****Preliminary Transcript****

STENOGRAPHIC MINUTES Unrevised and Unedited Not for Quotation or Duplication

> HEARING ON ONE YEAR LATER: HAVE TSA AIRPORT SECURITY CHECKPOINTS IMPROVED? Thursday, November 15, 2007 House of Representatives, Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, Washington, D.C.

"This is a preliminary transcript of a Committee Hearing. It has not yet been subject to a review process to ensure that the statements within are appropriately attributed to the witness or member of Congress who made them, to determine whether there are any inconsistencies between the statements within and what was actually said at the proceeding, or to make any other corrections to ensure the accuracy of the record."

Committee Hearings

of the

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



OFFICE OF THE CLERK Office of Official Reporters

Court Reporting Services, Inc. 1 2 HG0319000 HEARING ON ONE YEAR LATER: HAVE TSA 3 AIRPORT SECURITY CHECKPOINTS IMPROVED? 4 Thursday, November 15, 2007 5 6 House of Representatives, Committee on Oversight and 7 Government Reform, 8 Washington, D.C. 9 The committee met, pursuant to call, at 10:00 a.m., in 10 Room 2154, Rayburn House Office Building, the Honorable Henry 11 A. Waxman [chairman of the committee] presiding. 12 13 Present: Representatives Waxman, Cummings, Tierney, Watson, Higgins, Yarmuth, Braley, Sarbanes, Davis of 14 Virginia, Shays, Mica, Issa, Westmoreland, Sali 15 Staff Present: Phil Schiliro, Chief of Staff; Phil 16 Barnett, Staff Director and Chief Counsel; Kristin Amerling, 17

18 General Counsel; Karen Lightfoot, Communications Director and19 Senior Policy Advisor; David Rapallo, Chief Investigative

20 Counsel; John Williams, Deputy Chief Investigative Counsel;

1

PAGE

PAGE

21 Steve Glickman, Counsel; Susanne Sachsman, Counsel; Earley Green, Chief Clerk; Teresa Coufal, Deputy Clerk, Caren 22 Auchman, Press Assistant; Ella Hoffman, Press Assistant; 23 Leneal Scott, Information Systems Manager; Kerry Gutknecht, 24 25 Staff Assistant; William Ragland, Staff Assistant; Sam Buffone, Special Assistant; David Marin, Minority Staff 26 Director; Jennifer Safavian, Minority Chief Counsel for 27 Oversight and Investigations; Keith Ausbrook, Minority 28 General Counsel; Janice Spector, Minority Professional Staff 29 30 Member; Christopher Bright, Minority Professional Staff Member; John Cuaderes, Minority Senior Investigator and 31 Policy Advisor; Patrick Lyden, Minority Parliamentarian and 32 Member Services Coordinator; Benjamin Chance, Minority Clerk; 33 Meredith Liberty, Minority Staff Assistant and Correspondence 34 35 Coordinator; Todd Greenwood, Minority Research Assistant

36 Chairman WAXMAN. The meeting of the Committee will37 please come to order.

38 Today we are holding a hearing on airport security. Last year, the Government Accountability Office tested the 39 effectiveness of airport security checkpoints by conducting 40 41 undercover missions to bring explosives through airport screening security checkpoints at 21 locations. 42 The 43 Transportation Security Administration failed all 21 of those 44 tests. The purpose of today's hearing is to determine whether TSA has improved over the last year. GAO is here 45 again to tell us about the results of its most recent 46 investigation. 47

48 This Committee comes to this issue in a bipartisan 49 manner. This investigation was jointly requested by our 50 Ranking Member, Tom Davis, Benny Thompson, the Chair of the 51 Homeland Security Committee and myself. A bipartisan 52 approach is critical, because explosives on airplanes are a 53 dangerous threat.

In August, 2006, terrorists plotted to bring liquid explosives onto eight flights bound for the United States. The British thwarted that threat, but there are now ones on the horizon. The terrorist threat to our airlines is constantly evolving. The question is, is the Transportation Security Administration keeping up?

60

To help answer this question, we asked GAO to do another

PAGE

61 round of covert tests. Congress and the traveling public we 62 represent have the right to know whether TSA is effectively addressing this threat. Unfortunately, the news is not good. 63 GAO's undercover agents once again succeeded in getting 64 65 dangerous materials through airport security checkpoints. Last year, the co-chairman of the 9/11 Commission spoke 66 67 publicly about the fact that TSA failed GAO's tests. Thomas 68 Kane said he was dismayed because ``I thought the Department of Homeland Security was making some progress on this, and 69 evidently they are not.'' And Lee Hamilton stated that ``The 70 fact that so many airports failed this test is a hugely 71 important story which the American traveler is entitled to 72 73 know.''

The Homeland Security Department promised to plug these 74 But what we will hear from GAO today is that the 75 holes. Department is not succeeding. The Transportation Security 76 Administration has had six years and has spent billions of 77 78 taxpayers' dollars, yet our airlines remain vulnerable. That I hope today's 79 is an embarrassing and dangerous record. hearing will begin to point the way toward reforms that are 80 81 urgently needed. We have to fix this problem.

I want to now recognize Ranking Member Tom Davis. [Prepared statement of Chairman Waxman follows:]

84 ********* INSERT *********

82

Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you very much for holding this hearing. It is indeed bipartisan; this is not about red or blue, it is about red, white and blue, and defending the homeland.

In several days, families in record numbers will begin 89 their travels to celebrate Thanksgiving. For many who travel 90 91 by plane, their journey will start with long lines to reach the airport and then to park. These will be followed by even 92 93 longer, more agonizing lines to get boarding passes and check 94 luqqaqe. These will be followed by the most torturous line 95 of all, the one that leads to the Transportation Security Agency checkpoint. 96

97 Since 9/11, people have become accustomed to the added 98 security procedures associated with air travel. Although it 99 takes longer to board an aircraft and there are more 100 restrictions on what can be carried onto a plane, the public 101 generally has been willing to endure these inconveniences for 102 the benefit of safety. It is safe to say, though, that the 103 flying public would not be so understanding if people came to 104 believe these inconveniences do not assure security.

In August of 2006, British authorities discovered a plot to blow up trans-Atlantic aircraft using explosives made from common liquids. In response to this new threat, TSA implemented what is known as the 3-1-1 or the 3-1-1 policy, which permits passengers to carry 3 ounces of liquids or gels

5

PAGE

PAGE

6

110	aboard a plane in one quart-sized plastic bag. In theory,
111	strict limits on the amount of liquids that passengers can
112	carry will prevent a bomb from being constructed.
113	Today, we will hear testimony from the Government
114	Accountability Office on how its agents successfully got past
115	TSA checkpoints at several airports with common liquids that,
116	when combined, could have constituted an explosive device
117	large enough to bring down a commercial aircraft. That is
118	obviously not what Congress or the public want to hear.
119	A little more than two years ago, I chaired a similar
120	hearing on the adequacy of TSA's security at airports. Then
121	TSA leaders testified the solution was more time, more
122	resources and better technology. They have had all three.
123	Unfortunately, as this latest GAO report shows, TSA still
124	cannot consistently detect or prevent prohibited items from
125	being carried onto aircraft. We have to do better.
126	I understand the threat evolves, as our enemies learn
127	more about our improved security and take steps to react.
128	TSA has to do the same. In fact, TSA just can't react, the
129	agency has to be proactive and stay on offense.
130	I am pleased to see Administrator Hawley in his opening
131	statement acknowledge what GAO was able to do and the need
132	for TSA to do better. But his words need to trigger strong
133	actions and tangible results.

134

Mr. Chairman, as we approach the beginning of the 2007

PAGE

7

holiday season and the flying public begins to travel, it is important to remember the American people rely on TSA to do everything possible to ensure their safety. It is not enough to identify gaps. These gaps have to be addressed aggressively and consistently.

140 Flying these days is stressful enough. The commercial 141 air travel industry is straining under serious cost and 142 performance pressures. But no one can afford to let security 143 challenges get lost in the shuffle. We need to understand 144 how TSA proposes to strengthen the system, increase vigilance 145 and deter those who seek to exploit the vulnerabilities of so 146 fragile a network. The next baggie of prohibited liquids may 147 not be a test.

148 Thank you.

149 [Prepared statement of Mr. Davis of Virginia follows:]

150

********* INSERT *********

151 Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you very much, Mr. Davis. 152 Ordinarily it would be just the two of us making opening 153 statements. But we have had a request from Mr. Mica, who is 154 the ranking member of the legislative committee on 155 transportation issues, so I know he wants to give a 156 statement. Let me invite any member who wishes to make a 157 statement to do so at this time.

158 Let me recognize Mr. Mica first.

159 Mr. MICA. First of all, Mr. Waxman, you are going to 160 probably fall out of your chair, but I want to take this opportunity to publicly thank you. I think what you are 161 162 doing today is probably one of the best hearings that we will 163 do for the American public this entire year. Henry Waxman, I 164 really appreciate your following up on one of the most 165 important threats we face as a Nation. You have also done 166 something that I was unable to do, make the public aware of 167 the failure of our security screening system. I think that 168 is very important.

In fact, I thought of even breaching security or classified information when I first asked GAO, when I was chairman. And your staff did an excellent job of detailing what has taken place in previous tests and previous failures. If this was just this failure, it would still be a problem. But this is unfortunately a record of failure, which you have detailed and you also have made public. This is an open

8

PAGE

PAGE

176 society, and the public has a right to know.

177 Mr. Hawley is going to tell you about a layered security 178 system with 19 levels of security. I read his testimony. 179 The last one is the public. I am telling you, this is one of 180 the most serious threats that we face as a Nation. Because 181 these people are out to get us. This has been a cat and 182 mouse game since before September 11th, 2001. No one should 183 let down their guard on this. If you just look at the history of what they have tried to do, they scoped the system 184 185 in 2001, they found our vulnerabilities. We didn't have standards for screeners, we didn't ban box cutters, we didn't 186 have rules in place to deal with a hijacking of a plane, the 187 188 failure of government.

If you look at the sophistication of what they have done 189 190 just of late, the Richard Reid shoe bomb was a very sophisticated effort to take down multiple aircraft. 191 If you look at the liquid bombs in the London case, the same thing, 192 193 an evolving sophistication to take down multiple aircraft. 194 If you think 9/11 was something, folks, using non-traditional explosives like Mr. Cooney and GAO has used is the next step 195 in this process. We have tried to put in place layers of 196 197 security to deal with that.

I have some very specific questions, because I didn't feel that the hand-off to the Democrat side was well done. And I am going to go into the details of the meeting that

PAGE

201 took place when we really handed this off to the other team,
202 who has the same interests that I had. I don't think that
203 they got the full story, and today we are going to hear the
204 full story due to what Mr. Waxman has been able to make
205 public.

206 So finally, the good thing about what this is going to 207 do is make the public aware that they are the last link in 208 this. We have put other links in, and Mr. Hawley will 209 describe them, not as fast and not as well with technology or 210 training of personnel or placement of personnel to deal with 211 this situation. But we do have a failure of a system. Ιt needs to be publicly known, and the public can help us in us, 212 213 because they can be alert. Probably the best thing that they 214are going to deal with today is congested aircraft, which 215 will mean that those planes are full. But they are full of 216 Americans and people who can help us in an effort to detect 217 this threat. You are going to hear more about it. 218 So Mr. Waxman, I thank you on behalf of the American 219 people for what you are doing today and making them aware. 220 They are going to have to be partners with us to make certain

221 that we don't repeat a national catastrophe. Thank you.

