

Congress of the United States
Washington, DC 20515

February 15, 2008

The Honorable John P. Walters
Director
Office of National Drug Control Policy
Executive Office of the President
Washington, DC 20503

Dear Mr. Walters:

Last week the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP), the National Urban League, and several other organizations called on Congress to repeal the 20-year-old ban on federal funding for needle exchange programs. According to a press report, an Office of National Drug Control Policy (ONDCP) chief scientist stated in response to this call that he would “prefer that the limited pool of federal funds for drug-related programs be devoted to treatment aimed directly at ending addiction.” He added: “It’s time to rethink if there’s a more humane, effective public health response than continuing to support injection drug use.”¹

We do not believe that a “more humane” response to drug addiction should leave injection drug users to become infected with HIV because they are not yet able or ready to access drug treatment.

Numerous government agencies, professional societies, and public health experts have concluded that needle exchange programs do not in fact “support” injection drug use. At least 17 major reviews and assessments of needle exchange programs have found that needle exchange programs help to reduce the spread of HIV and other dangerous infectious diseases without encouraging or increasing drug use.² In addition, multiple studies have found that such programs can in fact provide valuable opportunities to reduce illegal drug use.³

On May 25, 2005, Oversight Committee staff provided the ONDCP staff with references to these findings. However, last week’s comment appears not to reflect these scientific findings and is instead misleadingly characterizing needle exchange programs as “supporting” drug use.

We therefore write to highlight, once again, the scientific community’s support for needle exchange programs as a public health intervention strategy, and to urge strongly that your agency accurately represent the science on needle exchange.

¹ *Groups Seek End to Needle-Exchange Ban*, The Seattle Times (Feb. 6, 2008) (online at seattletimes.nwsourc.com/html/nationworld/2004168909_apneedleexchange06.html?syndication=rss).

² Letter from Representative Henry A. Waxman to The Honorable John P. Walters (May 25, 2005) (online at oversight.house.gov/documents/20050525110831-63007.pdf).

³ *Id.*

Evidence on Needle Exchange Programs

HIV can be transmitted through the use of shared injecting equipment. In the United States, at least one quarter of all AIDS cases from the beginning of the epidemic through 2005 – 250,000 cases – have been directly attributable to injection drug use.⁴

Among women, 36% of cumulative AIDS cases have been among injection drug users, and another 14% have been among women whose sex partners were injection drug users.⁵ For children 13 and under, over half of AIDS cases have been among children whose mothers who were either injection drug users or sexual partners of injection drug users.⁶

A growing body of evidence has created a broad public health consensus that needle exchange programs reduce the transmission of HIV and other infectious diseases without increasing rates of drug use.

As detailed in the attached 2005 letter, Chairman Waxman sent to the Director of the Office of National Drug Control Policy, this was the conclusion of at least 17 analyses by experts at the country's and world's most prominent professional and public health societies.⁷ These include the American Medical Association, the Infections Diseases Society of America, the American Public Health Association, the Centers for Disease Control (CDC), the National Institute on Drug Abuse, the National Institutes of Health, and the World Health Organization.⁸

Examples cited in the 2005 letter include:

- In 1997, a Consensus Panel convened by the **National Institutes of Health** concluded:

An impressive body of evidence suggests powerful effects from needle exchange programs. The number of studies showing beneficial effects on behaviors such as needle sharing greatly outnumber those showing no effects. There is no longer doubt that

⁴ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, *Cases of HIV Infection and AIDS in the United States and Dependent Areas, 2005; HIV/AIDS Surveillance Report, Volume 17, Revised Edition* (June 2007) (online at <http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/topics/surveillance/resources/reports/2005report/default.htm>).

⁵ *Id.*, Table 17.

