in the following appropriations Acts:

"(1) The Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2006.

"(2) The Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2006.

"(3) The Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2006.

"(4) The Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2006.

"(5) The Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2006.

"(6) The Legislative Branch Appropriations Act, 2006.

"(7) The Military Quality of Life and Veterans Affairs Appropriations Act, 2006.

"(8) The Science, State, Justice, Commerce, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2006.

H. J. Res. 20—2

“(9) The Transportation, Treasury, Housing and Urban Development, the Judiciary, the District of Columbia, and Independent Agencies Appropriations Act, 2006.

“(b) For purposes of this division, the term ‘level’ means an amount.

“(c) The level referred to in subsection (a) shall be the amounts appropriated in the appropriations Acts referred to in such subsection, including transfers and obligation limitations, except that—

“(1) such level shall not include any amount designated as an emergency requirement, or to be for overseas contingency operations, pursuant to section 402 of H. Con. Res. 95 (109th Congress), the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2006; and

“(2) such level shall be calculated without regard to any rescission or cancellation of funds or contract authority, other than—

“(A) the 1 percent government-wide rescission made by section 3801 of division B of Public Law 109-148;

“(B) the 0.476 percent across-the-board rescission made by section 439 of Public Law 109-54, relating to the Department of the Interior, environment, and related agencies; and

“(C) the 0.28 percent across-the-board rescission made by section 638 of Public Law 109-108, relating to Science, State, Justice, Commerce, and related agencies.

“SEC. 102. Appropriations made by section 101 shall be available to the extent and in the manner that would be provided by the pertinent appropriations Act.

“SEC. 103. Appropriations provided by this division that, in the applicable appropriations Act for fiscal year 2006, carried a multiple-year or no-year period of availability shall retain a comparable period of availability.

“SEC. 104. Except as otherwise expressly provided in this division, the requirements, authorities, conditions, limitations, and other provisions of the appropriations Acts referred to in section 101(a) shall continue in effect through the date specified in section 106.

“SEC. 105. No appropriation or funds made available or authority granted pursuant to section 101 shall be used to initiate or resume any project or activity for which appropriations, funds, or other authority were specifically prohibited during fiscal year 2006.

“SEC. 106. Unless otherwise provided for in this division or in the applicable appropriations Act, appropriations and funds made available and authority granted pursuant to this division shall be available through September 30, 2007.

“SEC. 107. Expenditures made pursuant to this division prior to the enactment of the Revised Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2007, shall be charged to the applicable appropriation, fund, or authorization provided by this division (or the applicable regular appropriations Act for fiscal year 2007) as in effect following such enactment.

“SEC. 108. Funds appropriated by this division may be obligated and expended notwithstanding section 10 of Public Law 91-672 (22 U.S.C. 2412), section 15 of the State Department Basic Authorities Act of 1956 (22 U.S.C. 2680), section 313 of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1994 and 1995 (22 U.S.C.

this division: *Provided*, That such report shall include a comparison of amounts, by category of assistance, provided or intended to be provided from funds appropriated for fiscal years 2006 and 2007, for each country and international organization.

"SEC. 20414. The seventh proviso under the heading 'Bilateral Economic Assistance, Funds Appropriated to the President, United States Agency for International Development, Child Survival and Health Programs Fund' of the Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2006 (Public Law 109-102) shall be applied to funds made available under this division by substituting 'The GAVI Fund' for 'The Vaccine Fund'.

"SEC. 20415. Section 501(i) of H.R. 3425, as enacted into law by section 1000(a)(5) of division B of Public Law 106-113 (Appendix E, 113 Stat. 1501A-313), as amended by section 591(b) of division D of Public Law 108-447 (118 Stat. 3037), shall apply to fiscal year 2007.

**"CHAPTER 5—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR,
ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES**

"SEC. 20501. Notwithstanding section 101, the level for each of the following accounts shall be as follows: 'Bureau of Land Management, Management of Lands and Resources', \$862,632,000; 'United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Resource Management', \$1,009,037,000; 'National Park Service, Historic Preservation Fund', \$55,663,000; 'United States Geological Survey, Surveys, Investigations, and Research', \$977,675,000; and 'Environmental Protection Agency, Hazardous Substance Superfund', \$1,251,574,000.

"SEC. 20502. Notwithstanding section 101, the level for 'National Park Service, Operation of the National Park Service', shall be \$1,758,415,000, of which not to exceed \$5,000,000 may be transferred to the United States Park Police.

"SEC. 20503. Notwithstanding section 101, under 'National Park Service, Construction', the designations under Public Law 109-54 of specific amounts and sources of funding for modified water deliveries and the national historic landmark shall not apply.

"SEC. 20504. The contract authority provided for fiscal year 2007 under the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 (16 U.S.C. 4601-10a) is rescinded.

"SEC. 20505. Notwithstanding section 101, the level for 'Bureau of Indian Affairs, Indian Land and Water Claim Settlements and Miscellaneous Payments to Indians', shall be \$42,000,000 for payments required for settlements approved by Congress or a court of competent jurisdiction.

"SEC. 20506. Notwithstanding section 101, the 'Minerals Management Service, Royalty and Offshore Minerals Management' shall credit an amount not to exceed \$128,730,000 under the same terms and conditions of the credit to said account as in Public Law 109-54. To the extent \$128,730,000 in addition to receipts are not realized from sources of receipts stated above, the amount needed to reach \$128,730,000 shall be credited to this appropriation from receipts resulting from rental rates for Outer Continental Shelf leases in effect before August 5, 1993.

"SEC. 20507. Notwithstanding section 101, within the amounts made available under 'Environmental Protection Agency, State and Tribal Assistance Grants', \$1,083,817, 000, shall be for making

capitalization grants for the Clean Water State Revolving Funds under title VI of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended, and no funds shall be available for making special project grants for the construction of drinking water, wastewater, and storm water infrastructure and for water quality protection in accordance with the terms and conditions specified for such grants in the joint explanatory statement of the managers in Conference Report 109-188.

"SEC. 20508. Notwithstanding section 101, for 'Forest Service, State and Private Forestry', the \$1,000,000 specified in the second proviso and the \$1,500,000 specified in the third proviso in Public Law 109-54 are not required.

"SEC. 20509. Notwithstanding section 101, the level for 'Forest Service, National Forest System', shall be \$1,445,646,000, except that the \$5,000,000 specified as an additional regional allocation is not required.

"SEC. 20510. Notwithstanding section 101, the level for 'Forest Service, Wildland Fire Management', shall be \$1,816,091,000 of which the allocation provided for fire suppression operations shall be \$741,477,000; the allocation for hazardous fuels reduction shall be \$298,828,000; and other funding allocations and terms and conditions shall follow Public Law 109-54.

"SEC. 20511. Notwithstanding section 101, of the level for 'Forest Service, Capital Improvement and Maintenance', the \$3,000,000 specified in the third proviso is not required.

"SEC. 20512. Notwithstanding section 101, the level for 'Indian Health Service, Indian Health Services', shall be \$2,817,099,000 and the \$15,000,000 allocation of funding under the eleventh proviso shall not be required.

"SEC. 20513. Notwithstanding section 101, the level for 'Smithsonian Institution, Salaries and Expenses' shall be \$533,218,000, except that current terms and conditions shall not be interpreted to require a specific grant for the Council of American Overseas Research Centers or for the reopening of the Patent Office Building.

"SEC. 20514. Notwithstanding section 101, no additional funding is made available by this division for fiscal year 2007 based on the terms of section 134 and section 437 of Public Law 109-54.

"SEC. 20515. Notwithstanding section 101, the level for 'Bureau of Indian Affairs, Operation of Indian Programs' shall be \$1,984,190,000, of which not less than \$75,477,000 is for post-secondary education programs.

"SEC. 20516. The rule referenced in section 126 of Public Law 109-54 shall continue in effect for the 2006-2007 winter use season.

"SEC. 20517. Section 123 of Public Law 109-54 is amended by striking '9' in the first sentence and inserting '10'.

"SEC. 20518. For fiscal year 2007, the Minerals Management Service may retain 3 percent of the amounts disbursed under section 31(b)(1) of the Coastal Impact Assistance Program, authorized by section 31 of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act, as amended (43 U.S.C. 1456(a)), for administrative costs, to remain available until expended.

"SEC. 20519. Of the funds made available in section 8098(b) of Public Law 108-287, to construct a wildfire management training facility, \$7,400,000 shall be transferred not later than 15 days after the date of the enactment of the Continuing Appropriations

H. J. Res. 20—21

Resolution, 2007, to the "Forest Service, Wildland Fire Management" account and shall be available for hazardous fuels reduction, hazard mitigation, and rehabilitation activities of the Forest Service.

"SEC. 20520. Section 337 of division E of Public Law 108-447 is amended by striking '2006' and inserting '2007'.

"SEC. 20521. No funds appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of the Interior may be used, in relation to any proposal to store water for the purpose of export, for approval of any right-of-way or similar authorization on the Mojave National Preserve or lands managed by the Needles Field Office of the Bureau of Land Management or for carrying out any activities associated with such right-of-way or similar approval.

"CHAPTER 6—DEPARTMENTS OF LABOR, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND EDUCATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES

"SEC. 20601. (a)(1) Notwithstanding section 101, the level for 'Employment and Training Administration, Training and Employment Services' shall be \$2,670,730,000 plus reimbursements.

"(2) Of the amount provided in paragraph (1)—

"(A) \$1,672,810,000 shall be available for obligation for the period July 1, 2007, through June 30, 2008, of which: (i) \$341,811,000 shall be for dislocated worker employment and training activities; (ii) \$70,092,000 shall be for the dislocated workers assistance national reserve; (iii) \$79,752,000 shall be for migrant and seasonal farmworkers, including \$74,302,000 for formula grants, \$4,950,000 for migrant and seasonal housing (of which not less than 70 percent shall be for permanent housing), and \$500,000 for other discretionary purposes; (iv) \$878,538,000 shall be for Job Corps operations; (v) \$14,700,000 shall be for carrying out pilots, demonstrations, and research activities authorized by section 171(d) of the Workforce Investment Act of 1998; (vi) \$49,104,000 shall be for Responsible Reintegration of Youthful Offenders; (vii) \$4,921,000 shall be for Evaluation; and (viii) not less than \$1,000,000 shall be for carrying out the Women in Apprenticeship and Nontraditional Occupations Act (29 U.S.C. 2501 et seq.);

"(B) \$990,000,000 shall be available for obligation for the period April 1, 2007, through June 30, 2008, for youth activities, of which \$49,500,000 shall be available for the Youthbuild Program; and

"(C) \$7,920,000 shall be available for obligation for the period July 1, 2007, through June 30, 2010, for necessary expenses of construction, rehabilitation and acquisition of Job Corps centers.

"(3) The Secretary of Labor shall award the following grants on a competitive basis: (A) Community College Initiative grants or Community-Based Job Training Grants awarded from amounts provided for such purpose under section 109 of this division and under the Department of Labor Appropriations Act, 2006; and (B) grants for job training for employment in high growth industries awarded during fiscal year 2007 under section 414(c) of the American Competitiveness and Workforce Improvement Act of 1998.

"(4) None of the funds made available in this division or any other Act shall be available to finalize or implement any proposed

H. J. Res. 20—22

regulation under the Workforce Investment Act of 1998, Wagner-Peyser Act of 1933, or the Trade Adjustment Assistance Reform Act of 2002 until such time as legislation reauthorizing the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 and the Trade Adjustment Assistance Reform Act of 2002 is enacted.

"(b) Notwithstanding section 101, the level for 'Employment and Training Administration, Program Administration' shall be \$116,702,000 (together with not to exceed \$82,049,000, which may be expended from the Employment Security Administration Account in the Unemployment Trust Fund), of which \$28,578,000 shall be for necessary expenses for the Office of Job Corps.

"(c) None of the funds made available in this division or under the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2006 shall be used to reduce Job Corps total student training slots below 44,491 in program year 2006 or program year 2007.

"(d) Of the funds available under the heading 'Employment and Training Administration, Training and Employment Services' in the Department of Labor Appropriations Act, 2006 for the Responsible Reintegration of Youthful Offenders, \$25,000,000 shall be used for grants to local educational agencies to discourage youth in high-crime urban areas from involvement in violent crime.

"(e) Notwithstanding section 101, the level for 'Employment and Training Administration, Community Service Employment for Older Americans' shall be \$483,611,000.

"(f) Notwithstanding section 101, the level for administrative expenses of 'Employment and Training Administration, State Unemployment Insurance and Employment Service Operations' shall be \$106,252,000 (together with not to exceed \$3,234,098,000, which may be expended from the Employment Security Administration Account in the Unemployment Trust Fund), of which \$63,855,000 shall be available for one-stop career centers and labor market information activities. For purposes of this division, the first proviso under such heading in the Department of Labor Appropriations Act, 2006 shall be applied by substituting '2007' and '2,703,000' for '2006' and '2,800,000', respectively.

"SEC. 20602. Notwithstanding section 101, the level for 'Employee Benefits Security Administration, Salaries and Expenses' shall be \$140,834,000, of which no less than \$5,000,000 shall be for the development of an electronic Form 5500 filing system (EFAST2).

"SEC. 20603. Notwithstanding section 101, the level for 'Employment Standards Administration, Salaries and Expenses' shall be \$416,308,000 (together with \$2,028,000 which may be expended from the Special Fund in accordance with sections 39 (c), 44(d), and 44(j) of the Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act).

"SEC. 20604. Notwithstanding section 101, the level for 'Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Salaries and Expenses' shall be \$485,074,000, of which \$7,500,000 shall be for continued development of the Occupational Safety and Health Information System, and of which \$10,116,000 shall be for the Susan Harwood training grants program. Notwithstanding any other provision of this division, the fifth proviso under such heading in the Department of Labor Appropriations Act, 2006 shall not apply to funds appropriated by this division.

H. J. Res. 20—53

"This division may be cited as the 'Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2007'."

Speaker of the House of Representatives.

*Vice President of the United States and
President of the Senate.*

One Hundred Ninth Congress
of the
United States of America

AT THE SECOND SESSION

*Begun and held at the City of Washington on Tuesday,
the third day of January, two thousand and six*

Joint Resolution

Making further continuing appropriations for the fiscal year 2007, and for other purposes.

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2007 (Public Law 109-289, division B) is further amended by striking the date specified in section 106(3) and inserting "February 15, 2007".

SEC. 2. Section 102(c) of the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2007 (Public Law 109-289, division B) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(5) Activities under the 'Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense-Wide' account."

SEC. 3. Section 114(b) of the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2007 (Public Law 109-289, division B) is amended by striking "and December 1, 2006," and inserting "December 1, 2006, January 1, 2007, February 1, 2007, and March 1, 2007,".

SEC. 4. Section 125 of the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2007 (Public Law 109-289, division B) is amended by striking "Partner Purchases" and inserting "Partnership Purchases and International Space Station/Multi-User System Support".

SEC. 5. Section 126 of the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2007 (Public Law 109-289, division B) is amended by inserting "(1)" after "except that", and by inserting before the period at the end the following: "; and (2) amounts made available under section 101 for departments and agencies that have been apportioned pursuant to this section prior to November 17, 2006, may be at a rate for operations not exceeding the current rate".

SEC. 6. Section 101 of the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2007 (Public Law 109-289, division B) is amended by striking "as of October 1, 2006" each place it appears in subsections (b) through (e) and inserting "as of November 15, 2006".

SEC. 7. The Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2007 (Public Law 109-289, division B) is amended by adding after section 132 the following new sections:

"SEC. 133. (a) Section 44302(f)(1) of title 49, United States Code, shall be applied by substituting the date specified in section 106(3) of this division for 'August 31, 2006, and may extend through December 31, 2006'.

"(b) Section 44303(b) of title 49, United States Code, shall be applied by substituting the date specified in section 106(3) of this division for 'December 31, 2006'.

"SEC. 134. The authority provided by H. Res. 135 (109th Congress), as adopted on March 14, 2005, shall continue in effect through the date specified in section 106(3) of this division.

H. J. Res. 102—2

“SEC. 135. The rule referenced in section 126 of Public Law 109-54 shall continue in effect for the 2006-2007 winter use season through the date specified in section 106(3) of this division.

“SEC. 136. In addition to any other transfer authority of the Department of Veterans Affairs, up to \$683,970,000 of the funds made available to the Department by this division may be transferred to ‘Veterans Health Administration—Medical Services’ during the period covered by this division.

“SEC. 137. Notwithstanding any other provision of this division and notwithstanding section 601(a)(2) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (2 U.S.C. 31), the percentage adjustment scheduled to take effect under such section for 2007 shall not take effect until February 16, 2007.”

Speaker of the House of Representatives.

*Vice President of the United States and
President of the Senate.*

One Hundred Ninth Congress
of the
United States of America

AT THE SECOND SESSION

*Begun and held at the City of Washington on Tuesday,
the third day of January, two thousand and six*

Joint Resolution

Making further continuing appropriations for the fiscal year 2007, and for other purposes.

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2007 (Public Law 109-289, division B) is amended by striking the date specified in section 106(3) and inserting "December 8, 2006".

Speaker of the House of Representatives.

*Vice President of the United States and
President of the Senate.*

One Hundred Ninth Congress
of the
United States of America

AT THE SECOND SESSION

*Begun and held at the City of Washington on Tuesday,
the third day of January, two thousand and six*

An Act

Making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending
September 30, 2007, and for other purposes.

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of
the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS
ACT, 2007

That the following sums are appropriated, out of any money in
the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, for the fiscal year ending
September 30, 2007, for military functions administered by the
Department of Defense and for other purposes, namely:

TITLE I

MILITARY PERSONNEL

MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY

For pay, allowances, individual clothing, subsistence, interest
on deposits, gratuities, permanent change of station travel
(including all expenses thereof for organizational movements), and
expenses of temporary duty travel between permanent duty sta-
tions, for members of the Army on active duty, (except members
of reserve components provided for elsewhere), cadets, and aviation
cadets; for members of the Reserve Officers' Training Corps; and
for payments pursuant to section 156 of Public Law 97-377, as
amended (42 U.S.C. 402 note), and to the Department of Defense
Military Retirement Fund, \$25,911,349,000.

MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY

For pay, allowances, individual clothing, subsistence, interest
on deposits, gratuities, permanent change of station travel
(including all expenses thereof for organizational movements), and
expenses of temporary duty travel between permanent duty sta-
tions, for members of the Navy on active duty (except members
of the Reserve provided for elsewhere), midshipmen, and aviation
cadets; for members of the Reserve Officers' Training Corps; and
for payments pursuant to section 156 of Public Law 97-377, as
amended (42 U.S.C. 402 note), and to the Department of Defense
Military Retirement Fund, \$19,049,454,000.

H. R. 5631—2

MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

For pay, allowances, individual clothing, subsistence, interest on deposits, gratuities, permanent change of station travel (including all expenses thereof for organizational movements), and expenses of temporary duty travel between permanent duty stations, for members of the Marine Corps on active duty (except members of the Reserve provided for elsewhere); and for payments pursuant to section 156 of Public Law 97-377, as amended (42 U.S.C. 402 note), and to the Department of Defense Military Retirement Fund, \$7,932,749,000.

MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

For pay, allowances, individual clothing, subsistence, interest on deposits, gratuities, permanent change of station travel (including all expenses thereof for organizational movements), and expenses of temporary duty travel between permanent duty stations, for members of the Air Force on active duty (except members of reserve components provided for elsewhere), cadets, and aviation cadets; for members of the Reserve Officers' Training Corps; and for payments pursuant to section 156 of Public Law 97-377, as amended (42 U.S.C. 402 note), and to the Department of Defense Military Retirement Fund, \$20,285,871,000.

RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY

For pay, allowances, clothing, subsistence, gratuities, travel, and related expenses for personnel of the Army Reserve on active duty under sections 10211, 10302, and 3038 of title 10, United States Code, or while serving on active duty under section 12301(d) of title 10, United States Code, in connection with performing duty specified in section 12310(a) of title 10, United States Code, or while undergoing reserve training, or while performing drills or equivalent duty or other duty, and expenses authorized by section 16131 of title 10, United States Code; and for payments to the Department of Defense Military Retirement Fund, \$3,043,170,000.

RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY

For pay, allowances, clothing, subsistence, gratuities, travel, and related expenses for personnel of the Navy Reserve on active duty under section 10211 of title 10, United States Code, or while serving on active duty under section 12301(d) of title 10, United States Code, in connection with performing duty specified in section 12310(a) of title 10, United States Code, or while undergoing reserve training, or while performing drills or equivalent duty, and expenses authorized by section 16131 of title 10, United States Code; and for payments to the Department of Defense Military Retirement Fund, \$1,551,838,000.

RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

For pay, allowances, clothing, subsistence, gratuities, travel, and related expenses for personnel of the Marine Corps Reserve on active duty under section 10211 of title 10, United States Code, or while serving on active duty under section 12301(d) of title 10, United States Code, in connection with performing duty specified

suppression, emergency rehabilitation activities and for repayment to other appropriation accounts from which funds were transferred on an emergency basis for wildfire suppression: *Provided*, That the amount provided is designated as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 501 of H. Con. Res. 376 (109th Congress) as made applicable to the House of Representatives by H. Res. 818 (109th Congress) and as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 402 of S. Con. Res. 83 (109th Congress) as made applicable to the Senate by section 7035 of Public Law 109-234.

This Act may be cited as the "Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2007".

DIVISION B—CONTINUING APPROPRIATIONS RESOLUTION,
2007

The following sums are hereby appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, and out of applicable corporate or other revenues, receipts, and funds, for the several departments, agencies, corporations, and other organizational units of Government for fiscal year 2007, and for other purposes, namely:

SEC. 101. (a) Such amounts as may be necessary under the authority and conditions provided in the applicable appropriations Act for fiscal year 2006 for continuing projects or activities (including the costs of direct loans and loan guarantees) that are not otherwise specifically provided for in this division, that were conducted in fiscal year 2006, and for which appropriations, funds, or other authority would be available in the following appropriations Acts:

- (1) The Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2007.
- (2) The Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2007 (in the House of Representatives), or the Energy and Water Appropriations Act, 2007 (in the Senate).
- (3) The Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2007 (in the House of Representatives), or the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2007 (in the Senate).
- (4) The Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2007.
- (5) The Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2007.
- (6) The Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2007.
- (7) The Legislative Branch Appropriations Act, 2007.
- (8) The Military Construction, Military Quality of Life and Veterans Affairs Appropriations Act, 2007 (in the House of Representatives), or the Military Construction and Veterans Affairs and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2007 (in the Senate).
- (9) The Science, State, Justice, Commerce, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2007 (in the House of Representatives), or the Departments of Commerce and Justice, Science, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2007 (in the Senate).
- (10) The Transportation, Treasury, Housing and Urban Development, the Judiciary, the District of Columbia, and Independent Agencies Appropriations Act, 2007 (in the House of Representatives), or the Transportation, Treasury, Housing and

Urban Development, the Judiciary, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2007 (in the Senate) and the District of Columbia Appropriations Act, 2007 (in the Senate).

(b) Whenever the amount that would be made available or the authority that would be granted for a project or activity under an Act listed in subsection (a) as passed by the House of Representatives as of October 1, 2006, is the same as the amount or authority that would be available or granted under the same or other pertinent Act as passed by the Senate as of October 1, 2006—

(1) the project or activity shall be continued at a rate for operations not exceeding the current rate or the rate permitted by the actions of the House and the Senate, whichever is lower, and under the authority and conditions provided in applicable appropriations Acts for fiscal year 2006; or

(2) if no amount or authority is made available or granted for the project or activity by the actions of the House and the Senate, the project or activity shall not be continued.

(c) Whenever the amount that would be made available or the authority that would be granted for a project or activity under an Act listed in subsection (a) as passed by the House of Representatives as of October 1, 2006, is different from the amount or authority that would be available or granted under the same or other pertinent Act as passed by the Senate as of October 1, 2006—

(1) the project or activity shall be continued at a rate for operations not exceeding the current rate or the rate permitted by the action of the House or the Senate, whichever is lowest, and under the authority and conditions provided in applicable appropriations Acts for fiscal year 2006; or

(2) if the project or activity is included in the pertinent Act of only one of the Houses, the project or activity shall be continued under the appropriation, fund, or authority granted by the one House, but at a rate for operations not exceeding the current rate or the rate permitted by the action of the one House, whichever is lower, and under the authority and conditions provided in applicable appropriations Acts for fiscal year 2006.

(d) Whenever the pertinent Act covering a project or activity has been passed by only the House of Representatives as of October 1, 2006—

(1) the project or activity shall be continued under the appropriation, fund, or authority granted by the House, at a rate for operations not exceeding the current rate or the rate permitted by the action of the House, whichever is lower, and under the authority and conditions provided in applicable appropriations Acts for fiscal year 2006; or

(2) if the project or activity is funded in applicable appropriations Acts for fiscal year 2006 and not included in the pertinent Act of the House as of October 1, 2006, the project or activity shall be continued under the appropriation, fund, or authority granted by applicable appropriations Acts for fiscal year 2006 at a rate for operations not exceeding the current rate and under the authority and conditions provided in applicable appropriations Acts for fiscal year 2006.

(e) Whenever the pertinent Act covering a project or activity has been passed by neither the House of Representatives nor the Senate as of October 1, 2006, the project or activity shall be continued under the appropriation, fund, or authority granted by

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND
RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATION BILL, 2007

MAY 15, 2006.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of
the Union and ordered to be printed

Mr. TAYLOR of North Carolina, from the Committee on
Appropriations, submitted the following

R E P O R T

together with

ADDITIONAL VIEWS

[To accompany H.R. 5386]

The Committee on Appropriations submits the following report in explanation of the accompanying bill making appropriations for the Department of the Interior, Environmental Protection Agency, and Related Agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2007. The bill provides regular annual appropriations for the Department of the Interior (except the Bureau of Reclamation), the Environmental Protection Agency, and for other related agencies, including the Forest Service, the Indian Health Service, the Smithsonian Institution, and the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities.

