1

- RPTS REIDY
- DCMN MAYER
- ASSESSING PUBLIC HEALTH AND 3
- THE DELIVERY OF CARE 4
- IN THE WAKE OF KATRINA 5
- THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 22, 2005 6
- House of Representatives, 7
- Committee on Energy and Commerce, 8
- Subcommittee on Health, 9
- -and-10
- Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations 11
- Washington, D.C. 12

The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 9:35 a.m., in 13 Room 2123, Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Nathan Deal 14 [chairman of the Health Subcommittee] And Hon. Ed Whitfield 15 [chairman of the Oversight and Investigations Subcommittee] 16 Presiding. 1.7

PAGE 52

1249

1250

1251

1252

1253

1254

1255

1256

1257

1258

1259

1260

1261

1262

1263

1264

1265

1266

1267

1268

1269

1270

18 TESTIMONY OF JULIE GERBERDING, CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL

Ms. GERBERDING. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Chairman Deal. I'm very pleased to be here this morning. We are sitting in the middle of two of the largest hurricanes that I think any of us could imagine. This is my 23rd and 24th public health emergency since becoming part of the leadership team at CDC, and I can assure you that we have learned something every time we have had an emergency operation. This is also the largest national natural disaster our country has faced, and I think the scalability of our preparedness and response capabilities are really a part of what we need to be looking at in terms of lessons learned as we go forward. In order to deal with a disaster this large, a network of response capability at every level, at the Federal level, the state level, the local level, the private level, the public level and in particular in this case, the citizen level of all the volunteers who have done so much, and not just the affected States, but the States that are receiving evacuees, all of these elements need to work together in a synergistic fashion to get each of their roles and responsibilities accomplished.

But a response also requires a command and control environment. It needs leadership. It needs clear strategies

and accountability for what's going on. And I feel very strongly that within the Department of Health and Human Services, we have had effective leadership on the part of Secretary Leavitt. We have been addressing four priority areas during this operation. Those include health care services, mental health services, the delivery of human services to the many disenfranchised people to require them immediately, and for the long run, and from my particular perspective, public health services. I wish I could provide more perspective and information about the overall departmental roles and responsibilities in this regard.

I'll have to limit my remarks to the public health sector because that's my area of responsibility and expertise. But I just do want to acknowledge a few remarkable contributions that my colleagues have made. Secretary Leavitt put the whole commission corps of the United States public health service on early alert. That involves more than 6,000 clinicians and other experts for response. And we have engaged in the largest deployment of the commission corps since the Korean War. More than 1,200 commission corps officers have been staffing the shelters and providing medical services to people in evacuation centers across the south.

In addition, we have deployed the strategic national stockpile in the state of Mississippi and provided more than

30 tons of medical equipment and materials in the State of Louisiana. We've also conducted the coordination of the vending operations to assure a supply line of medical materials and vaccines. And CDC has also used the authorities that Congress has provided us in terms of our aircraft to, on short notice, deliver anti microbials, intravenous supplies, and I believe save lives by being able to get those materials into Louisiana very, very quickly.

The Department has also taken the leadership team to many of the shelters. Secretary Leavitt has actually been three times now to visit shelters and understand firsthand what the needs of the sheltered individuals are. But we have also visited our Federal medical contingency stations where we deployed more than 2,500 emergency equipment for 2,500 emergency beds in that regard, and deployed large contingencies of the commissioned corps as well augmented services from other medical centers across the United States to staff these shelters and provide these medical services. These and many, many other activities, I think, have been going on largely in the background of the lens of most of what's been discussed.