⁶ *Id.*

⁷ *Supra* note 2

⁸ *Id.*

these programs work . . . Does needle exchange promote drug use? A preponderance of evidence shows either no change or decreased drug use.⁹

- In 1997, the **American Public Health Association** stated that “an enormous body of published research” exists “attesting to the efficacy of clean needle exchange for reducing HIV transmission among drug users. Moreover, study after study has shown that needle exchange does not lead to an increase of illegal drug use.”¹⁰
- In 2000, the **U.S. Surgeon General** and other senior scientists at the **Department of Health and Human Services** reviewed scientific research completed since April 1998 on needle exchange programs. In a published summary of the review, the Surgeon General announced:

After reviewing all of the research to date, the senior scientists of the Department and I have unanimously agreed that there is conclusive scientific evidence that syringe exchange programs, as part of a comprehensive HIV prevention strategy, are an effective public health intervention that reduces the transmission of HIV and does not encourage the use of illegal drugs.¹¹

- In 2000, the **Institute of Medicine** released a report on the findings of a committee it had convened at the request of the Centers for Disease Control to conduct a comprehensive review of current HIV prevention efforts in the United States. The report described the evidence on needle exchange programs as “compelling” and cited a study that suggested that “expanded provision of needle exchange programs in the United States could have averted between 10,000 and 20,000 new infections over the past decade.”¹² According to the report:

⁹ *Id.*, citing National Institutes of Health, *Interventions to Prevent HIV Risk Behaviors* (Feb. 11-13, 1997) (Consensus Statement No. 104) (online at <http://consensus.nih.gov/cons/104/104 - statement.htm>).

¹⁰ *Id.*, citing American Public Health Association, *American Public Health Association Supports Lifting Federal Ban on Funding for Needle Exchange* (Aug. 20, 1997) (online at <http://www.apha.org/news/press/1997/needle.htm>).

¹¹ *Id.*, citing U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, *Evidence-Based Findings on the Efficacy of Syringe Exchange Programs: An Analysis of the Scientific Research Completed since April 1998* (Mar. 17, 2000).

¹² *Id.*, citing Institute of Medicine, *No Time to Lose: Getting More from HIV Prevention*, 1145 (2000) (online at <http://books.nap.edu/books/0309071372/html/1 .html#pagetop>).

Although many communities and law enforcement officials have expressed concern that increasing availability of injection equipment will lead to increased drug use, criminal activity, and discarded contaminated syringes, studies have found no scientifically reliable evidence of these negative effects.¹³

- In 2002, the **Centers for Disease Control** summarized scientific studies of needle exchange programs. CDC explained:

“SEPs [syringe exchange programs] have been shown to be an effective way to link some hard-to-reach IDUs [intravenous drug users] with important public health services, including TB and STD treatment. Through their referrals to substance abuse treatment, SEPs can help IDUs stop using drugs. Studies also show that SEPs do not encourage drug use among SEP participants or the recruitment of first-time drug users.”¹⁴

- In 2002, the **National Institute on Drug Abuse** published a research-based guide to preventing HIV in drug-using populations. Concerning needle exchange programs, the guide stated: “Evaluations of these programs indicate that they are an effective part of a comprehensive strategy to reduce the injection drug use-related spread of HIV and other blood-borne infections. In addition they do not encourage the use of illicit drugs.”¹⁵
- In 2004, Dr. Elias A. Zerhouni, Director of the **National Institutes of Health**, wrote a letter to members of Congress in response to an inquiry about the scientific evidence on syringe exchange programs. The letter stated:

“A number of studies conducted in the U.S. have shown that SEPs do not increase drug use among participants or surrounding community members and are associated with reductions in the incidence of HIV, hepatitis B, and hepatitis C in the drug-using population.”¹⁶

¹³ *Id.*

¹⁴ *Id.*, citing Centers for Disease Control, *Syringe Exchange Programs* (Jan. 2002) (online at http://www.cdc.gov/idu/facts/aed_idu_syr.htm).

¹⁵ *Id.*, citing National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Drug Abuse, *Principles of HIV Prevention in Drug-Using Populations: A Research-Based Guide* (Mar. 2002).

¹⁶ *Id.*, citing Letter from NIH Director Dr. Elias A. Zerhouni to Reps. Henry A. Waxman and Elijah E. Cummings (Oct. 7, 2004).