CONTENTS

TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR:	Page number	
	Bill	Report
Bureau of Land Management	2	9
United States Fish and Wildlife Service	11	24
National Park Service	19	37
United States Geological Survey	27	55
Minerals Management Service	30	61
Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement	32	65
Bureau of Indian Affairs	34	69
Departmental Offices	43	79

	Page number	
	Bill	Report
General Provisions, Department of the Interior	51	90
TITLE II—ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY:		
Science and Technology	63	94
Environmental Programs and Management	63	101
Office of Inspector General	64	113
Buildings and Facilities	65	115
Hazardous Substance Superfund	65	117
Leaking Underground Storage Tank Program	66	123
Oil Spill Response	66	127
State and Tribal Assistance Grants	66	129
Administrative Provisions	72	139
General Provisions, Environmental Protection Agency	73	
TITLE III—RELATED AGENCIES:		
Forest Service, USDA	73	139
Indian Health Service, DHHS	88	165
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences	96	173
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry	96	173
Other Related Agencies:	98	174
Council on Environmental Quality and Office of Environmental Quality	98	174
Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board	98	175
Office of Navajo and Hopi Indian Relocation	99	175
Institute of American Indian and Alaska Native Culture and Arts Development	100	176
Smithsonian Institution	100	176
National Gallery of Art	104	181
John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts	106	183
Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars	106	184
National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities	106	184
Commission of Fine Arts	109	188
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation	109	189
National Capital Planning Commission	110	189
United States Holocaust Memorial Museum	110	189
Presidio Trust	111	190
White House Commission on the National Moment of Remem- brance	111	190
TITLE IV—GENERAL PROVISIONS	111	190
TITLE V—SUSPENSION OF ROYALTY RELIEF	126	192

COMPARISON WITH BUDGET RESOLUTION

Section 308(a)(1)(A) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-344), as amended, requires that the report accompanying a bill providing new budget authority contain a Statement detailing how the authority compares with the reports submitted under section 302 of the Act for the most recently agreed to concurrent resolution on the budget for the fiscal year. This information follows:

(In millions of dollars)

	Sec. 302(b)		This bill—	
	Discretionary	Mandatory	Discretionary	Mandatory
Budget authority	25,889	54	25,889	58
Outlays	26,906	54	26,906	58

Section 109 continues a provision permitting the conveyance of the Twin Cities Research Center of the former Bureau of Mines for the benefit of the National Wildlife Refuge System.

Section 110 continues a provision authorizing the Secretary of the Interior to use helicopter or motor vehicles to capture and transport horses and burros at the Sheldon and Hart National Wildlife Refuges.

Section 111 authorizes federal funds for Shenandoah Valley Battlefield NHD and Ice Age NST to be transferred to a State, local government, or other governmental land management entity for acquisition of lands.

Section 112 continues a provision prohibiting the closure of the underground lunchroom at Carlsbad Caverns NP, NM.

Section 113 continues a provision preventing the demolition of a bridge between New Jersey and Ellis Island.

Section 114 continues a provision limiting compensation for the Special Master and Court Monitor appointed by the Court in *Cobell v. Norton* to 200 percent of the highest Senior Executive Service rate of pay.

Section 115 continues a provision allowing the Secretary to pay private attorney fees for employees and former employees in connection with *Cobell v. Norton*.

Section 116 continues a provision dealing with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's responsibilities for mass marking of salmonid stocks.

Section 117 prohibits the conduct of gaming under the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act (25 U.S.C. 2701 et seq.) on lands described in section 123 of the Department of the Interior and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2001, or land that is contiguous to that land.

Section 118 continues a provision prohibiting the use of funds to study or implement a plan to drain or reduce water levels in Lake Powell.

Section 119 allows the National Indian Gaming Commission to collect \$13,000,000 in fees for fiscal year 2008.

Section 120 makes funds appropriated for fiscal year 2006 available to the tribes within the California Tribal Trust Reform Consortium and others on the same basis as funds were distributed in fiscal year 2005, and separates this demonstration project from the Department of the Interior's trust reform reorganization.

Section 121 provides for the renewal of certain grazing permits in the Jarbidge Field office of the Bureau of Land Management.

Section 122 authorizes the acquisition of lands and leases for Ellis Island.

Section 123 permits the Secretary of the Interior to issue grazing permits within the Mojave National Preserve.

Section 124 implements rules concerning winter snowmobile use on Yellowstone National Park.

Section 125 prohibits the use of funds for Center of Excellence and partnership "Skills Bank Training without Committee approval.

TITLE II—ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

The Environmental Protection Agency was created by Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1970, which consolidated nine programs

from five different agencies and departments. Major EPA programs include air and water quality, drinking water, hazardous waste, research, pesticides, radiation, toxic substances, enforcement and compliance assurance, pollution prevention, oil spills, Superfund, Brownfields, and the Leaking Underground Storage Tank program. In addition, EPA provides Federal assistance for wastewater treatment, sewer overflow control, drinking water facilities, and other water infrastructure projects. The agency is responsible for conducting research and development, establishing environmental standards through the use of risk assessment and cost-benefit analysis, monitoring pollution conditions, seeking compliance through a variety of means, managing audits and investigations, and providing technical assistance and grant support to States and tribes, which are delegated authority for actual program implementation. Under existing statutory authority, the Agency may contribute to specific homeland security efforts and may participate in some international environmental activities.

Among the statutes for which the Environmental Protection Agency has sole or significant oversight responsibilities are:

National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended.

Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, as amended.

Toxic Substances Control Act, as amended.

Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended.

Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, as amended.

Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act of 1972, as amended.

Oil Pollution Act of 1990.

Public Health Service Act (Title XIV), as amended.

Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended.

Clean Air Act, as amended.

Safe Drinking Water Act, as amended.

Great Lakes Legacy Act of 2002.

Bioterrorism Act of 2002.

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended.

Small Business Liability Relief and Brownfields Revitalization Act of 2002 (amending CERCLA).

Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986.

Pollution Prevention Act of 1990.

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as amended.

Pollution Prosecution Act of 1990.

Pesticide Registration Improvement Act of 2003.

For fiscal year 2007, the Committee recommends \$7,566,870,000 for the Environmental Protection Agency, a decrease of \$58,546,000 below the fiscal year 2006 level and \$251,395,000 above the budget request. Changes to the budget request are detailed in each of the appropriation accounts below.

The Committee agrees to the following:

1. The Committee expects the EPA to continue to prepare its budget justification in the order specified in the table accompanying this report. There should be an explanation of every program/project, including those proposed for elimination. Each program/project should provide details and funding for each program

and project element funded in the current year and the proposed funding for each element in the budget year along with an explanation of any increase or decrease in funding and any change in emphasis.

2. The Committee was extremely disappointed with some of the EPA responses to Committee hearing questions for the record. EPA, in several instances, reported that it could not explain the impact on programs and projects of proposed reductions because the allocation of funds was yet to be determined. EPA should not propose program reductions if it cannot clearly identify the impact of those reductions on programs and projects. These details should be included in the Congressional budget justification.

3. The Committee has included the fixed cost increases proposed in the budget request for EPA. Any additional fixed cost increases not included in the appropriation for fiscal year 2007 should be absorbed through FTE reductions, which should be achieved through attrition.

4. The Committee continues to believe that the EPA needs to do a better job of using limited staff resources and commends the EPA for initiating a workforce assessment. A thorough analysis of staffing in the Regional Offices should be conducted and staffing and funding should be realigned as indicated by that analysis. In addition, those States that are doing a good job of running their programs do not need as much oversight as States that have problems with program implementation. EPA should consider differential oversight and focus its limited resources on States that have problems while providing somewhat less oversight to States with adequate programs and minimal oversight to States with excellent programs. The State oversight analysis should be done on a program by program basis.

5. EPA and the States focus on the number of environmental pollution permits issued, the number of environmental standards established, the number of facilities inspected, and other such outputs of performance. These measures can provide important information for EPA and State managers but they do not measure the actual environmental outcomes that must be known to ensure that resources are being allocated in the most cost effective ways to improve environmental conditions and public health. Further, the Government Accountability Office, EPA's Office of Inspector General, and the National Academy of Public Administration have identified ways that EPA can achieve its goals more efficiently and effectively by moving from traditional and intensive enforcement and compliance activities to more cost effective, efficient, and results oriented approaches. The Committee expects EPA to make substantive changes in these areas in 2007 and to include a description of those changes in the 2008 budget justification.

6. The Committee has included modest increases for certain programs authorized by the Energy Policy Act of 2005 and for Homeland Security initiatives. Given the limited funding available for this bill and the need to restore funding for mission essential programs and high priority projects that were reduced or eliminated in the budget request, the Committee was unable to provide a sizable portion of the \$80 million increase requested for programs associated with the Energy Policy Act of 2005 or the \$55 million increase requested for Homeland Security programs. The Committee

also did not provide funding for the Asia-Pacific Partnership initiative.

SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

The Science and Technology account funds all Environmental Protection Agency research (including, by transfer of funds, Hazardous Substances Superfund research activities) carried out through grants, contracts, and cooperative agreements with other Federal agencies, States, universities, and private business, as well as in-house research. This account also funds personnel compensation and benefits, travel, supplies and operating expenses for all Agency research. Research addresses a wide range of environmental and health concerns across all environmental media and encompasses both long-term basic and near-term applied research to provide the scientific knowledge and technologies necessary for preventing, regulating, and abating pollution, and to anticipate emerging environmental issues.

Appropriation enacted, 2006	\$730,810,000
Budget estimate, 2007	788,274,000
Recommended, 2007	808,044,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2006	+77,234,000
Budget estimate, 2007	+19,700,000

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Request	Recommended Request	Recommended Enacted	Recommended versus Request
(dollars in thousands)					

Science and Technology					
Air toxics and quality					
Clean air allowance trading programs (also EPM).....	8,527	9,259	9,259	+732	---
Federal support for air quality mgmt (also EPM).....	10,012	10,273	10,273	+261	---
Federal support for air toxics program (also EPM)....	2,225	2,265	2,265	+40	---
Federal vehicle and fuels standards/certification....	58,613	---	---	-58,613	---
Energy Policy Act (Fed vehicle & fuel standards)....	---	11,400	2,400	+2,400	-9,000
Federal vehicles and fuel standards (other).....	---	56,925	56,925	+56,925	---
Radiation: Protection (also EPM; HSS).....	2,086	2,054	2,054	-32	---
Radiation: Response preparedness (also EPM).....	3,468	3,586	3,586	+118	---
Subtotal, Air toxics and quality.....	84,931	95,762	86,762	+1,831	-9,000
Climate protection					
Climate protection program (also EPM).....	18,648	12,550	18,550	-98	+6,000
Enforcement					
Forensics support (also HSS).....	13,129	13,185	13,185	+56	---
Homeland security					
Homeland security: Critical infrastructure					
protection					
Water sentinel and related training.....	8,131	41,735	16,735	+8,604	-25,000
Critical infrastructure protection (except					
water sentinel) (also EPM; HSS).....	4,262	3,516	3,516	-746	---
Subtotal, Homeland security: Critical					
infrastructure protection.....	12,393	45,251	20,251	+7,858	-25,000

	(dollars in thousands)			
	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Request	Recommended Enacted	Recommended versus Request
Homeland security: Preparedness, response, and recovery				
Decontamination (also EPM; HSS)	16,868	24,667	19,667	+2,799
Laboratory preparedness & response (also EPM; HSS)	591	600	600	+9
Safe buildings	3,722	4,000	4,000	+278
Preparedness, response, and recovery (other activities) (also HSS)	14,571	15,231	15,231	+660
(Transfer from Hazardous substance superfund)	(1,976)	(2,000)	(2,000)	(+24)
Subtotal, Homeland security: Preparedness, response, and recovery	35,722	44,498	39,498	+3,746
Homeland security: Protection of EPA personnel and infrastructure (also EPM; B&F; HSS)				
	2,050	2,079	2,079	+29
Subtotal, Homeland security	50,195	91,828	61,828	+11,633
Indoor air				
Indoor air: Radon program (also EPM)	429	442	442	+13
Indoor air: Schools and workplace program (moved)	810	829	829	+19
Reduce risks from indoor air (also EPM)				
Subtotal, Indoor air	1,239	1,271	1,271	+32

	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Request	Recommended Enacted	Recommended Request	Recommended versus Request
(dollars in thousands)					
IT / Data management / Security	4,173	4,268	4,268	+95	---
IT / Data management (also EPM; LUST; OSR; HSS).....					
Operations and administration	8,511	70,240	70,240	+61,729	---
Facilities infrastructure and operations (also EPM; B&F; LUST; OSR; HSS).....					
Pesticide licensing	2,463	2,766	2,766	+303	---
Pesticides: Registration of new pesticides (also EPM).....					
Pesticides: Review/Reregistration of existing pesticides (also EPM).....	2,480	2,820	2,820	+340	---
Subtotal, Pesticide licensing.....	4,943	5,586	5,586	+643	---
Research / Congressional priorities.....	32,919	---	30,000	-2,919	+30,000
Research: Clean air	16,226	12,274	16,224	-2	+3,950
Research: Air toxics.....	18,619	17,456	19,056	+437	+1,600
Research: Global change.....	66,777	65,456	66,356	-421	+900
Research: National ambient air quality standards (NAAQS).....	101,622	95,186	101,636	+14	+6,450
Subtotal, Research: Clean air.....					
Research: Clean water	45,170	49,243	49,243	+4,073	---
Research: Drinking water.....	51,269	56,988	56,988	+5,719	---
Research: Water quality.....	96,439	106,231	106,231	+9,792	---
Subtotal, Research: Clean water.....					

(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Request	Recommended Enacted	Recommended versus Request
Research: Human health and ecosystems				
Human health risk assessment.....	35,637	34,488	34,488	---
(By transfer from Hazardous substance superfund)...	(3,755)	(3,847)	(3,847)	---
Research: Computational toxicology.....	12,327	14,983	14,983	---
Research: Endocrine disruptor.....	10,494	9,081	10,481	+1,400
Research: Fellowships.....	11,691	8,383	11,738	+3,355
Research: Human health and ecosystems.....	167,703	161,313	166,313	+5,000
Subtotal, Research: Human health and ecosystems	237,852	228,248	238,003	+9,755
Research: Land protection				
Research: Land protection & restoration (also HSS).	11,606	10,553	10,553	---
(By transfer from Hazardous substance superfund)...	(22,927)	(21,964)	(22,964)	(+37)
Research: Land protection.....	(1,206)	---	(1,200)	(+6)
Subtotal, Research: Land protection.....	11,606	10,553	10,553	---
Research: Sustainability				
Research: Economics and decision science (EDS).....	2,361	2,495	2,495	---
Research: Environmental technology verification (ETV).....	2,990	---	2,405	+585
Research: Sustainability (other activities).....	25,803	21,404	21,404	---
(By transfer from Hazardous substance superfund)...	(292)	---	---	---
Subtotal, Research: Sustainability.....	31,154	23,899	26,304	+2,405

(dollars in thousands)

	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Request	Recommended	Recommended versus Enacted	Request
Toxic research and prevention					
Research: Pesticides and toxics	30,357	26,224	30,384	+27	+4,160
Water: Human health protection					
Drinking water programs (also EPM)	3,092	3,243	3,243	+151	---
Total, Science and Technology	730,810	788,274	808,044	+77,234	+19,770
(By transfer from Hazardous substance superfund)	(30,156)	(27,811)	(30,011)	(-145)	(+2,200)

The Committee recommends \$808,044,000 for science and technology, an increase of \$77,234,000 above the fiscal year 2006 level and \$19,700,000 above the budget request. The Committee notes that the increase above the 2006 funding level is largely attributable to the realignment of administrative costs from the environmental programs and management account. In addition, the Committee recommends that \$30,011,000 be transferred to this account from the Hazardous Substance Superfund account for ongoing research activities consistent with the intent of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended. Changes to the budget request are detailed below.

Air Toxics and Quality.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$9,000,000 for Federal vehicle and fuels standards certification associated with implementation of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 renewable fuels standard.

Climate Protection Program.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$6,000,000 for the climate protection program to restore base program research funding.

Homeland Security.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$25,000,000 in critical infrastructure protection for WaterSentinel and related training and a decrease of \$5,000,000 in preparedness, response, and recovery for the decontamination program.

Research: Congressional Priorities.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$30,000,000 for programs of national and regional significance including:

State	Project name	Amount
CA	Central California Ozone Study, San Joaquin Valleywide Air Pollution Study Agency	\$375,000
CA	Irrigation Training and Research Center—Cal Poly, San Luis Obispo Flow Rate Measurement	250,000
FL	Florida Department of Citrus Abscission Chemical Studies	1,000,000
NY	Environmental Systems Ctr of Excellence at Syracuse Univ., Indoor environmental quality & urban ecosystems sustainability.	2,000,000
OH	Ohio State University Oleantangy River Wetlands Park teaching, research, and outreach initiative.	500,000
OK	Tulsa Air Quality Study (ozone compliance)	300,000
TX	Mickey Leland National Urban Air Toxics Research Center	1,500,000
	American Water Works Association Research Foundation	1,000,000
	Consortium for Plant Biotechnology Research	750,000
	New England Green Chemistry Consortium	750,000
	Southwest Center for Environmental Research and Policy	1,500,000
	Water Environment Research Foundation	3,000,000
	Water Systems Council Wellcare Program	1,000,000

Research: Clean Air.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$6,450,000 to restore funding for clean air research including increases of \$3,950,000 for air toxics, \$1,600,000 for global change, and \$900,000 for particulate monitoring methods and tropospheric ozone research in the National Ambient Air Quality Standards program.

Human Health and Ecosystems.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$9,755,000 to restore funding for human health and ecosystems research including increases of \$1,400,000 for endocrine disruptor research, \$3,355,000 for fellowships through the Science to Achieve Results program, and \$5,000,000 for the environmental monitoring and assessment program.

Research: Sustainability.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$2,405,000 to restore partially the environmental technology verification program.

Toxics Research and Prevention.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$4,160,000 to restore funding for pesticides and toxics research.

The Committee agrees to the following:

1. Competitively awarded contract research and engineering services and activities for clean automotive technologies under the climate protection program should be funded at least at the 2006 level.

2. The funding recommended for the WaterSentinel program includes sufficient monies for one additional pilot project. EPA should ensure that this additional pilot project is located in a metropolitan area which is highly vulnerable from a homeland security threat perspective and which has funding support from the local council of governments.

3. Any future WaterSentinel funding should be requested through the Department of Homeland Security and EPA should coordinate with the Office of Management and Budget to ensure this happens beginning in fiscal year 2008. While the Committee agrees that EPA's expertise is important for program success and that it had a critical role to play in establishing the program, the Committee also believes strongly that continuing program funding must be provided through the Department of Homeland Security.

4. The Committee continues to stress the importance of the Science to Achieve Results program. Funding reductions in this program are not acceptable because such reductions would ultimately result in long term problems, with and gaps in, critical environmental research.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS AND MANAGEMENT

The Environmental Programs and Management account encompasses a broad range of abatement, prevention, and compliance activities, and personnel compensation, benefits, travel, and expenses for all programs of the Agency except Science and Technology, Hazardous Substance Superfund, Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund, Oil Spill Response, and the Office of Inspector General.

Abatement, prevention, and compliance activities include setting environmental standards, issuing permits, monitoring emissions and ambient conditions and providing technical and legal assistance toward enforcement, compliance, and oversight. In most cases, the States are directly responsible for actual operation of the various environmental programs and the Agency's activities include oversight and assistance.

In addition to program costs, this account funds administrative costs associated with the operating programs of the Agency, including support for executive direction, policy oversight, resources management, general office and building services for program operations, and direct implementation of Agency environmental programs for Headquarters, the ten EPA Regional offices, and all non-research field operations.

Appropriation enacted, 2006	\$2,346,711,000
Budget estimate, 2007	2,306,617,000
Recommended, 2007	2,336,442,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2006	- 10,269,000
Budget estimate, 2007	+29,825,000

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Request	Recommended Enacted	Recommended versus Request
(dollars in thousands)				
Environmental Programs and Management				
Air toxics and quality				
Clean air allowance trading programs (also S&T)	17,708	19,126	19,126	+1,418
Federal stationary source regulations	23,215	25,678	25,678	+2,463
Federal support for air quality management				
Clean diesel initiative	5,867	---	---	-5,867
Energy Policy Act implementation	---	2,800	---	-2,800
Federal support for air quality management (other activities) (also S&T)	90,082	85,266	85,266	-4,816
Subtotal, Federal support for air quality management	95,949	88,066	85,266	-10,683
Federal support for air toxics program (also S&T)	25,405	25,514	25,514	+109
Radiation: Protection (also S&T; HSS)	11,178	10,649	10,649	-529
Radiation: Response preparedness (also S&T)	2,632	2,689	2,689	+57
Stratospheric ozone: Domestic programs	4,938	5,221	5,221	+283
Stratospheric ozone: Multilateral fund	8,600	13,365	9,600	+1,000
Subtotal, Air toxics and quality	189,625	190,308	183,743	-5,882
Brownfields	24,534	24,637	24,637	+103
Climate protection program				
Energy star	49,536	45,723	47,723	-1,813
Methane to markets	1,971	4,421	2,421	+450
				+2,000
				-2,000

	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Request	(dollars in thousands) Recommended	Recommended versus Enacted	Request
Climate protection program (other activities) (also S&T).....	39,327	41,700	40,700	+1,373	-1,000
Subtotal, Climate protection.....	90,834	91,844	90,844	+10	-1,000
Compliance					
Compliance assistance and centers					
Energy policy act implementation.....	---	111	111	+111	---
Other activities.....	27,935	28,780	28,780	+845	---
Subtotal, Compliance assistance and centers.....	27,935	28,891	28,891	+956	---
Compliance incentives (also HSS).....	9,412	9,702	9,702	+290	---
Compliance monitoring					
Energy policy act implementation.....	---	987	---	---	-987
Other activities.....	85,463	92,032	92,032	+6,569	---
Subtotal, Compliance monitoring.....	85,463	93,019	92,032	+6,569	-987
Subtotal, Compliance.....	122,810	131,612	130,625	+7,815	-987
Enforcement					
Energy policy act.....	---	753	---	---	-753
Civil enforcement (also OSR; HSS) (other).....	117,807	120,025	120,025	+2,218	---
Subtotal, Civil enforcement.....	117,807	120,778	120,025	+2,218	-753

(dollars in thousands)					
	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Request	Recommended	Recommended versus Enacted	Request
Criminal enforcement (also HSS)	37,565	37,794	37,794	+229	---
Enforcement training (also HSS)	2,945	2,504	2,504	-441	---
Environmental justice (also HSS)	5,569	3,859	5,569	---	+1,710
NEPA implementation	12,640	13,787	13,787	+1,147	---
Subtotal, Enforcement	176,526	178,722	179,679	+3,153	+957
Environmental protection / Congressional priorities	49,799	---	40,000	-9,799	+40,000
Geographic programs					
Geographic program: Chesapeake Bay	22,118	26,398	22,398	+280	-4,000
Geographic program: Great Lakes	21,164	20,577	20,577	-587	---
Geographic program: Gulf of Mexico	4,809	4,311	4,311	-498	---
Geographic program: Lake Champlain	1,926	934	934	-992	---
Geographic program: Long Island Sound	470	467	467	-3	---
Geographic program: Other					
Community action for a renewed environment (CARE)	2,862	4,448	4,448	+1,586	---
Geographic program: Puget Sound	1,971	---	6,000	+4,029	+6,000
Other activities	5,124	4,602	4,602	-522	---
Subtotal, Geographic program: Other	9,957	9,050	15,050	+5,093	+6,000
Regional geographic initiatives	8,060	9,137	8,137	+77	-1,000
Subtotal, Geographic programs	68,504	70,874	71,874	+3,370	+1,000

	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Request	(dollars in thousands) Recommended	Recommended versus Enacted	Request
Homeland security					
Homeland security: Communication and information					
(also HSS)					
Laboratory preparedness and response (also S&T).....	1,212	1,200	1,200	-12	---
Communication and information (other activities).....	5,263	5,600	5,600	+337	---
Subtotal, Homeland security: Communication	6,475	6,800	6,800	+325	---
and information.....					
Homeland security: Critical infrastructure					
protection					
Decontamination (also S&T; EPM; HSS).....	98	99	99	+1	---
Critical infrastructure protection (except					
decontamination) (also S&T; HSS).....	6,689	7,144	7,144	+455	---
Subtotal, Homeland security: critical	6,787	7,243	7,243	+456	---
infrastructure protection.....					
Homeland security: Preparedness, response, and					
recovery (also S&T; HSS)					
Decontamination (also S&T; EPM; HSS).....	3,252	3,329	3,329	+77	---
Homeland security: Protection of EPA personnel and					
infrastructure (also S&T; B&F; HSS).....	6,199	6,269	6,269	+70	---
Subtotal, Homeland security.....	22,713	23,641	23,641	+928	---

(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Request	Recommended	Recommended versus Enacted Request
Indoor air				
Indoor air: Radon program (also S&T)	5,159	5,519	5,519	+360
Reduce risks from indoor air (also S&T)	23,137	23,464	23,464	+327
Subtotal, Indoor air	28,296	28,983	28,983	+687
Information exchange / Outreach				
Children and other sensitive populations: Agency coordination	5,633	6,064	6,064	+431
Congressional, intergovernmental, external relations (also HSS)	50,281	52,143	52,143	+1,852
Environmental education	8,889	9,000	9,000	+111
Exchange network (also HSS)	17,70	16,049	16,699	-1,001
Small business ombudsman	3,343	3,502	3,502	+159
Small minority business assistance	2,503	2,646	2,646	+143
State and local prevention and preparedness	11,377	12,508	12,508	+1,131
Toxics release inventory (TRI) / Right to know	14,289	15,243	15,243	+954
Tribal - Capacity building	11,049	11,436	11,436	+387
Subtotal, Information exchange / Outreach	125,074	119,591	129,241	+4,167
International programs				
Commission for environmental cooperation	4,116	4,137	4,137	+21
Environment and trade	1,766	1,861	1,861	+95
International capacity building	6,138	6,390	6,390	+252
Persistent organic pollutants (POPs) implementation	1,697	1,809	1,809	+112
U.S. / Mexico border	5,749	6,061	6,061	+312
Subtotal, International programs	19,466	20,258	20,258	+792
Total				
	125,074	119,591	129,241	+4,167
				+9,650

	(dollars in thousands)			
	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Request	Recommended	Recommended versus Enacted Request
IT / Data management / Security				
Information security (also HSS)	3,751	5,562	5,562	+1,811
IT / Data management (also S&T; LUST; OSR; HSS) (\$22.59 million moved to EPM Compliance in FY06)	94,587	96,807	96,807	+2,240
Subtotal, IT / Data management / Security	98,318	102,369	102,369	+4,051
Legal/Science/Regulatory/Economic review				
Administrative law	4,607	4,861	4,861	+254
Alternative dispute resolution (also HSS)	1,048	1,230	1,230	+182
Civil rights / Title VI compliance	10,575	11,054	11,054	+479
Legal advice: Environmental program (also HSS)	35,931	37,525	37,525	+1,594
Legal advice: Support program	13,206	13,466	13,466	+260
Regional science and technology	3,522	3,521	3,521	-1
Regulatory innovation	21,511	25,853	23,853	+2,342
Regulatory/Economic-management and analysis	16,551	17,555	17,555	+1,004
Science advisory board	4,402	4,616	4,616	+214
Subtotal, Legal/Science/Regulatory/Economic review	111,353	119,681	117,681	+6,328
Operations and administration				
Acquisition management (also LUST; HSS)	23,265	25,418	25,418	+2,153
Central planning, budgeting, and finance (also LUST; HSS)	73,680	83,548	83,548	+9,868
Facilities infrastructure and operations (also S&T; B&F; LUST; OSR; HSS)	343,908	294,760	293,760	-50,148
Financial assistance grants / Interagency agreements (IAG) management (also HSS)	23,168	21,847	21,847	-1,321

	(dollars in thousands)			
	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Request	Recommended Enacted	Recommended versus Request
Human resources management (also LUST; HSS).....	41,275	40,202	40,202	-1,073
Subtotal, Operations and administration.....	505,296	465,775	464,775	-40,521
Pesticide licensing				
Pesticides: Field programs.....	24,516	24,926	24,926	+410
Pesticides: Registration of new pesticides (also S&T).....	41,604	39,768	41,768	+164
Pesticides: Review/Reregistration of existing pesticides (also S&T).....	57,458	51,814	54,314	-3,144
Science policy and biotechnology.....	1,894	1,754	1,754	+60
Subtotal, Pesticide licensing.....	125,272	118,262	122,762	-2,510
Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)				
RCRA: Corrective action.....	39,396	40,372	40,372	+976
RCRA: Waste management.....	65,793	67,887	67,887	+2,094
RCRA: Waste minimization and recycling.....	11,825	12,235	12,235	+410
Subtotal, Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA).....	117,014	120,494	120,494	+3,480
Toxics risk review and prevention				
Toxic substances: Chemical risk management.....	9,008	7,736	7,736	-1,272
Toxic substances: Chemical risk review & reduction.	46,542	44,637	44,637	-1,905
Endocrine disruptors.....	8,767	7,985	8,785	-12
Toxic substances: Lead risk reduction program.....	10,162	11,368	11,368	+1,206
Pollution prevention program.....	16,621	21,292	19,292	+2,671
Subtotal, Toxics risk review and prevention.....	91,100	93,018	91,788	+688

(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Request	Recommended	Recommended versus Enacted Request
Underground storage tanks (LUST / UST) (also LUST).....	7,763	11,714	10,214	+2,451
Water: Ecosystems				
Great Lakes Legacy Act.....	28,989	49,600	29,600	+611
National estuary program / Coastal waterways.....	23,773	18,417	24,417	+644
Wetlands.....	19,416	20,992	20,992	+1,576
Subtotal, Water: Ecosystems.....	72,178	89,009	75,009	+2,831
Water: Human health protection				
Beach/Fish programs.....	3,156	2,654	2,654	-502
Drinking water programs (also S&T).....	95,656	99,121	99,121	+3,465
Subtotal, Water: Human health protection.....	98,812	101,775	101,775	+2,963
Water quality protection				
Marine pollution.....	12,212	12,462	12,462	+250
Surface water protection				
Water quality monitoring.....	7,193	7,121	7,121	-72
Surface water protection (other activities).....	182,019	184,467	186,467	+4,448
Subtotal, Surface water protection.....	189,212	191,588	193,588	+4,376
Subtotal, Water quality protection.....	201,424	204,050	206,050	+4,626
Total, Environmental Programs and Management.....	2,346,711	2,306,617	2,336,442	-10,269
				+29,825

The Committee recommends \$2,336,442,000 for environmental programs and management, a decrease of \$10,269,000 below the fiscal year 2006 level and \$29,825,000 above the budget request. Changes to the budget request are detailed below.

