HENRY A. WAXMAN, CALIFORNIA
EDWARD J. MARKEY, MASSACHUSETTS
RICK BOUCHER, VIRGINIA
EDOLPHUS TOWNS, NEW YORK
FRANK PALLONE, J.R., NEW JERSEY
BART GORDON, TENNESSEE
BOBBY L. RUSH, ILLINOIS
ANNA G. ESHOO, CALIFORNIA
BART STUPAK, MICHIGAN
ELIOT L. ENGEL, NEW YORK
ALBERT R. WYNN, MARYLAND
GENE GREEN, TEXAS
DIANA DEGETTE, COLORADO
VICE CHAIRMAN
LOIS CAPPS, CALIFORNIA
MIKE DOYLE, PENNSYLVANIA
JANEHARMAN, CALIFORNIA
TOM ALLEN, MAINE
JAN SCHAKOWSKY, ILLINOIS
HILDA L. SOLIS, CALIFORNIA
CHARLES, A GONZALEZ, TEXAS
JAY INSLEE, WASHINGTON
TAMMY BALDWIN, WISCONSIN
MIKE ROSS, ARICANSAS
DARLENE HOOLEY, OREGON
ANTHONY O. WEINER, NEW YORK
JIM MATHESON, UTAH
G.K. BUTTERFIELD, NORTH CAROLINA
CHARLE MELANCON, LOUISIANA
JOHN BARROW, GEORGIA
JOHN BARROW, GEORGIA

DENNIS B. FITZGIBBONS, CHIEF OF STAFF GREGG A. ROTHSCHILD, CHIEF COUNSEL ONE HUNDRED TENTH CONGRESS

U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Energy and Commerce Washington, DC 20515-6115

JOHN D. DINGELL, MICHIGAN CHAIRMAN

April 12, 2007

JOE BARTON, TEXAS
RANKING MEMBER
RALPH M. HALL, TEXAS
J. DENNIS HASTERT, ILLINOIS
FRED UPTON, MICHIGAN
CLIFF STEARNS, FLORIDA
NATHAN DEAL, GEORGIA
ED WHITFIELD, KENTUCKY
BARBARA CUBIN, WYOMING
JOHN SHIMKUS, ILLINOIS
HEATHER WILSON, NEW MEXICO
JOHN B. SHADEGG, ARIZONA
CHARLES W. "CHIP" PICKERING, MISSISSIPPI
VITO FOSSELLA, NEW YORK
STEVE BUYER, INDIANA
GEORGE RADANOVICH, CALIFORNIA
JOSEPH R. PITTS, PERNSYLVANIA
MARY BONO, CALIFORNIA
GREG WALDEN, OREGON
LEE TERRY, NEBRASKA
MIKE FERGUSON, NEW JERSEY
MIKE ROGERS, MICHIGAN
SUE MYRICK, NORTH CAROLINA
JOHN SULLIVAN, OKLAHOMA
TIM MURPHY, PENNSYLVANIA
MICHAEL C. BURGESS, TEXAS
MICHAEL C. BURGESS, TEXAS
MARSHA BLACKBURN, TENNESSEE

The Honorable David M. Walker Comptroller General U.S. Government Accountability Office 441 G Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20548

Dear Mr. Walker:

Pursuant to Rules X and XI of the U.S. House of Representatives, the Committee on Energy and Commerce and the Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations are conducting an inquiry into the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) management of the \$7 billion Universal Service Fund (USF) and its related support programs. As part of this inquiry, we write for your assistance in examining fundamental issues concerning waste, fraud, and abuse in the USF programs.

First among the general purposes of the Communications Act of 1934 is "to make available, so far as possible, to all the people of the United States, without discrimination...a rapid, efficient, Nation-wide, and world-wide wire and radio communications service with adequate facilities at reasonable charges...." The Universal Service Fund has been integral to achieving a nationwide telecommunications service and providing that service to all Americans, no matter where they live, at a reasonable price. Given the importance of the USF and its programs to the past and future of the Nation's communications policy, the Subcommittee asks for your continued assistance.

For example, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) has conducted a number of reviews of the E-rate program since the program's inception, including a review issued in February 2005 to assist the Committee's bipartisan investigation of the program. These reviews, particularly its most recent work, have exposed weaknesses in the program's administration and operational framework and have recommended corrective actions to reduce waste, fraud, and abuse in the annual \$2.25 billion program so that more deserving schools may participate in the E-rate program.

We believe GAO can continue to assist us with our oversight of all of the USF programs. For example, as recommended in the bipartisan staff report on the E-rate program, which was adopted by the Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations during the 109th Congress, GAO should continue its examination of waste, fraud, and abuse in that program. Given that universal service spending has risen appreciably over the past few years, with the overwhelming majority of that growth attributable to the USF's High Cost program, GAO should devote special attention to the High Cost program and recommend corrective actions.

We also believe it would be useful to take a fresh look at the trajectory of USF program support, and what this signifies about future issues that will confront all four of the USF programs. We seek as well your continued assistance in basic program oversight. Accordingly, we would request GAO assistance in addressing the following questions:

- What types of services and technologies are funded by the USF programs?
- With regard to program structure and operations, what are the strengths and weaknesses of the structural organization of the USF programs as related to the prevention of waste, fraud, and abuse?
- Are sufficient and adequate financial management procedures, controls, and systems in place at the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) and the FCC as it relates to the USF programs? What does the FCC do to oversee the financial management of the universal service funds and is this oversight effective? In its relationship with USAC, does the FCC provide clear and timely policy guidance to enable USAC to perform efficiently? For example, is the appeals process structured efficiently to provide clear guidance for future applicants?
- Does the FCC and/or USAC have a robust fraud detection and enforcement mechanism that serves as a real deterrent in each of the four USF programs? Please describe the history and analyze the effectiveness of the FCC and/or USAC's auditing of the programs, including the level of audits conducted in each of the four programs.
- What are the factors contributing to the expansion of the High Cost program? Are the High Cost mechanisms effectively designed to meet the program's statutory goals?
- Is the Rural Health Care pilot program designed and implemented with sufficient safeguards to ensure an equitable and fair distribution of funds?
- What is the participation rate by eligible low-income consumers in the Low Income program? Are carriers fulfilling their duties to advertise the availability of the Low Income program in an appropriate manner to reach low-income consumers?

The Honorable David M. Walker Page 3

Thank you for your prompt attention to this request. If you have questions on the specifics of your study, please have your staff contact David Nelson, Steven Rangel, or Johanna Shelton of the Committee Majority staff at (202) 226-2424 or Peter Spencer or Neil Fried of the Committee Minority staff at (202) 225-3641.

Sincerely,

John D. Dingell

Chairman

Joe Barton

Ranking Member

Bart Stupak

Chairman

Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations

Ed Whitfield

Ranking Member

Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations