



National Advisory Committee on Meat and Poultry Inspection

August 8-9, 2007

Issue Paper
Data Collection and Analysis at FSIS:
Standard Operating Procedures





Overview of presentation

- Purpose of draft document
- Roles and responsibilities of data collection and analysis teams
- Process for data collection and analysis at FSIS
- Stakeholder input
- Independent peer review
- Use of data in decision-making
- Program evaluation





Purpose of Draft Document

- To describe the standard operating procedure for data collection and analysis at FSIS
 - Developed in response to stakeholders' comments
- Seeking the Committee's comments:
 - Suggestions for improving data collection and analysis strategy?
 - Suggestions for additional stakeholder input in this process?
 - Suggestions for conducting external peer review?
 - > Should NACMPI form an on-going Sub-Committee to assist FSIS in evaluating data issues?





Roles and Responsibilities of the Data Collection and Analysis Teams

- Data Analysis and Integration Group (DAIG)
 - ➤ Characterize, coordinate, analyze and integrate data within and across different program areas
- Data Coordinating Committee (DCC)
 - ➤ Senior Agency representatives, serves as a liaison between the various FSIS Program Offices and the DAIG





Data Analysis and Integration Group

- Ensure data analyses are relevant to Program Office business processes and the Agency's mission
- Ensure data analyses are consistently of high quality
- Conduct analyses to inform Agency decisions
- Provide automated tools to facilitate data analysis and display





Data Analysis and Integration Group

- Conduct analyses to identify data gaps/needs within and across Program Offices
- Develop sophisticated analytical models to integrate data streams and rapidly identify events, trends and anomalies
- Ensure data analyses are consistent with FSIS policies and OMB guidelines





Process for Data Collection and Analysis

- In response to stakeholder comments, FSIS is formalizing an overall process for data analysis
- Involves the development of a technical plan, and subsequent technical paper that describes:
 - the problem to be addressed
 - the data collection and analysis strategy
 - the results and interpretation of data analysis
- Leverage updated IT systems (e.g., PHS)
- Stakeholder input and peer review incorporated into the process





Overview of The Process







Problem Definition

- Define issues in terms of questions to be answered
 - > Inform decision-making to improve public health
- Identified by policy managers, data analysts and other Agency officials
- Purpose and justification for each type of analysis should be stated
- Include impacts on Agency resources.
- Stakeholder input





Develop Technical Plan

- Summary of issues/questions to be addressed
- Identify, collect and review existing data
- Describe data collection strategy
 - > Standard/ validated methodology
 - > Statistically valid sampling plan
 - > Expert elicitation
- Describe data analysis methods
 - > Descriptive statistics
 - > Probabilistic methods
- Program evaluation
- Stakeholder input and independent peer review





Collect and analyze data

- Follow methods described in the technical plan
- Consider sources of uncertainty and variability in data
- Discuss validity of assumptions made
- Determine whether additional data or other analytical techniques are needed





Technical Report

- Policy issues driving the analysis
- The sources and quality of the data
- Methodology used
- Results
- Sources of uncertainty and variability
- Data gaps and assumptions
- Internal review
- External peer review





Stakeholder input

- Integral part of data collection and analysis
- Problem definition
 - > Input into framing and context of issue
 - Review questions to be addressed
 - Purpose and justification for analysis
- Technical plan
 - > Additional sources of existing data
 - Review proposed methods of data collection and analysis
- Technical report
 - Results of data analysis





External Peer Review

- Ensure scientifically sound data collection/ analysis
- Peer Reviewers could include:
 - > NACMPI
 - > NACMCF
 - National Academy of Sciences
 - Subject matter experts
- Technical plan
 - > Data quality issues, e.g., avoid bias in data sets
 - Appropriate methods of analysis used
 - > Validity of assumptions
- Results of data analysis





Use data in decision-making

 Based on the results of the analyses, make recommendations how to best address the policy issues/questions identified during the problem definition phase





Program evaluation

- Evaluate outcome of program compared with control
 - > Consider type of data needed for comparison
- How well is program achieving its objectives?
- Is there a need to improve the program?
- Ideally, measure improvements in public health outcomes
 - ➤ E.g., reduction in illness attributable to specific foods
- Surrogate:
 - > Reduction in pathogen prevalence/ levels
 - > Reduction in product recalls
- Use to refining program planning, development, and accountability





Questions

- Do you have any suggestions for improving our strategy for data collection and analysis?
- Do you have other suggestions for stakeholder input in this process?
- Do you have any other suggestions for conducting peer review?
- Do you believe it would be worthwhile to form an ongoing Sub-Committee to assist FSIS in evaluating various data issues?
- If so, please provide a rationale as to why it would be useful and recommendations on how it would be structured and should operate.





Contact Information:

Isabel Walls, Ph.D.

Office of Food Defense & Emergency Response
Food Safety Inspection Service
(202) 690-0834
Isabel.walls@fsis.usda.gov