Air Toxics and Quality.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$6,565,000 for air toxics and quality including a decrease of \$2,800,000 in the Federal support for air quality management program for implementation of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 and \$3,765,000 in the stratospheric ozone program for the multilateral fund.

Climate Protection.—The Committee recommends a net decrease of \$1,000,000 for climate protection programs, including an increase of \$2,000,000 for Energy Star, a decrease of \$2,000,000 for the methane to markets initiative, and, in other climate change programs, a decrease of \$5,000,000 for the Asia-Pacific Partnership and an increase of \$4,000,000 to restore ongoing climate change programs.

Compliance.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$987,000 in the compliance monitoring program for implementation of the Energy Policy Act of 2005.

Enforcement.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$957,000 for enforcement, including a decrease of \$753,000 in the civil enforcement program for implementation of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 and an increase of \$1,710,000 to restore the environmental justice program. The Committee notes that there is also an increase, as requested, in the Superfund account for environmental justice activities.

Environmental Protection: Congressional Priorities.—The Committee recommends \$40,000,000 for programs of national and regional significance including:

State	Project name	Amount
CO	NE Colorado Surface Water/Groundwater Conservation Program (Central Colorado Water Conservation District)	\$250,000
IA	Iowa State University project on mitigating emissions from egg farms	1,000,000
NY	Central New York Watersheds in Onondaga, Wayne, & Cayuga Counties water quality management	2,000,000
NY/CT	Long Island Sound restoration	1,800,000
	America's Clean Water Foundation on Farm Assessment & Environmental Review Program	3,000,000
	Groundwater Protection Council	650,000
	National Biosolids Partnership (Water Environment Federation)	1,000,000
	National Hispanic Healthy Farm Workers Initiative (Self Reliance Foundation)	1,000,000
	National Rural Water Association	11,000,000
	Rural Community Assistance Program	3,500,000

Geographic Programs.—The Committee recommends a net increase of \$1,000,000 for geographic programs, including a decrease of \$6,397,000 for the Corsica River watershed pilot project in the Chesapeake Bay program, an increase of \$2,397,000 for competitive grants for community based efforts in the Chesapeake Bay program, an increase of \$6,000,000 for the Puget Sound program, and a decrease of \$1,000,000 for regional geographic initiatives.

Information Exchange/Outreach.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$9,650,000 for information exchange/outreach, including increases of \$9,000,000 for environmental education and \$650,000 for the exchange network.

Legal/Science/Regulatory/Economic Review.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$2,000,000 for regulatory innovation.

Operations and Administration.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$1,000,000 for facilities infrastructure and operations.

Pesticide Licensing.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$4,500,000 for pesticide licensing including increases of \$2,000,000 for registration of new pesticides and \$2,500,000 for review/reregistration of existing pesticides.

Toxics Risk Review and Prevention.—The Committee recommends a net decrease of \$1,230,000 for toxics review and prevention, including an increase of \$770,000 for endocrine disruptors and a decrease of \$2,000,000 for the pollution prevention program.

Underground Storage Tanks.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$1,500,000 in the underground storage tank program for implementation of the Energy Policy Act of 2005.

Water: Ecosystems.—The Committee recommends a net decrease of \$14,000,000 for water/ecosystems, including a decrease of \$20,000,000 for Great Lakes Legacy Act programs and an increase of \$6,000,000 for the National Estuary Program. Direction on distribution of National Estuary Program funding is provided below.

Water Quality Protection.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$2,000,000 for surface water protection to restore and improve the quality of rivers, lakes, and streams.

The Committee agrees to the following:

1. The Committee recommendation includes the \$2,000,000 in the budget request to continue the Water Information Sharing and Analysis Center and the Water Security Channel efforts to provide up to date security information for drinking water and wastewater utilities.
2. No funds are provided in the Chesapeake Bay program for a pilot project in the Corsica River. The Committee encourages EPA to use the increase recommended by the Committee for competitive grants for community-based efforts and to award grants based upon their contribution to pounds of nitrogen, phosphorous, and sediment removed. The Committee also expects the Chesapeake Bay program to provide facilitator training to its staff and to use a facilitator at each of its committee, subcommittee, and task group meetings to ensure that those meetings and the subsequent actions taken achieve results. This also could be achieved through the use of trained facilitators associated with State and other partner organizations.
3. A total of \$6,000,000 is provided for the Puget Sound geographic program under section 320 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended. This program is to be administered by the Washington State Department of Ecology.
4. A total of \$24,417,000 is included for the National Estuary Program (NEP), which includes \$500,000 for each of the 28 NEP estuaries and \$10,417,000 for other activities in support of the program.
5. EPA should encourage local governments and communities to pursue innovative public-private partnerships, such as the Adopt-A-Waterway program, which, at no additional cost to taxpayers, help to implement storm water pollution prevention activities, curb urban runoff, and improve water quality. Further, EPA should work with the States to enter into public-private partnerships, such

as Adopt-A-Waterway, to fulfill their public education and outreach responsibilities.

6. The Pesticide Safety Education Program should continue to be funded at \$1,200,000 in fiscal year 2007 using the services of the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Cooperative State Research, Education and Extension Service.

7. The Committee commends the Agriculture Container Recycling Council for its development of a voluntary program for recycling agricultural and professional specialty pesticides containers. This program has facilitated the proper disposal of millions of plastic pesticide containers at no cost to farmers. The EPA has been developing regulations on this recycling and, to ensure the program remains a viable, free service that is available to agricultural producers, the Committee expects EPA to issue a final rulemaking on the recycling of high density polyethylene pesticide containers within 60 days of enactment of this Act.

8. There are a number of environmental changes associated with global climate change that may have a direct impact on human health. Much of the country may experience more serious air pollution, increased number of heat waves, and the emergence of more pest borne diseases. Certain vulnerable populations will suffer the most, in particular the very young and the very old. In order to better understand and plan for these problems, the EPA should contract with the National Academies of Science to conduct a study of the potential health impacts of global climate change on the U.S. population at large, and especially on the young, the elderly, those with respiratory diseases, and those in communities situated in areas particularly vulnerable to pollution and other environmental problems including minority communities. The study should also review and make recommendations on areas that the United States needs to improve medical preparedness and response capabilities and public health systems to deal with the health impacts associated with increased pollution and other environmental changes. The Committee expects the EPA to provide \$1 million with available funds to support this study in fiscal year 2007.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The Office of Inspector General (OIG) provides audit, evaluation, and investigation products and advisory services to improve the performance and integrity of EPA programs and operations. This account funds personnel compensation and benefits, travel, and expenses (excluding rent, utilities, and security costs) for the Office of Inspector General. In addition to the funds provided under this heading, the OIG receives funds by transfer from the Hazardous Substance Superfund account.

Appropriation enacted, 2006	\$36,904,000
Budget estimate, 2007	35,100,000
Recommended, 2007	35,100,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2006	-1,804,000
Budget estimate, 2007	0

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

	(dollars in thousands)			
	FY 2006	FY 2007	Recommended	Recommended versus
	Enacted	Request	Enacted	Request

Office of Inspector General				
Audits, evaluations, and investigations.....	36,904	35,100	35,100	---
(By transfer from Hazardous substance superfund).....	(13,337)	(13,316)	(13,316)	---
			-1,804	---
			(-21)	---

The Committee recommends \$35,100,000, the budget request, for the Office of Inspector General, a decrease of \$1,804,000 below the fiscal year 2006 level. In addition, the Committee recommends that \$13,316,000, as requested, be transferred to this account from the Hazardous Substance Superfund account.

Bill Language.—Bill Language is recommended providing that, notwithstanding any other provision of law, in fiscal year 2007 and thereafter, the EPA Inspector General shall not serve as the IG for the Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board.

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

The Buildings and Facilities account provides for the design and construction of EPA-owned facilities as well as for the repair, extension, alteration, and improvement of facilities used by the Agency. The funds are used to correct unsafe conditions, protect health and safety of employees and Agency visitors, and prevent deterioration of structures and equipment.

Appropriation enacted, 2006	\$39,626,000
Budget estimate, 2007	39,816,000
Recommended, 2007	39,816,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2006	+190,000
Budget estimate, 2007	0

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Request	Recommended versus Enacted	Request
Buildings and Facilities				
Homeland security				
Home and security: Protection of EPA personnel and infrastructure (also S&T; EPM; HSS)	11,331	11,385	11,385	+54
Operations and administration				
Facilities infrastructure and operations (also S&T; EPM; HSS; LUST; OSR)	28,295	28,431	28,431	+136
Total, Buildings and Facilities	39,626	39,816	39,816	+190

The Committee recommends \$39,816,000, the budget request, for buildings and facilities, an increase of \$190,000 above the fiscal year 2006 level.

HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE SUPERFUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The Hazardous Substance Superfund (Superfund) program was established in 1980 by the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act to clean up emergency hazardous materials, spills, and dangerous, uncontrolled, and/or abandoned hazardous waste sites. The Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA) expanded the program substantially in 1986, authorizing approximately \$8,500,000,000 in revenues over five years. In 1990, the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act extended the program's authorization through 1994 for \$5,100,000,000 with taxing authority through calendar year 1995.

The Superfund program is operated by EPA subject to annual appropriations from a dedicated trust fund and from general revenues. Enforcement activities are used to identify and induce parties responsible for hazardous waste problems to undertake clean-up actions and pay for EPA oversight of those actions. In addition, responsible parties have been required to cover the cost of fund-financed removal and remedial actions undertaken at spills and waste sites by Federal and State agencies. Transfers from this account are made to the Office of Inspector General and Science and Technology accounts for Superfund related activities.

Appropriation enacted, 2006	\$1,242,074,000
Budget estimate, 2007	1,258,955,000
Recommended, 2007	1,256,855,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2006	+14,781,000
Budget estimate, 2007	-2,100,000

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

	(dollars in thousands)			
	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Request	Recommended Recommended	Recommended versus Enacted Request
Hazardous Substance Superfund				
Air toxics and quality				
Radiation: Protection (also S&T; EPM).....	2,120	2,323	2,323	+203
Audits, evaluations, and investigations.....	13,337	13,316	13,316	-21
(Transfer to Office of Inspector General).....	(-13,337)	(-13,316)	(-13,316)	(+21)
Compliance				
Compliance assistance and centers (also EPM; LUST; OSR).....	11	22	22	+11
Compliance incentives (also EPM).....	186	143	143	-43
Compliance monitoring (also EPM).....	955	1,144	1,144	+189
Subtotal, Compliance.....	1,152	1,309	1,309	+157
Enforcement				
Civil enforcement (also EPM; OSR).....	796	883	883	+87
Criminal enforcement (also EPM).....	8,275	8,502	8,502	+227
Enforcement training (also EPM).....	581	622	622	+41
Environmental justice (also EPM).....	827	757	757	-70
Forensics support (also S&T).....	3,643	4,184	4,184	+541
Superfund: Enforcement.....	156,653	163,651	160,651	+3,998
Superfund: Federal facilities enforcement.....	9,410	10,197	10,197	+787
Subtotal, Enforcement.....	180,185	188,796	185,796	+5,611
				-3,000

	(dollars in thousands)			
	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Request	Recommended	Recommended versus Enacted Request
Homeland security				
Homeland security: Communication and information (also EPM)				
Laboratory preparedness and response (also S&T; EPM; HSS)	296	300	300	+4
Homeland security: Critical infrastructure				
protection				
Decontamination (also S&T; EPM; HSS)	197	198	198	+1
Critical infrastructure protection (other activities) (also S&T; EPM)	1,245	1,374	1,374	+129
Subtotal, Homeland security: Critical infrastructure protection	1,442	1,572	1,572	+130
Homeland security: Preparedness, response, and recovery				
Decontamination (also S&T; EPM; HSS)	10,395	12,271	10,471	+76
Laboratory preparedness and response (also S&T; EPM; HSS)	---	9,500	1,000	+1,000
Preparedness, response, and recovery (other activities) (also S&T)	27,184	28,004	28,004	+820
(Transfer to Science and Technology)	(-1,976)	(-2,000)	(-2,000)	(-24)
Subtotal, Homeland security: Preparedness, response, and recovery	37,579	49,775	39,475	+1,896
				-10,300

(dollars in thousands)

	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Request	Recommended Request	Recommended versus Enacted	Request
Homeland security: Protection of EPA personnel and infrastructure (also S&T; EPM; B&F).....	588	594	594	+6	---
Subtotal, Homeland security.....	39,905	52,241	41,941	+2,036	-10,300
Information exchange / Outreach Congressional, intergovernmental, external relations (also EPM).....	48	130	130	+82	---
Exchange network (also EPM).....	1,650	1,432	1,432	-218	---
Subtotal, Information exchange / Outreach.....	1,698	1,562	1,562	-136	---
IT / Data management / Security Information security (also EPM).....	341	789	789	+448	---
IT / Data management (also S&T; EPM; LUST; OSR).....	17,053	17,120	17,120	+67	---
Subtotal, IT / Data management / Security.....	17,394	17,909	17,909	+515	---
Legal/Science/Regulatory/Economic review Alternative dispute resolution (also EPM).....	975	887	887	-88	---
Legal advice: Environmental program (also EPM).....	755	691	691	-64	---
Subtotal, Legal/Science/Regulatory/Economic review.....	1,730	1,578	1,578	-152	---
Operations and administration Financial assistance grants / Interagency agreements (IAG) management (also EPM).....	3,060	2,921	2,921	-139	---
Facilities infrastructure and operations (also S&T; EPM; B&F; LUST; OSR).....	69,667	73,945	73,945	+4,278	---
Acquisition management (also EPM; LUST).....	19,727	23,514	22,514	+2,787	-1,000

(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Request	Recommended Enacted	Recommended versus Request
Human resources management (also EPM; LUST).....	5,665	5,270	5,270	-395
Central planning, budgeting, and finance (also EPM; LUST).....	24,349	25,541	25,541	+1,192
Subtotal, Operations and administration.....	122,468	131,191	130,191	+7,723
Research: Human health and ecosystems				
Human health risk assessment.....	3,755	3,847	3,847	+92
(Transfer to Science and Technology).....	(-3,755)	(-3,847)	(-3,847)	(-92)
Research: Land protection				
Research: Land protection and restoration.....	22,927	21,964	22,964	+37
(Transfer to Science and Technology).....	(-22,927)	(-21,964)	(-22,964)	(-37)
Research: Superfund innovative technology (SITE) program.....	1,206	---	1,200	-6
(Transfer to Science and Technology).....	(-1,206)	---	(-1,200)	(+6)
Subtotal, Research: Land protection.....	24,133	21,964	24,164	+31
Research: Sustainability				
Pollution prevention (also S&T).....	292	---	---	-292
(Transfer to Science and Technology).....	(-292)	---	---	(+292)

(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Request	Recommended	Recommended versus Request
Superfund cleanup				
Superfund: Emergency response and removal	193,584	192,399	193,399	-185
Superfund: EPA emergency preparedness	10,540	8,863	9,863	-677
Superfund: Federal facilities	31,336	31,487	31,487	+151
Superfund: Remedial	588,905	581,595	588,595	-310
Superfund: Support to other Federal agencies	9,540	8,575	9,575	+35
Subtotal, Superfund cleanup	833,905	822,919	832,919	-986
Total, Hazardous Substance Superfund (Transfer to Office of Inspector General) (Transfer to Science and Technology)	1,242,074 (-13,337) (-30,156)	1,258,955 (-13,316) (-27,811)	1,256,855 (-13,316) (-30,011)	+14,781 (+21) (+145)

The Committee recommends \$1,256,855,000 for hazardous substance Superfund, an increase of \$14,781,000 above the fiscal year 2006 enacted level and \$2,100,000 below the budget request. Changes to the budget request are detailed below.

Enforcement.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$3,000,000 for Superfund enforcement, which leaves an increase of almost \$4 million above the 2006 level.

Homeland Security: Preparedness, Response, and Recovery.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$10,300,000 for homeland security: preparedness, response, and recovery, including decreases of \$1,800,000 for decontamination and \$8,500,000 for laboratory preparedness and response.

Operations and Administration.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$1,000,000 in the acquisition management program for contract workforce education.

Research: Land Protection.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$2,200,000 research, including increases of \$1,000,000 for land protection and restoration and \$1,200,000 to continue the Superfund innovative technology evaluation program.

Superfund Cleanup.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$10,000,000 to restore base funding for Superfund cleanup including increases of \$1,000,000 for emergency response and removal, \$1,000,000 for EPA emergency preparedness, \$7,000,000 for the remedial program, and \$1,000,000 for support to other Federal agencies.

Bill Language.—Bill language is included transferring funds to the Office of Inspector General and to the Science and Technology account. The funding transfer to the Science and Technology account is increased above the budget request by \$2,200,000, which includes increases of \$1,000,000 for land protection and restoration research and \$1,200,000 for the Superfund Innovative Technology Evaluation program (SITE).

Report Language:

1. The Committee believes that the Superfund Innovative Technology Evaluation program is an important element of the Superfund program and does not agree with eliminating SITE funding as proposed in the budget request.

2. Within the funds provided for support to other Federal agencies, the U.S. Coast Guard should receive at least \$5,200,000.

LEAKING UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK PROGRAM

Subtitle I of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act, authorized the establishment of a response program for clean-up of releases from leaking underground storage tanks. Owners and operators of facilities with underground tanks must demonstrate financial responsibility and bear initial responsibility for clean-up. The Federal trust fund is funded through the imposition of a motor fuel tax of one-tenth of a cent per gallon, which generates approximately \$170,000,000 per year.

Most States also have their own leaking underground storage tank programs, including a separate trust fund or other funding mechanism, in place. The Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund provides additional clean-up resources and may also be used to enforce necessary corrective actions and to recover costs ex-

pended from the Fund for clean-up activities. The underground storage tank response program is designed to operate primarily through cooperative agreements with States. However, funds are also used for grants to non-State entities, including Indian tribes, under Section 8001 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act.

Appropriation enacted, 2006	\$79,953,000
Budget estimate, 2007	72,759,000
Recommended, 2007	72,759,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2006	-7,194,000
Budget estimate, 2007	0

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

(dollars in thousands)

	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Request	Recommended Enacted	Recommended versus Request
Leaking Underground Storage Tanks (LUST)				
Compliance				
Compliance assistance and centers (also EPM; OSR; HSS)	711	839	839	+128
IT / Data management / Security				
IT / Data management (also S&T; EPM; HSS; OSR)	182	176	176	-6
Operations and administration				
Acquisition management (also EPM; HSS)	358	361	361	+3
Central planning, budgeting, and finance (also EPM; HSS)	1,010	1,015	1,015	+5
Facilities infrastructure and operations (also S&T; EPM; B&F; HSS; OSR)	894	917	917	+23
Human resources management (also EPM; HSS)	3	3	3	---
Subtotal, Operations and administration	2,265	2,296	2,296	+31

(dollars in thousands)

	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Request	Recommended	Recommended versus Enacted	Request
Research: Land protection					
Research: Land protection and restoration (also					
S&T; HSS; OSR).....	634	651	651	+17	---
Underground storage tanks (LUST / UST)					
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 109-148).....	8,000	---	---	-8,000	---
Underground storage tanks (LUST / UST) (also EPM)...	10,514	10,590	10,590	+76	---
LUST Cooperative agreements.....	57,647	58,207	58,207	+560	---
Subtotal, Underground storage tanks (LUST / UST)	76,161	68,797	68,797	-7,364	---
Total, Leaking Underground Storage Tank Program.	79,953	72,758	72,759	-7,194	---

The Committee recommends \$72,759,000, the budget request, for the leaking underground storage tank program, a decrease of \$7,194,000 below the fiscal year 2006 level, which included one time supplemental funding to address hurricane damage in Louisiana and Mississippi.

OIL SPILL RESPONSE

This appropriation, authorized by the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, provides funds to prepare for and prevent releases of oil and other petroleum products in navigable waterways. In addition, EPA is reimbursed for incident specific response costs through the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund managed by the United States Coast Guard.

EPA is responsible for directing all clean-up and removal activities posing a threat to public health and the environment; conducting site inspections; providing a means to achieve cleanup activities by private parties; reviewing containment plans at facilities; reviewing area contingency plans; pursuing cost recovery of fund-financed clean-ups; and conducting research of oil clean-up techniques. Funds for this appropriation are provided through the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund which is composed of fees and collections made through provisions of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, the Comprehensive Oil Pollution Liability and Compensation Act, the Deepwater Port Act of 1974, the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act Amendments of 1978, and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended. Pursuant to law, the Trust Fund is managed by the United States Coast Guard.

Appropriation enacted, 2006	\$15,629,000
Budget estimate, 2007	16,506,000
Recommended, 2007	16,506,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2006	+877,000
Budget estimate, 2007	0

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2006	FY 2007	Recommended	Recommended versus
	Enacted	Request	Enacted	Request
Oil Spill Response				
Compliance				
Compliance assistance and centers (also EPM; HSS; LUST).....	284	280	280	-4
Enforcement				
Civil enforcement (also EPM; HSS).....	1,910	1,826	1,826	-84
IT / Data management / Security				
IT / Data management (also S&T; EPM; HSS; LUST).....	31	33	33	+2
011				
Oil spill: Prevention, preparedness and response....	12,066	12,965	12,965	+899
Operations and administration				
Facilities infrastructure and operations (also S&T; EPM; B&F; HSS; LUST).....	500	499	499	-1
Research: Land protection				
Research: Land protection and restoration (also S&T; HSS; LUST).....	838	903	903	+65
Total, Oil Spill Response.....	15,629	16,506	16,506	+877

The Committee recommends \$16,506,000, the budget request, for oil spill response, an increase of \$877,000 above the fiscal year 2006 enacted level.

STATE AND TRIBAL ASSISTANCE GRANTS

The State and Tribal Assistance Grants account provides grant funds for programs operated primarily by State, local, tribal and other governmental partners. The account provides funding for infrastructure projects through the State Revolving Funds, geographic specific projects in rural Alaska and Alaska Native Villages, Puerto Rico, and on the United States-Mexico Border, and other targeted special projects. In addition, the account funds Brownfields assessment and revitalization grants, grants for clean school buses, and miscellaneous other categorical grant programs.

The largest portion of the STAG account consists of State Revolving Funds (SRFs), which provide Federal financial assistance to protect the Nation's water resources. The Clean Water SRFs help eliminate municipal discharge of untreated or inadequately treated pollutants and thereby help maintain or restore the country's water to a swimmable and/or fishable quality. The Clean Water SRFs provide resources for municipal, inter-municipal, State, and interstate agencies and tribal governments to plan, design, and construct wastewater facilities and other projects, including non-point source, estuary, stormwater, and sewer overflow projects. The Safe Drinking Water SRFs finance improvements to community water systems so that they can achieve compliance with the mandates of the Safe Drinking Water Act and continue to protect public health.

Categorical grant programs include non-point source grants under Section 319 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended, Public Water System Supervision grants, Section 106 water quality grants, grants to improve targeted watersheds, Clean Air Act Section 105 and 103 air grants targeted to environmental information, Brownfields cleanup grants, and other grants used by the States, tribes, and others to meet Federal environmental statutory and regulatory requirements.