[Prepared statement of Mr. Mica follows:]

222

223 ********* INSERT *********

PAGE

224 Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you, Mr. Mica. 225 Let me call on any other member--Mr. Cummings. 226 Mr. CUMMINGS. Mr. Chairman, I too thank you for holding 227 this hearing. I am glad that it is truly a bipartisan 228 I, like Mr. Mica, am a senior member of the hearing. 229 Transportation Committee. I am chairman of the Coast Guard 230 Subcommittee. We spend a phenomenal amount of time and resources trying to guard our ports. It seems that we had 231 taken for granted, while we were trying to make sure our 232 233 ports were safe, that our airports were very safe. 234 And the fact is that so many people, when I think about GAO testing 21 airports last year and getting through every 235 single one of them, I didn't say 20 of them, I said every 236 237 single one of them, it makes you wonder. The fact is that my 238 constituents are paying more for airline tickets, and part of 239 the increase in price is to cover the TSA. Then they of 240 course stand in the long lines and they are very patient, 241 everybody from the little children to senior citizens going through all kinds of procedures, only to find out that we 242 243 could do better. 244 Mr. Chairman, a few years ago, many years ago when I

244 Mr. Chairman, a rew years ago, many years ago when 1 245 visited Israel, I will never forget a statement that they 246 said to me, and it is something that I have thought about a 247 lot. What they said was, if we are not better, we will not 248 be. If we are not better, we will not be. I think we have

to be better. And I think we can do better. Americans across the Country will be traveling next week for the Thanksgiving holiday. They are going to go through a lot. But they will be under the assumption that they are safe because they see what they go through.

254 So I am hoping that this hearing will shed some light, 255 but most importantly, I am hoping that it will let us 256 discover what the true problems may be. Are we mired in an 257 atmosphere of mediocrity? Are we in need of better detection 258 equipment? Are there human error issues here? I don't know. 259 We need to find out all of these things, so that we can be the very best we can be. We must, by the way, have very, 260 261 very high expectations.

It is in the DNA of every cell of my brain and probably every American's brain, seeing those planes on 9/11 fly into the World Trade Centers. We never want that to happen again. So Mr. Chairman, I think this hearing will go a long way toward making sure that we are better. Because if we are not better, we will not be. With that, I yield back. [Prepared statement of Mr. Cummings follows:]

269 ********* INSERT *********

PAGE 12

270 Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you very much, Mr. Cummings. 271 Does any other member wish to be recognized? Mr. Shays. 272 Mr. SHAYS. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. A 30 second 273 intervention to thank you, Mr. Chairman, as well, in working 274 with Mr. Davis and Mr. Thompson. The issue for me was 275 heightened in the early late 1980s when a plane was blown out 276 of the sky because of drug terrorists who were involved. We 277 were shown back in the early 1990s that just a bottle of gin 278 with basically liquid explosives next to a radio next to a 279 carton of cigarettes, and the radio was the detonator. And 280 another one was just a mat on the bottom of a suitcase that was an explosive, non-detectable. 281

I will just end by saying what is extraordinarily alarming to me is this isn't 21 break-ins, in a sense, out of 100. This is 21 out of 21 and that to me is extraordinarily unsettling and makes me question whether we are going to see any success in the near future. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, again.

288 [Prepared statement of Mr. Shays follows:]

289 ********* INSERT ********

290 Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you, Mr. Shays. Do any other 291 members wish to be recognized? If not, I want to welcome our 292 witnesses here today. We have with us Mr. Gregory D. Kutz, 293 the Managing Director of Forensic Audits and Special 294 Investigations, from the Government Accountability Office. He is accompanied by Mr. John Cooney, Assistant Director of 295 296 Forensic Audits and Special Investigations, Government 297 Accountability Office. And the Honorable Edmund ``Kip'' 298 Hawley, the Administration of the Transportation Security 299 Administration.

We are grateful to you for being here today. It is the practice of this Committee that all testimony is taken under oath, so I would like to ask you if you would please stand and raise your right hand.

304 [Witnesses sworn.]

305 Chairman WAXMAN. Let the record indicate that each of 306 the witnesses answered in the affirmative.

Mr. Kutz, I want you to start off. Your prepared statements, all of you, will be in the record, and we would like to ask you to try to limit the oral presentation. We won't be strict about this, but we will have a clock that will indicate when the five minutes is up. Thank you. 312 STATEMENTS OF GREGORY D. KUTZ, MANAGING DIRECTOR, FORENSIC
313 AUDITS AND SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS, GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY
314 OFFICE, ACCOMPANIED BY: JOHN COONEY, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR,
315 FORENSIC AUDITS AND SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS, GOVERNMENT
316 ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE; THE HONORABLE EDMUND ``KIP'' HAWLEY,
317 ADMINISTRATOR, TRANSPORTATION SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

318 STATEMENT OF GREGORY D. KUTZ

Mr. KUTZ. Mr. Chairman and members of the Committee, 319 320 thank you for the opportunity to discuss airport security. 321 In March of 2006, we reported that investigators boarded 322 commercial aircraft with explosive devices in their carry-on 323 luggage. At the request of this Committee, we performed 324 additional covert testing of airport security in 2007. 325 Today's testimony highlights the results of our testing. It is important to note that we worked closely with TSA 326 327 to make sure that my testimony does not have any classified 328 or sensitive security information.

329 My testimony today has two parts. First, I will discuss 330 what we did; and second, I will discuss the results of our 331 covert tests. First, using information available on the 332 internet, we were able to identify devices that could

PAGE 16

333 severely damage an aircraft and jeopardize the safety of its 334 The first device was an improvised explosive passengers. 335 device, or IED, containing two parts. The first part, a 336 liquid explosive; the second part, a low-yield detonator. 337 Our 2006 work showed that the detonator itself could function as an IED. However, using this detonator to ignite 338 the liquid explosive results in a more powerful device. 339 340 The second device was an improvised incendiary device, These types of devices do not explode, but instead 341 or IID. 342 create intense fire, heat and noxious fumes. Our incendiary device was created by combining products prohibited by TSA 343 344 from carry-on luggage. The components for both our devices 345 were purchased at local stores and on the internet for less 346 than \$150.

We tested the effectiveness of our devices in partnership with a local law enforcement agency and at a national laboratory. As you requested, I will show a short video at the end of my presentation that shows the results of these tests. As the video will show, our devices could cause severe damage to an aircraft and threaten the safety of its passengers.

Using only publicly available information which we do for all of our covert testing, we devised methods to conceal the components for these devices in our carry-on luggage and on our persons. As with all FSI testing, this was a covert,

358 or Red Team test. In other words, very few people at GAO 359 knew what we were doing and nobody at TSSA was aware in 360 advance of our testing.

Moving on to our results, we successfully passed through 361 362 TSA checkpoints with components for several explosive devices and an incendiary device. These prohibited items were 363 364 concealed in our carry-on luggage and on our persons. Our 365 testing was done at 19 airports across the Country, including those that employ private screeners. We found no difference 366 367 in the results for TSA employees and the privately-contracted 368 screening employees.

369 In most cases, security officers appeared to follow TSA
370 procedures. However, we did identify several

371 vulnerabilities. For example, most travelers are aware of the 372 3-1-1 rule, prohibiting certain liquids and gels aboard the 373 aircraft. We were able to bring a liquid component of the 374 incendiary device through checkpoints undetected by studying 375 policies related to this process.

Also in two instances, our investigators were selected for a secondary inspection. However, in both cases, the security officer did not detect the prohibited items that our investigators carried on board the aircraft. One of our suggestions for TSA is to consider improved search techniques, including enhanced pat-downs.

382

In conclusion, our testing shows that a terrorist group

PAGE 18

383 using publicly available information could bring explosive 384 and incendiary devices on board an aircraft undetected. TSA 385 faces the monumental challenge of balancing security with the 386 efficient movement of passengers. Our work clearly shows the 387 increased security risk of the current policy of allowing 388 substantial carry-on luggage aboard aircraft. Absent changes 389 in the carry-on policy, we believe that risks can be reduced 390 through improvements in human capital, process and 391 technology.

392 As you requested, we will now show a short video. Ι want to just briefly discuss what the video will show. 393 The first part of the video is the IED detonator I described, 394 395 which you will see used on an automobile. The second part of 396 the video is the liquid explosive, which is ignited by the 397 IED detonator. The third part will be the incendiary device 398 that I mentioned.

399 So if we could show the video.

400 [Video shown.]

401 Mr. KUTZ. Mr. Chairman, this ends our statement. Special 402 Agent Cooney and I look forward to your questions.

403 [Prepared statement of Mr. Kutz follows:]

404

********* INSERT *********

PAGE 19

405 Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you very much.
406 Mr. Cooney, did you have a statement?
407 Mr. COONEY. No, I don't, Mr. Chairman, but I will be
408 able to answer your questions at the appropriate time.
409 Chairman WAXMAN. Very good. Thank you.
410 Mr. Hawley?

411 STATEMENT OF EDMUND ''KIP'' HAWLEY

412 Mr. HAWLEY. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Ranking Member 413 Davis, members of the Committee. I also thank you for having 414 this hearing and drawing attention to these issues. Ι 415 particularly appreciate the work of the Chairman and the 416 Ranking Member and their staffs, along with my colleagues 417 from the GAO, to protect sensitive information. I think this 418 is, as Mr. Mica mentioned, an extraordinarily important issue 419 that we deal with openly and transparently.

420 The videos that we saw a minute ago and the play on the 421 television are noteworthy and certainly get your attention. 422 I think the key point to it is, there are vulnerabilities in every system of security. What we are engaged in is risk 423 424 management. As we look at risk management, it looks at an 425 IED that would have the capacity of taking an airplane down. 426 There are many, many, many steps, including making the bomb, getting components through, perhaps assembling them, all 427 428 those various steps. And we look at the whole system.

And the 19 layers of security that Mr. Mica mentioned and I put in my opening statement are like numbers in a combination lock. If you find one number to a 19 number combination, you have one number. What we have done is identify and understand the vulnerabilities in our system,

PAGE

434 and there are vulnerabilities, and then put in place other435 layers to compensate for them.

436 I would like to just give a quick summary. In August of 437 2005, we identified, I came on the job in July 2005. We looked at what are the vulnerabilities. We looked at the 438 439 technology vulnerabilities, we looked at the people 440 vulnerabilities and we looked at our strategy 441 vulnerabilities. We identified that we had work to do in all 442 three areas. We needed to dramatically upgrade the 443 technology that we have at checkpoints for the point of 444 eliminating the possibility of bringing on IED components, 445 not the assembled bomb, but the components, a much, much more 446 difficult task.