More recently, in September, 2006, the Institute of Medicine (IOM) released an assessment of evidence on preventing HIV infection among injection drug users. The committee found that “a large number of studies and review papers... show that participation in multicomponent HIV prevention programs that include NSE [needle and syringe exchange] is associated with a reduction in drug-related HIV risk behavior, including self-reported sharing of needles and syringes, unsafe injection and disposal practices, and frequency of injection.”¹⁷ The panel also found “modest evidence” that needle exchange programs reduce HIV prevalence – the total percent of a population that has HIV. Based on these findings, the report included the official recommendation that needle exchange programs be used as part of a comprehensive HIV prevention program for injection drug users.¹⁸

Reflecting a widespread acceptance of the scientific consensus in support of needle exchange programs, there are currently 185 needle exchange programs operating across the country. According to a CDC report detailing their activities, “These expanded services are helping protect IDUs and their communities from the spread of bloodborne pathogens and are providing access to health services for a population at high risk.”¹⁹

Low Access to Drug Treatment

We strongly agree with your agency’s chief scientist that assisting people in stopping their drug use is an important policy goal, and that effective programs should be expanded and supported. But the unfortunate reality today is that few drug users can actually access treatment, and therefore the majority remains at risk of HIV infection.

According to the latest report from the U.S. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), only a small fraction of people who need treatment for illicit drug use actually get treatment to help them stop using. In 2006, 7.2 million people aged 12 and over needed treatment for illicit drug use, but only 1.6 million – or 20% – actually received treatment

¹⁷ Institute of Medicine, *Preventing HIV Infection among Injecting Drug users in High Risk Countries: An Assessment of the Evidence* (Sept. 2006) (online at www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=11731#toc).

¹⁸ *Id.*

¹⁹ MMWR, The Center for Disease Control and Prevention, *Syringe Exchange Programs—United States, 2005*, 56(44) 11164-1167 (Nov. 9, 2007) (online at www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm5644a4.htm?s_cid=mm5644a4_e).

at a specialty facility.²⁰ This means that 6.2 million people who needed treatment did not receive it. Of these, 1.1 million were youth between the ages of 12-17.²¹

Of the 6.2 million people who did not receive treatment, only 8% felt that they needed treatment, and only a third of these actually sought it.²² Among those who sought treatment but did not receive it, the most commonly cited reason was a lack of health coverage and an inability to afford treatment costs (35.1%). Another 31.8% did not feel that they were ready to stop using drugs, and 14.7% said that they did not know where to go for treatment.²³

Conclusion

The continuing HIV epidemic requires that we marshal all of our resources to decrease future infections. Treatment of drug addiction is crucial, but only a small portion of people in need are receiving it. In the meantime, the majority of injection drug users remain at risk of HIV infection. This risk can be lowered through comprehensive preventive services that include needle exchange programs.

We understand that the decision not to use federal funds for needle exchange programs was not made by your agency. However, a misguided statutory ban on the use of federal funds for these programs is absolutely no justification for misrepresenting the programs as “supporting” drug abuse.

²⁰ U.S. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, *Results from the 2006 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: National Findings (2007)* (online at www.oas.samhsa.gov/NSDUH/2k6NSDUH/2k6results.cfm#Ch7). In these statistics SAMHSA defines an individual as receiving needed treatment only if he or she receives treatment at a “specialty treatment facility,” a term which includes inpatient hospitals, inpatient or outpatient drug or alcohol rehabilitation facilities, and mental health centers. These statistics include both injecting drug users and non-injecting drug users.

²¹ *Id.*

²² *Id.*

²³ *Id.*

The Honorable John P. Walters
February 15, 2008
Page 7

We urge you to take the continuing public health consensus and body of scientific evidence into account in forming agency policy and statements on needle exchange programs.

Sincerely,



Henry A. Waxman
Chairman
Committee on Oversight and
Government Reform



Barbara Lee
Member of Congress

TOM DAVIS, VIRGINIA,
CHAIRMAN

CHRISTOPHER SHAYS, CONNECTICUT
DAN BURTON, INDIANA
ILEANA ROS-LEHTINEN, FLORIDA
JOHN M. McHUGH, NEW YORK
JOHN L. MICA, FLORIDA
GIL GUTKNECHT, MINNESOTA
MARK E. SOUDER, INDIANA
STEVEN C. LATOURETTE, OHIO
TODD RUSSELL PLATTS, PENNSYLVANIA
CHRIS CANNON, UTAH
JOHN J. DUNCAN, JR., TENNESSEE
CANDICE MILLER, MICHIGAN
MICHAEL R. TURNER, OHIO
DARRELL ISSA, CALIFORNIA
VIRGINIA BROWN-WAITE, FLORIDA
JON C. PORTER, NEVADA
KENNY MARCHANT, TEXAS
LYNN A. WESTMORELAND, GEORGIA
PATRICK T. McHENRY, NORTH CAROLINA
CHARLES W. DENT, PENNSYLVANIA
VIRGINIA FOXX, NORTH CAROLINA