Appropriation enacted, 2006	\$3,213,709,000
Budget estimate, 2007	2,797,448,000
Recommended, 2007	3,007,348,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2006	-206,361,000
Budget estimate, 2007	+209,900,000

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

	(dollars in thousands)			
	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Request	Recommended	Recommended versus Enacted Request
State and Tribal Assistance Grants (STAG)				
Air toxics and quality				
Clean school bus initiative	6,897	---	---	-6,897
Brownfields				
Brownfields projects	88,676	89,119	89,119	+443
Infrastructure assistance				
Infrastructure assistance: Alaska Native villages	34,485	14,850	14,850	-19,635
Infrastructure assistance: Clean water state revolving fund (SRF)	886,759	687,555	687,555	-199,204
Diesel emissions reduction grants	---	49,500	26,000	+26,000
Infrastructure assistance: Drinking water state revolving fund (SRF)	837,495	841,500	841,500	+4,005
Infrastructure assistance: Mexico border	49,264	24,750	24,750	-24,514
Infrastructure assistance: Puerto Rico	---	990	990	+990
Subtotal, Infrastructure assistance	1,808,003	1,619,145	1,595,645	-212,358
STAG infrastructure grants / Congressional priorities	197,058	---	200,000	+2,942
Subtotal, State and Tribal Assistance Grants (excluding categorical grants)	2,100,634	1,708,264	1,884,764	-215,870
				+176,500

(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Request	Recommended	Recommended versus Enacted Request
Categorical grants				
Categorical grant: Beaches protection.....	9,853	9,900	9,900	+47
Categorical grant: Brownfields.....	49,264	49,495	49,495	+231
Categorical grant: Environmental information.....	19,706	14,850	14,850	-4,856
Categorical grant: Hazardous waste financial assistance.....	101,944	103,345	103,345	+1,401
Categorical grant: Homeland security.....	4,926	4,950	4,950	+24
Categorical grant: Lead.....	13,499	13,563	13,563	+64
Categorical grant: Nonpoint source (Sec. 319).....	204,278	194,040	204,340	+62
Categorical grant: Pesticides enforcement.....	18,622	18,711	18,711	+89
Categorical grant: Pesticides program implementation.....	12,907	12,969	12,969	+62
Categorical grant: Pollution control (Sec. 106) Water quality monitoring.....	18,228	18,500	18,500	+272
Pollution control (Sec. 106) (other activities)...	197,944	203,161	203,161	+5,217
Subtotal, Categorical grant: Pollution control (Sec. 106).....	216,172	221,661	221,661	+5,489
Categorical grant: Pollution prevention.....	4,926	5,940	5,940	+1,014
Categorical grant: Public water system supervision (PWSS).....	98,279	99,099	99,099	+820
Categorical grant: Radon.....	7,439	8,074	8,074	+635
Categorical grant: Sector program.....	2,217	2,227	2,227	+10
Categorical grant: State and local air quality management.....	220,261	165,180	220,280	+19
Categorical grant: Targeted watersheds.....	16,608	6,930	15,930	-678
Categorical grant: Toxics substances compliance.....	5,074	5,098	5,098	+24
Categorical grant: Tribal air quality management...	10,887	10,940	10,940	+53

	(dollars in thousands)			
	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Request	Recommended	Recommended versus Enacted Request
Categorical grant: Tribal general assistance program.....	56,654	56,925	56,925	+271
Categorical grant: Underground injection control (UIC).....	10,838	10,890	10,890	+52
Categorical grant: Underground storage tanks.....	11,774	37,567	17,567	+5,793
Categorical grant: Wastewater operator training.....	1,182	---	---	-1,182
Categorical grant: Water quality cooperative Wetlands program development.....	15 65	16,830	15,830	+65
Subtotal, Categorical grants.....	1,113,075	1,089,184	1,122,584	+9,509
Subtotal, State and Tribal Assistance Grants.....	3,213,709	2,797,448	3,007,348	-206,361
Rescission of expired contracts, grants, and interagency agreements (various EPA accounts).....	-80,000	---	---	+80,000
Total, State and Tribal Assistance Grants.....	3,133,709	2,797,448	3,007,348	-126,361
	=====	=====	=====	=====

The Committee recommends \$3,007,348,000 for State and tribal assistance grants, a decrease of \$206,361,000 below the fiscal year 2006 enacted level and \$209,900,000 above the budget request. These numbers do not reflect the one-time rescission of \$80 million in fiscal year 2006 from expired contracts, grants and interagency agreements. While the rescission was included under the STAG heading, it applied to all EPA appropriation accounts and did not affect base program funding in any account for fiscal year 2006. Changes to the budget request are detailed below.

Infrastructure Assistance: Clean Water State Revolving Fund.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$23,500,000 for diesel emissions reduction grants.

State and Tribal Infrastructure Grants/Congressional Priorities.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$200,000,000 for targeted STAG infrastructure grants including the following:

	State	Grantee name	Grantee city/county—description	Amount
1.	AL	City of Glencoe	Glencoe—Storm drainage & sewer system improvements.	\$330,000
2.	AL	City of Jasper	Jasper Corridor X—Interchange sewer project.	1,400,000
3.	AL	City of Scottsboro	Scottsboro—Water infrastructure improvements.	242,000
4.	AR	Northwest Arkansas Conservation Authority.	Rogers—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	750,000
5.	AR	Southside Public Water Authority	Batesville—Wastewater infrastructure planning.	50,000
6.	AR	Town of Etowah	Etowah—Wastewater infrastructure improvements.	41,000
7.	AZ	City of Mesa	Mesa—Arsenic mitigation water infrastructure improvements.	500,000
8.	AZ	City of Safford	Safford—Wastewater infrastructure improvements.	1,000,000
9.	CA	Bighorn-Desert View Water Agency	Landers—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements (water resources plan).	500,000
10.	CA	City of Arcadia	Arcadia & Sierra Madre—Water infrastructure improvements.	1,000,000
11.	CA	City of Banning	Banning—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements (Brinton Reservoir).	500,000
12.	CA	City of Beaumont	Beaumont—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements (recycled water reservoir).	500,000
13.	CA	City of Bellflower	Bellflower—Water infrastructure improvements.	300,000
14.	CA	City of Calimesa	Calimesa—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements (storm drain project).	600,000
15.	CA	City of Colfax	Colfax—Wastewater infrastructure improvements.	500,000
16.	CA	City of Downey	Downey—Groundwater well supply	300,000
17.	CA	City of Lodi	Lodi—Water infrastructure improvements.	1,000,000
18.	CA	City of Placerville	Placerville—Wastewater treatment plant upgrade (Hangtown Creek).	1,500,000
19.	CA	Hi-Desert Water District	Yucca Valley—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	350,000
20.	CA	Mission Springs Water District	Desert Hot Springs—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	1,000,000
21.	CA	Monterey County Water Resources Agency.	Monterey—Water management	750,000

	State	Grantee name	Grantee city/county—description	Amount
22.	CA	San Francisco Public Utilities Commission.	City & County of San Francisco—Flood control project.	700,000
23.	CO	Southeastern Colorado Water Conservancy District.	Pueblo/Otero/Bent/Prowers/Crowley Counties—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	675,000
24.	CO	Town of Eckley	Eckley—Water treat plant	150,000
25.	CT	City of New Haven	New Haven—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	303,000
26.	CT	City of Norwalk	Norwalk—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	1,000,000
27.	CT	CT Regional Drinking Water Pipeline.	New Britain/Plainville/Bristol—Water infrastructure improvements.	1,000,000
28.	CT	Town of Enfield	Enfield—Wastewater infrastructure improvements.	550,000
29.	FL	City of Largo	Largo—Wastewater infrastructure improvements.	2,000,000
30.	FL	City of West Palm Beach	West Palm Beach—Drinking water algae control.	1,000,000
31.	FL	Gadsden County	Quincy—Wastewater infrastructure design.	490,000
32.	FL	Marion County	Ocala/Marion County—Silver Springs pollution abatement program.	700,000
33.	FL	Southwest Florida Water Management District.	Polk County—Lake Peace River & Myakka River watershed & drinking water improvements.	1,000,000
34.	FL	St. Johns River Water Management District.	Brevard/Orange/Osceola Counties—Expansion of Taylor Creek Reservoir.	1,000,000
35.	FL	Town Of Callahan	Callahan—Wastewater treatment plant.	1,000,000
36.	FL	Village of Wellington	Village of Wellington—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	700,000
37.	GA	Banks County	Homer/Banks County—Wastewater treatment plant.	1,000,000
38.	GA	City of Albany	Albany—Interceptor pipeline upgrade (sewer).	1,000,000
39.	GA	City of Valdosta	Valdosta—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	500,000
40.	GA	Metropolitan Georgia Water Planning District.	North Georgia—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	1,000,000
41.	IA	Riverpoint West Project	Des Moines—Water and wastewater infrastructure improvements.	700,000
42.	ID	City of Castleford	Castleford—Water system upgrade	300,000
43.	ID	City of Twin Falls	Twin Falls—Wastewater treatment	1,000,000
44.	IL	City of Aurora	Aurora—Wastewater infrastructure improvements.	300,000
45.	IL	City of Virginia	Virginia—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	1,000,000
46.	IL	Kane County	Kane County—Water supply management plan.	275,000
47.	IL	Northeastern Illinois Sewer Consortium.	Lake Forest/Lake Bluff/Highland Park/Highwood—Sewer improvements.	500,000
48.	IL	Village of Lynwood	Lynwood—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	281,000
49.	IL	Village of Orion	Orion—Removal & replacement of groundwater storage tank.	123,000
50.	IL	Village of Richton Park	Richton Park—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	190,000
51.	IL	Village of Ridgewood	Ridgewood—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	700,000

	State	Grantee name	Grantee city/county—description	Amount
52.	IL	Village of Steward	Steward—Wastewater infrastructure improvements.	300,000
53.	IL	Village of Sublette	Sublette—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	340,000
54.	IN	City of Charlestown	Charlestown—Wastewater infrastructure improvements.	400,000
55.	IN	City of South Bend	South Bend—Sewer overflow sensory control network.	1,000,000
56.	IN	Dearborn County Regional Sewer District	Dearborn County—Wastewater infrastructure improvements.	600,000
57.	IN	Town of Merrillville	Merrillville—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	500,000
58.	IN	Wadesville/Blairsville Regional Sewer District	Wadesville—Wastewater infrastructure improvements.	1,000,000
59.	KS	Chautauqua County Rural Water District No 4.	Sedan—Water infrastructure improvements.	1,000,000
60.	KS	City of Fairway	Fairway and Mission—Water and wastewater infrastructure improvements.	500,000
61.	KY	City of Harlan	City of Harlan—Sewer line expansion.	1,500,000
62.	KY	City of Warsaw	Warsaw—Water and wastewater infrastructure improvements.	400,000
63.	KY	Louisville Metropolitan Sewer District.	Louisville—Combined sewer & sanitary sewer overflow mitigation.	1,000,000
64.	KY	Oldham County Sewer District	Goshen—Wastewater infrastructure improvements.	700,000
65.	LA	City of Monroe	Monroe—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	1,000,000
66.	LA	West Jefferson Medical Center	Metairie—Water infrastructure improvements.	385,000
67.	MA	City of Boston	Boston—Water infrastructure improvements (groundwater depletion).	750,000
68.	MA	City of Northampton	Northampton—Drinking water filtration plant.	500,000
69.	MA	Pioneer Valley Planning Commission.	West Springfield—Combined sewer overflow cleanup.	1,500,000
70.	MD	City of College Park	College Park—Watershed stormwater management plan.	100,000
71.	MI	City of Detroit, Water and Sewerage Department.	Detroit—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	1,000,000
72.	MI	Oakland County Drain Commission	Waterford—Evergreen/Farmington sanitary sewer overflow control.	1,000,000
73.	MI	Wayne County	Wayne County—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	1,000,000
74.	MN	City of Minneapolis	Minneapolis—Wastewater infrastructure improvements.	1,000,000
75.	MO	City of Joplin	Joplin—Wastewater infrastructure improvements.	1,100,000
76.	MS	Tate County School District	Independence—Water infrastructure improvements.	825,000
77.	MT	City of Conrad	Conrad—Wastewater infrastructure improvements.	750,000
78.	NC	Brunswick County	Bolivia—Waccamaw waterline extension.	375,000
79.	NC	Caldwell County	Lenoir & Morgantown—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	750,000
80.	NC	City of Charlotte	Charlotte—Sardis Road booster station expansion.	750,000
81.	NC	City of Durham	Durham—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	250,000
82.	NC	City of Marion	Marion—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements	1,000,000

	State	Grantee name	Grantee city/county—description	Amount
83.	NC	Montgomery County Pump Station Improvement Project.	Montgomery County—Water infrastructure improvements.	500,000
84.	NC	Stanly County Water Improvement Project.	Stanly County—Water infrastructure improvements.	500,000
85.	NC	Town of Ahoskie	Ahoskie—Wastewater infrastructure improvements.	750,000
86.	NC	Town of Cary	Cary—Regional water reclamation facility planning/design/permitting.	750,000
87.	NC	Town of Elkin	Elkin/Jonesville/Rhonda—Wastewater infrastructure improvements.	750,000
88.	NC	Town of Laurel Park	Laurel Park—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	1,760,000
89.	NC	Tuckaseegee Water and Sewer Authority.	Sylva—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	574,000
90.	NE	City of South Sioux City	South Sioux City—Sanitary sewer crossing between Nebraska & Iowa.	1,000,000
91.	NH	City of Somersworth	Somersworth—Wastewater infrastructure improvements.	700,000
92.	NJ	Borough of Dumont	Borough of Dumont—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	1,000,000
93.	NJ	Borough of Hopatcong	Borough of Hopatcong—Wastewater infrastructure improvements.	1,000,000
94.	NM	Albuquerque Bernalillo Water Utility Authority.	Albuquerque—Water infrastructure improvements.	1,000,000
95.	NV	Moapa Valley Water District	Overton—Arsenic treatment facility	1,000,000
96.	NY	City of Goshen	Goshen—Water infrastructure improvements.	300,000
97.	NY	City of Rye	Rye—Sewer pump station repairs	200,000
98.	NY	Monroe County Water Authority	Rochester—Reservoir cover	1,000,000
99.	NY	NY Botanical Garden	Bronx—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements (Twin Lakes).	500,000
100.	NY	Saratoga County	Ballston Spa—Water infrastructure improvements.	1,000,000
101.	NY	Town of Bethel	Bethel—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	1,000,000
102.	NY	Town of Greenburgh, NY	Town of Greenburgh—Stormwater infrastructure improvements.	300,000
103.	NY	Town of Lancaster	Lancaster—Water and wastewater infrastructure improvements.	1,000,000
104.	NY	Town of New Windsor, NY	New Windsor—Wastewater infrastructure improvements.	700,000
105.	OH	Butler County Board of Commissioners.	Butler County—Wastewater infrastructure improvements.	1,000,000
106.	OH	City of Jeromesville	Jeromesville—Wastewater infrastructure improvements.	1,000,000
107.	OH	City of Perrysburg	City of Perrysburg—Wastewater infrastructure improvements.	300,000
108.	OH	Fayette County	Fayette County—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	1,575,000
109.	OH	Ottawa County	Ottawa County—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	1,000,000
110.	OK	City of Wewoka	City of Wewoka—Water tower	165,000
111.	PA	Bucks County Water and Sewer Authority.	Warrington Township—Wastewater infrastructure improvements.	1,000,000
112.	PA	Cecil Township Municipal Authority	Cecil Township—Wastewater infrastructure improvements.	750,000
113.	PA	City of Beaver Falls	Beaver Falls—Wastewater infrastructure & streambank stabilization.	258,000

	State	Grantee name	Grantee city/county—description	Amount
114.	PA	Clinton County Municipal Authority	Lock Haven—Sewer pump station	500,000
115.	PA	Delaware County Regional Water Quality Control Authority.	Chester—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	200,000
116.	PA	DuBoistown Borough Municipal Authority.	DuBoistown—Sewage pump station replacement.	300,000
117.	PA	Fairmont Park Commission	Philadelphia—Watershed water quality improvements.	165,000
118.	PA	Jefferson Township Sewer Authority	Jefferson Township—Expand sewer system.	2,000,000
119.	PA	New Brighton Borough Sanitary Authority.	New Brighton—Water and wastewater, infrastructure improvements.	500,000
120.	PA	Somerset County	Somerset—Interconnection water project.	2,000,000
121.	RI	Town of Cumberland	Cumberland—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	125,000
122.	SC	Gaffney Board of Public Works	Gaffney—Wastewater treatment plant renovation and expansion.	500,000
123.	SC	Town of Denmark	Denmark—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	715,000
124.	SC	Town of Olanta	Olanta—Water infrastructure improvements.	110,000
125.	TN	East TN Development District	Harrogate \$137,000; Anderson County \$275,000; Claiborne County \$838,000—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	1,250,000
126.	TN	SE TN Development District	Cleveland \$500,000; Copperhill \$250,000—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	750,000
127.	TN	West Knox Utility District	Knox County—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	700,000
128.	TX	Fort Bend County	Arcola/Fresno area—Water and wastewater infrastructure improvements.	500,000
129.	TX	City of Grandview	Grandview—Water infrastructure improvements.	500,000
130.	TX	City of Jarrell	Jarrell—Wastewater treatment facility.	350,000
131.	UT	City of Salt Lake	Salt Lake City—Water infrastructure improvements.	700,000
132.	VA	City of Alexandria and Arlington County.	Alexandria/Arlington County—Four Mile Run restoration.	500,000
133.	VA	Fairfax County—Stormwater Planning Division.	Fairfax County—Stormwater management.	1,000,000
134.	VA	Hanover County	Hanover County—Wastewater infrastructure improvements.	1,000,000
135.	VA	Henry County Public Service Authority.	Henry County—Water infrastructure improvements.	1,000,000
136.	VA	Town of Onancock	Onancock—Wastewater infrastructure improvements.	1,000,000
137.	WA	Cascade Water Alliance	Bellevue—Central pipeline segment/water infrastructure improvements.	500,000
138.	WA	City of Carnation	Carnation—Wastewater infrastructure improvements.	500,000
139.	WA	Mason County	Mason County/Skokomish Indian Tribe—Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements.	1,000,000
140.	WI	City of Spooner	Spooner—Wastewater infrastructure improvements.	1,238,000
141.	WI	Lake Holcombe Sanitary District	Holcombe—Wastewater infrastructure improvements.	1,265,000
142.	WV	City of Milton	Milton—Drinking water renovation & extension.	1,000,000
143.	WV	City of Pennsboro	Pennsboro—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	550,000

	State	Grantee name	Grantee city/county—description	Amount
144.	WV	City of Weston	Weston—Water & wastewater infrastructure improvements.	250,000
145.	WV	City of Westover	Westover—Sanitary sewer service extension.	825,000
146.	WV	Sugar Creek Public Service District	Frametown—Water infrastructure improvements.	500,000

Categorical Grants.—The Committee recommends a net increase of \$33,400,000 for categorical grants, including increases of \$10,300,000 for nonpoint source (Sec. 319), \$35,100,000 for State and local air quality management, and \$9,000,000 for targeted watersheds, and decreases of \$20,000,000 for implementing underground storage tank requirements in the Energy Policy Act of 2005, and \$1,000,000 for wetlands program development.

Bill Language.—Bill language is recommended requiring that STAG special project funding from fiscal year 2001 or earlier must have an approved grant by September 1, 2007 or those funds will be rescinded. Language, proposed in the budget request, is not included limiting Clean Diesel grants to non-attainment areas. Language is included specifying that funds under the Clean Diesel program are for Federal grants. Given the limited funds available for this program, the Committee believes it should be centrally managed by EPA. Language is also included making a technical correction to the fiscal year 2005 appropriation for STAG special project funding.

The Committee agrees to the following:

1. The Committee supports the Diesel Emissions Reduction Grant Program and has provided \$26,000,000 for that program. This and other important efforts, authorized by the Energy Policy Act of 2005, cannot be funded at the levels requested when there are insufficient funds requested for other critical mission essential programs that entail EPA research and program implementation by the States and EPA. The funds recommended by the Committee are a substantial increase over funds provided for diesel emission reduction efforts in 2006 and should enable EPA to expand its truck and school bus retrofit programs and to expand its idle reduction programs, including advanced truck stop electrification.
2. The EPA should report to the Committee at least once a year and within 30 days of the close of each fiscal year with a list of the technical corrections it has made to STAG special project infrastructure grants during that fiscal year.
3. Funds for the Targeted Watersheds program include \$6,000,000 for the Chesapeake Bay program and should focus on watershed remediation. Projects should be chosen based upon their contribution to pounds of nitrogen, phosphorous, and sediment removed.
4. The Committee is pleased that EPA is working with the Environmental Council of the States to assess the actual costs incurred by States to implement environmental regulations and then compare those costs with EPA estimates. The EPA should provide periodic briefings to the Committee on this effort.
5. The EPA should streamline its process for responding to requests from States for greater flexibility in managing their environmental programs. These requests deserve careful consideration and

should neither be met with an automatic denial nor delayed by multiple bureaucratic reviews.

6. The Committee has not provided categorical grant funding for the underground storage tank program authorized in the Energy Policy Act of 2005. This important National issue is a high priority for the legislative committee of jurisdiction and for the Congress as a whole. The Committee suggests that EPA use the funds that will be freed up in 2008 from the financial information system replacement effort to fund this activity in the 2008 budget request.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

Bill language is recommended limiting reimbursements for consultants. This language is identical to a provision carried in fiscal year 2005 and earlier.

TITLE III—RELATED AGENCIES

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

FOREST SERVICE

The U.S. Forest Service manages 192 million acres of public lands for multiple use Nationwide, including lands in 44 States and Puerto Rico, and cooperates with States, other Federal agencies, Tribes and others to sustain the Nation's forests and grasslands. The Forest Service administers a wide variety of programs, including forest and rangeland research, State and private forestry assistance, wildfire suppression and fuels reduction, cooperative forest health programs, and human resource programs. The National Forest System (NFS) includes 155 National forests, 20 National grasslands, 20 National recreation areas, a National tallgrass prairie, 6 National monuments, and 6 land utilization projects. The NFS is managed for multiple use, including timber production, recreation, wilderness, minerals, grazing, fish and wildlife habitat management, and soil and water conservation.

The Committee is aware of the Administration's proposal to sell up to 300,000 acres of national forest system lands to generate revenues to fund the needs of rural schools in the vicinity of national forests. While the Committee believes that the Congress must find a fair approach to assist rural schools, this proposal is entirely unacceptable. The Committee feels that any disposal of land should occur only if there has been local prioritization and public input, as well as careful, site by site analysis. Only lands which lack Federal importance and which are disjunct from Federal lands, and are difficult to manage, should be considered for sale or trade. Furthermore, while the Committee applauds efforts to draw attention to the economic needs of rural communities near national forest areas, the Administration's proposal is inequitable in its basic funding allocation. Communities in the Southeast and Midwest would be net donors of acres of forest lands disposed, but would receive far less of the resulting revenues than would communities in the Pacific Northwest. The Committee looks forward to working with the Administration to reauthorize the rural schools program, but cannot support this unacceptable mechanism to do so.

FOREST AND RANGELAND RESEARCH

Forest and rangeland research and development sponsors basic and applied scientific research. This research provides both credible and relevant knowledge about forests and rangelands and new technologies that can be used to sustain the health, productivity, and diversity of private and public lands to meet the needs of present and future generations. Research is conducted across the U.S. through six research stations, the Forest Products Laboratory, and the International Institute of Tropical Forestry in Puerto Rico as well as cooperative research efforts with many of the Nation's universities. The Committee stresses that this research and development should support all of the Nation's forests and rangelands; it should be closely coordinated with other Federal science bureaus and extramural partners, and that technology transfer and practical applications are vital.

Appropriation enacted, 2006	\$277,711,000
Budget estimate, 2007	267,791,000
Recommended, 2007	280,318,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2006	+2,607,000
Budget estimate, 2007	+12,527,000

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

Calendar No. 507

109TH CONGRESS }
2d Session }

SENATE

{ REPORT
109-275

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND
RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2007

JUNE 29, 2006.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. BURNS, from the Committee on Appropriations,
submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 5386]

The Committee on Appropriations to which was referred the bill (H.R. 5386) making appropriations for the Department of the Interior, environment, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2007, and for other purposes, reports the same to the Senate with an amendment and recommends that the bill as amended do pass.

Total obligational authority, fiscal year 2007

Total of bill as reported to the Senate	\$26,052,125,000
Amount of 2006 appropriations (including emergency appropriations)	26,374,934,000
Amount of 2007 budget estimate	25,532,115,000
Amount of House allowance	25,944,125,000
Bill as recommended to Senate compared to—	
2006 appropriations (including emergency appropriations)	- 322,809,000
2007 budget estimate	+ 520,010,000
House allowance	+ 108,000,000

CONTENTS

	Page
Summary of Bill	4
Revenue Generated by Agencies in Bill	4
Major Changes Recommended in the Bill	5
Reprogramming Guidelines	6
Title I:	
Department of the Interior:	
Land and Water Resources: Bureau of Land Management	9
Fish and Wildlife and Parks:	
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	14
National Park Service	23
Energy and Minerals:	
U.S. Geological Survey	32
Minerals Management Service	36
Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement	37
Indian Affairs: Bureau of Indian Affairs	38
Departmental Offices:	
Insular Affairs	42
Departmental Management	45
Payment in Lieu of Taxes	45
Central Hazardous Materials Fund	45
Office of the Solicitor	46
Office of Inspector General	46
Office of Special Trustee for American Indians	46
Natural Resource Damage Assessment and Restoration	47
Title II:	
Environmental Protection Agency:	
Science and Technology	53
Environmental Programs and Management	58
Office of Inspector General	68
Buildings and Facilities	70
Hazardous Substance Superfund	72
Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund	76
Oil Spill Response	79
State and Tribal Assistance Grants	81
Administrative Provisions	88
Title III:	
Related agencies:	
Department of Agriculture: Forest Service	89
Administrative Provisions, Forest Service	103
Department of Health and Human Services:	
Indian Health Service	104
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences	107
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry	107
Other Related Agencies:	
Council on Environmental Quality	107
Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board	108
Office of Navajo and Hopi Indian Relocation	108
Institute of American Indian and Alaska Native Culture and Arts Development	108
Smithsonian Institution	109
National Gallery of Art	111
John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts	112
Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars	113
National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities: National Endowment for the Arts	113

TITLE II
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Environmental Protection Agency [EPA] was created through Executive Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1970, designed to consolidate certain Federal Government environmental activities into a single agency. The plan was submitted by the President to the Congress on July 8, 1970, and the Agency was established as an independent agency in the executive branch on December 2, 1970, by consolidating 15 components from 5 departments and independent agencies.

A description of EPA's pollution control programs by media follows:

Air.—The Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 authorize a national program of air pollution research, regulation, prevention, and enforcement activities.