So we re-trained the entire TSA work force with 447 448 professional bomb techs directed at that, and changed our 449 protocols to require us to train and test to the standard of 450 IED components. And to put these tests in context, and I 451 appreciate the work, they are done for a good purpose, they yield valuable information, but it is important to stay 452 453 focused, not get panicked by looking at one particular number 454 in that combination lock and worrying about the whole system. 455 There are issues that need to be addressed and I welcome 456 discussing them.

457 But to put it in context, if the number of tests that 458 the GAO did for this were measured in miles, there were 38

PAGE 22

459	tests, that would be roughly from here to Baltimore. The
460	Office of Inspector General has done roughly 300 tests, this
461 [′]	is in a three month period of this year. That is
462	approximately from here to Philadelphia. And in a three
463	month period at TSA, we do 225,000 tests. These are physical
464	tests with actual bomb components going through, with real
465	people smuggling through the checkpoint. That is the
466	equivalent of going around the world eight times.
467	So I think the trip to Baltimore, one can learn
468	interesting things. But what we do every day and the 225,000
469	over three months or over a million a year gives us very
470	focused information on what we know terrorists work on. We
471	know their capability. We focus our efforts on what will
472	actually take down a plane as opposed to what might severely
473	damage. My pen can do severe damage.
474	We look at what can take a plane down and work backward
475	from there each one layer. So yes, there are vulnerabilities

476 in technology. I will address what we are doing about those. 477 So we have put aside a significant amount of money to buy new AT machines, new checkpoint carry-on machines. We have 478 479 announced a purchase of 250 already in October. We expect to 480 double that, using fiscal year 2008 funds, should the Congress appropriate that money and the bill be signed. 481 But 2008, we expect to move that number up to 500. 482 То 483 give you an idea, there are about 2,500 lanes in the United

HG0319.000

484 States, 500 and some checkpoints. So this is a very, very 485 significant technology upgrade that we will be deploying in 486 2008 that will be the first significant technology upgrade 487 since the 1970s on carry-on luggage. That is in progress. 488 We identified it earlier, and now fortunately it is being 489 deployed.

490 On the strategy we identified in 2005, we are too 491 check-list oriented. If our TSOs are looking to find a 492 certain number of prohibited items and pull them out of bags, 493 they are not thinking ahead. I think as Mr. Davis mentioned, 494 we have to go on offense. We can't sit back at the 495 checkpoint looking through a prohibited items list and 496 fishing out peoples' objects. We have to be aware that they 497 change their technique. When we move one direction, they 498 will find a way around it. We have to play offense, we have 499 to be nimble. That is why we do so many of these other IED 500 component tests at our checkpoints every day, every shift, 501 It is the crux of what we do. every airport.

Then we said, in addition to being more flexible, better 502 503 technology, we need to change up what we do. We can't be a 504 sitting duck at the checkpoint with the same process. We We have added the behavior observation 505 have added layers. layer, which is for people to identify suspicious behavior, 506 507 such as you would find with surveillance or pre-attack 508 planning. They are not bringing prohibited items. They are

PAGE

509 not breaking any laws. They are doing their surveillance 510 feeling they are protected because we can't get them because 511 they are not carrying prohibited items. Not true any more. 512 Step into a U.S. airport, we have 600 behavior detection 513 officers out there and they will pick you off in the public 514 area.

515 Then on top of that, we have added the ticket document 516 checker, with the support of the Congress, and I appreciate 517 that, to take over the critical point at which somebody shows 518 up and shows identification. Now we have Federal officers 519 there checking identity who have much better briefing who can 520 then tie in with the behavior piece. On top of that, we have 521 added our VIPR teams, which bring our Federal air marshals 522 who are not flying on aircraft, they are now able to move 523 undercover and overtly to do unexpected patrols everywhere in the airport environment. We also work, I should say, with 524 525 our transit partners to help there, too.

526 On top of that, we have added a program in the back of 527 airports, where we have the equivalent of 1,000 headcount 528 now, that we have developed to spend their time in the 529 backside of airports. We are not just sitting at the checkpoint. We are looking at what are employees doing in 530 the back, what is happening at the fuel dump, we are looking 531 at what is happening in the parking garage, we are looking at 532 who is driving into the airport. All of those things are now 533

PAGE

25

534 added. Those are additional layers that have been added since 535 2005.

So we addressed, we identified the vulnerabilities in 536 537 2005. I told you on the technology we are after that with AT 538 and the millimeter wave, I should say, and backscatter, whole 539 body imaging, that gets us out of this pat-down issue. The 540 GAO mentioned enhanced pat-downs. We know what that means. 541 The TSA officers can do very enhanced pat-downs. It has not 542 been acceptable to the public. If that is something that we 543 have to do, we will do that. The better answer is millimeter 544 wave or backscatter, which allow people to have privacy 545 protections to go through and eliminate that possibility. So 546 technology would fix that.

547 Now the most important, the people. Our TSOs, we have trained them, I mentioned that. We have career progression 548 549 now where our employees can move up and enhance their skills. 550 We have a pay for performance program. Our attrition is 551 dramatically down. Our attendance is up. The people who 552 flew on August 10th know that our TSOs stood up that day and 553 changed the entire security process overnight. That is not 554 an easy thing to do. It is nimble, it is fast, it shows a 555 commitment by our security officers.

556 So we know our vulnerabilities, and we are addressing 557 them, and we need one more thing. That is the support of the 558 public. Mr. Mica mentioned this, and I think it is absolutely

PAGE

559 We need the passengers back in the game. critical. We are on the same side and we need your help. Our officers come to 560 561 work at 4:00 a.m. They came to TSA, they are coming to the airport to protect you. We need your help. This is not 562 563 something to be gamed. We need you to separate out when you pack your bag, be very clear. Here are the components of 564 what I am bringing on and let the officer quickly assess that 565 is not a problem. The more we give clean bags to our TSOs, 566 the less places there are to hide if you are a terrorist. 567 568 So we ask for help on participating, we ask for help on the respect and appreciation of our officers who are doing a 569 I have to say, working with my international 570 great job. partners, that I believe the transportation security officers 571 572 that we have are the best in the world. The layers of 573 security that we have added are more than other countries. Ι 574 have had many discussions with a lot of these countries. We work closely to align our security measures. 575 576 The last point on 3-1-1, it not only works for us, but 577 it was adopted by 170 countries around the world. The EU 578 announced it and followed our lead. We are working together with our partners. So we need to partner with our public, we 579 580 need to partner with our international colleagues and we need to be very direct in saying yes, there are vulnerabilities. 581

582 We can't be squeamish and say, oh, my goodness, they brought 583 some firecrackers through and put it in the trunk of a car.

Well, you know what? That is something you have to face up to and say, we need to stop all things but we have to focus on what truly does us harm. So I appreciate the Committee's time and look forward to answering your questions. [Prepared statement of Mr. Hawley follows:]

590 ******** INSERT ********

PAGE 28

591 Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you very much for the testimony, 592 Mr. Hawley. We want you to be successful. The American 593 people are willing to do whatever is necessary. You can see 594 that every day at an airport where people wait patiently. 595 When the change was made about liquids, people became attuned 596 to it and wanted to cooperate. I appreciate your appeal to 597 people to even cooperate further.

598 But while that all sounds very good, we still have this report, which is extremely troubling. And it follows another 599 600 report a year ago where we found that in 21 out of 21 incidents where GAO sent people to get on the planes, they 601 were able to get through. Mr. Kutz and Mr. Cooney, you heard 602 603 Mr. Hawley's testimony. He said he has additional layers now. It is not just bringing in something that is not 604 605 appropriate. They are looking for the most serious, the most 606 serious thing that could be brought in that might lead to 607 taking down an airplane.

608 Did you and your people that did this study, did they 609 take something that was serious enough to take down an 610 airplane?

Mr. KUTZ. Again, the only way to determine that is actually to have an airplane. But you saw the video, you saw some of the explosion. Certainly it would cause severe damage to an aircraft and potentially harm some of the passengers. Whether it would bring an aircraft down, we don't

616 have an aircraft to actually prove that. But certainly 617 people we have consulted with that there is a possibility, 618 what is going to happen at that many fee in the air I don't 619 really know. But I think it is serious enough and I think 620 that they would agree that this is a serious threat. Mr. 621 Hawley did mention that in his opening statement. So I think 622 we are in agreement with that.

Chairman WAXMAN. Serious threat. Now, the airports,
were they just at one airport or how many different airports
were used for the GAO investigation?

Mr. KUTZ. Well, as you mentioned, last year we did 21, 626 627 and this year we did 19. In each of the airports, two of our 628 investigators went through and as we always have, we have 629 cover teams. So there are follow investigators in case our 630 investigators run into any trouble. So we did, I guess, double the number of airports, 80 tests over two years. 631 Chairman WAXMAN. Well, you did this first test last year 632 633 And it was requested by Congressman Mica. in 2006. And in that investigation, GAO conducted undercover tests in 21 634 airports. After you delivered your report, it was leaked to 635 the media and the results were broadcast on national 636 I want to play a clip from NBC Nightly News, 637 television. this was on March 16th, 2006. 638

[Video shown.]

640 Chairman WAXMAN. Well, when that report came out, Mr.

PAGE 30

Hawley, you testified, and your response to last year's 641 642 investigation was that TSA was implementing new training members that had not yet ''burned in'' to your transportation 643 644 security officers. You promised that things were going to 645 get better. Do our airports continue to have security 646 vulnerabilities? I am pretty disturbed by the GAO report. 647 Should the American people feel that you are going to be able 648 to control this and protect the American public? Mr. HAWLEY. Yes. Yes. The American public can be 649 650 confident traveling with the security system in place. You 651 mentioned my testimony previously saying we were moving in that direction. We have accomplished that. 652 Those were 653 distributing the extra bomb-making kits, basically, the 654 training devices to every airport, all the checkpoints. That 655 is in place, that is operating today, and it is part of the 656 training improvement effort. It works both ways, because you 657 get the guy who is doing the test to figure out, how could I 658 beat my own system, then they get somebody, another Federal agent unknown to bring it through, and then the TSO 659 660 identifies it, in which case they congratulate them, or they 661 don't, in which case they train them. Chairman WAXMAN. Let me ask, since my time is up, Mr. 662 Kutz and Mr. Cooney, should, based on your investigation, the 663 public think that our airports are secure? 664

665

Mr. KUTZ. I think Mr. Hawley is correct, there is a

666 broader picture to this, including the intelligence. The 667 best prevent here is to keep the terrorists from getting to 668 the airport in the first place. I firmly believe, I don't 669 know if he necessarily agrees with that, but I think that is 670 the solution to this. Once you are at the airport, there are 671 a lot of other layers here.

672 But I would point out with respect to the 2006 and 2007 tests that the components that we brought through, and I am 673 not allowed to say how often we got through, but the 674 675 components we brought through both times were the same. Plus 676 in 2007, as I mentioned in my opening statement, we had the 677 liquid explosive in addition to those. So again, I don't know what processes were put in place between 2006 and 2007. 678 679 But I don't think they were necessarily effective totally in 680 looking at what we are talking about.

