

METHOD OF STUDY

As explained in the “Executive Summary” section of this report, the purpose of this study is to review whether modified semiautomatic assault rifles are properly importable under 18 U.S.C. section 925(d)(3). More specifically, we reexamined the conclusions of the 1989 report as applied today to determine whether we are correct to allow importation of the study rifles that have been modified by having certain military features removed. To determine whether such rifles are generally recognized as particularly suitable for or readily adaptable to sporting purposes, the Secretary must consider both the physical features of the rifles and the actual uses of the rifles.⁵² Because it appears that all of the study rifles that have been imported to date have the ability to accept a large capacity military magazine,⁵³ all of the information collected on the study rifles’ physical features and actual uses applies only to the LCMM rifles.

Physical features:

The discussion of the LCMM rifles’ physical features are contained in the “Suitability for Sporting Purposes” section of this report.

Use:

We collected relevant information on the use of the LCMM rifles. Although the 1989 study did not consider the criminal use of firearms in its importability analysis, legislative history demonstrates and the courts have found that criminal use is a factor that can be considered in determining whether a firearm meets the requirements of section 925(d)(3).⁵⁴ Accordingly, we decided to consider the criminal use of the LCMM rifles in the present analysis.

The term “generally recognized” in section 925(d)(3) indicates that the Secretary should base his evaluation of whether a firearm is of a type that is particularly suitable for or readily adaptable to sporting purposes, in part, on a “community standard” of the firearm’s use.⁵⁵ The community standard “may change over time even though the firearm remains the same. Thus, a changing pattern of use may significantly affect whether a firearm is generally recognized as particularly suitable for or readily adaptable to a sporting purpose.”⁵⁶ Therefore, to assist the Secretary in determining whether the LCMM rifles presently are of a type generally recognized as particularly suitable for or readily adaptable to sporting purposes, we gathered information from the relevant “community.” The relevant community was defined as persons and groups who are

⁵² Gun South, Inc., 877 F.2d at 866.

⁵³ The VEPR caliber .308 discussed on page 16 has not yet been imported.

⁵⁴ 114 Cong. Rec. S 5556, 5582, 5585 (1968)(“[t]he entire intent of the importation section [of the sporting purposes test] is to get those kinds of weapons that are used by criminals and have no sporting purposes”) (Sen. Dodd); Gun South, Inc., 877 F.2d at 866.

⁵⁵ Gun South, Inc., 877 F.2d at 866.

⁵⁶ Id.

knowledgeable about the uses of these firearms or have relevant information about whether these firearms are particularly suitable for sporting purposes. We identified more than 2,000 persons or groups we believed would be able to provide relevant, factual information on these issues. The individuals and groups were selected to obtain a broad range of perspectives on the issues. We conducted surveys to obtain specific information from hunting guides, editors of hunting and shooting magazines, organized competitive shooting groups, State game commissions, and law enforcement agencies and organizations. Additionally, we asked industry members, trade associations, and various interest and information groups to provide relevant information.⁵⁷ A detailed presentation of the surveys and responses is included as an appendix to this report.

We also reviewed numerous advertisements and publications, both those submitted by the editors of hunting and shooting magazines and those collected internally, in our search for material discussing the uses of the LCMM rifles. Further, we collected importation data, tracing data, and case studies.⁵⁸

Our findings on use are contained in the “Suitability for Sporting Purposes” section of this report.

⁵⁷ **Hunting guides:** Guides were asked about specific types of firearms used by their clients. The guides were an easily definable group, versus the entire universe of hunters. We obtained the names of the hunting guides surveyed from the States.

Editors of hunting and shooting magazines: Editors were surveyed to determine whether they recommended the LCMM rifles for hunting or organized competitive target shooting and whether they had written any articles on the subject. The list of editors we surveyed was obtained from a directory of firearms-related organizations.

Organized competitive shooting groups: Organized groups were asked whether they sponsored competitive events with high-power semiautomatic rifles and whether the LCMM rifles were allowed in those competitions. We felt it was significant to query those who are involved with organized events rather than unofficial activities with no specific rules or guidelines. As with the editors above, the list of groups was obtained from a directory of firearms-related organizations.

State game commissions: State officials were surveyed to determine whether the use of the LCMM rifles was prohibited or restricted for hunting in each State.

Law enforcement agencies and organizations: Specific national organizations and a sampling of 26 police departments across the country were contacted about their knowledge of the LCMM rifles’ use in crime. The national organizations were surveyed with the intent that they would gather input from the wide range of law enforcement agencies that they represent or that they would have access to national studies on the subject.

Industry members and trade associations: These groups were included because of their knowledge on the issue.

Interest and information groups: These organizations were included because of their wide range of perspectives on the issue.

⁵⁸ To assist us with our review of the crime-related information we collected, we obtained the services of Garen J. Wintemute, MD, M.P.H. Director of the Violence Prevention Research Program, University of California, Davis, and Anthony A. Braga, Ph.D., J.F.K. School of Government, Harvard University.