Water Quality.—The Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended, provides the framework for protection of the Nation's surface waters. The law recognizes that it is the primary responsibility of the States to prevent, reduce, and eliminate water pollution. The States determine the desired uses for their waters, set standards, identify current uses and, where uses are being impaired or threatened, develop plans for the protection or restoration of the designated use. They implement the plans through control programs such as permitting and enforcement, construction of municipal waste water treatment works, and nonpoint source control practices. The CWA also regulates discharge of dredge or fill material into waters of the United States, including wetlands.

Drinking Water.—The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended in 1996, charges EPA with the responsibility of implementing a program to assure that the Nation's public drinking water supplies are free of contamination that may pose a human health risk, and to protect and prevent the endangerment of ground water resources which serve as drinking water supplies.

Hazardous Waste.—The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 mandated EPA to develop a regulatory program to protect human health and the environment from improper hazardous waste disposal practices. The RCRA Program manages hazardous wastes from generation through disposal.

EPA's responsibilities and authorities to manage hazardous waste were greatly expanded under the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984. Not only did the regulated universe of wastes and facilities dealing with hazardous waste increase significantly, but past mismanagement practices, in particular prior releases at inactive hazardous and solid waste management units,

were to be identified and corrective action taken. The 1984 amendments also authorized a regulatory and implementation program directed to owners and operators of underground storage tanks.

Pesticides.—The objective of the Pesticide Program is to protect the public health and the environment from unreasonable risks while permitting the use of necessary pest control approaches. This objective is pursued by EPA under the Food Quality Protection Act, the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act and the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and the Pesticide Registration Improvement Act of 2003 through three principal means: (1) review of existing and new pesticide products; (2) enforcement of pesticide use rules; and (3) research and development to reinforce the ability to evaluate the risks and benefits of pesticides.

Radiation.—The radiation program's major emphasis is to minimize the exposure of persons to ionizing radiation, whether from naturally occurring sources, from medical or industrial applications, nuclear power sources, or weapons development.

Toxic Substances.—The Toxic Substances Control Act establishes a program to stimulate the development of adequate data on the effects of chemical substances on health and the environment, and institute control action for those chemicals which present an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment. The act's coverage affects more than 60,000 chemicals currently in commerce, and all new chemicals.

Multimedia.—Multimedia activities are designed to support programs where the problems, tools, and results are cross media and must be integrated to effect results. This integrated program encompasses the Agency's research, enforcement, and abatement activities.

Superfund.—The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 established a national program to protect public health and the environment from the threats posed by inactive hazardous waste sites and uncontrolled spills of hazardous substances. The original statute was amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986. Under these authorities, EPA manages a hazardous waste site cleanup program including emergency response and long-term remediation.

Brownfields.—The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 as amended by the Small Business Liability Relief and Brownfields Revitalization Act of 2002 establishes a national program to assess, cleanup, and provide support to States, Tribes, local communities and other stakeholders to work together to reuse Brownfields.

Leaking Underground Storage Tanks.—The Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 established the leaking underground storage tank [LUST] trust fund to conduct corrective actions for releases from leaking underground storage tanks that contain petroleum or other hazardous substances. EPA implements the LUST response program primarily through cooperative agreements with the States.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends a total of \$7,529,924,000 for EPA. This is a decrease of \$108,492,000 below the fiscal year 2006 en-

acted level, an increase of \$214,449,000 above the budget request, and a decrease of \$46,746,000 below the House recommendation.

SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

Appropriations, 2006	\$730,810,000
Budget estimate, 2007	788,274,000
House allowance	808,044,000
Committee recommendation	793,362,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

EPA's "Science and technology" account provides funding for the scientific knowledge and tools necessary to support decisions on preventing, regulating, and abating environmental pollution and to advance the base of understanding on environmental sciences. These efforts are conducted through contracts, grants, and cooperative agreements with universities, industries, other private commercial firms, nonprofit organizations, State and local governments, and Federal agencies, as well as through work performed at EPA's laboratories and various field stations and field offices. In addition, Hazardous Substance Superfund Trust Fund resources are transferred to this account directly from the Hazardous Substance Superfund.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2006 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	
				2006 appropriation	Budget estimate
Science and Technology					
Air toxics and quality:					
Clean air allowance trading programs (also EPM)	8,527	9,259	9,259	+732	
Federal support for air quality mgmt (also EPM)	10,012	10,273	10,273	+261	
Federal support for air toxics program (also EPM)	2,225	2,265	2,265	+40	
Federal vehicle and fuels standards/certification	58,613			-58,613	
Energy Policy Act (Fed vehicle & fuel standards)		11,400	1,400	+1,400	-10,000
Federal vehicles and fuel standards (other)		56,925	56,925	+56,925	
Radiation: Protection (also EPM; HSS)	2,086	2,084	2,054	-32	
Radiation: Response preparedness (also EPM)	3,468	3,586	3,586	+118	
Subtotal, Air toxics and quality	84,931	95,762	85,762	+831	-10,000
Climate protection: Climate protection program (also EPM)	18,648	12,550	12,550	-6,098	
Enforcement: Forensics support (also HSS)	13,129	13,185	13,185	+56	
Homeland security:					
Homeland security: Critical infrastructure protection:					
Water sentinel and related training	8,131	41,735	18,131	+10,000	-23,604
Critical infrastructure protection (except water sentinel) (also EPM; HSS)	4,262	3,516	3,516	-746	
Subtotal, Homeland security: Critical infrastructure protection	12,393	45,251	21,647	+9,254	-23,604
Homeland security: Preparedness, response, and recovery:					
Decontamination (also EPM; HSS)	16,868	24,667	24,667	+7,799	
Laboratory preparedness & response (also EPM; HSS)	591	600	600	+9	
Safe buildings	3,722	4,000	4,000	+278	
Preparedness, response, and recovery (other activities) (also HSS)	14,571	15,231	15,231	+660	
(Transfer from Hazardous substance superfund)	(1,976)	(2,000)	(2,000)	(+24)	
Subtotal, Homeland security: Preparedness, response, and recovery	35,752	44,498	44,498	+8,746	

Homeland security: Protection of EPA personnel and infrastructure (also EPM; B&F; HSS)	2,050	2,079	2,079	2,079	+ 29	
Subtotal, Homeland security	50,195	91,828	68,224	18,029		- 23,604
Indoor air:						
Indoor air: Radon program (also EPM)	429	442	442	13		
Indoor air: Schools and workplace program (moved Reduce risks from indoor air (also EPM))	810	829	829	19		
Subtotal, Indoor air	1,239	1,271	1,271	32		
IT/Data management/Security; IT/Data management (also EPM; LUST; OSR; HSS)	4,173	4,268	4,268	95		
Operations and administration: Facilities infrastructure and operations (also EPM; B&F; LUST; OSR; HSS)	8,511	70,240	70,240	61,729		
Pesticide licensing:						
Pesticides: Registration of new pesticides (also EPM)	2,463	2,766	2,766	303		
Pesticides: Review/Reregistration of existing pesticides (also EPM)	2,480	2,820	2,820	340		
Subtotal, Pesticide licensing	4,943	5,586	5,586	643		
Research/Congressional priorities	32,919		30,000	- 2,919		+ 30,000
Research: Clean air:						
Research: Air toxics	16,226	12,274	12,274	- 3,952		
Research: Global change	18,619	17,456	17,456	- 1,163		
Research: National ambient air quality standards (NAAQS)	66,777	65,456	65,456	- 1,321		
Subtotal, Research: Clean air	101,622	95,186	95,186	- 6,436		
Research: Clean water:						
Research: Drinking water	45,170	49,243	49,243	4,073		
Research: Water quality	51,269	56,988	56,988	5,719		
Subtotal, Research: Clean water	96,439	106,231	106,231	9,792		
Research: Human health and ecosystems:						
Human health risk assessment	35,637	34,488	34,488	- 1,149		
(By transfer from Hazardous substance superfund)	(3,755)	(3,847)	(3,847)	(+ 92)		
Research: Computational toxicology	12,327	14,983	12,327	- 2,656		
Research: Endocrine disruptor	10,494	9,081	10,494	+ 1,413		
Research: Fellowships	11,691	8,383	11,691	+ 3,308		
Research: Human health and ecosystems	167,703	161,313	161,313	- 6,390		
Subtotal, Research: Human health and ecosystems	237,852	228,248	230,313	- 7,539		+ 2,065

[in thousands of dollars]

Item	2006 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	
				2006 appropriation	Budget estimate
Research: Land protection:					
Research: Land protection & restoration (also HSS)	11,606	10,553	10,553	- 1,053	
(By transfer from Hazardous substance superfund)	(22,927)	(21,964)	(21,964)	(- 963)	
(By transfer from Hazardous substance superfund)	(1,206)			(- 1,206)	
Subtotal, Research: Land protection	11,606	10,553	10,553	- 1,053	
Research: Sustainability:					
Research: Economics and decision science (EDS)	2,361	2,495	2,495	+ 134	
Research: Environmental technology verification (ETV)	2,990		2,500	- 490	+ 2,500
Research: Sustainability (other activities)	25,803	21,404	21,404	- 4,399	
(By transfer from Hazardous substance superfund)	(292)			(- 292)	
Subtotal, Research: Sustainability	31,154	23,899	26,399	- 4,755	+ 2,500
Toxic research and prevention: Research: Pesticides and toxics	30,357	26,224	30,351	- 6	+ 4,127
Water: Human health protection: Drinking water programs (also EPM)	3,092	3,243	3,243	+ 151	
Total, Science and Technology	730,810	788,274	793,362	+ 62,552	+ 5,088
(By transfer from Hazardous substance superfund)	(30,156)	(27,811)	(27,811)	(- 2,345)	

The Committee recommends \$793,362,000 for science and technology, which is \$5,088,000 above the budget request and \$62,552,000 above the fiscal year 2006 enacted level. In addition, the Committee recommends the transfer of \$27,811,000 from the Superfund account, for a total of \$821,173,000 for science and technology. Transferred funds are for ongoing research activities consistent with the intent of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended.

Changes to the budget request are detailed below.

Air Toxics and Quality.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$10,000,000 for Federal vehicle and fuel standards certification associated with implementation of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 renewable fuels standard.

Homeland Security.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$23,604,000 in critical infrastructure protection for WaterSentinel and related training. The Committee does provide a \$10,000,000 increase above the enacted level for this important program.

Research/Congressional Priorities.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$30,000,000 for programs of national and regional significance including the following:

Project	Committee recommendation
The Water Environment Research Foundation	\$2,600,000
The Consortium for Plant Biotechnology Research	750,000
The Water Systems Council Wellcare Program	1,200,000
EPSCoR	1,000,000
The Denver Zoological Foundation's Asian Tropics Sustainable Energy Model in Colorado	1,000,000
National Mining Sustainability Program at the National Environmental Waste Technology, Testing, and Evaluation Center	1,000,000
Groundwater Hydrogeological Study for the City of Bozeman, Montana	130,000
The Nevada Association of Counties water GIS project	500,000
A mercury study at the Hubbard Brook Research Foundation in New Hampshire	500,000
The Texas Tech University Center for Water Law and Policy	650,000
The Texas Air Quality Study 2	750,000
The Integrated Consortium for Energy and the Environment in Oklahoma	500,000
The University of Louisville Lung Biology/Translational Lung Disease program in Kentucky	1,500,000
The University of South Alabama Center for Estuarine Research in Alabama	500,000
Particulate matter research at the University of Pittsburgh in Pennsylvania	500,000
Central California Ozone Study, San Joaquin Valley Study Agency in California	400,000
Center for the Study of Metals in the Environment	500,000
Clean Air Counts, Environmental Protection Agency in Illinois	750,000
Center for Air Toxic Metals at the University of North Dakota	2,500,000
Proctor Maple research station in Underhill, Vermont	200,000
Acid Rain Research at the University of Vermont	250,000
Aiken Greening at the University of Vermont	550,000
National Alternative Fuels Training Consortium at West Virginia University	2,000,000
Baylor University Nuclear Biological and Chemical Threat Detection Assessment, and Response to Protect Public Water Supplies	200,000

Research: Human Health and Ecosystems.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$2,065,000 for human health and ecosystems; including a decrease of \$2,656,000 for computational toxicology; an increase of \$1,413,000 for endocrine disruptor research; and an increase of \$3,308,000 for fellowships through the Science to Achieve Results program.

Research: Sustainability.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$2,500,000 for environmental technology verification.

Toxic Research and Prevention.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$4,127,000 for pesticides and toxics research.

The Committee is concerned with the Agency's implementation of the WaterSentinel program. The threat of bioterrorism remains real and immediate. Thus, the Committee has provided \$10,000,000 for an additional pilot project. EPA should ensure that this additional pilot project demonstrates the best available real-time early warning system technology to monitor and protect the drinking water supplies of a high threat area serving multiple risk targets of national significance.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS AND MANAGEMENT

Appropriations, 2006 (including emergency appropriations)	\$2,352,711,000
Budget estimate, 2007	2,306,617,000
House allowance	2,338,242,000
Committee recommendation	2,310,674,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Agency's "Environmental programs and management" account includes the development of environmental standards; monitoring and surveillance of pollution conditions; direct Federal pollution control planning; technical assistance to pollution control agencies and organizations; preparation of environmental impact statements; enforcement and compliance assurance; and assistance to Federal agencies in complying with environmental standards and ensuring that their activities have minimal environmental impact. It provides personnel compensation, benefits, and travel and other administrative expenses for all agency programs except Hazardous Substance Superfund, LUST, Science and Technology, Oil Spill Response, and OIG.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2006 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	
				2006 appropriation	Budget estimate
Environmental Programs and Management					
Air toxics and quality:					
Clean air allowance trading programs (also S&T)	17,708	19,126	19,126	+ 1,418
Federal stationary source regulations	23,215	25,678	23,678	+ 463	- 2,000
Federal support for air quality management:					
Clean diesel initiative	5,867	- 5,867
Energy Policy Act implementation	2,800	1,000	+ 1,000	- 1,800
Federal support for air quality management (other activities) (also S&T)	90,082	85,266	85,266	- 4,816
Subtotal, Federal support for air quality management	95,949	88,066	86,266	- 9,683	- 1,800
Federal support for air toxics program (also S&T)	25,405	25,514	25,514	+ 109
Radiation: Protection (also S&T; HSS)	11,178	10,649	10,649	- 529
Radiation: Response preparedness (also S&T)	2,632	2,689	2,689	+ 57
Stratospheric ozone: Domestic programs	4,938	5,221	5,221	+ 283
Stratospheric ozone: Multilateral fund	8,600	13,365	8,600	- 4,765
Subtotal, Air toxics and quality	189,625	190,308	181,743	- 7,882	- 8,565
Brownfields	24,534	24,637	24,637	+ 103
Climate protection program:					
Energy star	49,536	45,723	49,536	+ 3,813
Methane to markets	1,971	4,421	1,971	- 2,450
Climate protection program (other activities) (also S&T)	39,327	41,700	41,700	+ 2,373
Subtotal, Climate protection	90,834	91,844	93,207	+ 2,373	+ 1,363
Compliance:					
Compliance assistance and centers:					
Energy Policy Act implementation	111	- 111
Other activities	27,935	28,780	28,780	+ 845

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2006 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	
				2006 appropriation	Budget estimate
Subtotal, Compliance assistance and centers	27,935	28,891	28,780	+ 845	- 111
Compliance incentives (also HSS)	9,412	9,702	9,702	+ 290
Compliance monitoring:
Energy Policy Act implementation	85,463	92,032	89,032	+ 3,569	- 987
Other activities	- 3,000
Subtotal, Compliance monitoring	85,463	93,019	89,032	+ 3,569	- 3,987
Subtotal, Compliance	122,810	131,612	127,514	+ 4,704	- 4,098
Enforcement:
Energy Policy Act	117,807	753	- 753
Civil enforcement (also OSR, HSS) (other)	120,025	120,025	+ 2,218
Subtotal, Enforcement	117,807	120,778	120,025	+ 2,218	- 753
Criminal enforcement (also HSS)	37,565	37,794	37,794	+ 229
Enforcement training (also HSS)	2,945	2,504	2,504	- 441
Environmental justice (also HSS)	5,569	3,859	5,569	+ 1,710
NEPA implementation	12,640	13,787	13,787	+ 1,147
Subtotal, Enforcement	176,526	178,722	179,679	+ 3,153	+ 957
Environmental protection/Congressional priorities	49,799	40,000	- 9,799	+ 40,000
Geographic programs:
Geographic program: Chesapeake Bay	22,118	26,398	26,398	+ 4,280
Geographic program: Great Lakes	21,164	20,577	21,164	+ 587
Geographic program: Gulf of Mexico	4,809	4,311	5,311	+ 502	+ 1,000
Geographic program: Lake Champlain	1,926	934	1,000	- 926	+ 66
Geographic program: Long Island Sound	470	467	470	+ 3

Geographic program: Other:									
Community action for a renewed environment (CARE)	2,862	4,448	1,448	-1,414	-3,000				
Geographic program: Puget Sound	1,971		971	-1,000	+971				
Other activities	5,124	4,602	5,124		+522				
Subtotal, Geographic program: Other	9,957	9,050	7,543	-2,414	-1,507				
Regional geographic initiatives	8,060	9,137	8,060		-1,077				
Subtotal, Geographic programs	68,504	70,874	69,946	+1,442	-928				
Homeland security:									
Homeland security: Communication and information (also HSS):									
Laboratory preparedness and response (also S&T)	1,212	1,200	1,200	-12					
Communication and information (other activities)	5,263	5,600	5,600	+337					
Subtotal, Homeland security: Communication and information	6,475	6,800	6,800	+325					
Homeland security: Critical infrastructure protection:									
Decontamination (also S&T; EPM; HSS)	98	99	99	+1					
Critical infrastructure protection (except decontamination) (also S&T; HSS)	6,689	7,144	7,144	+455					
Subtotal, Homeland security: critical infrastructure protection	6,787	7,243	7,243	+456					
Homeland security: Preparedness, response, and recovery (also S&T; HSS): Decontamination (also S&T; EPM; HSS)	3,252	3,329	3,329	+77					
Homeland security: Protection of EPA personnel and infrastructure (also S&T; B&F; HSS)	6,199	6,269	6,269	+70					
Subtotal, Homeland security	22,713	23,641	23,641	+928					
Indoor air:									
Indoor air: Radon program (also S&T)	5,159	5,519	5,519	+360					
Reduce risks from indoor air (also S&T)	23,137	23,464	23,464	+327					
Subtotal, Indoor air	28,296	28,983	28,983	+687					
Information exchange/outreach:									
Children and other sensitive populations: Agency coordination	5,633	6,064	6,064	+431					
Congressional, intergovernmental, external relations (also HSS)	50,291	52,143	50,291	-1,889	-1,892				
Environmental education	8,889	7,000	7,000	-1,889	+7,000				
Exchange network (also HSS)	17,700	16,049	16,049	-1,651					
Small business ombudsman	3,343	3,502	3,502	+159					
Small minority business assistance	2,503	2,646	2,646	+143					
State and local prevention and preparedness	11,377	12,508	11,377	-1,131					

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2006 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	
				2006 appropriation	Budget estimate
Toxics release inventory (TRI)/Right to know	14,289	15,243	15,243	+ 954
Tribal—Capacity building	11,049	11,436	11,436	+ 387
Subtotal, information exchange/outreach	125,074	119,591	123,608	- 1,466	+ 4,017
International programs:					
Commission for environmental cooperation	4,116	4,137	4,137	+ 21
Environment and Trade	1,766	1,861	1,861	+ 95
International capacity building	6,138	6,390	6,390	+ 252
Persistent organic pollutants (POPs) implementation	1,697	1,809	1,809	+ 112
U.S./Mexico border	5,749	6,061	5,749	- 312
Subtotal, international programs	19,466	20,258	19,946	+ 480	- 312
IT/Data management/Security:					
Information security (also HSS)	3,751	5,562	5,562	+ 1,811
IT/Data management (also S&I; LUST; OSR; HSS) (\$22.59 million moved to EPM Compliance in fiscal year 2006)	94,567	96,807	94,567	- 2,240
Subtotal, IT/Data management/Security	98,318	102,369	100,129	+ 1,811	- 2,240
Legal/Science/Regulatory/Economic review:					
Administrative law	4,607	4,861	4,861	+ 254
Alternative dispute resolution (also HSS)	1,048	1,230	1,230	+ 182
Civil rights/Title VI compliance	10,575	11,054	11,054	+ 479
Legal advice: Environmental program (also HSS)	35,931	37,525	37,525	+ 1,594
Legal advice: Support program	13,206	13,466	13,466	+ 260
Regional science and technology	3,522	3,521	3,521	- 1
Regulatory innovation	21,511	25,853	22,853	+ 1,342	- 3,000
Regulatory/Economic-management and analysis	16,551	17,555	16,551	- 1,004
Science advisory board	4,402	4,616	4,616	+ 214
Subtotal, Legal/Science/Regulatory/Economic review	111,353	119,681	115,677	+ 4,324	- 4,004

Operations and administration:									
Acquisition management (also LUST; HSS)	23,265	25,418	24,418	24,418	+ 1,153	- 1,000			
Central planning, budgeting, and finance (also LUST; HSS)	73,660	83,548	83,548	83,548	+ 9,888				
Facilities infrastructure and operations (also S&T; B&F; LUST; OSR; HSS)	343,908	294,760	292,760	292,760	- 51,148	- 2,000			
Financial assistance grants/interagency agreements (IAG) management (also HSS)	23,168	21,847	21,847	21,847	- 1,321				
Human resources management (also LUST; HSS)	41,275	40,202	40,202	40,202	- 1,073				
Subtotal, Operations and administration	505,296	465,775	462,775	462,775	- 42,521	- 3,000			
Pesticide licensing:									
Pesticides: Field programs	24,516	24,926	24,926	24,926	+ 410				
Pesticides: Registration of new pesticides (also S&T)	41,604	39,768	41,604	41,604	+ 1,836				
Pesticides: Review/Reregistration of existing pesticides (also S&T)	57,458	51,814	55,814	55,814	- 1,644	+ 4,000			
Science policy and biotechnology	1,694	1,754	1,754	1,754	+ 60				
Subtotal, Pesticide licensing	125,272	118,262	124,098	124,098	- 1,174	+ 5,836			
Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA):									
RCRA: Corrective action	39,396	40,372	39,396	39,396		- 976			
RCRA: Waste management	65,793	67,887	65,793	65,793		- 2,094			
RCRA: Waste minimization and recycling	11,825	12,235	11,825	11,825		- 410			
Subtotal, Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)	117,014	120,494	117,014	117,014		- 3,480			
Toxics risk review and prevention:									
Toxic substances: Chemical risk management	9,008	7,736	9,008	9,008		+ 1,272			
Toxic substances: Chemical risk review & reduction	46,542	44,637	46,542	46,542		+ 1,905			
Endocrine disruptors	8,767	7,985	8,767	8,767		+ 782			
Toxic substances: Lead risk reduction program	10,162	11,368	11,368	11,368	+ 1,206				
Pollution prevention program	16,621	21,292	19,292	19,292	+ 2,671	- 2,000			
Subtotal, Toxics risk review and prevention	91,100	93,018	94,977	94,977	+ 3,877	+ 1,959			
Underground storage tanks (LUST/UST) (also LUST)	7,763	11,714	9,714	9,714	+ 1,951	- 2,000			
Water: Ecosystems:									
Great Lakes Legacy Act	28,989	49,600	30,600	30,600	+ 1,611	- 19,000			
National estuary program/Coastal waterways	23,773	18,417	20,417	20,417	- 3,356	+ 2,000			
Wetlands	19,416	20,992	20,992	20,992	+ 1,576				
Subtotal, Water: Ecosystems	72,178	89,009	72,009	72,009	- 169	- 17,000			

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2006 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	
				2006 appropriation	Budget estimate
Water: Human health protection:					
Beach/fish programs	3,156	2,654	2,654	-502	
Drinking water programs (also S&T)	95,656	99,121	99,121	+3,465	
Subtotal, Water: Human health protection	98,812	101,775	101,775	+2,963	
Water quality protection:					
Marine pollution	12,212	12,462	10,462	-1,750	-2,000
Surface water protection:					
Water quality monitoring	7,193	7,121	7,121	-72	
Surface water protection (other activities)	182,019	184,467	182,019		-2,448
Subtotal, Surface water protection	189,212	191,588	189,140	-72	-2,448
Subtotal, Water quality protection	201,424	204,050	199,602	-1,822	-4,448
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 109-234)	6,000			-6,000	
Total, Environmental Programs and Management	2,352,711	2,306,617	2,310,674	-42,037	+4,057

The Committee recommends \$2,310,674,000 for environmental programs and management, \$4,057,000 above the budget request and \$42,037,000 below the fiscal year 2006 enacted level.

Changes to the budget request are detailed below.

Air Toxics and Quality.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$8,565,000 for air toxics and quality; including a decrease of \$2,000,000 for Federal stationary source regulations; a decrease of \$1,800,000 for an air quality management program for implementation of the Energy Policy Act of 2005; and a decrease of \$4,765,000 in the stratospheric ozone program for the multilateral fund.

Climate Protection.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$1,363,000 for climate protection; including an increase of \$3,813,000 for Energy Star; and a decrease of \$2,450,000 for Methane to Markets. Further, \$1,000,000 is provided for the Asia-Pacific Partnership.

Compliance.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$4,098,000 for compliance; including a decrease of \$111,000 for the compliance assistance and centers program for implementation of the Energy Policy Act of 2005; a decrease of \$987,000 for the compliance monitoring program for implementation of the Energy Policy Act of 2005; and a decrease of \$3,000,000 for other activities in compliance monitoring.

Enforcement.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$957,000 for enforcement; including a decrease of \$753,000 for the civil enforcement program for implementation of the Energy Policy Act of 2005; and, an increase of \$1,710,000 for environmental justice.