681 Chairman WAXMAN. Still, a discouraging result.

682 Mr. MICA. Mr. Chairman?

683 Chairman WAXMAN. Yes.

Mr. MICA. I would like to ask a unanimous consent
request that a letter that I sent to then-Attorney General
Alberto Gonzalez, March 28th, 2006, in regard to the leaks,
which took place, which you just showed there, and I have a
partial response in September from the Department of Justice.
Chairman WAXMAN. Without objection, the document you
wish to put into the record will be made part of the record.

32 [The referenced information follows:] 691 692 ******** COMMITTEE INSERT ********

PAGE

693	Mr. MICA. Mr. Chairman, I am not trying to put in
694	something to cover the Administration. They never properly
695	responded or investigated the leaks, which revealed national
696	security information. Thank you.
697	Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you. Mr. Davis?
698	Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. Thank you.
699	Mr. Kutz and Mr. Cooney, let me just ask, a lot of the
700	material we are talking about that came through, this was
701	gels and liquids, is that correct?
702	Mr. KUTZ. Some.
703	Mr. COONEY. Some, yes, sir. Some. No gels.
704	Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. Okay. And right now, if you go
705	through metal detectors, there is no way really to detect
706	liquids, is that fair to say?
707	Mr. COONEY. I can't go into the methods we used, but
708	they were
709	Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. I am not saying everything. I am
710	just saying, if I were to talk through a metal detector today
711	that you have at the airport, that doesn't necessarily get
712	liquids, is that correct?
713	Mr. COONEY. No, it does not pick up liquids.
714	Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. So if I have a vial in my pocket
715	with four ounces of five ounces of liquid it wouldn't be
716	detected going through the detector, is that fair to say?
717	Mr. COONEY. It is fair to say depending on what material
1	

718 the vials are made up of. 719 Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. But in some cases, some of the 720 things that could be used to assemble a bomb or an IED would 721 not be detectable? 722 Mr. COONEY. Yes, sir. 723 Mr. KUTZ. Mr. Davis, as I mentioned in my opening 724 statement, some of the things we brought through the 725 checkpoints were carried on our persons. 726 Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. Right. 727 Mr. KUTZ. So I think that addresses your point. 728 Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. So Mr. Hawley, that is a hole 729 right now, correct? Mr. HAWLEY. Absolutely. An object on a person is 730 731 something that needs mitigation. 732 But the question is overall, if there is a vulnerability 733 one place, such as a magnetometer, what are you doing elsewhere to make up for it. 734 735 Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. I understand. And some people 736 you do pull aside and pat down. 737 Mr. HAWLEY. Yes. Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. I understand you have 738 739 intelligence and you have everything combined. But it didn't 740 work with the GAO, I guess that is my question. Mr. HAWLEY. Well, there are two ways to improve what we 741 742 do in the walk-through. One is the millimeter wave answer,

PAGE

743 or the backscatter, which is a technology answer. It has 744 some privacy issues. Highly effective but very good. The other is the enhanced pat-down, as the GAO has suggested, 745 746 which has had some very significant concerns in the American 747 public. Our officers are capable of doing it, but those 748 would be the two directions to go for closing any 749 vulnerability that specifically you mentioned. Obviously 750 there are other ones in front and behind. Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. I just want to focus on that, 751 752 because I think that is understandable to, at least I

753 understand it. Do we have any technology that can discern754 banned liquids and gels from those that are okay?

755 Mr. HAWLEY. Yes.

756 Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. And are we working on equipment 757 and machinery that may be able to detect that?

Mr. HAWLEY. Yes. We have purchased 200 already and we
are purchasing an additional 400 in fiscal year 2008 should
the appropriations bill go through.

761 Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. Mr. Kutz, if that were to be in 762 operation, that would really cut down on the vulnerability, 763 would it not?

Mr. KUTZ. I don't know enough about those machines to tell you for sure. I would defer to Mr. Hawley, because he knows what we brought through, so he would be able to answer that question.

768 Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. Okay. Do you feel that would 769 significantly cut down on some of the --770 Mr. HAWLEY. Very, very significantly add to the risk 771 management. 772 Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. If the technology does not exist 773 today, are we taking a chance by allowing liquids and gels 774 even in limited amounts aborad a plane at this point, as we 775 look at it today? 776 Mr. HAWLEY. It is a risk management process. And we did 777 originally ban everything. That was before we understood in 778 detail all aspects of what the terrorists were planning. We 779 have shared that with our international partners and have 780 come to the agreement of all of us, based on intelligence and 781 science and security issues, that the 3-1-1 is effective. 782 Because if you ban all liquids, then you are putting a lot 783 more pressure on the checked baggage system. That can create 784 its own problems in terms of just even the volume of checked 785 bags. 786 Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. Getting at Diet Coke or 787 something, if it is labeled and you buy it inside, it 788 shouldn't be a problem. Since the limitations on gels and

789 liquids came out of the U.K. threat last year, what does the
790 U.K. do to address the threat in terms of screening
791 passengers for liquids and gels?

792

Mr. HAWLEY. One of the things is allow one carry-on bag,

PAGE 37

793 not one plus one as we do in the United States. So that was 794 one thing. I should say we are in constant communication with the 795 U.K. on all of these matters. We are of common mind and 796 797 common strategy. In fact, we are both buying these advanced x-ray machines for checkpoint, both working on the millimeter 798 799 wave and both developed the 3-1-1. Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. In Israel, how do they handle 800 801 this threat? Mr. HAWLEY. They have a different security process, in 802 that they have one major international airport. So they have 803 804 a very aggressive, I think as you know, the questioning on 805 the up-front, and if they are doing a pat-down, it is significantly different from what you get in the United 806 807 States. Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. Let me just ask finally, if 808 mandatory pat-downs were in place, let me ask Mr. Kutz, if 809 mandatory pat-downs were in place, would you have likely been 810 caught, at least during the banned substances that were 811 hidden on the bodies? 812 813 Mr. KUTZ. I think it depends on the person doing the 814 pat-down, it depends on the appressiveness and what parts of 815 the body are patted down. 816 Mr. COONEY. With the pat-downs that they have in place right now, that TSA implements, I believe we would not have 817

818	been caught. That has to be changed.
819	Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. I appreciate it. Thank you.
820	Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you, Mr. Davis.
821	Mr. Cummings?
822	Mr. CUMMINGS. This testimony is very troubling. I am
823	wondering whether we have some low expectations here. Mr.
824	Kutz, you are, I guess for you all to conduct these tests,
825	you know what procedures are in place?
826	Mr. KUTZ. We use only publicly-available information. So
827	to the extent that it is something we have either observed
828	going through an airport or see on the internet, we try not
829	to do our tests with any insider information.
830	Mr. CUMMINGS. Okay, so you are just like Joe Citizen?
831	Mr. KUTZ. Yes, sir.
832	Mr. CUMMINGS. That might be even worse. What I am
833	saying is, you were able towhat were your expectations? I
834	guess that is what I am wondering. Because I hear Mr. Hawley
835	talk about, and I still don't fully understand it, the
836	combination lock and the 19 layers. But the bottom line is,
837	this stuff still got on the plane. Duh. It got on the
838	plane.
839	Mr. HAWLEY. It actually did not get on the plane.
840	Mr. CUMMINGS. It didn't?
841	Mr. HAWLEY. In theory, it might have. But in theory, I
842	can dunk a basketball.

843	Mr. KUTZ. No, it got on the plane. I would disagree
844	with that. It got on the plane
845	Mr. HAWLEY. Not what you saw on the video.
846	Mr. CUMMINGS. Excuse me, excuse me, gentlemen. I will
847	come back to you, Mr. Hawley, because I want to be fair. Did
848	the items get on the plane that you, when you conducted some
849	tests and you showed the results of the devices, the kinds of
850	things that you were able to get on the plane, did those
851	things get on the plane?
852	Mr. KUTZ. Yes.
853	Mr. CUMMINGS. Okay. And Mr. Hawley, as I listen to your
854	testimony, you talk about all of these layers. Can you
855	explain that combination lock thing again to me, because I
856	missed that one.
857	Mr. HAWLEY. You bet. What does it take to do a
858	catastrophic terrorist act? You have to plan it, you have to
859	procure the materials necessary to do it, you might
860	communicate with other conspirators. All of those represent
861	opportunities to stop the attack if you are tightly lined up
862	with intelligence and law enforcement.
863	Then you might have to travel to go to a training camp
864	or to come to the United States or travel in the U.S. That
865	is an opportunity. Then there is the surveillance. They are
866	going to have to see what it is they want to do. That is an
867	opportunity.

.

PAGE 40

868 Mr. CUMMINGS. I got you. Now, let's fast forward to the 869 checkpoints. 870 Mr. HAWLEY. You bet. 871 Mr. CUMMINGS. How important are the checkpoints? 872 Mr. HAWLEY. Very important. Mr. CUMMINGS. And would you say that they are the most 873 874 important? 875 Mr. HAWLEY. No. Mr. CUMMINGS. Okay. So if the security checkpoints, 876 877 they are critical, though, is that right? Mr. HAWLEY. No, I think that is one of the problems, is 878 879 that Americans focus that the whole thing is the checkpoint. And the security system is a layered security system. 880 Because if they say the checkpoint is all buttoned down, then 881 the attack comes through the perimeter, the attack comes in 882 front of the airport. There is a ManPad attack. 883 There are thousands of ways to attack. If you put all 884 your resources at the checkpoint to make that bulletproof, 885 they say thank you very much and go someplace else to get in. 886 887 So you have to secure the entire environment at a basic level and then you have to upgrade in an unexpected, unpredictable 888 889 way. Mr. CUMMINGS. Let me ask you this. All these people are 890 standing in these long lines, everybody in this room. They 891 892 are standing in long lines, thinking that the checkpoints are

PAGE 41

893 critical. Are you telling me that they are not? 894 Mr. HAWLEY. I am telling you they are a piece of the And the lines are not extraordinarily long. 895 puzzle. I would expect next week we are going to be tested by the largest 896 897 load of passengers. I am looking forward to the challenge and our officers are looking forward to the challenge. 898 899 Mr. CUMMINGS. Now, the other layers of security you 900 refer to deal mostly with intelligence gathering. And 901 certain individuals making sure that certain individuals 902 don't get to security checkpoints in the first place. Are 903 you talking about racial profiling? 904 Mr. HAWLEY. No, no, no, no. No, because terrorists use 905 people who specifically don't ``look like'' terrorists. Ιf 906 you rely on what you think a terrorist looks like, you are 907 going to miss them.

908 Mr. CUMMINGS. Well, I can tell you, Mr. Hawley, it seems 909 like at the rate we are going, and I really didn't expect the 910 testimony that you provided us, because it sounds like we are 911 almost, you are saying that, I think, that you know we can, 912 you think we can do better, but we are just going to have to 913 tread water until we get there.

914 Mr. HAWLEY. No, no, no. We have to do better every day. 915 That is why we do all these tests. That is why every test 916 every day, to improve. But we have to stay ahead of the 917 threat, because if we just focus on what we saw in the video, HG0319.000

PAGE

918 yes, we can guarantee that that won't happen.

919 Mr. CUMMINGS. But doesn't that upset you, that 19 of 19 or whatever it was could get through and get on the plane? 920 921 Mr. HAWLEY. No. I think that it is instructive and helpful and is a data point. But as I said, we do 2,500 a 922 day every day. And we target it to our vulnerabilities. 923 We 924 know what they are. Those tests allow us then to close the 925 gap. Frankly, some of the stuff we saw here is not a concern, 926 honestly. There is some of it that is a concern.