In terms of the Centers For Disease Control, currently, our operational mission is summarized here. We have 61 people who are doing surveillance for the emergence of disease and investigating those diseases with teams across

the south. The largest force is in Louisiana at the moment. But we've had overall since the operation began more than 300 people supporting public health functions in the field. Again, I want to emphasize, these are broad spectrum of activities, including occupational health screening, environmental health services, vector control for mosquitoes, rodent control for the anticipated rodent and pest problems that will emerge and a variety of other public health functions to support and augment, in my opinion, some of the unsung heroes, the State health officials in the various regions, in particular, Dr. Kevin Stevens, the health officials from the city of New Orleans who spent time in the Superdome.

And I traveled with him to various shelters as he tried to locate his staff and figure out ways to get them back to New Orleans to begin the recovery and reconstruction responsibility.

I'm just going to present three very brief snapshots of what the medical experience has been. These data are provided by hospitals in the greater New Orleans area. These are just snapshots. These data haven't been elevated or confirmed. But what you can see here in terms of injuries and chronic diseases, yes, the hospitals are requiring services for people with their regular medical attentions. But injuries have emerged in all of the different

environments as a consequence of people rescuing and cleaning up the debris. We also have noted several cases of carbon monoxide poisoning, which is something we anticipate after any disaster that involves the use of generators and we are working hard to try to get information and education to people to avoid that.

I have to emphasize the importance of mental health issues. The incredible immediate impact on people with pre-existing mental health conditions as well as long term mental health conditions is something that has engaged the entire department, and particularly SAMHSA, that has the lead for this activity.

And lastly, in terms of infectious diseases, we have not seen widespread outbreaks of anything unusual. We anticipated intestinal diseases and respiratory diseases in the shelter context and we have seen some problems with an organism called vibrio, which is associated with the brackish water and some serious infections and death from that, but not the scale of infectious disease problems that one might anticipate. Environmental assessments are ongoing.

I'll be happy to answer questions about the environmental impact as it pertains not just to the city of New Orleans where there was flooding, but also in other regions of the south.

And lastly, let me just conclude by remarking on the

incredible heroism that I've seen, not just among all the people in the country who are working hard to mitigate the consequences of this, but particularly to the survivors of this catastrophe, the stories that people tell about their own family heroism as well as the efforts that they made on behalf of others are heart warming, and I think what really leads us to have some hope, particularly as we look at Rita, but also as we go forward and try to strengthen our Nation's overall preparedness capacity. Thank you.

[The information follows:]

****** COMMITTEE INSERT ******

Mr. DEAL. Thank you, Dr. Gerberding. And I will begin the questions as this point. Before the hearing today, you and I had an opportunity to talk briefly about an issue that is of concern, I think, to all of us. We've heard it surface in several of the opening statements here today. And that is with regard to volunteer professionals, doctors, nurses, et cetera, from outside the affected region and their ability, or inability, as the case may be, to access and be able to be of service in the affected area. You outlined for me the program that is in place and the procedure for certification and verifying that. Would you be kind enough to do that briefly right now?

Ms. GERBERDING. Sure. I'll be happy to give a summary and provide additional background on that as we go forward. The overall health care service delivery in the context of preparedness is a modular program that relies on the commissioned corps of the public health service which has been engaged and relies on the national medical disaster system, which are teams of people from the civilian population who move into an area as a unit with the equipment and the materials necessary. Those are the people who, for example, worked out of the New Orleans Airport to support the evacuation efforts. Then there's an augmentation. We have a reserve corps in the commissioned corps of the public health service.

PAGE 59

HIF265.140

1407

1408

1409

1410

1411

1412

1413

1414

1415

1416

1417

1418

1419

1420

1421

1422

1423

1424

1425

1426

1427

1428

1429

1430

1431

And importantly, in all of this are the health care personnel in the affected regions. They are providing the vast majority of the care. Beyond that, if the need is larger than those people can provide and importantly in this context, sustain, it is possible for voluntary health care workers to be temporarily licensed in the affected States. And that can happen by providing them status as temporary Federal employees. If you're a Federal employee, your license can apply in any jurisdiction in which you're working as a Federal employee providing medical services as long as it's within the scope of your license. Credentialling that is something that has happened. We've had more than 30,000 people volunteer. The credentialling is in progress for those people. And depending on the decisions by governors and the involved health officials in the State we can federalize volunteers. What we don't want is for people to flood in a discoordinated way because then we end up having health care workers doing everything they can to help, but we don't have a comprehensive approach, leadership, management, supplies and communication that really allows us to take the best advantage of this volunteerism. So, it's an important component. And I know it's hard