ONE HUNDRED NINTH CONGRESS

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT REFORM

2157 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING

WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6143

MAJORITY (202) 225-5074
FACSIMILE (202) 225-3974
MINORITY (202) 225-5051
TTY (202) 225-6852

<http://reform.house.gov>

HENRY A. WAXMAN, CALIFORNIA,
RANKING MINORITY MEMBER

TOM LANTOS, CALIFORNIA
MAJOR R. OWENS, NEW YORK
EDOLPHUS TOWNS, NEW YORK
PAUL E. KANJORSKI, PENNSYLVANIA
CAROLYN B. MALONEY, NEW YORK
ELIJAH E. CUMMINGS, MARYLAND
DENNIS J. KUCINICH, OHIO
DANNY K. DAVIS, ILLINOIS
WM. LACY CLAY, MISSOURI
DIANE E. WATSON, CALIFORNIA
STEPHEN F. LYNCH, MASSACHUSETTS
CHRIS VAN HOLLEN, MARYLAND
LINDA T. SANCHEZ, CALIFORNIA
C.A. DUTCH RUPPERSBERGER,
MARYLAND
BRIAN HIGGINS, NEW YORK
ELEANOR HOLMES NORTON,
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BERNARD SANDERS, VERMONT,
INDEPENDENT

May 25, 2005

The Honorable John P. Walters
Director
Office of National Drug Control Policy
Executive Office of the President
Washington, DC 20503

Dear Mr. Walters:

Last month, my staff met with staff from the Office of National Drug Control Policy (ONDCP) to discuss needle exchange programs. At this meeting, the ONDCP staff appeared unaware of the extensive scientific evidence and expert opinion that supports needle exchange programs as an effective public health intervention. In fact, your staff asked my staff for references to expert statements that support the effectiveness of these programs.

This letter responds to ONDCP's request for information about needle exchange programs. Since 1991, there have been at least 17 major reviews and assessments of needle exchange programs by expert bodies such as the National Commission on AIDS, the Institute of Medicine, the National Institutes of Health, the Centers for Disease Control, the American Medical Association, the American Society of Addiction Medicine, and the World Health Organization. These assessments have found that needle exchange programs help reduce the spread of AIDS and other dangerous infectious disease without encouraging or increasing drug use. In fact, according to experts, needle exchange programs provide valuable opportunities to reduce illegal drug use.

In part as a result of these conclusions, needle exchange programs have been endorsed by a wide range of expert scientific and medical organizations, including the American Academy of Family Physicians,¹ the American Academy of Pediatrics,² the American Academy of Physician

¹ American Academy of Family Physicians, *Substance and Alcohol Abuse and Addiction* (2003) (online at <http://www.aafp.org/x7096.xml>).

² American Academy of Pediatrics, Provisional Committee on Pediatric AIDS, *Reducing the Risk of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Infection Associated with Illicit Drug Use*, *Pediatrics*, 945-7 (Dec. 1994) (online at <http://aappolicy.aappublications.org/cgi/reprint/pediatrics;94/6/945.pdf>).

Assistants,³ the American College of Preventive Medicine,⁴ the American Medical Association,⁵ the American Nurses Association,⁶ the American Psychological Association,⁷ the Association of Nurses in AIDS Care,⁸ and the Infectious Diseases Society of America.⁹

In chronological order, expert reviews and assessments of needle exchange programs include the following:

- In 1991, the **National Commission on AIDS**, whose members included then-Secretary of Defense Richard B. Cheney, convened a hearing on drug use and HIV. Based on the testimonies of expert witnesses at the hearing and a review of scientific evidence, the Commission issued a report that found:

Outreach programs which operate needle exchanges and distribute bleach not only help to control the spread of HIV, but also refer many individuals to treatment

³ American Academy of Physician Assistants, *Needle/Syringe Access for the Prevention of HIV Transmission* (policy paper adopted 2003) (online at http://www.aapa.org/policy/needle_access.html).