927 So we focus on the piece that could do serious, That is what we catastrophic damage, take an airplane down. 928 go after. We know that if somebody goes up and puts on a 929 flash in the plane, that is not a good thing, they will be 930 931 arrested and other passengers will certainly take it out on 932 them. But we are not going to put our resources against things that are scientific demonstrations. We are looking 933 934 for the terrorists.

935 The terrorists are very smart. They know what takes a 936 plane down. That is the enemy we have to stop. We like the 937 coaching and the information we get from the GAO. Very 938 helpful, good partner. But it doesn't get to the point of 939 what the terrorists are doing.

940 Chairman WAXMAN. The gentleman's time has expired. Thank 941 you, Mr. Cummings.

942 Mr. Mica?

943	Mr. MICA. Thank you. At the end of 2005, I asked GAO to
944	conduct studies and tests of performance at TSA. There are
945	three types of testing that have gone on. One is the
946	Inspector General of Homeland Security, TSA test itself and
947	then independent GAO. I asked GAO because I was made aware,
948	and again, we are in a deadly, a very deadly game. But you
949	don't have to be a rocket scientist to figure out that
950	terrorists or folks who want to take us out are looking for
951	the next level of vulnerability. We are always putting
952	something in place that deals with the last incident.
953	What disturbed me about this 2006 leak, and I don't know
954	who leaked this, but after you concluded your tests and
955	before I even got a copy of the test, information was leaked.
956	Mr. Waxman showed it here. Do you know anyone who leaked
957	this, Mr. Cooney or Mr. Kutz?
958	Mr. KUTZ. No. No one is aware, and FBI did not do an

950 Mi. Kolz. No. No one is aware, and FBI did not do an 959 investigation based upon yours and the Comptroller General's 960 request.

961 Mr. MICA. Okay. What disturbed me in that is because 962 this information was given to me, was to be given to me and I 963 did learn of the failure. This failure is not new that you 964 just released in your report, is that correct? This failure 965 is not new. It mirrors what took place in your last test a 966 year ago, is that right?

967

Mr. KUTZ. It mirrors it plus the liquid explosive we

968	mentioned.
969	Mr. MICA. Okay. And one of the reasons I asked you to
970	conduct a test is because TSA had not conducted those kinds
971	of tests, is that correct, Mr. Hawley?
972	Mr. HAWLEY. We started doing liquid tests in 2006,
973	before the liquid plot in the U.K.
974	Mr. MICA. When we met in April of this year, I asked you
975	if you had done similar tests to what GAO had done. And you
976	had said, yes. Then you came back and you told me you had to
977	correct, with the meeting when we had the hand-off to Mr.
978	Costello and the others, then you came back and you told me
979	no. You corrected yourself. Which is the case?
980	Mr. HAWLEY. Well, the GAO has done a number of different
981	types of testing. So it gets into the technical
982	Mr. MICA. Well, again, the specific type of test that we
983	saw displayed here. You had done that or you had not done
984	that?
985	Mr. HAWLEY. If we are talking about chemicals, yes. If
986	we are talking about the exact same chemicals, no.
987	Mr. MICA. You had not?
988	Mr. HAWLEY. No.
989	Mr. MICA. Sort of non-traditional explosives, which I
990	consider our biggest threat at this time. You were at that
991	meeting. The other thing that was at the meeting is that
992	they sort of pooh-poohed, TSA sort of pooh-poohed the results

993of that explosion with that material. Is that correct?994Mr. COONEY. Yes, sir.

995 Mr. MICA. Okay. Have you had that material tested to 996 see if it would do catastrophic damage?

997 Mr. COONEY. Yes, sir.

998 Mr. MICA. And what were the results?

Mr. COONEY. We have had two independent opinions on 999 1000 that, and the results are that placed in the appropriate 1001 place on an aircraft, and I can't say where that is at this 1002 hearing, that it could possibly do catastrophic damage. 1003 Mr. MICA. Okay. See, I am not out to, Mr. Hawley, I just was disturbed by again not giving the other side as they 1004 took over all the information. I wish I could talk more 1005 1006 about that.

Okay, we failed. Now, of course, when I learned this, I would have been negligent, too, if we didn't do something or Mr. Hawley didn't do something. And he learned about this back a year ago. We know what can make up for problems at the checkpoint. One, we started putting behavior analysis people in place. We still don't have that done, do we, Mr. Hawley?

1014 Mr. HAWLEY. Yes, we do, 2,000.

1015 Mr. MICA. At every checkpoint?

1016 Mr. HAWLEY. The President signed a budget amendment last 1017 week, so we will be able to--

PAGE 46

1018	Mr. MICA. But it is not done yet? I am not giving you a
1019	hard time. I just want to say that we learned that.
1020	Mr. HAWLEY. Six hundred in place.
1021	Mr. MICA. When you did your tests most recently at the
1022	21 airports, Mr. Hawley, do you know how many of those had
1023	our new protocol?
1024	Mr. HAWLEY. I do not. In terms of the BDOs? Are you
1025	talking about the BDOs or the 3-1-1?
1026	Mr. MICA. The behavior analysis trained personnel that
1027	we started putting in place after we learned that the
1028	technology in place would not handle this.
1029	Mr. HAWLEY. We do not know.
1030	Mr. MICA. I want to know. I want to know how many of
1031	those people, that should have been the first thing we did is
1032	find out if what we put in place failed. That is justI
1033	can't accept that. That is beyond belief that we would not
1034	know what we put in place.
1035	Now, the technology is there also to deal with some of
1036	these non-traditional explosives, is that correct?
1037	Mr. HAWLEY. That is correct.
1038	Mr. MICA. Okay. Could I have an additional minute by
1039	unanimous consent?
1040	Chairman WAXMAN. Well, if you want to make one last
1041	question, do it. You said nice things about me, so I am
1042	going to give you one more minute.
I	

1043	[Laughter.]
	-
1044	Mr. MICA. One of the last things, my last question, you
1045	test, Mr. Hawley, your personnel on performance. USA
1046	reported in October statistics that have been publicly made
1047	available, maybe they were classified but they are here.
1048	What concerns me even more, and I have the past performance
1049	levels, this seems to indicate that there is not improvement,
1050	in fact, it looks like we have lost ground in passenger
1051	screening.
1052	Mr. HAWLEY. No. And let's be clear. If you want good
1053	scores, I will deliver you good scores. What we are saying
1054	is
1055	Mr. MICA. No, I know we
1056	Mr. HAWLEYwe are going to take on the toughest
1057	assignment, which is they are bringing improvised explosive
1058	devices in component parts, and we are going to train and
1059	test against that. That is really, really hard. I would
1060	suggest there might be any number of facilities within ten
1061	miles of here that would have a very difficult time to detect
1062	all these things. We are focused on the toughest, toughest
1063	part of it, we train and test on it. That article was
1064	something about training. There was not data in there about
1065	test results.
1066	Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you, Mr. Mica.
1067	I am confused about one point, just to clarify for the

1068 record. Mr. Cummings asked whether the materials got on the 1069 plane, and as I understand it, Mr. Kutz you said yes and then 1070 Mr. Hawley, you said no. What would be the basis for your saying that on GAO tests, it didn't get onto the plane? 1071 1072 Mr. HAWLEY. My understanding is that what was in the 1073 video was not what was brought through the checkpoint. The 1074 reason that is significant is that you would have had to 1075 assemble the bomb past the checkpoint. And there are 1076 measures in place between the checkpoint and the aircraft 1077 that would make it more difficult for somebody to therefore 1078 get there. So as I said, you can get through a piece of it, 1079 you can get a piece through the checkpoint, perhaps. But 1080 there are other barriers on the way. And I just wanted to make clear it was not a completed IED that went through and 1081 1082 qot on the aircraft.

1083 Chairman WAXMAN. Well, we are talking about GAO's--what 1084 was the situation?

1085 Mr. COONEY. Mr. Chairman, we did not, after we got through the checkpoint, we did not construct the device. 1086 We 1087 brought all the components onto the aircraft. That is to say 1088 that we could not have constructed it on the aircraft. We 1089 could have simply gone into the lavatory on the aircraft once the plane was airborne and constructed the device there. 1090 So 1091 we did bring all the components onto the aircraft. 1092 Chairman WAXMAN. It did get onto the plane.

1093 Mr. Higgins?

1094 Mr. HIGGINS. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just have a couple of observations. Mr. Hawley, you had indicated at the 1095 beginning that TSA officers have the best interests of the 1096 1097 flying public in mind and that the flying public should be 1098 more, presumably tolerant of the work that TSA does to 1099 protect them. The thing that kind of concerns me about this 1100 panel is that there seems to be an adversarial relationship TSA and the Government Accountability Office, where in fact 1101 1102 my sense is you have the same primary objective, and that is to protect the public, and the flying public in this 1103 1104 particular circumstance.

1105 I understand that there are layers of security and that risk management is not a perfect science, that you have to 1106 1107 not only take into consideration possibility, but also 1108 probability. So when I look over the testimony and this seemingly adversarial history that exists between TSA and 1109 1110 GAO, that fundamentally raises some questions and concerns, 1111 because my sense is that that GAO is not conducting this to embarrass anybody, but knowing that a security system in its 1112 1113 many layers is an evolving process that takes into consideration information that may not have been presumed 1114 when originally security systems were put in place, that it 1115 1116 has to be flexible, it has to be elastic, it has to be 1117 evolving.

50

1118 Your thoughts? 1119 Mr. HAWLEY. I would just like to say, although we definitely are sparring a little bit today, we have a 1120 surprisingly good relationship in that, the reason I have 1121 1122 said certain things was to have the record be clear. Because 1123 I think it is a key point, the difference between 1124catastrophic failure and something unsafe on the aircraft. Ι 1125 think we are absolutely in lockstep in terms where we end up. I think we agree strongly with GAO's suggestions as to what 1126 1127 goes forward. The value they bring is in some other areas other than the ones that I am disputing. 1128 1129 So I take it as an indication of our respect and sort of professional relationship. But it actually is a very good 1130 1131 relationship. Mr. HIGGINS. On behalf of the flying public, we want to 1132 1133 encourage you to work together and to continually improve the 1134 security system. Mr. KUTZ. Yes, we do often spar over the facts. 1135 But I think the important part is, as you said, the suggestions we 1136 1137 have, if they consider those seriously and where appropriate, 1138 implement them, that is the most important part at the end of

1139 the day and hopefully that is what they will walk away with 1140 from this.