So, it's an important component. And I know it's hard sometimes for people who really want to help to feel that their help is not being accepted. Believe me, there will be opportunities to help, and I think we can anticipate this

volunteerism in the future and do a better job of planning for it ahead of time so that the step of credentialling is happening in advance, and perhaps people could be trained and offered the opportunity to prepare before they're actually requested to serve.

Mr. DEAL. Well, I thank you for that. And I would simply echo that last comment, that I think in light of what we learn here is that there are many people willing to help and willing to volunteer. And if we make the information available to them so that we can get the credentialling done in advance of a disaster, I would encourage movement in that direction, and I think this will make everyone more aware of the fact that there is a process, because as I understand it, licensure and credentialling carries with it the Federal Government giving protection from a liability standpoint and obviously, you do need to have some degree of say-so about who you extend that protection to. But I would hope that we would see that effort of credentialling continue and expand greatly.

Let me go to another subject. And the CDC Foundation that works in conjunction with the CDC, would you explain briefly what that foundation does and how it augments what you do at the CDC, and what has that foundation done in conjunction with Hurricane Katrina?

Ms. GERBERDING. Thank you. The CDC Foundation is a

Congressionally-authorized nonprofit foundation that exists to help CDC do more and do it faster. Beginning with the World Trade Center attacks, the Foundation has taken a special interest in supporting and augmenting our preparedness and response capabilities by creating special funds that allow us to make resources available at the front line. So in the context of Hurricane Katrina, we've had remarkable contributions from several foundations and individuals across the country that have allowed us to do things like provide housing for the public health workers in the city of New Orleans who wanted to work but couldn't afford to pay a hotel bill for their stay, provided laptops for front line people, eventually they will be able to have some of these services, but they need them right now and we don't have to go through the government procurement process.

The Foundation can put those tools in the hands of people on the front lines. It's been absolutely important. We've used it do get vaccine supplies in places where we needed to make an immediate buy and a number of other things that really have solved problems for the front line public health officials without having to go through a lot of red tape. So it's been a wonderful, wonderful support for all of us.

Mr. DEAL. And you multiply the dollars that the Federal contribution is. You multiply them many times over by the

contributions from the private sector.

1482

1483

1484

1485

1486

1487

1488

1489

1490

1491

1492

1493

1494

1495

1496

1497

1498

1499

1500

1501

1502

1503

1504

1505

1506

Ms. GERBERDING. Oh, absolutely. Absolutely. The Federal Contribution Foundation is very small compared to their overall ability to help.

Mr. DEAL. Thank you. Mr. Brown is recognized for questions.

Mr. BROWN. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And welcome again, Dr. Gerberding, and thank you, Chairman Barton, and Chairman Deal for putting together this hearing. In the general sea of Federal incompetence that we saw in New Orleans and along the Gulf Coast the CDC really stood out as an agency that represents what the Federal Government should be, and we thank you for that. I think people on this subcommittee, or on the Health Subcommittee, and I think Mr. Stupak and Mr. Whitfield's subcommittee also are not surprised at the effort that the CDC's good work, not just in response to Katrina, but generally because most of us, I think probably on the subcommittee, have visited Atlanta and seen the CDC and seen the professional way that you carry your work out and not just you, but your entire top staff and mid-level and rank and file workers, and I think that's a lesson to us that when you hire competent professional people to run agencies, it means that those agencies carry out their work in competent professional manners. And that's something that we should remember whether, whenever we would both judge and evaluate