Environmental Protection/Congressional Priorities.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$40,000,000 for programs of national and regional significance including the following:

Project	Committee recommendation
The National Rural Water Association	\$11,000,000
The Rural Community Assistance Program	3,000,000
The Small Public Water System Technology Centers at Western Kentucky University, the University of New Hampshire, the University of Alaska-Sitka, Pennsylvania State University, the University of Missouri-Columbia, Montana State University, the University of Illinois, and Mississippi State University	4,000,000
The Southwest Missouri Water Quality Improvement project	1,975,000
Antibiofilm products for preventing bacterial disease project at Montana State University	500,000
Ethanol demonstration project in Hawaii	1,000,000
Big Sky Economic Development Authority for a mercury control demonstration project in Billings, Montana	500,000
Molecular tracking of waterborne bacteria project at the University of Southern Mississippi	600,000
The multi-purpose sensors to detect and analyze contaminants and time-lapsing imaging of shallow subsurface fluid flow at Boise State University	1,000,000
The Beaver Creek Watershed Restoration project in Knox County, Tennessee	500,000
The new environmental technologies for small businesses project at the University of Northern Iowa	400,000
Region 10 gas pipeline permitting in Alaska	2,000,000
The Ozarks Environmental and Water Resources Institute at Missouri State University	1,000,000
Storm Lake water quality project in Iowa	300,000
Red River Watershed Institute at Louisiana State University	450,000
Chesapeake Bay Small Watersheds Program	1,500,000
Long Island Sound Restoration	1,000,000
Yerington mine containment transport model and health risk assessment	500,000
Lake Champlain Basin Program	1,700,000
Columbia Basin Groundwater Management Area in Washington	500,000
Great Lakes Indian Fish & Wildlife Commission for an environmental program	300,000
National Environmental Training Center for Small Communities at West Virginia University	750,000

Project	Committee recommendation
Fife Lake Remediation Project in Michigan	300,000

Geographic Programs.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$928,000 for geographic programs; including an increase of \$587,000 for the Great Lakes; an increase of \$1,000,000 for the Gulf of Mexico; an increase of \$66,000 for Lake Champlain; an increase of \$3,000 for the Long Island Sound; a decrease of \$3,000,000 for the community action for a renewed environment program; an increase of \$971,000 for Puget Sound; and an increase of \$522,000 for other activities in geographic programs. Further, the Committee directs the increase of \$522,000 for other activities in geographic programs be added to the \$1,000,000 currently budgeted for the Lake Pontchartrain Basin Restoration program.

Information Exchange/Outreach.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$4,017,000 for information exchange and outreach programs; including a decrease of \$1,852,000 for congressional, intergovernmental, external relations; an increase of \$7,000,000 for environmental education; and a decrease of \$1,131,000 for State and local prevention and preparedness.

International Programs.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$312,000 for the United States and Mexico Border program.

IT/Data Management/Security.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$2,240,000 for IT/Data management.

Legal/Science/Regulatory/Economic Review.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$4,004,000 for legal/science/regulatory/economic review programs; including a decrease of \$3,000,000 for regulatory innovation; and a decrease of \$1,004,000 for regulatory/economic management and analysis.

Operations and Administration.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$3,000,000 for operations and administration; including a decrease of \$1,000,000 for acquisition management; and a decrease of \$2,000,000 for facilities infrastructure and operations.

Pesticide Licensing.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$5,836,000 for pesticide licensing programs; including an increase of \$1,836,000 for registration of new pesticides; and an increase of \$4,000,000 for review and reregistration of existing pesticides.

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act [RCRA].—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$3,480,000 for resource conservation and recovery act; including a decrease of \$976,000 for RCRA: Corrective action; and, a decrease of \$2,094,000 for RCRA: Waste management; and a decrease of \$410,000 for RCRA: Waste minimization and recycling.

Toxic Risk Review and Prevention.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$1,959,000 for toxic risk review and prevention; including an increase of \$1,272,000 for toxic substances: chemical risk management; an increase of \$1,905,000 for toxic substances: chemical risk review and reduction; and an increase of \$782,000 for endocrine disruptors; and, a decrease of \$2,000,000 for the pollution prevention program. Further, the Committee directs the increase of \$1,905,000 for toxic substances: chemical risk review and reduction be allocated to the review and assessment of information submitted under the High Production Volume Challenge program.

Also, the Committee has restored funding for endocrine disruptors to the enacted level, and urges the EPA to focus on validation of specific screening assays and tests relevant to evaluating potential hazards to human health.

Underground Storage Tanks.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$2,000,000 for the underground storage tank program.

Water: Ecosystems.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$17,000,000 for water: ecosystems; including a decrease of \$19,000,000 for the Great Lakes Legacy Act; and an increase of \$2,000,000 for the National Estuary program.

Water Quality Protection.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$2,000,000 for marine pollution.

Surface Water Protection.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$2,448,000 for other activities in surface water protection.

The Committee provides the budget request of \$2,000,000 for the Water Information Sharing and Analysis Center [Water ISAC], and directs that the Water ISAC shall be implemented through a grant to the Association of Metropolitan Water Agencies.

The Committee is aware that provisions of the Pesticide Registration Improvement Act [PRIA] remain in effect until 2008 and, therefore, discourages EPA from diverting limited resources and staff for the development of pesticide tolerance or any other fees which cannot be collected while PRIA is in effect.

The Committee expects EPA to continue to participate in the nine agency Clean Energy Technology Exports Initiative.

The Committee is aware of the work being done on pesticide harmonization with Canada, especially in the area of joint labeling of identical or substantially similar products. Not less than 90 days after enactment of this act, the Committee directs the agency to provide a progress report on harmonization and joint labeling efforts. This report shall include any statutory or regulatory barriers to completing harmonization, and any actions EPA is undertaking to create a labeling system that allows identical products sold on both sides of the border to carry a joint label.

Chesapeake Bay Program.—The Committee directs EPA to immediately implement all of the Government Accountability Office's [GAO] October 2005. recommendations to the Chesapeake Bay Program [CBP]. The Committee further directs EPA to develop a Chesapeake Bay action plan to maximize pollution reduction in the Chesapeake 2000 agreement. This plan must: (1) clearly articulate strategic targets the CBP expects to achieve in each year through 2010; (2) describe the actual activities the CBP will implement in each year to achieve these annual targets; and (3) identify the amount and source of funding that will be used to accomplish each of these activities. The Committee directs EPA to submit an annual performance assessment of progress made on this action plan. The Committee further directs EPA to publish and widely circulate within 6 months of the date of enactment of this act and annually thereafter a "tributary report card" to evaluate progress made in achieving and maintaining nutrient and sediment reduction goals for each major tributary of the Chesapeake Bay and each separable segment of such a tributary. The report card should include a list of the primary sources of pollution and actions being taken to remediate those sources. Finally, EPA shall ensure that effluent lim-

its for any point source shall be consistent with the assumptions identified in the tributary strategies.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Appropriations, 2006	\$36,904,000
Budget estimate, 2007	35,100,000
House allowance	35,100,000
Committee recommendation	35,100,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Office of Inspector General [OIG] provides audit, evaluation, and investigation products and advisory services to improve the performance and integrity of EPA programs and operations. The IG also holds the position of Inspector General for the Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board.

Trust fund resources are transferred to this account directly from the Hazardous Substance Superfund.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2006 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	
				2006 appropriation	Budget estimate
Office of Inspector General					
Audits, evaluations, and investigations	36,904	35,100	35,100	- 1,804
(By transfer from Hazardous substance superfund)	(13,337)	(13,316)	(13,316)	(- 21)

The Committee recommends \$35,100,000 for the Office of Inspector General, which is equal to the budget request and \$1,804,000 below the fiscal year 2006 level. In addition, \$13,316,000 will be available by transfer from the Superfund account, for a total of \$48,416,000.

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

Appropriations, 2006 (including emergency appropriations)	\$39,626,000
Budget estimate, 2007	39,816,000
House allowance	39,816,000
Committee recommendation	39,816,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The appropriation for buildings and facilities at EPA provides for the design and construction of EPA-owned facilities as well as for the repair, extension, alteration, and improvement of facilities utilized by the Agency. These funds correct unsafe conditions, protect health and safety of employees and Agency visitors, and prevent deterioration of structures and equipment.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2006 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	
				2006 appropriation	Budget estimate
Buildings and Facilities					
Homeland security: Homeland security: Protection of EPA personnel and infrastructure (also S&T; EPM; HSS)	11,331	11,385	11,385	+ 54	
Operations and administration: Facilities infrastructure and operations (also S&T; EPM; HSS; LUST; OSR)	28,295	28,431	28,431	+ 136	
Total, Buildings and Facilities	39,626	39,816	39,816	+ 190	

The Committee recommends \$39,816,000 for buildings and facilities, \$190,000 above the fiscal year 2006 level and equal to the budget request.

HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE SUPERFUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Appropriations, 2006	\$1,242,074,000
Budget estimate, 2007	1,258,955,000
House allowance	1,256,855,000
Committee recommendation	1,261,345,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

On October 17, 1986, Congress amended the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 [CERCLA] through the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 [SARA]. SARA reauthorized and expanded the Hazardous Substance Superfund to address the problems of uncontrolled hazardous waste sites and spills. Specifically, the legislation mandates that EPA: (1) provide emergency response to hazardous waste spills; (2) take emergency action at hazardous waste sites that pose an imminent hazard to public health or environmentally sensitive ecosystems; (3) engage in long-term planning, remedial design, and construction to clean up hazardous waste sites where no financially viable responsible party can be found; (4) take enforcement actions to require responsible private and Federal parties to clean up hazardous waste sites; and (5) take enforcement actions to recover costs where the fund has been used for cleanup. Due to the site-specific nature of the Agency's Superfund program, site-specific travel is not considered part of the overall travel ceiling set for the Superfund account.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2006 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	
				2006 appropriation	Budget estimate
Hazardous Substance Superfund					
Air, toxics and quality; Radiation: Protection (also S&T; EPM)	2,120	2,323	2,323	+203	
Audits, evaluations, and investigations	13,337	13,316	13,316	-21	
(Transfer to Office of Inspector General)	(-13,337)	(-13,316)	(-13,316)	(+21)	
Compliance:					
Compliance assistance and centers (also EPM; LUST; OSR)	11	22	11	-11	
Compliance incentives (also EPM)	186	143	143	-43	
Compliance monitoring (also EPM)	955	1,144	955	-189	
Subtotal, Compliance	1,152	1,309	1,109	-43	-200
Enforcement					
Civil enforcement (also EPM; OSR)	796	883	883	+87	
Criminal enforcement (also EPM)	8,275	8,502	8,502	+227	
Enforcement training (also EPM)	581	622	622	+41	
Environmental justice (also EPM)	827	757	757	-70	
Forensics support (also S&T)	3,643	4,184	3,643	-541	
Superfund: Enforcement	156,653	163,651	163,651	+6,998	
Superfund: Federal facilities enforcement	9,410	10,197	10,197	+787	
Subtotal, Enforcement	180,185	188,796	188,255	+8,070	-541
Homeland security:					
Homeland security: Communication and information (also EPM): Laboratory preparedness and response (also S&T; EPM; HSS)	296	300	300	+4	
Homeland security: Critical infrastructure protection:					
Decontamination (also S&T; EPM; HSS)	197	198	198	+1	
Critical infrastructure protection (other activities) (also S&T; EPM)	1,245	1,374	1,374	+129	
Subtotal, Homeland security: Critical infrastructure protection	1,442	1,572	1,572	+130	

(In thousands of dollars)

Item	2006 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	
				2006 appropriation	Budget estimate
Homeland security: Preparedness, response, and recovery:					
Decontamination (also S&T; EPM; HSS)	10,395	12,271	8,271	-2,124	-4,000
Laboratory preparedness and response (also S&T; EPM; HSS)	27,184	9,500	8,500	+8,500	-1,000
Preparedness, response, and recovery (other activities) (also S&T)	(-1,976)	28,004	28,004	+820
(Transfer to Science and Technology)		(-2,000)	(-2,000)	(-24)
Subtotal, Homeland security: Preparedness, response, and recovery	37,579	49,775	44,775	+7,196	-5,000
Homeland security: Protection of EPA personnel and infrastructure (also S&T; EPM; B&F)	588	594	594	+6
Subtotal, Homeland security	39,905	52,241	47,241	+7,336	-5,000
Information exchange/Outreach:					
Congressional, intergovernmental, external relations (also EPM)	48	130	89	+41	-41
Exchange network (also EPM)	1,650	1,432	1,432	-218
Subtotal, Information exchange/Outreach	1,698	1,562	1,521	-177	-41
IT/Data management/Security:					
Information security (also EPM)	341	789	789	+448
IT/Data management (also S&T; EPM; LUST; OSR)	17,053	17,120	17,120	+67
Subtotal, IT/Data management/Security	17,394	17,909	17,909	+515
Legal/Science/Regulatory/Economic review:					
Alternative dispute resolution (also EPM)	975	887	887	-88
Legal advice: Environmental program (also EPM)	755	691	691	-64
Subtotal, Legal/Science/Regulatory/Economic review	1,730	1,578	1,578	-152
Operations and administration:					
Financial assistance grants/interagency agreements (IAG) management (also EPM)	3,060	2,921	2,921	-139
Facilities infrastructure and operations (also S&T; EPM; B&F; LUST; OSR)	69,667	73,945	73,945	+4,278
Acquisition management (also EPM; LUST)	19,727	23,514	21,514	+1,787	-2,000

Human resources management (also EPM; LUST)	5,665	5,270	5,270	-395	
Central planning, budgeting, and finance (also EPM; LUST)	24,349	25,541	25,541	+1,192	
Subtotal, Operations and administration	122,468	131,191	129,191	+6,723	-2,000
Research: Human health and ecosystems:					
Human health risk assessment	3,755	3,847	3,847	+92	
(Transfer to Science and Technology)	(-3,755)	(-3,847)	(-3,847)	(-92)	
Research: Land protection:					
Research: Land protection and restoration	22,927	21,964	21,964	-963	
(Transfer to Science and Technology)	(-22,927)	(-21,964)	(-21,964)	(+963)	
Research: Superfund innovative technology (SITE) program	1,206			-1,206	
(Transfer to Science and Technology)	(-1,206)			(+1,206)	
Subtotal, Research: Land protection	24,133	21,964	21,964	-2,169	
Research: Sustainability:					
Pollution prevention (also S&T)	292			-292	
(Transfer to Science and Technology)	(-292)			(+292)	
Superfund cleanup:					
Superfund: Emergency response and removal	193,584	192,399	193,584		+1,185
Superfund: EPA emergency preparedness	10,540	8,863	10,540		+1,677
Superfund: Federal facilities	31,336	31,487	31,487	+151	
Superfund: Remedial	588,905	581,595	588,905		+7,310
Superfund: Support to other Federal agencies	9,540	8,575	8,575	-965	
Subtotal, Superfund cleanup	833,905	822,919	833,091	-814	+10,172
Total, Hazardous Substance Superfund	1,242,074	1,258,955	1,261,345	+19,271	+2,390
(Transfer to Office of Inspector General)	(-13,337)	(-13,316)	(-13,316)	(+21)	
(Transfer to Science and Technology)	(-30,156)	(-27,811)	(-27,811)	(+2,345)	

The Committee recommends \$1,261,345,000 for Superfund, \$19,271,000 above the fiscal year 2006 enacted level and \$2,390,000 above the budget request.

Changes to the budget request are detailed below.

Compliance.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$200,000 for the compliance program; including a decrease of \$11,000 for compliance assistance and centers; and a decrease of \$189,000 for compliance monitoring.

Enforcement.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$541,000 for the forensics support program.

Homeland Security.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$5,000,000 for homeland security activities; including, a decrease of \$4,000,000 for decontamination; and a decrease of \$1,000,000 for laboratory preparedness and response.

Information Exchange/Outreach.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$41,000 for congressional, intergovernmental, and external relations.

Operations and Administration.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$2,000,000 for acquisition management.

Superfund Cleanup.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$10,172,000 for Superfund cleanup activities; including an increase of \$1,185,000 for emergency response and removal; and, an increase of \$1,677,000 for EPA emergency preparedness; and an increase of \$7,310,000 for remedial actions.

The Committee is concerned that EPA may be planning to use Point of Use [POU] Treatment alternatives for rural water customers within the Arsenic Trioxide Site in Southeast North Dakota. The Committee directs the Agency to evaluate and reconcile any plan to use such devices with the EPA's 1986 Record of Decision for the Site, which found "that these alternatives would not effectively prevent, mitigate, or minimize threats to and provide protection of public health, welfare, and the environment." Further, the Committee expects the Agency not to consider a POU alternative and to remain consistent with the protection of public health by exercising the highly successful remedy alternative of Rural Water Treatment Systems.

Despite years of remediation efforts, the Committee remains concerned that EPA has not yet issued a Record of Decision [ROD] for Libby, Montana. The Committee directs the Agency to work with the community to develop an acceptable timeline for completion of the project. It is of paramount importance that EPA allow for extensive input by the community at all phases of the process to determine the priorities of the community. Also, local businesses should be given every consideration in the Agency's contracting process. Finally, the Committee expects EPA to follow proper scientific procedures during the remediation process to ensure the safety of the community.

LEAKING UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK TRUST FUND

Appropriations, 2006 (including emergency appropriations)	\$86,953,000
Budget estimate, 2007	72,759,000
House allowance	72,759,000
Committee recommendation	72,759,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Superfund Amendments and Reauthorizations Act of 1986 [SARA] established the leaking underground storage tank [LUST] trust fund to conduct corrective actions for releases from leaking underground storage tanks containing petroleum and other hazardous substances. EPA implements the LUST program through State cooperative agreement grants which enable States to conduct corrective actions to protect human health and the environment, and through non-State entities including Indian tribes under section 8001 of RCRA. The trust fund is also used to enforce responsible parties to finance corrective actions and to recover expended funds used to clean up abandoned tanks.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2006 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	
				2006 appropriation	Budget estimate
Leaking Underground Storage Tanks (LUST)					
Compliance: Compliance assistance and centers (also EPM; OSR; HSS)	711	839	839	+128	
IT/Data management/Security: IT/Data management (also S&T; EPM; HSS; OSR)	182	176	176	-6	
Operations and administration:					
Acquisition management (also EPM; HSS)	358	361	361	+3	
Central planning, budgeting, and finance (also EPM; HSS)	1,010	1,015	1,015	+5	
Facilities infrastructure and operations (also S&T; EPM; B&F; HSS; OSR)	884	917	917	+23	
Human resources management (also EPM; HSS)	3	3	3		
Subtotal, Operations and administration	2,265	2,296	2,296	+31	
Research: Land protection; Research: Land protection and restoration (also S&T; HSS; OSR)	634	651	651	+17	
Underground storage tanks (LUST/UST):					
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 109-148)	8,000			-8,000	
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 109-234)	7,000			-7,000	
Underground storage tanks (LUST/UST) (also EPM)	10,514	10,590	10,590	+76	
LUST Cooperative agreements	57,647	58,207	58,207	+560	
Subtotal, Underground storage tanks (LUST/UST)	83,161	68,797	68,797	-14,364	
Total, Leaking Underground Storage Tank Program	86,953	72,759	72,759	-14,194	

The Committee recommends the budget request of \$72,759,000 for the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund, which is \$14,194,000 below the fiscal year 2006 enacted level, including emergency appropriations. The Committee directs that not less than 85 percent of these funds be provided to the States and tribal governments.

OIL SPILL RESPONSE

Appropriations, 2006	\$15,629,000
Budget estimate, 2007	16,506,000
House allowance	16,506,000
Committee recommendation	16,506,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

This appropriation, authorized by the Federal Water Pollution Control Act of 1987 and amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, provides funds to prepare for and prevent releases of oil and other petroleum products into navigable waterways. EPA is also reimbursed for incident specific response costs through the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund, which pursuant to law is managed by the United States Coast Guard. EPA is responsible for: directing all cleanup and removal activities posing a threat to public health and the environment; conducting sit inspections, including compelling responsible parties to undertake cleanup actions; reviewing containment plans at facilities; reviewing area contingency plans; pursuing cost recovery of fund-financed cleanups; and conducting research of oil cleanup techniques. Funds for this appropriation are provided through the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund which is composed of fees and collections made through provisions of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, the Comprehensive Oil Pollution Liability and Compensation Act, the Deepwater Port Act of 1974, the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act Amendments of 1978, and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act as amended.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2006 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	
				2006 appropriation	Budget estimate
Oil Spill Response					
Compliance assistance and centers (also EPM; HSS; LUST)	284	280	280	-4	
Enforcement: Civil enforcement (also EPM; HSS)	1,910	1,826	1,826	-84	
IT/Data management/Security: IT/Data management (also S&T; EPM; HSS; LUST)	31	33	33	+2	
Oil: Oil spill: Prevention, preparedness and response	12,066	12,965	12,965	+899	
Operations and administration: Facilities infrastructure and operations (also S&T; EPM; B&F; HSS; LUST)	500	499	499	-1	
Research: Land protection: Research: Land protection and restoration (also S&T; HSS; LUST)	888	903	903	+65	
Total, Oil Spill Response	15,629	16,506	16,506	+877	

The Committee recommends \$16,506,000 for the oil spill response trust fund, which is \$877,000 above the fiscal year 2006 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

STATE AND TRIBAL ASSISTANCE GRANTS

(INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

Appropriations, 2006	\$3,133,709,000
Budget estimate, 2007	2,797,448,000
House allowance	3,009,348,000
Committee recommendation	3,000,362,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The "State and tribal assistance grants" account funds grants to support the State revolving fund programs; State, tribal, regional, and local environmental programs; and special projects to address critical water and waste water treatment needs.

Included in this account are funds for the following infrastructure grant programs: Clean Water and Drinking Water State Revolving Funds; United States-Mexico Border Program; Alaska Native villages; and Brownfield State and Tribal Response program grants authorized by CERCLA section 128(a).

It also contains the following categorical environmental grants, State/tribal program grants, and assistance and capacity building grants: (1) air resource assistance to State, regional, local, and tribal governments (secs. 105 and 103 of the Clean Air Act); (2) radon State and Tribal grants; (3) water pollution control agency resource supplementation (sec. 106 of the FWPCA); (4) BEACHS Protection grants (sec. 406 of FWPCA as amended); (5) nonpoint source (sec. 319 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act); (6) wetlands State program development; (7) water quality cooperative agreements (sec. 104(b)(3) of FWPCA); (8) targeted watershed grants; (9) wastewater operator training grants; (10) public water system supervision; (11) underground injection control; (12) drinking water program State homeland security coordination grants; (13) hazardous waste financial assistance; (14) Brownfields activities authorized by CERCLA section 104(k); (15) underground storage tanks; (16) pesticides program implementation; (17) lead grants; (18) toxic substances compliance; (19) pesticides enforcement; (20) the Environmental Information Exchange Network; (21) pollution prevention; (22) sector program; and (23) Indians general assistance grants.

As in past fiscal years, reprogrammings associated with Performance Partnership Grants need not be submitted to the Committee for approval should such grants exceed the normal reprogramming limitations.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2006 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	
				2006 appropriation	Budget estimate
State and Tribal Assistance Grants (STAG)					
Air toxics and quality: Clean school bus initiative	6,897	89,119	89,119	- 6,897
Brownfields: Brownfields projects	88,676	89,119	89,119	+ 443
Infrastructure assistance:					
Infrastructure assistance: Alaska Native villages	34,485	14,850	24,850	- 9,635	+ 10,000
Infrastructure assistance: Clean water state revolving fund (SRF)	886,759	687,555	687,555	- 199,204
Diesel emissions reduction grants	49,500	20,127	+ 20,127	- 29,373
Infrastructure assistance: Drinking water state revolving fund (SRF)	837,495	841,500	841,500	+ 4,005
Infrastructure assistance: Mexico border	49,264	24,750	30,750	- 18,514	+ 6,000
Infrastructure assistance: Puerto Rico	990	- 990
Subtotal, infrastructure assistance	1,808,003	1,619,145	1,604,782	- 203,221	- 14,363
STAG infrastructure grants/Congressional priorities	197,058	210,000	+ 12,942	+ 210,000
Subtotal, State and Tribal Assistance Grants (excluding categorical grants)	2,100,634	1,708,264	1,903,901	- 196,733	+ 195,637
Categorical grants:					
Categorical grant: Beaches protection	9,853	9,900	9,900	+ 47
Categorical grant: Brownfields	49,264	49,495	49,495	+ 231
Categorical grant: Environmental information	19,706	14,850	14,850	- 4,856
Categorical grant: Hazardous waste financial assistance	101,944	103,345	101,944	- 1,401
Categorical grant: Homeland security	4,926	4,950	4,950	+ 24
Categorical grant: Lead	13,499	13,563	13,563	+ 64
Categorical grant: Nonpoint source (Sec. 319)	204,278	194,040	200,040	- 4,238	+ 6,000
Categorical grant: Pesticides enforcement	18,622	18,711	18,711	+ 89
Categorical grant: Pesticides program implementation	12,907	12,969	12,969	+ 62
Categorical grant: Pollution control (Sec. 106):					
Water quality monitoring	18,228	18,500	18,500	+ 272
Pollution control (Sec. 106) (other activities)	197,944	203,161	200,161	+ 2,217	- 3,000

Subtotal, Categorical grant: Pollution control (Sec. 106)	216,172	221,661	218,661	+ 2,489	- 3,000
Categorical grant: Pollution prevention	4,926	5,940	5,940	+ 1,014
Categorical grant: Public water system supervision (PWSS)	98,279	99,099	99,099	+ 820
Categorical grant: Radon	7,439	8,074	8,074	+ 635
Categorical grant: Sector program	2,217	2,227	2,227	+ 10
Categorical grant: State and local air quality management	220,261	185,180	200,180	- 20,081	+ 15,000
Categorical grant: Targeted watersheds	16,608	6,830	16,608	+ 9,678
Categorical grant: Toxics substances compliance	5,074	5,098	5,098	+ 24
Categorical grant: Tribal air quality management	10,887	10,940	10,940	+ 53
Categorical grant: Tribal general assistance program	56,654	56,925	56,925	+ 271
Categorical grant: Underground injection control (UIC)	10,838	10,890	10,890	+ 52
Categorical grant: Underground storage tanks	11,774	37,567	17,567	+ 5,793	- 20,000
Categorical grant: Wastewater operator training	1,182	1,000	- 182	+ 1,000
Categorical grant: Water quality cooperative
Categorical grant: Wetlands program development	15,765	16,830	16,830	+ 1,065
Subtotal, Categorical grants	1,113,075	1,089,184	1,096,461	- 16,614	+ 7,277
Subtotal, State and Tribal Assistance Grants	3,213,709	2,797,448	3,000,362	- 213,347	+ 202,914
Rescission of expired contracts, grants, and interagency agreements (various EPA accounts)	- 80,000	+ 80,000
Total, State and Tribal Assistance Grants	3,133,709	2,797,448	3,000,362	- 133,347	+ 202,914

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,000,362,000 for State and Tribal Assistance Grants, a decrease of \$133,344,000 below the fiscal year 2006 enacted level and an increase of \$202,914,000 over the budget request.

Changes to the budget request are detailed below.

Infrastructure Assistance.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$14,363,000 for infrastructure assistance programs; including an increase of \$10,000,000 for the Alaska native villages program; a decrease of \$29,373,000 for diesel emissions reduction grants; an increase of \$6,000,000 for the Mexico border program; and a decrease of \$990,000 for Puerto Rico. Further, the Committee directs the increase of \$6,000,000 for the Mexico border program be allocated as follows: \$4,000,000 for the El Paso Reclaimed Water project; and, \$2,000,000 for the Brownsville/Weir Reservoir project.