1141 Mr. HIGGINS. Great. Just a final question, Mr.1142 Chairman. Mr. Kutz, you had said that one of the

PAGE

1143	recommendations to TSA was increased pat-downs. I am just
1144	curious, is it a more comprehensive pat-down per incident, or
1145	is it more incidents of pat-downs that you are recommending?
1146	Mr. KUTZ. No, it is actually the pat-down being, if I
1147	could say a little bit more thorough.
1148	Mr. HIGGINS. Thorough, okay.
1149	Mr. KUTZ. Yes.
1150	Mr. HIGGINS. Thank you very much. That is all, Mr.
1151	Chairman.
1152	Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you, Mr. Higgins.
1153	We are being called to the House Floor for a series of
1154	three votes, which ought to bring us back here in a half
1155	hour. So we are going to recess then reconvene to complete
1156	the hearing. So we stand in recess.
1157	[Recess.]
1158	Chairman WAXMAN. I want to call the hearing back to
1159	order. We will start with Mr. Yarmuth.
1160	Mr. YARMUTH. Let me start by saying I am a little bit
1161	uncomfortable with conversations like these, as I am sure you
1162	are, recognizing on the one hand our obligation to provide
1163	oversight on airport security and also the security of
1164	striking that very delicate balance between trying to make
1165	the public confident that we are doing what we need to be
1166	doing, and also not scaring them to the point where they are
1167	afraid to fly.
1	

PAGE

52

I remember back in my journalist days, back right after 1168 1169 the 9/11 crashes, and I was doing an interview with the 1170 director of the airport in Louisville, and asked him, going through a number of the measures they were taking, whether 1171 1172 these measures in fact were designed to provide real security 1173 or the illusion, the perception of security. He was quite candid and said, this is basically to create the perception 11741175 of security, because there is a limit to what we can do to provide real security. I probably won't get any serious 1176 1177 disagreement out of you on that.

But with that premise, whether you accept it or not, I 1178 would like to ask a couple of questions, because we all qo 1179 1180 through security on a weekly basis. And by the way, I will 1181 say the TSA people in my airport in Louisville are terrific, 1182 they work hard, they are very considerate. I have no complaints about them. But it seems like a lot of the 1183 measures that are taken don't focus on what you talked about, 1184 1185 focusing on the priorities of not bringing a plane down, but to again create some kind of an illusion which, when you get 1186 behind them, don't make any sense. This is going to sound a 1187 little trivial, but it is parochial and important to me. 1188 We make Louisville Sluggers at Hillerich and Bradsby in 1189 Louisville. You can go on a tour of the museum there. They 1190 1191 sell souvenir baseball bats. Souvenir baseball bats are

1192 about 15 inches long and probably not much bigger around than

PAGE

this pencil, and you can't take them on a plane. 1193 1194 Now, I will guarantee you, and there is a big display 1195 when you go through the TSA line that you can't bring these 1196 little bats on the plane. Now, I guarantee you, I am 1197 carrying, every time I am on the plane, things that I could do more damage with than those baseball bats. It seems to me 1198 1199 that that is one of those instances in which we focus on things that don't make any sense, don't provide any security 1200 and may in fact, if we are relying on people who are stressed 1201 1202 and have to cover a lot of people and so forth, we are making them deal with things that don't make any difference in the 1203 1204 final analysis. Would you care to comment on that, Mr. 1205 Hawley?

1206 Mr. HAWLEY. I think you have raised a number of good 1207 Specifically on that one, we are looking right now points. 1208 at the prohibited items list, and we are doing it in 1209 conjunction with our partners in Canada and the European Union and other places, so that we can have a common 1210 1211 framework. As you know, we made the decision on scissors and 1212 small tools, and recently the lighters, based on risk 1213 management.

1214 So we specifically are looking at the baseball bats as 1215 well as the rest of the prohibited items list, because we 1216 have to stay flexible. Again, I want to get away from the 1217 checklist mentality, where we are just looking to take things

1218 away. We need to look for the person who is bringing a novel 1219 threat.

Mr. YARMUTH. And I quess the other question I would have 1220 1221 is, you may have alluded to this earlier, but it seems to me 1222 that in most cases, the greatest protection you would have in 1223 terms of things that go on in the passenger cabin are the 1224 other passengers. And not necessarily things that you would 1225 do going in. Richard Reid was ultimately stopped because it was a passenger who pointed out that it was unusual that 1226 1227 somebody would try to light his foot on the plane.

Mr. HAWLEY. I would like to address the charade issue, 1228 1229 because I hear it a lot, I see it on the blogs. We directly 1230 address that in the IED component piece. We can get high scores on testing, et cetera. But our officers know in 1231 reality what is real and what is charade. In order to get 1232 them prepared and motivated and switched on to look for the 1233 1234 difficult threat, they have to believe that what we are 1235 really doing is security.

So we have really worked hard in the last couple of years to openly communicate with our work force about the threats. What we do we do because we believe it is a security matter. And we do need the support of the Congress and the public when we do change a security measure, because you can always come up with a scenario that says I can use X to do Y. And all of it is risk management. It is very difficult.

PAGE

1243 Any one issue you can fight over. But you have to fit the 1244 whole thing together. I think it is a pretty complex 1245 equation. It is important that we address these 1246 vulnerabilities publicly, so the public knows that is 1247 involved.

Mr. YARMUTH. Along those lines, I am not sure I have 1248 1249 ever heard an announcement from a flight attendant inside a cabin about, and I know you don't want to make people so 1250 1251 hypersensitive that they will report things that are just 1252 normal behavior, but when you get paranoid people, but that you need to be alert to what people are doing in the cabin 1253 1254 and if you see any suspicious activity to report it. Has 1255 there ever been any thought to utilizing the crew to actually 1256 enlist the passengers in those precautions?

Mr. HAWLEY. Well, certainly the crews are enlisted. We don't make any announcements. Actually you would be surprised, we probably get two or three a day of disruptive passengers subdued by other passengers. So I think we all travel at a heightened state of alert. I am very confident, given the track record we have, that people doing suspicious activities are in fact reported.

1264 Mr. YARMUTH. Good. My time is up. Thank you, Mr.1265 Chairman.

1266 Chairman WAXMAN. Mr. Westmoreland, I think you are next.1267 Mr. WESTMORELAND. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Hawley, I just want to go back to a couple of things. One was the point about whether this material that we saw on the video actually got on the plane or didn't get on the plane. I think it was Mr. Cooney that said it did get on the plane. And you said that it may have, but not in a form that could have caused the damage.

You mentioned that there were some other points, I 1274 quess, between the screening location and where it would have 1275 actually got on the plane. So are we to be under the 1276 1277 assumption that these people would prepare this thing prior to boarding the plane or once they boarded the plane? 1278 Mr. HAWLEY. Of course, they could attempt either. 1279 From the checkpoint to the boarding gate, there is a significant 1280 amount of security that is not seen. As you know, we have a 1281 significant number of Federal air marshals flying every day. 1282 They are undercover, they are in airport boarding gates. 1283 Part of their job is when they are not actually on the 1284 aircraft to be patrolling in those areas, on the lookout for 1285 this. We know exactly what can bring a plane down, we know 1286 the characteristics of that chemistry and what you have to do 1287 1288 to mix it properly. So there are some tell-tales that you can pick up on that would make it very, very difficult for 1289 someone to get away with it. 1290

1291 I think the point Mr. Cooney raised, on the aircraft, in 1292 the restroom, is something that we pay attention to, and

PAGE 57

1293 certainly flying air marshals and flying flight crews pay 1294 attention to it. But we look at, we really look across the 1295 board.

1296 Mr. WESTMORELAND. Okay. Let me ask you this. I think 1297 that you mentioned that there has been too much attention, or TSA agents are having to pay too much attention to carry-on 1298 baggage. Just from experience, in doing quite a bit of 1299 flying, there are some people that carry on everything but 1300 the kitchen sink. Supposedly, it is a one bag carry-on, one 1301 1302 carry-on and one personal item. Would it help if we start 1303 enforcing that to where you could spend more time on the person, on the physical person, rather than having to go 1304 through all these bag checks? Some people get in line, they 1305 have five of the gray trays and then some other stuff going 1306 1307 through. When can we have some enforcement of that, where 1308 you are kind of given a little more flexibility in looking at 1309 that individual?

Mr. HAWLEY. It is a shared responsibility with the 1310 1311 airlines. We looked at this during the liquid plot with the 1312 U.K. They went to one bag, we did not. Our concern and my 1313 concern was, you get a duffel bag and toss your two or however many it is in there and zip it up and say, voila, 1314 here is my one bag. Then that gets, that is too congested 1315 for us really to give an easy look. So you have to do a bag 1316 1317 check and then that is a nightmare.

PAGE

1318 So it really is, that is why I say partnering with the 1319 public, that we have to fight through 10 million images a 1320 day, and the extent to which the public can make them less 1321 cluttered, it gives terrorists less room to hide and it 1322 speeds the process.

1323 Mr. WESTMORELAND. So you don't think that would be an 1324 alternative in trying to get the airlines to more enforce 1325 what they are doing?

Mr. HAWLEY. Yes, I would focus on the weight. I think 1326 1327 the weight is a bigger problem than the number. Because we injure our folks sometimes when picking up a bag and it is 1328 way too heavy. But we have to operate in the world that 1329 1330 exists and not unduly do commerce. Our challenge is it is 1331 our job to find the bomb part, no matter what is thrown at 1332 us. And that is what we hold our officers to.

Mr. WESTMORELAND. And I know that you are probably going through all the training and trying to get everybody through the training. I know that Mr. Mica had mentioned the behavioral interviewing or whatever. I am sure that is a much more difficult process or more training that you have to send somebody through, and they probably have to have a certain tendency to be able to do that.

But it does concern me that these tests were run in several airports, and you, or the TSA doesn't seem to know if this behavioral part was there, and if it did any good or

1343 whatever. I don't know how much information you have shared 1344 back and forth about the test and the airports and who it 1345 was. But I would like for you to comment on that if you 1346 would.

1347 Mr. HAWLEY. Yes, it is a key point. Part of the protocol, and I respect the protocol, is they don't give us 1348 advance notice. So we don't know when they are coming, and 1349 whether they know it, the BDOs or not. We in fact are 1350 working on tests of what we call the behavior detection 1351 1352 officers. It is, we are finding it is difficult to simulate the actual stress of somebody with hostile intent. So we are 1353 working with other countries who have capability there, as 1354 1355 well as with our research arm at the Department, to get the 1356 scientific data that will say how good our officers are, just 1357 on the behavior.

Mr. WESTMORELAND. Mr. Hawley, I want to thank you for the job that you are trying to do with TSA. I know it is a big, big undertaking. I appreciate your coming here today. I know it was probably similar to having a root canal. But I do want to thank you for that.

1363 Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you, Mr. Westmoreland.