⁴ American College of Preventive Medicine, *Public Policy on Needle-Exchange Programs to Reduce Drug-Associated Morbidity and Mortality*, *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 173–5 (2000) (online at <http://www.acpm.org/ajpm369.pdf>).

⁵ American Medical Association, *Syringe and Needle Exchange Programs* (Policy Statement H-95.958) (online at http://www.ama-assn.org/apps/pf_new/pf_online?f_n=resultLink&doc=policyfiles/HnE/H-95.958.HTM&s_t=syringe+and+needle+exchange+programs&catg=AMA/HnE&catg=AMA/BnGnC&catg=AMA/DIR&&nth=1&&st_p=0&nth=1&).

⁶ American Nurses Association, *Position Statement: Needle Exchange and HIV* (effective Apr. 2, 1993) (online at <http://nursingworld.org/readroom/position/blood/blnedl.htm>).

⁷ American Psychological Association, *APA Council Endorses Fair-Testing Code, Forms New Working Group, among Other Actions* (Apr. 4, 2001) (online at <http://www.apa.org/monitor/apr04/endorse.html>).

⁸ Association of Nurses in AIDS Care, *Position Statement: Needle and Syringe Exchange* (rev. Sept. 2002) (online at http://www.anacnet.org/media/pdfs/PS_Needle_Syringe_Exch_4-2003.pdf).

⁹ Infectious Diseases Society of America, *Supporting Document for IDSA's Policy Statement on Syringe Exchange, Prescribing and Paraphernalia Laws* (Oct. 5, 2001) (online at http://www.idsociety.org/Content/ContentGroups/Public_Statements_and_Policies1/Statements/Supporting_Document_for_IDSA's_Policy_Statement_on_Syringe_Exchange,_Prescribing_and_Paraphernalia_L.htm).

programs . . . Most significantly, these programs, rather than encouraging substance use, lead a substantial number of substance users to seek treatment.¹⁰

- In 1995, a report prepared by a joint panel of the **National Research Council** and the **Institute of Medicine** reviewed the available evidence on needle exchange programs and concluded: “Needle exchange programs reduce the spread of HIV — the virus that causes AIDS — without increasing either the injection of illegal drugs among program participants or the number of new initiates to injection drug use.”¹¹
- In 1997, a Consensus Panel convened by the **National Institutes of Health** concluded:

An impressive body of evidence suggests powerful effects from needle exchange programs. The number of studies showing beneficial effects on behaviors such as needle sharing greatly outnumber those showing no effects. There is no longer doubt that these programs work . . . Does needle exchange promote drug use? A preponderance of evidence shows either no change or decreased drug use.¹²
- In 1997, the Council on Scientific Affairs of the **American Medical Association** issued a report on the medical and scientific literature on needle exchange programs that found:

There is substantial evidence of reduced needle-sharing among regular participants in needle-exchange programs. More importantly, HIV infection rates among drug users have been consistently lower in cities with needle-exchange programs . . . For example, while the HIV infection rate among injection drug users remained 1% to 2% in the Scottish city of Glasgow, where a needle-exchange program was quickly established, it reached 70% in nearby Edinburgh, where the response of government officials was to implement even more stringent controls over injection equipment.¹³

¹⁰ National Commission on Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome, *The Twin Epidemics of Substance Use and HIV* (July 1991) (online at <http://www.dogwoodcenter.org/references/studies91F.html#RECOMMENDATION%202>).

¹¹ National Academies, *Needle Exchange Programs Reduce HIV Transmission among People Who Inject Illegal Drugs* (Sept. 18, 1995) (online at <http://www4.nationalacademies.org/news.nsf/isbn/0309052963?OpenDocument>).

¹² National Institutes of Health, *Interventions to Prevent HIV Risk Behaviors* (Feb. 11–13, 1997) (Consensus Statement No. 104) (online at http://consensus.nih.gov/cons/104/104_statement.htm).

¹³ American Medical Association, *Report 8 of the Council on Scientific Affairs (A-97)* (June 1997) (online at <http://www.ama-assn.org/ama/pub/category/13636.html>).