STAG Infrastructure Grants/Congressional Priorities.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$210,000,000 for targeted project grants which require a local match of 45 percent per grant unless a hardship waiver is provided by the EPA. The targeted project grants include the following:

Project Name	Committee recommendation
Water and sewer improvement project for Kodiak, AK	\$500,000
City of Bayou La Batre water project, AL	2,000,000
Sewer system enhancements for the City of Brewton, AL	500,000
Wastewater treatment plant expansion in Bullhead City, AZ	1,000,000
Drinking water project for the Arkansas Valley Conduit, CO	600,000
Wastewater and drinking water project for Idaho Springs, CO	800,000
Jacksonville wastewater infrastructure improvement project, FL	500,000
Sewer system rehabilitation project for the City of Atlanta, GA	500,000
Metro North Georgia Water Planning District, GA	400,000
City of Moultrie Phase II wastewater treatment plant, GA	100,000
Combined sewer overflow project for the City of Clinton, IA	250,000
City of Marsing water system reconstruction project, ID	500,000
City of Hazelton wastewater system improvements, ID	469,000
St. Anthony wastewater system improvement project, ID	563,000
Wastewater improvements project for Centerville, IN	500,000
Sewer and wastewater collection improvements for the City of LaPorte, IN	500,000
Chautauqua County Rural Water Distribution System, KS	500,000
10th Street sewer line repairs for the City of Great Bend, KS	500,000
South Topeka water and sewer infrastructure project, KS	500,000
Water and sewer infrastructure project for the City of Prescott, KS	335,000
Green River Valley Water District Hart County water project, KY	1,000,000
Morgan County Water District system improvement project, KY	300,000
Jefferson Parish Infiltration/Inflow Management Project, LA	500,000
Wastewater treatment project for the City of Calais, ME	300,000
Wastewater treatment project for Presque Isle, ME	700,000
Missouri River Treatment Plant enhancement project, MO	1,000,000
McDonald County wastewater infrastructure project, MO	250,000
Stoddard County wastewater improvements project, MO	275,000
Waste, sewer, and infrastructure project for Prentiss County-Wheeler Community, MS	750,000
Waste, sewer, and infrastructure project for the West Rankin Utility Authority, MS	500,000
Waste, sewer, and infrastructure project for the City of Ridgeland, MS	500,000
Waste, sewer, and infrastructure project for the City of Oxford, MS	500,000
Waste, sewer, and infrastructure project for the City of Flowood, MS	300,000
Waste, sewer, and infrastructure project for the City of Flora, MS	2,000,000
Waste, sewer, and infrastructure project for the City of Greenville, MS	500,000
Remediation of section 16 land lagoon for the Rankin County Board of Supervisors in Rankin County, MS	100,000
Waste, sewer, and infrastructure project for the City of Magnolia, MS	150,000
Waste, sewer, and infrastructure project for Lampton in Marion County, MS	150,000
Waste, sewer, and infrastructure project for the Town of Boyle, MS	350,000
Waste, sewer, and infrastructure project for the City of Pearl, MS	200,000

Project Name	Committee recommendation
Water, sewer, and infrastructure project for the City of Waynesboro, MS	200,000
Water, sewer, and infrastructure project for the City of Fulton, MS	700,000
Water, sewer, and infrastructure project for the City of Picayune, MS	250,000
Water, sewer, and infrastructure project for the City of Crystal Springs, MS	250,000
Water, sewer, and infrastructure project for the City of Jackson, MS	500,000
Sewer infrastructure project for the City of Pelahatchie, MS	500,000
Water and sewer infrastructure project for the City of Brookhaven, MS	500,000
Water system improvements for the Town of Neihart, MT	50,000
Wastewater system improvements for the Town of Fairfield, MT	500,000
Water system construction and improvements project for the Town of Bynum, MT	400,000
Wastewater system improvements for the Town of Winifred, MT	500,000
Water infrastructure improvements for the City of Troy, MT	400,000
Water treatment facility improvements for the City of Billings, MT	500,000
Wastewater facility improvements for the Town of Philipsburg, MT	750,000
Water system infrastructure improvements for the City of Belgrade, MT	750,000
Water and wastewater infrastructure improvements for the Town of Twin Bridges, MT	300,000
Water system infrastructure improvements for the City of Helena, MT	1,000,000
Lower Cape Fear water and sewer authority project, NC	500,000
Neuse drinking water facility improvements project, NC	750,000
City of Box Elder water and wastewater upgrades project, SD	500,000
Drinking water distribution system improvement for Berlin Waterworks, NH	500,000
CSO separation project for Manchester, NH	500,000
Water system upgrade project for Lancaster, NH	225,000
Wastewater treatment facility project for Jaffrey, NH	375,000
Wastewater system improvement project for the Town of Greenfield, NH	400,000
Valley Utilities Project for Bernalillo County, NM	1,000,000
Wastewater collection system improvements for the City of Belen, NM	1,000,000
Wastewater plant construction project for the Village of Ruidoso, NM	500,000
Water main infrastructure project for the City of Parma, OH	500,000
Cedar Bog Nature Preserve wastewater facilities project, OH	100,000
Southern Ohio Diversification Initiative and wastewater treatment facility project, OH	500,000
McCartyville Drinking Water treatment plant upgrade project, OH	100,000
Regional Water Main Corrosion Mitigation project for Ottawa County, OH	400,000
Fremont Reservoir project, OH	400,000
North Star/Cumberland waterline project for Guernsey County, OH	250,000
Crestview, Belvedere, and Highland wastewater project for Jefferson County, OH	250,000
Flats East Bank Sewer project for the City of Cleveland, OH	500,000
Water and sewer infrastructure project for Lake Murray, OK	500,000
Wastewater system project for the City of Coburg, OR	300,000
Sewer system improvements project for Adair Village, OR	200,000
3 Rivers Wet Weather Demonstration Program, PA	800,000
Wastewater treatment project for the City of Scranton Sewer Authority, PA	300,000
Wastewater treatment project for Franklin Township, PA	300,000
Wastewater treatment and stormwater management project for the City of Philadelphia, PA	300,000
Wastewater treatment project for Dauphin Borough, PA	400,000
Mifflintown Municipal Authority wastewater system improvements project in Juniata County, PA	200,000
Johnstown Regional Sewage overflow elimination project, PA	250,000
Dunbar Sanitary Authority sewer project in Fayette County, PA	250,000
Municipal Authority of Bloomsburg/Wastewater treatment facility in Columbia County, PA	150,000
Bellefonte Water infrastructure assessment and repair project in Centre County, PA	150,000
Water tank replacement project for the City of Warwick, RI	200,000
Water Commission water main rehabilitation for the City of Lincoln, RI	800,000
Woodruff Citywide sewer project, SC	100,000
Matthews Mill Village water and sewer improvement project, SC	400,000
Beaumont Mills sewer improvements project for Spartanburg, SC	200,000
Spring-Fishburne stormwater drainage project for Charleston, SC	200,000
Ridgeland wastewater treatment plant project, SC	200,000
Keith Springs water extension project for Franklin County, TN	500,000
Water treatment plant expansion project for the City of Center, TX	500,000
Sanitary sewer overflow prevention project for the City of Austin, TX	400,000
Stormwater project for Lanana Creek, TX	500,000
Water improvements project for Centerfield, UT	1,500,000
Drinking water treatment facility project for Magna, UT	1,000,000
Sandy 9400 South storm drain improvements project, UT	500,000
Onancock wastewater treatment plant project, VA	500,000

Project Name	Committee recommendation
Stormwater infrastructure project for the City of Sheridan, WY	500,000
Wastewater treatment project for the Town of Hudson, WY	500,000
Wastewater system improvements, Fayetteville, AR	600,000
Wastewater collection system, NW Arkansas Conserv. Auth., AR	600,000
Maumelle Basin wastewater treatment plant, Maumelle, AR	600,000
Wellhead treatment, inland empire of perchlorate taskforce, San Bernardino, CA	1,000,000
Water system upgrades Santa Ana, CA	500,000
Martin Slough interceptor project, Eureka, CA	500,000
Sewer upgrade project, Sacramento, CA	500,000
Water supply project, East Palo Alto, CA	400,000
Wastewater treatment plant upgrades, Red Cliff, CO	400,000
Water treatment plant upgrades, Eckley, CO	140,000
Water system upgrades, East Hampton, CT	400,000
Stormwater mitigation project, Mill River, CT	400,000
Inflow elimination project, Thompsonville, CT	400,000
Wellhead program, Southington, CT	400,000
Wastewater treatment facility, Plainville, CT	400,000
Drainage improvement program, New London, CT	400,000
Combined sewer overflow program, Wilmington, DE	300,000
Drinking water program, Delmar, DE	400,000
Sewer rehabilitation program, New Castle County, DE	200,000
Wastewater treatment plant, Escambia County, FL	450,000
Lake Region water treatment plant, South FL Water Dist., FL	450,000
Sewer upgrade project, Davenport, IA	500,000
Sewer upgrade project, Ottumwa, IA	400,000
Wastewater treatment plant upgrades, Monmouth, IL	400,000
Water and sewer line replacement, Riverdale, IL	400,000
Drinking water program, Chatham, IL	400,000
Northeastern Illinois Sewer Consortium, Lake County, IL	300,000
Stormwater mitigation project, Village of Olympia Fields, IL	300,000
Sewer upgrades, Village of Park Forest, IL	300,000
Wastewater treatment facility, Columbus, IN	250,000
Pipe replacement project, Hammond, LA	500,000
Wastewater treatment plant project, Bastrop, LA	600,000
Municipal water system, Shreveport, LA	600,000
Sewer upgrades, Bristol County, MA	350,000
Combined sewer overflow replacement, Brockton, MA	350,000
Combined sewer overflow replacement, Marlborough, MA	350,000
Sewer infrastructure program, Baltimore, MD	1,000,000
Sewer infrastructure program, Frostburg, MD	500,000
Sewer infrastructure program, Westernport, MD	500,000
Sewer infrastructure program, Cumberland, MD	350,000
Water supply project, St. Louis, MI	400,000
Sewage infrastructure program, Macomb County, MI	300,000
Wastewater infrastructure program, Genesee County, MI	400,000
Sewer infrastructure program, Saginaw, MI	400,000
Water treatment system, St. Clair Shores, MI	300,000
Combined sewer overflow program, Minneapolis, MN	400,000
Wastewater treatment plant construction, Wilmar, MN	300,000
Wastewater facilities upgrades, Grand Rapids, MN	300,000
Water system improvements, Crow Tribe, MT	400,000
Wastewater system upgrades, City of Bozeman, MT	400,000
Water infrastructure, Devils Lake, ND	3,000,000
Regional water treatment facility, Washburn, ND	750,000
Regional water treatment facility, Riverdale, ND	250,000
Water line and wastewater facility, Lakota, ND	350,000
Southeast Area Regional Expansion, ND	300,000
Water system upgrades, Crosby, ND	300,000
North Central Water Users, ND	300,000
Water system upgrades, Walsh, ND	500,000
Sewer line replacement, South Sioux City, NE	450,000
Wastewater treatment plant upgrades, Lincoln, NE	450,000
Combined sewer project, Pennsauken Township, NJ	300,000
Wastewater infrastructure project, Passaic Valley Sewage Comm, NJ	300,000
Wastewater infrastructure project, Rahway Valley Sewage Auth., NJ	400,000

Project Name	Committee recommendation
Water system project, Parispany Troy-Hills, NJ	300,000
Water pipe project, Borough of Hapatcong, NJ	300,000
Drinking water system upgrades, City of Rancho, NM	400,000
Wastewater system upgrades, City of Hobbs, NM	400,000
Drinking water system upgrades, City of Carlsbad, NM	400,000
Moapa Valley arsenic treatment facility, NV	375,000
Wastewater improvement, Reno, NV	375,000
Sewer system upgrades, Clark County, NV	375,000
Marlett/Hobart water system upgrades, Lake Tahoe, NV	375,000
Wastewater system upgrades, Village of Owego, NY	300,000
Sewer replacement program, Lowville, NY	300,000
Water system upgrades, Coming, NY	300,000
Sewer system project, New Hyde Park, NY	300,000
Sewer line improvements, Lake Placid, NY	300,000
Sewer extension program, Rockland County, NY	300,000
Stormwater infrastructure project for the City of Portland, OR	400,000
Drinking water improvement project, East Providence, RI	500,000
Sewer infrastructure program, Narragansett Bay Comm., RI	500,000
Wastewater plant improvements, Pownal, VT	500,000
Wastewater plant improvements, Hardwick, VT	500,000
Water system upgrades, Chelan County, WA	600,000
Wastewater treatment improvements, Warden, WA	600,000
Wastewater treatment project, Rock Island, WA	600,000
Wastewater infrastructure, Lake Whatcom, WA	400,000
Sewer and water infrastructure project, Puyallup, WA	400,000
Central Metropolitan Interceptor Sewer project, Milwaukee, WI	750,000
Water system project, Waukesha, WI	750,000
Replacement of water treatment facility, Sun Prairie, WI	200,000
Replacement of regional wastewater plant, Moorefield, WV	3,300,000

Categorical Grants.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$7,277,000 for categorical grants; including a decrease of \$1,401,000 for hazardous waste financial assistance; and, an increase of \$6,000,000 for nonpoint source (sec. 319) grants; a decrease of \$3,000,000 for other activities in pollution control (sec. 106) grants; an increase of \$15,000,000 for State and local air quality management grants; an increase of \$9,678,000 for targeted watersheds; a decrease of \$20,000,000 for underground storage tanks; and an increase of \$1,000,000 for wastewater operator training. Further, the Committee directs the \$9,678,000 increase for targeted watersheds for a regional program for the Chesapeake Bay.

The Committee has also included bill language, as requested by the administration and as carried in previous appropriations acts, to: (1) extend for 1 year the authority for States to transfer funds between the Clean Water SRF and the Drinking Water SRF; (2) waive the one-third of 1 percent cap on the tribal set aside from non-point source grants; (3) increase to 1.5 percent the cap on the tribal set-aside for the Clean Water SRF; (4) require that any funds provided to address the water infrastructure needs of colonias within the United States along the United States-Mexico border be spent only in areas where the local governmental entity has established an enforceable ordinance or rule which prevents additional development within colonias that lack water, wastewater, or other necessary infrastructure; and (5) change the limitation on the amounts of the SRF a State can use for administration.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

The Committee includes bill language, as proposed in the budget request and as carried in previous appropriations acts, permitting EPA, in carrying out environmental programs required or authorized by law in the absence of an acceptable tribal program, to use cooperative agreements with federally recognized tribes and inter-tribal consortia.

The Committee includes language authorizing EPA to collect and obligate pesticide registration service fees in accordance with section 33 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, as amended.

At the request of the agency, the Committee includes language revising the State allocation formula for the section 106 grants to provide incentives to States which charge fees for NPDES permits.

GENERAL PROVISION, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

SEC. 201. Reallocates \$11,000,000 toward rural water systems.

A FY 2007 CONFERENCE REPORT WAS NOT PREPARED FOR THIS YEAR

109TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

H. R. 5386

AN ACT

Making appropriations for the Department of the Interior, environment, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2007, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

1 That the following sums are appropriated, out of any
2 money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, for the
3 Department of the Interior, environment, and related
4 agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2007,
5 and for other purposes, namely:

6 TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

7 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

8 MANAGEMENT OF LANDS AND RESOURCES

9 For necessary expenses for protection, use, improve-
10 ment, development, disposal, cadastral surveying, classi-
11 fication, acquisition of easements and other interests in
12 lands, and performance of other functions, including main-
13 tenance of facilities, as authorized by law, in the manage-
14 ment of lands and their resources under the jurisdiction
15 of the Bureau of Land Management, including the general
16 administration of the Bureau, and assessment of mineral
17 potential of public lands pursuant to Public Law 96-487
18 (16 U.S.C. 3150(a)), \$867,738,000 (reduced by \$1) (in-
19 creased by \$1), to remain available until expended, of
20 which \$1,250,000 is for high priority projects, to be car-
21 ried out by the Youth Conservation Corps; and of which
22 \$2,750,000 shall be available in fiscal year 2007 subject
23 to a match by at least an equal amount by the National
24 Fish and Wildlife Foundation for cost-shared projects sup-
25 porting conservation of Bureau lands; and such funds

1 TITLE II—ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

2 AGENCY

3 SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

4 For science and technology, including research and
5 development activities, which shall include research and
6 development activities under the Comprehensive Environ-
7 mental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of
8 1980, as amended; necessary expenses for personnel and
9 related costs and travel expenses, including uniforms, or
10 allowances therefor, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901–
11 5902; services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, but at
12 rates for individuals not to exceed the per diem rate equiv-
13 alent to the maximum rate payable for senior level posi-
14 tions under 5 U.S.C. 5376; procurement of laboratory
15 equipment and supplies; other operating expenses in sup-
16 port of research and development; construction, alteration,
17 repair, rehabilitation, and renovation of facilities, not to
18 exceed \$85,000 per project, \$808,044,000, to remain
19 available until September 30, 2008.

20 ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS AND MANAGEMENT

21 For environmental programs and management, in-
22 cluding necessary expenses not otherwise provided for, for
23 personnel and related costs and travel expenses, including
24 uniforms, or allowances therefor, as authorized by 5
25 U.S.C. 5901–5902; services as authorized by 5 U.S.C.

1 3109, but at rates for individuals not to exceed the per
2 diem rate equivalent to the maximum rate payable for sen-
3 ior level positions under 5 U.S.C. 5376; hire of passenger
4 motor vehicles; hire, maintenance, and operation of air-
5 craft; purchase of reprints; library memberships in soci-
6 eties or associations which issue publications to members
7 only or at a price to members lower than to subscribers
8 who are not members; construction, alteration, repair, re-
9 habilitation, and renovation of facilities, not to exceed
10 \$85,000 per project; and not to exceed \$9,000 for official
11 reception and representation expenses, \$2,336,442,000
12 (increased by \$1,800,000), to remain available until Sep-
13 tember 30, 2008, including administrative costs of the
14 brownfields program under the Small Business Liability
15 Relief and Brownfields Revitalization Act of 2002.

16 OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

17 For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector
18 General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector
19 General Act of 1978, as amended, and for construction,
20 alteration, repair, rehabilitation, and renovation of facili-
21 ties, not to exceed \$85,000 per project, \$35,100,000, to
22 remain available until September 30, 2008: *Provided,*
23 That in fiscal year 2007 and thereafter, notwithstanding
24 any other provision of law, the Inspector General shall not

1 serve as the Inspector General for the Chemical Safety
2 and Hazard Investigation Board.

3 BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

4 For construction, repair, improvement, extension, al-
5 teration, and purchase of fixed equipment or facilities of,
6 or for use by, the Environmental Protection Agency,
7 \$39,816,000, to remain available until expended.

8 HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE SUPERFUND

9 (INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

10 For necessary expenses to carry out the Comprehen-
11 sive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liabil-
12 ity Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended, including sec-
13 tions 111(c)(3), (c)(5), (c)(6), and (e)(4) (42 U.S.C.
14 9611), and for construction, alteration, repair, rehabilita-
15 tion, and renovation of facilities, not to exceed \$85,000
16 per project; \$1,256,855,000, to remain available until ex-
17 pended, consisting of such sums as are available in the
18 Trust Fund on September 30, 2006, as authorized by sec-
19 tion 517(a) of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthor-
20 ization Act of 1986 (SARA) and up to \$1,256,855,000
21 as a payment from general revenues to the Hazardous
22 Substance Superfund for purposes as authorized by sec-
23 tion 517(b) of SARA, as amended: *Provided*, That funds
24 appropriated under this heading may be allocated to other
25 Federal agencies in accordance with section 111(a) of

1 CERCLA: *Provided further*, That of the funds appro-
2 priated under this heading, \$13,316,000 shall be trans-
3 ferred to the "Office of Inspector General" appropriation
4 to remain available until September 30, 2008, and
5 \$30,011,000 shall be transferred to the "Science and
6 Technology" appropriation to remain available until Sep-
7 tember 30, 2008.

8 LEAKING UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK PROGRAM

9 For necessary expenses to carry out leaking under-
10 ground storage tank cleanup activities authorized by sec-
11 tion 205 of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthoriza-
12 tion Act of 1986, and for construction, alteration, repair,
13 rehabilitation, and renovation of facilities, not to exceed
14 \$85,000 per project, \$72,759,000, to remain available
15 until expended.

16 OIL SPILL RESPONSE

17 For expenses necessary to carry out the Environ-
18 mental Protection Agency's responsibilities under the Oil
19 Pollution Act of 1990, \$16,506,000, to be derived from
20 the Oil Spill Liability trust fund, to remain available until
21 expended.

22 STATE AND TRIBAL ASSISTANCE GRANTS

23 (INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

24 For environmental programs and infrastructure as-
25 sistance, including capitalization grants for State revolv-

1 ing funds and performance partnership grants,
2 \$3,009,348,000 to remain available until expended, of
3 which \$687,555,000 shall be for making capitalization
4 grants for the Clean Water State Revolving Funds under
5 title VI of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as
6 amended (the "Act"); of which up to \$50,000,000 shall
7 be available for loans, including interest free loans as au-
8 thorized by 33 U.S.C. 1383(d)(1)(A), to municipal, inter-
9 municipal, interstate, or State agencies or nonprofit enti-
10 ties for projects that provide treatment for or that mini-
11 mize sewage or stormwater discharges using one or more
12 approaches which include, but are not limited to, decen-
13 tralized or distributed stormwater controls, decentralized
14 wastewater treatment, low-impact development practices,
15 conservation easements, stream buffers, or wetlands res-
16 toration; \$841,500,000 shall be for capitalization grants
17 for the Drinking Water State Revolving Funds under sec-
18 tion 1452 of the Safe Drinking Water Act, as amended;
19 \$24,750,000 shall be for architectural, engineering, plan-
20 ning, design, construction and related activities in connec-
21 tion with the construction of high priority water and
22 wastewater facilities in the area of the United States-Mex-
23 ico border, after consultation with the appropriate border
24 commission; \$14,850,000 shall be for grants to the State
25 of Alaska to address drinking water and waste infrastruc-

1 ture needs of rural and Alaska Native Villages: *Provided,*
2 That, of these funds: (1) the State of Alaska shall provide
3 a match of 25 percent; (2) no more than 5 percent of the
4 funds may be used for administrative and overhead ex-
5 penses; and (3) the State of Alaska shall make awards
6 consistent with the State-wide priority list established in
7 2004 for all water, sewer, waste disposal, and similar
8 projects carried out by the State of Alaska that are funded
9 under section 221 of the Federal Water Pollution Control
10 Act (33 U.S.C. 1301) or the Consolidated Farm and Rural
11 Development Act (7 U.S.C. 1921 et seq.) which shall allo-
12 cate not less than 25 percent of the funds provided for
13 projects in regional hub communities; \$200,000,000 shall
14 be for making special project grants for the construction
15 of drinking water, wastewater and storm water infrastruc-
16 ture and for water quality protection in accordance with
17 the terms and conditions specified for such grants in the
18 joint explanatory statement of the managers accom-
19 panying this Act, and, for purposes of these grants, each
20 grantee shall contribute not less than 45 percent of the
21 cost of the project unless the grantee is approved for a
22 waiver by the Agency; \$89,119,000 shall be to carry out
23 section 104(k) of the Comprehensive Environmental Re-
24 sponse, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
25 (CERCLA), as amended, including grants, interagency

1 agreements, and associated program support costs;
2 \$28,000,000 shall be for the national grant and loan pro-
3 gram authorized by section 792 of the Energy Policy Act
4 of 2005 for the National Clean Diesel Initiative; and
5 \$1,122,584,000 shall be for grants, including associated
6 program support costs, to States, federally-recognized
7 tribes, interstate agencies, tribal consortia, and air pollu-
8 tion control agencies for multi-media or single media pol-
9 lution prevention, control and abatement and related ac-
10 tivities, including activities pursuant to the provisions set
11 forth under this heading in Public Law 104-134, and for
12 making grants under section 103 of the Clean Air Act for
13 particulate matter monitoring and data collection activi-
14 ties subject to terms and conditions specified by the Ad-
15 ministrator, of which \$49,495,000 shall be for carrying
16 out section 128 of CERCLA, as amended, \$14,850,000
17 shall be for Environmental Information Exchange Net-
18 work grants, including associated program support costs,
19 not less than \$18,500,000 of the funds available for grants
20 under section 106 of the Act shall be for the water quality
21 monitoring initiative that meet EPA standards for statis-
22 tically representative monitoring programs, \$17,567,000
23 to make grants to States under section 2007(f)(2) of the
24 Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, and to federally-
25 recognized tribes under Public Law 105-276, and to pro-

1 vide financial assistance to States and federally-recognized
2 tribes for the purposes authorized by Title XV, Subtitle
3 B of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, with the exception
4 of leaking underground storage tank cleanup activities
5 that are authorized by section 205 of Superfund Amend-
6 ments and Reauthorization Act of 1986, and \$15,930,000
7 shall be for making competitive targeted watershed grants:
8 *Provided further*, That notwithstanding section 603(d)(7)
9 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, the limitation
10 on the amounts in a State water pollution control revolv-
11 ing fund that may be used by a State to administer the
12 fund shall not apply to amounts included as principal in
13 loans made by such fund in fiscal year 2007 and prior
14 years where such amounts represent costs of admin-
15 istering the fund to the extent that such amounts are or
16 were deemed reasonable by the Administrator, accounted
17 for separately from other assets in the fund, and used for
18 eligible purposes of the fund, including administration:
19 *Provided further*, That for fiscal year 2007, and notwith-
20 standing section 518(f) of the Act, the Administrator is
21 authorized to use the amounts appropriated for any fiscal
22 year under section 319 of that Act to make grants to fed-
23 erally-recognized Indian tribes pursuant to sections 319(h)
24 and 518(e) of that Act: *Provided further*, That for fiscal
25 year 2007, notwithstanding the limitation on amounts in

1 section 518(c) of the Act, up to a total of 1½ percent
2 of the funds appropriated for State Revolving Funds
3 under title VI of that Act may be reserved by the Adminis-
4 trator for grants under section 518(c) of that Act: *Pro-*
5 *vided further*, That no funds provided by this Act to ad-
6 dress the water, wastewater and other critical infrastruc-
7 ture needs of the colonias in the United States along the
8 United States-Mexico border shall be made available to a
9 county or municipal government unless that government
10 has established an enforceable local ordinance, or other
11 zoning rule, which prevents in that jurisdiction the devel-
12 opment or construction of any additional colonia areas, or
13 the development within an existing colonia the construc-
14 tion of any new home, business, or other structure which
15 lacks water, wastewater, or other necessary infrastructure:
16 *Provided further*, That of the funds made available under
17 this heading in Division I of Public Law 108-447,
18 \$500,000 is for Monticello, AR water and wastewater in-
19 frastructure improvements and \$500,000 is for Pine
20 Bluff, AR water and wastewater infrastructure improve-
21 ments: *Provided further*, That funds that were appro-
22 priated under this heading for special project grants in
23 fiscal year 2001 or earlier that have not been obligated
24 on an approved grant by September 1, 2007, are re-
25 scinded.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

1
2 For fiscal year 2007, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C.
3 6303(1) and 6305(1), the Administrator of the Environ-
4 mental Protection Agency, in carrying out the Agency's
5 function to implement directly Federal environmental pro-
6 grams required or authorized by law in the absence of an
7 acceptable tribal program, may award cooperative agree-
8 ments to federally-recognized Indian Tribes or Intertribal
9 consortia, if authorized by their member Tribes, to assist
10 the Administrator in implementing Federal environmental
11 programs for Indian Tribes required or authorized by law,
12 except that no such cooperative agreements may be award-
13 ed from funds designated for State financial assistance
14 agreements.

15 The Administrator of the Environmental Protection
16 Agency is authorized to collect and obligate pesticide reg-
17 istration service fees in accordance with section 33 of the
18 Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (as
19 added by subsection (f)(2) of the Pesticide Registration
20 Improvement Act of 2003), as amended.

21 None of the funds provided in this Act may be used,
22 directly or through grants, to pay or to provide reimburse-
23 ment for payment of the salary of a consultant (whether
24 retained by the Federal Government or a grantee) at more
25 than the daily equivalent of the rate paid for level IV of

1 the Executive Schedule, unless specifically authorized by
2 law.

3 None of the funds made available in this Act may
4 be used to promulgate in final form, issue, implement, or
5 enforce the Environmental Protection Agency's Toxics Re-
6 lease Inventory Burden Reduction Proposed Rule pub-
7 lished in the Federal Register on October 4, 2005 (Volume
8 70, Number 191) at pages 57822 and following or the
9 Toxics Release Inventory 2006 Burden Reduction Pro-
10 posed Rule published in the Federal Register on October
11 4, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 191) at pages 57871
12 through 57872.

13 GENERAL PROVISIONS, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
14 AGENCY

15 SEC. 201. None of the funds made available by this
16 Act may be used in contravention of, or to delay the imple-
17 mentation of, Executive Order No. 12898 of February 11,
18 1994 (59 Fed. Reg. 7629; relating to Federal actions to
19 address environmental justice in minority populations and
20 low-income populations).

21 SEC. 202. None of the funds made available in this
22 Act may be used in contravention of 15 U.S.C. 2682(e)(3)
23 or to delay the implementation of that section.

1 TITLE III—RELATED AGENCIES
2 DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
3 FOREST SERVICE
4 FOREST AND RANGELAND RESEARCH

5 For necessary expenses of forest and rangeland re-
6 search as authorized by law, \$280,318,000, to remain
7 available until expended: *Provided*, That of the funds pro-
8 vided, \$62,329,000 is for the forest inventory and analysis
9 program.

10 STATE AND PRIVATE FORESTRY

11 For necessary expenses of cooperating with and pro-
12 viding technical and financial assistance to States, terri-
13 tories, possessions, and others, and for forest health man-
14 agement, including treatments of pests, pathogens, and
15 invasive or noxious plants and for restoring and rehabili-
16 tating forests damaged by pests or invasive plants, cooper-
17 ative forestry, and education and land conservation activi-
18 ties and conducting an international program as author-
19 ized, \$228,608,000, to remain available until expended, as
20 authorized by law of which \$9,280,000 is to be derived
21 from the Land and Water Conservation Fund: *Provided*,
22 That none of the funds provided under this heading for
23 the acquisition of lands or interests in lands shall be avail-
24 able until the Forest Service notifies the House Committee
25 on Appropriations and the Senate Committee on Appro-

1 SEC. 507. None of the funds made available in this
2 Act may be used to limit outreach programs administered
3 by the Smithsonian Institution.

4 SEC. 508. None of the funds in this Act may be used
5 by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection
6 Agency to implement or enforce the Joint Memorandum
7 published in the Federal Register on January 15, 2003
8 (68 Fed. Reg. 1995).

9 SEC. 509. No funds provided in title I may be ex-
10 pended by the Department of the Interior—

11 (1) for the conduct of offshore natural gas
12 preleasing, leasing, and related activities placed
13 under restriction in the President's moratorium
14 statement of June 12, 1998, in the areas of north-
15 ern, central, and southern California; the North At-
16 lantic; Washington and Oregon; and the eastern
17 Gulf of Mexico south of 26 degrees north latitude
18 and east of 86 degrees west longitude;

19 (2) to conduct offshore natural gas preleasing,
20 leasing, and related activities in the eastern Gulf of
21 Mexico planning area for any lands located outside
22 Sale 181, as identified in the final Outer Continental
23 Shelf 5-Year Oil and Gas Leasing Program, 1997—
24 2002; or

1 (3) to conduct natural gas preleasing, leasing,
2 and related activities in the Mid-Atlantic and South
3 Atlantic planning areas.

4 This Act may be cited as the "Department of the In-
5 terior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations
6 Act, 2007".

Passed the House of Representatives May 18, 2006.

Attest:

Clerk.

109TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

H. R. 5386

AN ACT

Making appropriations for the Department of the Interior, environment, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2007, and for other purposes.

Calendar No. 507

109TH CONGRESS
2^D SESSION

H.R. 5386

[Report No. 109-275]

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

MAY 19, 2006

Received; read twice and referred to the Committee on Appropriations

JUNE 29, 2006

Reported by Mr. BURNS, with an amendment

[Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert the part printed in italic]

AN ACT

Making appropriations for the Department of the Interior, environment, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2007, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*
3 ~~That the following sums are appropriated, out of any~~
4 ~~money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, for the~~
5 ~~Department of the Interior, environment, and related~~
6 ~~agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2007,~~
7 ~~and for other purposes, namely:~~

1 TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

2 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

3 MANAGEMENT OF LANDS AND RESOURCES

4 For necessary expenses for protection, use, improve-
5 ment, development, disposal, cadastral surveying, classi-
6 fication, acquisition of easements and other interests in
7 lands, and performance of other functions, including main-
8 tenance of facilities, as authorized by law, in the manage-
9 ment of lands and their resources under the jurisdiction
10 of the Bureau of Land Management, including the general
11 administration of the Bureau, and assessment of mineral
12 potential of public lands pursuant to Public Law 96-487
13 (16 U.S.C. 3150(a)), \$867,738,000 (reduced by \$1) (in-
14 creased by \$1), to remain available until expended, of
15 which \$1,250,000 is for high priority projects, to be ear-
16 ried out by the Youth Conservation Corps; and of which
17 \$2,750,000 shall be available in fiscal year 2007 subject
18 to a match by at least an equal amount by the National
19 Fish and Wildlife Foundation for cost-shared projects sup-
20 porting conservation of Bureau lands; and such funds
21 shall be advanced to the Foundation as a lump sum grant
22 without regard to when expenses are incurred.

23 In addition, \$22,696,000 is for Mining Law Adminis-
24 tration program operations, including the cost of admin-
25 istering the mining claim fee program; to remain available

1 *SEC. 134. No funds appropriated or otherwise made*
2 *available to the Department of the Interior may be used,*
3 *in relation to any proposal to store water for the purpose*
4 *of export, for approval of any right-of-way or similar au-*
5 *thorization on the Mojave National Preserve or lands man-*
6 *aged by the Needles Field Office of the Bureau of Land Man-*
7 *agement, or for carrying out any activities associated with*
8 *such right-of-way or similar approval.*

9

TITLE II

10

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

11

SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

12 *For science and technology, including research and de-*
13 *velopment activities, which shall include research and devel-*
14 *opment activities under the Comprehensive Environmental*
15 *Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as*
16 *amended; necessary expenses for personnel and related costs*
17 *and travel expenses, including uniforms, or allowances*
18 *therefor, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901–5902; services as*
19 *authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, but at rates for individuals*
20 *not to exceed the per diem rate equivalent to the maximum*
21 *rate payable for senior level positions under 5 U.S.C. 5376;*
22 *procurement of laboratory equipment and supplies; other*
23 *operating expenses in support of research and development;*
24 *construction, alteration, repair, rehabilitation, and renova-*
25 *tion of facilities, not to exceed \$85,000 per project,*

1 \$793,362,000, to remain available until September 30,
2 2008.

3 *ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS AND MANAGEMENT*

4 *For environmental programs and management, in-*
5 *cluding necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, for*
6 *personnel and related costs and travel expenses, including*
7 *uniforms, or allowances therefor, as authorized by 5 U.S.C.*
8 *5901–5902; services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, but*
9 *at rates for individuals not to exceed the per diem rate*
10 *equivalent to the maximum rate payable for senior level po-*
11 *sitions under 5 U.S.C. 5376; hire of passenger motor vehi-*
12 *cles; hire, maintenance, and operation of aircraft; purchase*
13 *of reprints; library memberships in societies or associations*
14 *which issue publications to members only or at a price to*
15 *members lower than to subscribers who are not members;*
16 *construction, alteration, repair, rehabilitation, and renova-*
17 *tion of facilities, not to exceed \$85,000 per project; and not*
18 *to exceed \$9,000 for official reception and representation*
19 *expenses, \$2,310,674,000, to remain available until Sep-*
20 *tember 30, 2008, including administrative costs of the*
21 *brownfields program under the Small Business Liability*
22 *Relief and Brownfields Revitalization Act of 2002.*

23 *OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL*

24 *For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector Gen-*
25 *eral in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General*

1 *Act of 1978, as amended, and for construction, alteration,*
2 *repair, rehabilitation, and renovation of facilities, not to*
3 *exceed \$85,000 per project, \$35,100,000, to remain available*
4 *until September 30, 2008.*

5 *BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES*

6 *For construction, repair, improvement, extension, al-*
7 *teration, and purchase of fixed equipment or facilities of,*
8 *or for use by, the Environmental Protection Agency,*
9 *\$39,816,000, to remain available until expended.*

10 *HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE SUPERFUND*

11 *(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)*

12 *For necessary expenses to carry out the Comprehensive*
13 *Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act*
14 *of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended, including sections*
15 *111(c)(3), (c)(5), (c)(6), and (e)(4) (42 U.S.C. 9611), and*
16 *for construction, alteration, repair, rehabilitation, and ren-*
17 *ovation of facilities, not to exceed \$85,000 per project;*
18 *\$1,261,345,000, to remain available until expended, con-*
19 *sisting of such sums as are available in the Trust Fund*
20 *on September 30, 2006, as authorized by section 517(a) of*
21 *the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of*
22 *1986 (SARA) and up to \$1,261,345,000 as a payment from*
23 *general revenues to the Hazardous Substance Superfund for*
24 *purposes as authorized by section 517(b) of SARA, as*
25 *amended: Provided, That funds appropriated under this*

1 heading may be allocated to other Federal agencies in ac-
2 cordance with section 111(a) of CERCLA: Provided further,
3 That of the funds appropriated under this heading,
4 \$13,316,000 shall be transferred to the "Office of Inspector
5 General" appropriation to remain available until Sep-
6 tember 30, 2008, and \$27,811,000 shall be transferred to
7 the "Science and Technology" appropriation to remain
8 available until September 30, 2008.

9 LEAKING UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK TRUST FUND
10 PROGRAM

11 For necessary expenses to carry out leaking under-
12 ground storage tank cleanup activities authorized by section
13 205 of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act
14 of 1986, and for construction, alteration, repair, rehabilita-
15 tion, and renovation of facilities, not to exceed \$85,000 per
16 project, \$72,759,000, to remain available until expended.

17 OIL SPILL RESPONSE

18 For expenses necessary to carry out the Environmental
19 Protection Agency's responsibilities under the Oil Pollution
20 Act of 1990, \$16,506,000, to be derived from the Oil Spill
21 Liability trust fund, to remain available until expended.

22 STATE AND TRIBAL ASSISTANCE GRANTS

23 (INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

24 For environmental programs and infrastructure as-
25 sistance, including capitalization grants for State revolving

1 *funds and performance partnership grants, \$3,000,362,000,*
2 *to remain available until expended, of which \$687,555,000*
3 *shall be for making capitalization grants for the Clean*
4 *Water State Revolving Funds under title VI of the Federal*
5 *Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (the "Act");*
6 *\$841,500,000 shall be for capitalization grants for the*
7 *Drinking Water State Revolving Funds under section 1452*
8 *of the Safe Drinking Water Act, as amended; \$30,750,000*
9 *shall be for architectural, engineering, planning, design,*
10 *construction and related activities in connection with the*
11 *construction of high priority water and wastewater facili-*
12 *ties in the area of the United States-Mexico Border, after*
13 *consultation with the appropriate border commission;*
14 *\$24,850,000 shall be for grants to the State of Alaska to*
15 *address drinking water and waste infrastructure needs of*
16 *rural and Alaska Native Villages: Provided, That, of these*
17 *funds: (1) the State of Alaska shall provide a match of 25*
18 *percent; (2) no more than 5 percent of the funds may be*
19 *used for administrative and overhead expenses; and (3) not*
20 *later than October 1, 2005 the State of Alaska shall make*
21 *awards consistent with the State-wide priority list estab-*
22 *lished in 2004 for all water, sewer, waste disposal, and*
23 *similar projects carried out by the State of Alaska that are*
24 *funded under section 221 of the Federal Water Pollution*
25 *Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1301) or the Consolidated Farm and*

1 *Rural Development Act (7 U.S.C. 1921 et seq.) which shall*
2 *allocate not less than 25 percent of the funds provided for*
3 *projects in regional hub communities; \$210,000,000 shall*
4 *be for making special project grants for the construction of*
5 *drinking water, wastewater and storm water infrastructure*
6 *and for water quality protection in accordance with the*
7 *terms and conditions specified for such grants in the joint*
8 *explanatory statement of the managers accompanying this*
9 *Act, and, for purposes of these grants, each grantee shall*
10 *contribute not less than 45 percent of the cost of the project*
11 *unless the grantee is approved for a waiver by the Agency;*
12 *\$89,119,000 shall be to carry out section 104(k) of the Com-*
13 *prehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and*
14 *Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended, including*
15 *grants, interagency agreements, and associated program*
16 *support costs; \$20,127,000 for grants under sections 791-*
17 *797 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005; and \$1,096,461,000*
18 *shall be for grants, including associated program support*
19 *costs, to States, federally recognized tribes, interstate agen-*
20 *cies, tribal consortia, and air pollution control agencies for*
21 *multi-media or single media pollution prevention, control*
22 *and abatement and related activities, including activities*
23 *pursuant to the provisions set forth under this heading in*
24 *Public Law 104-134, and for making grants under section*
25 *103 of the Clean Air Act for particulate matter monitoring*

1 and data collection activities subject to terms and condi-
2 tions specified by the Administrator, of which \$49,495,000
3 shall be for carrying out section 128 of CERCLA, as amend-
4 ed, \$14,850,000 shall be for Environmental Information
5 Exchange Network grants, including associated program
6 support costs, \$18,500,000 of the funds available for grants
7 under section 106 of the Act shall be for water quality moni-
8 toring activities that meet EPA standards for statistically
9 representative monitoring programs, \$17,567,000 to make
10 grants to States under section 2007(f)(2) of the Solid Waste
11 Disposal Act, as amended, and to federally recognized tribes
12 under Public Law 105-276, and to provide financial assist-
13 ance to States and federally-recognized tribes for the pur-
14 poses authorized by title XV, subtitle B of the Energy Policy
15 Act of 2005, with the exception of leaking underground stor-
16 age tank cleanup activities that are authorized by section
17 205 of Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of
18 1986, and \$16,608,000 shall be for making competitive tar-
19 geted watershed grants: Provided further, That notwith-
20 standing section 603(d)(7) of the Federal Water Pollution
21 Control Act, the limitation on the amounts in a State water
22 pollution control revolving fund that may be used by a
23 State to administer the fund shall not apply to amounts
24 included as principal in loans made by such fund in fiscal
25 year 2007 and prior years where such amounts represent

1 costs of administering the fund to the extent that such
2 amounts are or were deemed reasonable by the Adminis-
3 trator, accounted for separately from other assets in the
4 fund, and used for eligible purposes of the fund, including
5 administration: Provided further, That for fiscal year 2007,
6 and notwithstanding section 518(f) of the Act, the Adminis-
7 trator is authorized to use the amounts appropriated for
8 any fiscal year under section 319 of that Act to make grants
9 to federally recognized Indian tribes pursuant to sections
10 319(h) and 518(e) of that Act: Provided further, That for
11 fiscal year 2007, notwithstanding the limitation on
12 amounts in section 518(c) of the Act, up to a total of 1½
13 percent of the funds appropriated for State Revolving
14 Funds under title VI of that Act may be reserved by the
15 Administrator for grants under section 518(c) of that Act:
16 Provided further, That no funds provided by this Act to
17 address the water, wastewater and other critical infrastruc-
18 ture needs of the colonias in the United States along the
19 United States-Mexico border shall be made available to a
20 county or municipal government unless that government
21 has established an enforceable local ordinance, or other zon-
22 ing rule, which prevents in that jurisdiction the develop-
23 ment or construction of any additional colonia areas, or
24 the development within an existing colonia the construction
25 of any new home, business, or other structure which lacks

1 *water, wastewater, or other necessary infrastructure: Pro-*
2 *vided further, That funds that were appropriated under this*
3 *heading for special project grants in fiscal year 2001 or*
4 *earlier that have not been obligated on an approved grant*
5 *by September 1, 2007, are rescinded.*

6 *ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS*

7 *For fiscal year 2007, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C.*
8 *6303(1) and 6305(1), the Administrator of the Environ-*
9 *mental Protection Agency, in carrying out the Agency's*
10 *function to implement directly Federal environmental pro-*
11 *grams required or authorized by law in the absence of an*
12 *acceptable tribal program, may award cooperative agree-*
13 *ments to federally-recognized Indian Tribes or Intertribal*
14 *consortia, if authorized by their member Tribes, to assist*
15 *the Administrator in implementing Federal environmental*
16 *programs for Indian Tribes required or authorized by law,*
17 *except that no such cooperative agreements may be awarded*
18 *from funds designated for State financial assistance agree-*
19 *ments.*

20 *The Administrator of the Environmental Protection*
21 *Agency is authorized to collect and obligate pesticide reg-*
22 *istration service fees in accordance with section 33 of the*
23 *Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (as*
24 *added by subsection (f)(2) of the Pesticide Registration Im-*
25 *provement Act of 2003), as amended.*

1 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—Using not less than
2 \$5,500,000 of the amounts made available under the
3 heading “ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS AND MANAGE-
4 MENT” in title II, the Administrator shall carry out
5 a competitive grant program to provide additional
6 assistance under section 1452(q) of the Safe Drinking
7 Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12(q)) to small systems
8 and qualified (as determined by the Administrator)
9 organizations for use in addressing State needs, in-
10 cluding for use in—

11 (A) *complying with—*

12 (i) *the standard for arsenic promul-*
13 *gated in the final rule entitled “National*
14 *Primary Drinking Water Regulations; Ar-*
15 *senic and Clarifications to Compliance and*
16 *New Source Contaminants Monitoring” (66*
17 *Fed. Reg. 6976 (January 22, 2001));*

18 (ii) *the final rule entitled “National*
19 *Primary Drinking Water Regulations: Dis-*
20 *infectants and Disinfection Byproducts” (63*
21 *Fed. Reg. 69390 (December 16, 1998)); and*

22 (iii) *other regulations promulgated in*
23 *accordance with the Safe Drinking Water*
24 *Act (42 U.S.C. 300f et seq.) relating to dis-*

1 *infection byproducts, wastewater permits,*
2 *and source water protection; and*

3 *(B) completing and submitting applications*
4 *for funding assistance.*

5 (2) *PRIORITY.—In providing grants under para-*
6 *graph (1), the Administrator shall give priority to*
7 *small systems and qualified (as determined by the*
8 *Administrator) organizations that have the most sup-*
9 *port (or a majority of support) from small commu-*
10 *nities in each State.*

11 *TITLE III*

12 *RELATED AGENCIES*

13 *DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE*

14 *FOREST SERVICE*

15 *FOREST AND RANGELAND RESEARCH*

16 *For necessary expenses of forest and rangeland re-*
17 *search as authorized by law, \$274,987,000, to remain avail-*
18 *able until expended: Provided, That of the funds provided,*
19 *\$62,000,000 is for the forest inventory and analysis pro-*
20 *gram.*

21 *STATE AND PRIVATE FORESTRY*

22 *For necessary expenses of cooperating with and pro-*
23 *viding technical and financial assistance to States, terri-*
24 *tories, possessions, and others, and for forest health manage-*
25 *ment, including treatments of pests, pathogens, and*
26 *invasive or noxious plants and for restoring and rehabili-*

1 *and no sale, offer to sell, or conveyance shall be sub-*
2 *ject to administrative appeal.*

3 (2) *The requirement of this section for offset of*
4 *the amount of lands acquired and the amount of*
5 *lands sold or conveyed shall apply only to the Elk-*
6 *horn Ranch acquisition and does not apply to any*
7 *other Federal land acquisitions authorized by law.*

8 *SEC. 429. Beginning in fiscal year 2007 and there-*
9 *after, the Forest Service shall not change the eligibility re-*
10 *quirements for base property and livestock ownership for*
11 *grazing permits on the Dakota Prairie Grasslands that*
12 *were in effect as of July 18, 2005.*

13 *SEC. 430. None of the funds made available in this*
14 *Act may be used to study or enter into a contract with a*
15 *private party to carry out, without specific authorization*
16 *in a subsequent Act of Congress, a competitive sourcing ac-*
17 *tivity of the Secretary of Agriculture or the Secretary of*
18 *the Interior, including support personnel of the Department*
19 *of Agriculture and the Department of the Interior, relating*
20 *to wildfire management or wildfire suppression programs.*

21 *SEC. 431. Section 337(a) of the Department of the In-*
22 *terior and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2005*
23 *(Public Law 108-447; 118 Stat. 3012) is amended by strik-*
24 *ing "September 30, 2006" and inserting "September 30,*
25 *2008".*

1 *SEC. 432. The Secretary of Agriculture shall (1) com-*
2 *plete the final environmental impact statement for the*
3 *Kings River Demonstration Project on the Sierra National*
4 *Forest no later than September 1, 2006, (2) adopt the*
5 *Record of Decision for the Project no later than November*
6 *30, 2006, and (3) render the final disposition on any ad-*
7 *ministrative appeals on the Project no later than February*
8 *28, 2007.*

9 *This Act may be cited as the "Department of the Inte-*
10 *rior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations*
11 *Act, 2007".*

Calendar No. 507

109TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

H.R. 5386

[Report No. 109-275]

AN ACT

Making appropriations for the Department of the Interior, environment, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2007, and for other purposes.

MAY 19, 2006

Received; read twice and referred to the Committee on Appropriations

JUNE 29, 2006

Reported with an amendment

Selected Amendments Proposed on the House Floor

<u>Page</u>	<u>Representative</u>	<u>Subject</u>
		<u>Legislative Amendments</u>
H2816	Pallone/Solis	TRI - Burden Reduction: This amendment is bill language which prevents the Agency from changing the Toxics Release Inventory reporting.
H2850	Oberstar/Dingell/ Leach	Clean Water Act and SWANCC Supreme Court Decision: This amendment prohibits funding to continue a 2003 EPA directive to implement a 2001 Supreme Court decision interpreting the definition of "navigable waters" under the Clean Water Act.
H2817	Conaway	Arsenic in Drinking Water: Bill language preventing the Agency from using any funds to enforce Drinking Water Regulations for arsenic and radionuclides in any public water system serving 10,000 people or less. This amendment was offered and then withdrawn
H2849	Garrett	International Conferences: Bill language prohibiting any of the funds made available in the Act from being used to send or otherwise pay for the attendance of more than 50 employees from a Federal department or agency at any single conference occurring outside the United States.
		<u>Funding Amendments</u>
H2815	Taylor	Diesel Grants: Added \$2,000,000 to the Diesel Grant program as a result of a technical rescoring.
H2809	Sanders	Energy Star: Added \$1,800,000 to the Energy Star program.
H2868	Hefley	1% Across-the-board cut: Each amount appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act that is not required to be

appropriated or otherwise available by a provision of law is reduced by 1 percent.

Points of Order

Young NPDES Rulemaking: Offered a point of order to remove the provision mandating completion of NPDES rule making by December 31, 2006.

Barton Mandatory Climate Controls: Offered a point of order to remove the Sense of the Congress which acknowledges global climate issues and calls for mandatory climate change efforts.

Obey General Funding Levels: Offered Bill language providing \$800 Million increase funding levels to various programs throughout the Bill. Increases were to be funded by a change to the current Tax Bill in Congress. This was similar to his amendment offered in Full Committee.

Colloquies

H2794 Taylor, Millender-McDonald Clean Diesel: Mr. Taylor and Ms. Millender-McDonald entered into a colloquy on the funding level on the Clean Diesel program. Mr. Taylor agreed to the importance of the program and suggested if additional funding became available it would be considered as the Bill moved towards conference.

H2797 Taylor, Kirk, Ehlers Great Lakes Legacy Act: Mr. Taylor, Mr. Kirk, and Mr. Ehlers entered into a colloquy supporting the efforts of the Great Lakes Legacy and Great Lakes Program Office. Mr. Taylor agreed to the importance of the program and suggested if additional funding became available it would be considered as the Bill moved towards conference.

H2800 Taylor, McHenry PM 2.5 in Catawba County North Carolina: Chairman Taylor and Mr. McHenry entered

into a colloquy to discuss the Uniform Air
Quality Committee (UAQC) accurate
monitoring.

Sources: Lyons Gray, EPA CFO, memo to EPA Administrator Stephen L. Johnson;
Congressional Record

Selected Amendments Proposed on the Senate Floor

<u>Page</u>	<u>Representative</u>	<u>Subject</u>
	Craig	<i>Small Rural Drinking Water Systems:</i> Senator Craig offered Bill language providing a one year moratorium on the imposition of civil penalties for small public water systems under the Safe Drinking Water Act. During the Full Committee markup, Senator Craig modified his amendment which removed the moratorium. He stated that he heard from a number of his colleagues about their concern with the language of his amendment, and has decided to remove the moratorium. His revised amendment did include two demonstration projects to develop alternative technologies to comply with the rules. Senator Craig did indicate that he also wanted to work with his colleagues and possibly offer this amendment during the floor debate.

Sources: Lyons Gray, EPA CFO, memo to EPA Administrator Stephen L. Johnson;
Congressional Record

A FY 2007 CONFERENCE DEBATE WAS NOT PREPARED FOR THIS YEAR