1364 Mr. Shays?

1365 Mr. SHAYS. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, again for holding1366 this hearing.

1367

Mr. Hawley, I wouldn't want your job. I want to say

PAGE 60

1368	that up front. I think it is one of the most difficult jobs.
1369	I think it is a no-win job. But I was uncomfortable with
1370	the morning part of this hearing, because I felt like we were
1371	making, giving us the sense that we have 19 points, so they
1372	got through 1, and that is not good, but don't lose sleep
1373	over it. And I am losing sleep over it, and I don't have
1374	your job.
1375	Mr. Kutz, my understanding is you attempted 21 times to
1376	bring in explosive devices. Is that correct?
1377	Mr. KUTZ. It was 21 times in 2006 and 19 in 2007.
1378	Mr. SHAYS. Now, of the 21 times, how many got through?
1379	Mr. KUTZ. I can't discuss that specifically. That is
1380	considered sensitive security information.
1381	Mr. SHAYS. Did a majority get in?
1382	Mr. KUTZ. I am not supposed toI can say we got
1383	through.
1384	Chairman WAXMAN. If Mr. Shays would yield to me, Mr.
1385	Davis and I have had a briefing with the intel people and we
1386	didn't think it was productive to get into any kind of
1387	numbers.
1388	Mr. HAWLEY. I could offer that the numbers are not
1389	necessary to get the learning from it. And I think we derive
1390	a significant amount of learning. So we would stipulate that
1391	there are learnings to be had regardless of the numbers.
1392	Mr. SHAYS. Okay. I am going to go under the assumption,

then, because I don't know, that a majority got through. And given that, I would like to ask this question. Mr. Kutz, if you had attempted 19 times, 21 times and 19 times to get through and none of them got through, would you have still written the report and would we have been able to say to Mr. Hawley, this is pretty fantastic. Or if you had had a total failure, would there have been no report?

1400 Mr. KUTZ. We always write the results of our work. That 1401 is part of our protocols. We always go through the same 1402 briefings. We gave them all the details of where we went, 1403 what we did, several detailed briefings. We always report 1404 externally the results.

Mr. SHAYS. Mr. Hawley, I was troubled by your comment 1405 that none of the weapons grade material, or the bombs got in 1406 1407 because they weren't assembled. It seems to me like that is a lawyer talking instead of the fact that GAO was able to get 1408 this weapon grade material through, they were able to get the 1409 detonation through. And is that not correct, they were able 1410 to get it through and get it on the plane, is that not 1411 1412 correct, Mr. Hawley?

1413 Mr. HAWLEY. You have to ask them as to what they 1414 actually did.

1415 Mr. SHAYS. What got on the plane? Did you stop-1416 Mr. KUTZ. The devices we described, the detonator, the
1417 liquid explosive and the incendiary device components.

PAGE 62

1418	Mr. SHAYS. And everything you showed us on the film was
1419	what you got on? There is not two different examples? You
1420	didn't have a bigger explosive on the TV screen. What you
1421	got through was what you detonated or similar?
1422	Mr. KUTZ. Similar, correct.
1423	Mr. SHAYS. Okay. What would have been involved with
1424	assembling the weapon, the bomb?
1425	Mr. COONEY. We practiced assembling the weapon. It took
1426	approximately 12 to 15 minutes to put it together once.
1427	Mr. SHAYS. So that is a pretty long time. If you were
1428	to take that, if someone was sitting next to you, that would
1429	be a pretty difficult thing to assemble in front of someone,
1430	correct?
1431	Mr. COONEY. If we were on a plane, we wouldn't assemble
1432	it in our seats. We would assemble it in another area of the
1433	plane.
1434	Mr. SHAYS. Okay. Let's just say you went to the
1435	restroom. Would you have had to carry a case into the
1436	restroom?
1437	Mr. COONEY. I can't go into that, Congressman, based on
1438	the confidentiality and the classification of the report. I
1439	will be happy to discuss that with you in a closed session.
1440	Mr. SHAYS. I guess what I want to know is, would it have
1441	been noticeable to a flight attendant or someone else that
1442	someone was having to carry on something that was noticeable,

PAGE

63

or would it have been able to have been disquised? 1443 Mr. COONEY. It would have been disguised. 1444 Mr. SHAYS. So Mr. Hawley, why should I take any solace 1445 in the fact that you say, well, they weren't taken on the 1446 plane because they weren't assembled? Why is that 1447 1448 meaningful? Mr. HAWLEY. Thank you for asking the question. This is 1449 1450 not an exact analogy, but it is like bringing the watch parts through and then saying, I am going to assemble it --1451 Mr. SHAYS. Bringing the what part through? 1452 Mr. HAWLEY. A watch, you know, I have my watch and I 1453 bring watch parts through. It is very sophisticated 1454 chemistry to get the right everything, as well as certain 1455 matters of assembly. There are some telltale indicators when 1456 one is doing that, and it is not trivial to assemble one of 1457 1458 these things so that they work. You have to ask yourself that, given the Richard Reid issue, there is a certain bar of 1459 effectiveness that they would want to do before they would 1460 expose themselves to discovery. And that bar is reasonably 1461 1462 hiqh. Mr. SHAYS. Okay. Well, I will just end by saying, it is 1463 unsettling to think that so much explosive device could get 1464 through. And I make an assumption that a good amount did get 1465 through. I would like to have thought that maybe 1 out of 19 1466

1467 or 1 out of 21 would have been the number. So I wish you

1468 well and I hope that we are doing everything that we can to 1469 help you succeed, Mr. Hawley.

1470 Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you, Mr. Shays.

1471 Mr. Issa?

Mr. ISSA. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank you for holding this bipartisan hearing. I think it is doing us a lare lot of good to at least make sure the American public understands the need for ongoing improvement.

1476 I think maybe, Administrator, the kindest way to start this off is with something that will be good for the public. 1477 1478 I had this shown to you earlier, and it is entitled deluxe 16-piece carry-on kit. For the record, if someone goes and 1479 buys one of these kits where they can get little teeny 1480 amounts of what they need to travel that may not otherwise be 1481 available or may cost a lot of money to buy, are they allowed 1482 1483 to use that?

1484 Mr. HAWLEY. Pretty much yes, assuming it has a zip top 1485 bag under there, which I believe it does.

1486 Mr. ISSA. Right, but the individual bottles themselves?1487 Mr. HAWLEY. Yes.

1488 Mr. ISSA. And I would hope that after today's hearing, 1489 universally, TSA people who don't get it, who routinely I 1490 have seen, because I travel every single week, twice a week, 1491 I have seen them turn people away with, oh, there is no 1492 marking on that. They do not seem to understand that, while

these are being sold, and while in many cases the only way, 1493 1494 and I don't want to sound sexist, but for a woman to have a multitude of different, small items, make-up needs, and carry 1495 it on, they need to have that, particularly if you look at 1496 what is often in a purse. I see a lot of grinning by the men 1497 1498 and women behind you. But I think it is important that when 1499 we say we care about commerce and we care about the traveler, 1500 that there be a uniform understanding that this doesn't have 1501 to be the answer, which is everything I took from the last hotel I stayed in. 1502

1503 [Laughter.]

1504 Mr. ISSA. It was two days worth, I didn't take any more 1505 than my share.

But I think it is important, because my line of questioning will not be on security. And it won't be on security because one, I spent time in the military, in EOD, and I am going to predict that 20 years from now you are still going to be playing cat and mouse. We were playing cat and mouse with the STFs in the 1970s. I don't think it is going to change.

Having said that, I am going to ask you a question, which is, given that we continue to fund you at the levels you request and that you continue to ask for bucks for Buck Rogers type innovation, do you believe that you will reasonably be able to stay ahead of these ever-moving and

1518 | improving target characteristics?

1519 Mr. HAWLEY. I do, but it won't be through Buck Rogers technology. I think we have to have technology that is 1520 reliable, that is sophisticated, that is affordable. But 1521 getting on the cutting edge of technology I think is 1522 expensive, not reliable, and can usually be engineered 1523 1524 around. So we will always have the human factor, and I take your point about generational conflict and that this is a 1525 long-term thing. When we do something, they are going to 1526 react to get around it. 1527

Therefore, for our technology purchases, you will see 1528 1529 fewer purchases of those big trace portals and more purchases of portable liquid explosive detectors, portable explosive 1530 1531 detectors that we are in fact using even with some of our foreign partners. So the flexible mix of technology and the 1532 business process where our officers and all of our folks, 1533 including Federal air marshals, can continue to adapt and not 1534 1535 give the enemy a stationary target, I think that is the critical thing and I don't think, we are not going to have a 1536 silver bullet. 1537

Mr. ISSA. Because you kind of led into this, you are going to be a labor-intensive industry for a while, for the foreseeable future, that technology per se is not going to eliminate the need for the men and women in uniform who handle the luggage, look through it, or who, out of uniform,

PAGE

PAGE 67

1543 plain clothes, who observe after you go through the primary 1544 checkpoint. Then can I ask for something very 1545 straightforward in this hearing? Because this is the 1546 Government Oversight and Reform. I travel throughout Europe 1547 and the Middle East, but usually go through Europe 1548 commercially on my way to the Middle East.

For some reason, the Europeans have figured out that to 1549 have a TSA-equivalent person shuttling little gray trays back 1550 and forth is a huge waste of a trained individual. I travel 1551 1552 through Dulles, I travel through San Diego, Sacramento, a number of other airports. They all vary, but none of them 1553 reached level of moving the trays from where they get left 1554 1555 off back to the other without human intervention, meaning that in every one of your airports, you have somebody like 1556 1557 the uniformed person behind you who is doing a task that 1558 requires absolutely no training, absolutely no expertise, for 1559 which we are paying for training and expertise. I would hope that you would commit to us to make the dollars available to 1560 automate the trays or the equivalent, so that we not waste 1561 1562 valuable Government employees on something that, quite frankly, anybody can do and no one should have to do in this 1563 automated day and age. 1564

1565Mr. HAWLEY. Yes, that is the perfect use of technology1566to make it more efficient. I totally agree.

1567

Mr. ISSA. Thank you . I will end on that high note, Mr.

PAGE

1568 Chairman. Thank you. Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you, Mr. Issa. 1569 Mr. Kutz, last year, GAO conducted a similar undercover 1570 operation and managed to get liquid explosives past security 1571 1572 checkpoints in all 21 airports you tested. In February of 2006, when GAO completed its investigation, there wasn't a 1573 1574 public hearing such as we are having today. Instead, GAO privately briefed TSA officials, including Mr. Hawley, on its 1575 1576 results. 1577 Mr. Kutz, in your February 2006 briefing with Mr. Hawley, did you warn him about the vulnerabilities your test 1578 1579 had exposed? Mr. KUTZ. The February 2006 briefing, we did not have 1580 liquid explosives on the 2006 testing. It was the other two 1581 devices, the incendiary and the IED detonator we showed 1582 today. The liquid explosives were on the work we did for your 1583 Committee as part of the 2007. So we did not do that. 1584 Thev were certainly aware, as Mr. Hawley said, that liquid 1585 explosives are a significant risk here. And that was one of 1586 1587 the reasons, I think, that we attempted to do that as part of 1588 our second test for your Committee. Chairman WAXMAN. But you did brief him on what you had 1589 found in your investigation? 1590 Mr. KUTZ. In 2006, that is correct. Yes. 1591 1592 Chairman WAXMAN. And following your briefing to TSA, did

1593 TSA change its policies or procedures to fix the gap in 1594 security that your tests highlighted?

1595 Mr. KUTZ. I don't think any procedures were changed. 1596 What they represented to us, that people were alerted to what 1597 we did and there was additional training. That is what we 1598 understood happened after the last report.

1599 Chairman WAXMAN. Instead, Homeland Security Department 1600 officials made statements to the press criticizing the GAO 1601 investigation, stating that they were a bit far-fetched. Mr. 1602 Hawley, you were quoted in an NBC story as saying, TSA wasn't 1603 interested in materials that would set off an interesting 1604 firework display in an aircraft but can't bring the plane 1605 down.