- In 1997, the **American Public Health Association** stated that “an enormous body of published research” exists “attesting to the efficacy of clean needle exchange for reducing HIV transmission among drug users. Moreover, study after study has shown that needle exchange does not lead to an increase of illegal drug use.”¹⁴
- In 2000, the **U.S. Surgeon General** and other senior scientists at the **Department of Health and Human Services** reviewed scientific research completed since April 1998 on needle exchange programs. In a published summary of the review, the Surgeon General announced:

After reviewing all of the research to date, the senior scientists of the Department and I have unanimously agreed that there is conclusive scientific evidence that syringe exchange programs, as part of a comprehensive HIV prevention strategy, are an effective public health intervention that reduces the transmission of HIV and does not encourage the use of illegal drugs.¹⁵

- In 2000, the **Institute of Medicine** released a report on the findings of a committee it had convened at the request of the Centers for Disease Control to conduct a comprehensive review of current HIV prevention efforts in the United States. The report described the evidence on needle exchange programs as “compelling” and cited a study that suggested that “expanded provision of needle exchange programs in the United States could have averted between 10,000 and 20,000 new infections over the past decade.”¹⁶ According to the report:

Although many communities and law enforcement officials have expressed concern that increasing availability of injection equipment will lead to increased drug use, criminal activity, and discarded contaminated syringes, studies have found no scientifically reliable evidence of these negative effects.¹⁷

¹⁴ American Public Health Association, *American Public Health Association Supports Lifting Federal Ban on Funding for Needle Exchange* (Aug. 20, 1997) (online at <http://www.apha.org/news/press/1997/needle.htm>).

¹⁵ U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, *Evidence-Based Findings on the Efficacy of Syringe Exchange Programs: An Analysis of the Scientific Research Completed since April 1998* (Mar. 17, 2000).

¹⁶ Institute of Medicine, *No Time to Lose: Getting More from HIV Prevention*, 114–5 (2000) (online at <http://books.nap.edu/books/0309071372/html/1.html#pagetop>).

¹⁷ Institute of Medicine, *No Time to Lose: Getting More from HIV Prevention*, 114–5 (2000) (online at <http://books.nap.edu/books/0309071372/html/1.html#pagetop>).

- In 2000, the **Academy for Educational Development**, in a policy report prepared in collaboration with the **Centers for Disease Control**, observed that much research had been conducted on needle exchange programs. Citing this research, the report concludes that “SEPs [syringe exchange programs] have significant positive effects on preventing adverse health consequences associated with injection drug use and ... do not increase drug use or promote the initiation of injection drug use.”¹⁸
- In 2000, the **American Society of Addiction Medicine** reported that “[n]eedle exchange programs have been shown to be a crucial component of a spectrum of HIV prevention services to injection drug users, resulting in an effective reduction in the transmission of the Human Immunodeficiency Virus.” The Society of Addiction Medicine also reported that “[t]here has not been an increase in drug use or an increase in injection as a route of drug administration as a result of implementation of needle exchange programs, nor has there been demonstration of an increase in contaminated injection equipment in the community.”¹⁹
- In 2002, the **Centers for Disease Control** summarized scientific studies of needle exchange programs. CDC explained: “SEPs have been shown to be an effective way to link some hard-to-reach IDUs [intravenous drug users] with important public health services, including TB and STD treatment. Through their referrals to substance abuse treatment, SEPs can help IDUs stop using drugs. Studies also show that SEPs do not encourage drug use among SEP participants or the recruitment of first-time drug users.”²⁰
- In 2002, the **National Institute on Drug Abuse** published a research-based guide to preventing HIV in drug-using populations. Concerning needle exchange programs, the guide stated: “Evaluations of these programs indicate that they are an effective part of a comprehensive strategy to reduce the injection drug use-related spread of HIV and other blood-borne infections. In addition they do not encourage the use of illicit drugs.”²¹

¹⁸ Academy for Educational Development, *A Comprehensive Approach: Preventing Blood-Borne Infections among Injection Drug Users*, A7 (Dec. 2000) (online at <http://www.thebody.com/cdc/pdfs/comprehensive-approach.pdf>).

¹⁹ American Society of Addiction Medicine, *Public Policy of ASAM: Access to Sterile Syringes and Needles* (adopted Dec. 20, 2000) (online at <http://www.asam.org/ppol/Needle%20Exchange.htm>).

²⁰ Centers for Disease Control, *Syringe Exchange Programs* (Jan. 2002) (online at http://www.cdc.gov/idu/facts/aed_idu_syr.htm).

