939 Ellis Street San Francisco, California 94109 415-771-6000

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 5, 2004

NO HEARINGS SCHEDULED

939 Ellis Street San Francisco, California 94109 415-771-6000

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 12, 2004

HOLIDAY - NO HEARINGS SCHEDULED

939 Ellis Street San Francisco, California 94109 415-771-6000

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 19, 2004

NO HEARINGS SCHEDULED

939 Ellis Street San Francisco, California 94109 415-771-6000

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 26, 2004

9:00 – 9:30 Hearing Board Conference

(In Room 716; open meeting)

- Review draft Orders and provide direction to Hearing Board member overseeing the Order
- Review status reports from parties and report on Emergency Variances
- Review and update on Hearing Board Procedures

9:30 Public Comment Period

Public Comment on Non-Calendared Items, Pursuant to Government Code Section 54954.3 Members of the public are afforded the opportunity to testify on any Docket on the Calendar. All Calendars for hearings are posted at the District headquarters, 939 Ellis Street, San Francisco, CA, at least 72 hours in advance of the hearings. At the beginning of the hearings on the calendared Dockets, an opportunity is also provided for the public to speak on items of interest to the public that are within the Hearing Board's subject matter jurisdiction currently not calendared. Speakers will be limited to three (3) minutes each.

9:35 DOCKET NO. 3451

CHEVRON U.S.A. INC. (RICHMOND)

Appeal of Chevron U.S.A. Inc., From the Issuance of a Major Facility Review Permit for Facility No. A0010 (Richmond Refinery) – *Pro Forma Hearing*

John T. Hansen, Pillsbury Winthrop LLP, Counsel for Appellant Adan Schwartz, Counsel for the District/APCO

Case Status:

AND

DOCKET NO. 3443

CHEVRON PRODUCTS COMPANY (RICHMOND)

Application for a Variance from Regulation 2, Rule 6, Section 307; Regulation 2, Rule 1, Section 307; Manual of Procedures, Vol. II, Part 3, § 4.11; and Standard Condition 1.B.2 of the Richmond Refinery's Major Facility Review Permit (Insofar as the Foregoing are Applicable to Specified Conditions of the Richmond Refinery's Major Facility Review Permit) – *Pro Forma Hearing*

John T. Hansen, Pillsbury Winthrop LLP, Counsel for Applicant Adan Schwartz, Counsel for the District/APCO

Case Status:

2/26/04 CALENDAR CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 26, 2004 (Continued)

9:35 DOCKET NO. 3453

VALERO REFINING COMPANY - CALIFORNIA (BENICIA)

Appeal of Valero Refining Company – California From the Issuance to Valero Refining Company – California of a Major Facility Review Permit for Facility No. A0901 (Benicia Asphalt Plant) – *Pro Forma Hearing*

> John T. Hansen, Pillsbury Winthrop LLP, Counsel for Appellant Adan Schwartz, Counsel for the District/APCO

Case Status:

AND

DOCKET NO. 3445

VALERO REFINING COMPANY – CALIFORNIA (BENICIA)

Application for a Variance from Regulation 2, Rule 6, Section 307; Regulation 2, Rule 1, Section 307; Manual of Procedures, Vol. II, Part 3, § 4.11; and Standard Condition 1.B.2 of the Benicia Asphalt Plant's Major Facility Review Permit (Insofar as the Foregoing are Applicable to Specified Conditions of the Benicia Asphalt Plant's Major Facility Review Permit) – *Pro Forma Hearing*

John T. Hansen, Pillsbury Winthrop LLP, Counsel for Applicant Adan Schwartz, Counsel for the District/APCO

Case Status:

DOCKET NO. 3454

VALERO REFINING COMPANY – CALIFORNIA (BENICIA) Appeal of Valero Refining Company – California From the Issuance to Valero Refining Company – California of a Major Facility Review Permit for Facility No. B2626 (Valero Benicia Refinery) – *Pro Forma Hearing*

> John T. Hansen, Pillsbury Winthrop LLP, Counsel for Appellant Adan Schwartz, Counsel for the District/APCO

Case Status:

<u>AND</u>

DOCKET NO. 3444

VALERO REFINING COMPANY – CALIFORNIA (BENICIA)

Application for a Variance from Regulation 2, Rule 6, Section 307; Regulation 2, Rule 1, Section 307; Manual of Procedures, Vol. II, Part 3, § 4.11; and Standard Condition 1.B.2 of the Benicia Refinery's Major Facility Review Permit (Insofar as the Foregoing are Applicable to Specified Conditions of the Benicia Refinery's Major Facility Review Permit) – *Pro Forma Hearing*

John T. Hansen, Pillsbury Winthrop LLP, Counsel for Applicant Adan Schwartz, Counsel for the District/APCO

Case Status:

2/26/04 CALENDAR CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 26, 2004 (Continued)

9:35 DOCKET NO. 3455 TESORO REFINING AND MARKETING COMPANY (MARTINEZ) Appeal of Tesoro Refining and Marketing Company, Golden Eagle Refinery (Facility No. B2758) and Amorco Terminal (Facility No. B2759) from Final Title V Permit to Operate -Pro Forma Hearing Michael S. McDonough, Bingham McCutchen LLP, Counsel for Appellant Adan Schwartz, Counsel for the District/APCO Case Status: DOCKET NO. 3459 TESORO REFINING AND MARKETING COMPANY (MARTINEZ) Application for a Short-Term Variance from Regulation 8, Rule 18, Sections 305 and 306.2 (Volatile Organic Compound Emissions) Bruce C. Paltenghi, Gordon, DeFraga, Watrous & Pezzaglia, Counsel for Applicant Alexander Crockett, Counsel for the District/APCO **Case Status:** *Immediately* Hearing Board Conference Following Hearing (In Room 716; open meeting) Review draft Orders and provide direction to Hearing Board member overseeing the

- Review status reports from parties and report on Emergency Variances
- Review and update on Hearing Board Procedures

Order

CONTACT CLERK OF THE BOARDS – 939 ELLIS STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94109 PHONE: (415) 749-4965 FAX: (415) 928-8560 BAAQMD Homepage: <u>www.baaqmd.gov</u>

• To request special accommodations for those persons with disabilities notification to the Clerk's Office should be given within three working days of the hearing so that arrangements can be made accordingly.

NA:na 1/30/04 (file:P/library/calendar/hbcalend)