1606 Mr. Kutz, do you think the substances that GAO smuggled 1607 in were nothing more than fireworks, as Mr. Hawley had 1608 suggested?

1609 Mr. KUTZ. I would go back to the video that we showed, 1610 the first video of the automobile trunk and the floor of the 1611 automobile being blown out, that was the item we brought on 1612 in 2006. And the incendiary device that was the intense heat 1613 burning was the other device we brought on. Whether they would bring down an aircraft or not, I don't know. 1614 But they 1615 would certainly threaten the passengers and could cause serious damage. 1616

1617

Chairman WAXMAN. Do you think that they were minimizing

1618 the true dangers with that statement?

1619 Mr. KUTZ. To call it a science experiment or something, 1620 I think that trivializes it, yes.

1621 Chairman WAXMAN. Mr. Hawley, you appear to think that 1622 these GAO tests are insignificant. You say that you are only 1623 focused on the serious threats. We all just saw the video of 1624 the explosions and that is a serious threat.

In this morning's Washington Post, this is what TSA says: 'There is nothing in the report that is news to us.'' Last year, you failed to prevent explosives from getting onto airplanes, you promised to improve your performance. But now we learn that GAO was again able to bring explosive materials onto planes.

The problem is that the news is the same, it is not 1631 getting better. And that is unacceptable, you are failing. 1632 Here is what else TSA said: 'We don't change security 1633 procedures in knee-jerk fashion.'' GAO's first report was 1634 issued in February 2006. That was 19 months ago. I want to 1635 know what you are going to change now, so that we are not 1636 1637 here next year facing exactly the same situation. Mr. HAWLEY. I appreciate the question. The answer is 1638

1639 that all this training I was talking about in terms of the 1640 checkpoint drills that we now do throughout the system every 1641 day, that is added. I believe that is probably the best 1642 thing that we can do at this point, is actually from our own

1643 covert testing, which drills down into the specifics of the 1644 vulnerability, that was identified and they recommended this. 1645 We followed the recommendations of our covert testers.

I think the technical issues about what the GAO tested 1646 1647 are a separate debate, and we probably don't completely agree on it. However, the results of it I take. I think it is a 1648 valuable lesson to learn, and the issue of explosives or 1649 homemade chemicals, whether they work or don't work. We have 1650 1651 to be alert to it. I should also say, as I said in my opening, that I identified and TSA identified those 1652 1653 vulnerabilities in 2005. So we know what the vulnerabilities are. As I laid out, we have put in place quite a few 1654 1655 measures, and I think I have provided the Committee with 1656 that, that are directed at improvement.

And have we closed the vulnerability? No. But we do 2 1657 1658 million passengers a day and 38 tests over 3 months is 1659 probably not statistically significant. It is directionally 1660 significant and I think we have to take it as valuable input. But it is not something on which the public should panic or 1661 1662 should be concerned about the overall system. These are known vulnerabilities. The GAO is helping us in terms of 1663 addressing them and that is really what the story is. 1664

1665 Chairman WAXMAN. Last year, you said you were going to 1666 do more training of personnel as well. I guess the point I 1667 want to drive home is that we are going to ask for this GAO

PAGE 72

1668 report again next year, and you are on notice. We don't want 1669 to have to hold a hearing where we get a report that GAO came 1670 in and gave us a very discouraging picture. We don't want 1671 TSA to minimize it, we don't want to scare people but I don't 1672 want you to minimize it. I think you should take this one 1673 seriously, and I didn't feel that you took the first one as 1674 seriously as you should.

1675 So I hope that we can continue to talk about all the 1676 efforts that are going to be made to assure the public in 1677 reality that as many of the vulnerabilities as we face are 1678 going to be reduced and that we are going to get safer and 1679 safer in our transportation.

1680 Mr. HAWLEY. I can assure you that everybody at TSA has 1681 no question about the seriousness of which I take IED 1682 penetration drills and the significance of this. So yes, 1683 sir.

1684 Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you.

1685 Mr. Davis, any further comments?

Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. I just have a couple. I want to clarify a point that was talked about earlier. Mr. Hawley, as you understand it, and then I will ask Mr. Cooney and Mr. Kutz to comment, was what the GAO got past security the same elements that were in the video played earlier? What is your understanding?

1692

Mr. HAWLEY. My understanding was that they were in fact

1693 different. 1694 Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. Okay. Mr. Cooney, Mr. Kutz? Mr. COONEY. They were the same as in the video. 1695 1696 Mr. KUTZ. Yes, Mr. Cooney is one of the ones that actually did the testing. So he has first-hand knowledge of 1697 what was brought onto the plane, because he is one of he ones 1698 that had it in his bags and on his person. 1699 1700 Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. Okay. Mr. HAWLEY. I think the issue, it is not a trivial issue 1701 that in the sense as we evaluate the layers of security, if 1702 in fact what you brought to the checkpoint was able to blow 1703 1704 up a plane, that is one thing. And if you have to--Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. Well, they never said it was able 1705 to blow the plane up. They could obviously cause damage, 1706 right? But there is no allegation here that it would blow 1707 1708 the plane up? 1709 Mr. COONEY. That is correct, sir. Mr. HAWLEY. So I think I would just stand with --1710 Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. You could open up the door, the 1711 emergency door of the plane and do damage as well. I think 1712 they were very careful not to make the allegation it would 1713 1714 blow it up. Mr. HAWLEY. The key point for the public is that we are 1715 1716 in agreement on the need to continue to close down vulnerabilities everywhere in the system. I think the 1717

PAGE 73

HG0319.000

PAGE 74

differentiation is, because of the distinctive nature of the 1718 1719 video, people say, oh, my goodness, this could happen to my plane. And the situation, that is not what is actually 1720 1721 portrayed in this data. The data points out and recognizes 1722 vulnerabilities that we recognize exist, they discover they 1723 exist. And we all agree they need to be closed. 1724 Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. TSA has recognized the threat of 1725 explosive bomb components being brought on board in carry-on 1726 bags some time ago. You spent millions of dollars funding 1727 the development of a high quality auto explosive detection 1728 system to meet the threat, a technology that is successfully used to screen checked baggage, is that correct? 1729 1730 Mr. HAWLEY. And now recently carry-on baggage. Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. Okay. What has your agency done 1731 1732 to advance this technology at a passenger screening 1733 checkpoint? 1734 Mr. HAWLEY. The science and technology division of DHS 1735 does the R&D for the Department. My understanding is they 1736 put something close to a billion dollars of investment into 1737 the IED research and development area. The key point for us is the R&D discoveries in the next short period of time 1738 1739 aren't immediately deployable. Our job is to use what is 1740 available to day to limit the gaps until future technology is 1741 developed.

1742

Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. So you are using AT machines

PAGE

today as opposed to the EDS? Or could you use both? 1743 1744 Mr. HAWLEY. We use both. There is the old-fashioned x-ray, which is a single source--1745 Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. Right, AT machines, as I 1746 1747 understand it, don't provide a 360 degree view. Mr. HAWLEY. No, but they can get pretty close. 1748 1749 Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. And they don't provide a 3-D 1750 image for the screeners to view the baggage? Mr. HAWLEY. That is correct as far as I know. 1751 Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. But they do provide a cheaper 1752 1753 price? Mr. HAWLEY. Exactly. And we can deploy them 1754 extraordinarily widely. And they have very low maintenance. 1755 So that is a factor. 1756 1757 I think a mix is important. The auto EDS, as they call it, very excellent technology. We are buying 20 more, I 1758 hope, in 2008. But if we can get 500 of the ATs out, that 1759 covers a lot of ground and is upgradable over time with 1760 1761 better software. So I think that is a good business 1762 decision. Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. For 2007, the President initially 1763 1764 requested \$80.52 million for emerging technologies, is my understanding. In addition, he requested \$25 million for 1765 1766 checkpoint explosives detection equipment and pilot screening 1767 technologies in the emergency supplemental, for a total of

1768 \$105 million for emerging technologies in 2007. Congress 1769 provided the requested funds, but the agency still only spent 1770 \$50 million on the emerging technology, checkpoint 1771 technologies.

1772 Mr. HAWLEY. Those numbers don't match what I have in my 1773 head. I clearly can go back and reconcile those.

Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. I guess the question is, we have provided close to \$105 million, my understanding is it has not all been spent. I guess what we would like to know from a Committee perspective is, what hasn't been spent, why not, what is in the pipeline, just so--

1779 Mr. HAWLEY. I will have to get back to you on what has been spent. We have asked for \$136 million in checkpoint 1780 technologies. It is perhaps a different category than what 1781 you are talking about. But we have significantly spent in 1782 1783 that area. We used up to buy the 250 AT machines, I believe what we had in 2007. I will have to confirm those numbers. 1784 1785 But we have continued to request significant additional funds 1786 in 2008.

Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. The last thing I would ask you is, how are we in coordination with other nations at this point? Some of them have, many of them are not as strict as we are. But they are subject to the same kind of vulnerabilities that we are.

1792 Mr. HAWLEY. Yes.

PAGE 77

1793 Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. How is that coordination? Mr. HAWLEY. I think that is absolutely critical. Because 1794 if we get our U.S. domestic secure and somebody is able to 1795 1796 board a flight overseas and hijack it or blow it up, that is 1797 the same result. So we depend on our international partners. 1798 We have created a new group at TSA that does this global 1799 strategy. We moved our head of intel, intelligence, to the 1800 head of that, so that he would have the credibility with 1801 other nations in discussing security matters, for instance, on shoes. We feel very strongly about shoe screening and 1802 1803 working with our partners to do shoe screening is something 1804 that is not popular, but we think is effective from a 1805 security point of view.

So I think over the next five years and beyond, the degree to which U.S. security measures tie in with our international partners is a big opportunity and important. Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. Well, no question. But I guess my question is, how is that partnership?

1811 Mr. HAWLEY. That is it.

1812 Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. Are they all responding? Are we 1813 having some that are balking a little bit at it?

1814 Mr. HAWLEY. We have extraordinary cooperation with our 1815 neighbors to the north and south, Canada and Mexico. The 1816 European Union, clearly the U.K. we are very close with. I 1817 have just returned from working with some of our Asian

PAGE

1818	partners. I expect that that closes the loop.
1819	The big opportunities are in Africa and South America.
1820	There are a lot of governments there that want to do
1821	first-rate security. Our job is to give them the training in
1822	something that is accessible. We can't give them million
1823	dollar pieces of equipment and say we want you to deploy
1824	this. We have to find things that are less expensive but do
1825	provide security value that can in fact be deployed around
1826	the world.
1827	Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. Thank you.
1828	Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you, Mr. Davis.
1829	I want to thank the three of you for your presentations
1830	to us, and the GAO for your excellent work. We hope that
1831	next year, when we look at a GAO report we are going to see a
1832	lot of improvement and we will have better news. Because at
1833	this time of year, people want the good news and their
1834	anxieties eased. There are too many vulnerabilities. And we
1835	want those vulnerabilities fixed.
1836	Thank you very much. The Committee stands adjourned.
1837	[Whereupon, at 12:33 p.m., the committee was adjourned.]