²¹ National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Drug Abuse, *Principles of HIV Prevention in Drug-Using Populations: A Research-Based Guide* (Mar. 2002).

- In 2002, a Consensus Panel convened by the **National Institutes of Health** on management of hepatitis C found that “needle and syringe exchange programs . . . have been shown to be effective in preventing HIV transmission and are likely to be useful for decreasing HCV transmission.”²² The panel recommended: “Institute measures to reduce transmission of HCV among IDUs, including providing access to sterile syringes through needle exchange, physician prescription, and pharmacy sales.”²³
- In 2003, the head of the HIV/AIDS unit of the **International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies**, stated: “There is clear scientific evidence that needle exchange programmes work. They help contain the HIV/AIDS pandemic, and in a very cost effective way. Evidence is also clear that these programmes do not promote drug use.”²⁴
- In 2004, the **Association of State and Territorial Health Officials**, together with the **National Alliance of State and Territorial AIDS Directors**, the **National Association of County and City Health Officials**, and the **Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists**, stated that “[s]cientific evidence demonstrates that needle exchange programs and pharmacy sales of sterile syringes can be effective public health strategies to reduce the transmission of injection-related HIV infection without increasing drug use.”²⁵
- In 2004, Dr. Elias A. Zerhouni, Director of the **National Institutes of Health**, wrote a letter to members of Congress in response to an inquiry about the scientific evidence on syringe exchange programs. The letter stated: “A number of studies conducted in the U.S. have shown that SEPs do not increase drug use among participants or surrounding community members and are associated with reductions in the incidence of HIV, hepatitis B, and hepatitis C in the drug-using population.”²⁶

²² National Institutes of Health, *Management of Hepatitis C: 2002* (June 10–12, 2002) (online at http://consensus.nih.gov/cons/116/091202116cdc_statement.htm#5).

²³ *Id.*

²⁴ International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies, *Spreading the Light of Science: Guidelines on Harm Reduction Related to Injecting Drug Use*, 53 (2003) (online at http://www.ifrc.org/cgi/pdf_pubs.pl?health/hivaids/harm_reduction.pdf).

²⁵ Association of State and Territorial Health Officials, *Position Statement: Guiding Principles for HIV Prevention* (approved June 18, 2004) (online at http://www.astho.org/policy_statements/HIV%20Position%20Statement.pdf).

²⁶ Letter from NIH Director Dr. Elias A. Zerhouni to Reps. Henry A. Waxman and Elijah E. Cummings (Oct. 7, 2004).

The Honorable John P. Walters
May 25, 2005
Page 7

- In 2004, a policy brief from the **World Health Organization** discussed the evidence obtained from a review of more than 200 studies on needle and syringe exchange programs. The policy brief reported:

There is compelling evidence that increasing the availability and utilization of sterile injecting equipment for both out-of-treatment and in-treatment injecting drug users contributes substantially to reductions in the rate of HIV transmission. ... There is no convincing evidence of major unintended negative consequences of programmes providing sterile injecting equipment to injecting drug users, such as initiation of injecting among people who have not injected previously, or an increase in the duration or frequency of illicit drug use or drug injection.²⁷

- In 2004, the **Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS** noted that “[a] review comparing HIV prevalence in cities across the globe with and without needle and syringe programmes found that cities which introduced such programmes showed a mean annual 19% decrease in HIV prevalence. This compares with an 8% increase in cities that failed to implement prevention measures.”²⁸

As I understand it, ONDCP does not currently support needle exchange programs. I would hope that the information in this letter will change your position.

If your position does not change, I would respectfully request an explanation of the scientific basis of ONDCP’s position. In addition, if you believe that any of the sources I have cited are in error or are not reliable, I would request an explanation of the errors that you have identified.

Sincerely,



Henry A. Waxman
Ranking Minority Member

²⁷ World Health Organization, *Policy Brief: Provision of Sterile Injecting Equipment to Reduce HIV Transmission* (2004) (online at <http://www.wpro.who.int/NR/rdonlyres/BA463DB4-2390-4964-9D86-11CBABCC9DA9/0/provisionofsterileen.pdf>).

²⁸ United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS, *2004 Report on the Global AIDS Epidemic*, 85 (June 2004) (online at http://www.unaids.org/bangkok2004/GAR2004_pdf/Chapter4_prevention_en.pdf).