

**King County
Stormwater Management Program
2004 Annual Report
Fiscal Analysis**

Watershed Management	2001 Adopted Budget		2001 Actuals		2002 Adopted Budget		2002 Actuals		2003 Adopted Budget		2003 Actuals		2004 Adopted Budget	
	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$
Green (1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cedar (1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sammamish (1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Snoqualmie (1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Puget Sound (1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ESA/Watershed Programs (1)	26.00	2,824,998	18.00	3,246,410	29.25	3,136,829	25.00	2,410,487	19.25	2,419,147	16.50	1,747,244	18.50	1,228,136
ILA-ESA (1)	12.50	844,437	8.50	1,175,910	12.50	1,018,274	11.00	921,799	13.00	970,729	13.00	917,087	15.00	1,153,775
KCD Supported Watershed (2)	-	-	-	-	-	522,283	-	259,270	-	660,413	-	738,971	-	463,095
Waterways 2000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lakes	2.33	395,461	1.13	327,486	2.80	244,042	3.00	347,396	4.00	379,004	4.00	374,735	4.00	406,899
Other (Groundwater)	5.32	664,075	2.16	400,510	4.00	204,403	3.00	265,269	3.25	439,667	3.25	288,062	2.75	304,970
Total	46.15	4,728,971	29.79	5,150,316	48.55	5,125,831	42.00	4,204,221	39.50	4,868,960	36.75	4,066,099	40.25	3,556,875

- (1) In 1999, the Cedar Watershed was combined with the Sammamish Watershed and Watershed Teams began to loan out time to Endangered Species Act (ESA) activities, resulting in lower expenditures than the adopted budgets. In 2000, all watershed staff and activities were rolled into the ESA cost center. About 1/3 of their time was devoted to watershed activities, the rest to ESA. In 2001, part of the ESA cost center was split off into Interlocal Agreements (ILA's.) Watershed and ESA activities are accounted for by WRIA and WRIA-ILA cost centers.
- (2) Watershed activities supported by King Conservation District revenues. Staff provided by other Watershed cost centers.

Capital Improvement Program	2001 Adopted Budget		2001 Actuals		2002 Adopted Budget		2002 Actuals		2003 Adopted Budget		2003 Actuals		2004 Adopted Budget	
	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$
CIP Projects (1)	46.20	3,924,716	36.60	5,611,179	44.60	3,572,770	39.85	5,152,403	44.64	7,925,108	46.60	7,198,009	53.00	6,332,590
CIP Debt Service (2)	-	3,200,801	-	3,253,829	-	3,290,294	-	3,259,237	-	1,742,956	-	1,759,210	-	1,953,503
Pay as you Go	-	850,000	-	850,000	-	1,994,845	-	1,634,845	-	1,709,845	-	1,901,547	-	2,311,591
Total	46.20	7,975,517	36.60	9,715,008	44.60	8,857,909	39.85	10,046,485	44.64	11,377,909	46.60	10,858,766	53.00	10,597,684

- (1) Adopted amounts are multi-year budgets. Expenditures relate to adopted amounts will appear in out years as actuals.
- (2) Based on 1992, 1996, 1999 and 2001 bond debt service needs. 1992 bonds were paid off in 2002.

Operations & Maintenance	2001 Adopted Budget		2001 Preliminary Actuals		2002 Adopted Budget		2002 Actuals		2003 Adopted Budget		2003 Actuals		2004 Adopted Budget	
	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$
Roads	297.50	25,499,872	297.50	25,975,952	298.50	26,441,357	298.5	25,735,184	295.5	26,961,592	295.50	26,389,455	289.50	27,893,771
SWM	5.62	1,556,117	4.92	1,881,190	5.50	1,591,761	4.88	1,782,517	7.33	2,253,780	8.02	2,342,509	8.58	2,371,453
Total	303.12	27,055,989	302.42	27,857,142	304.00	28,033,118	303.38	27,517,701	302.83	29,215,372	303.52	28,731,964	298.08	30,265,224

**King County
Stormwater Management Program
2004 Annual Report
Fiscal Analysis**

Regulations	2001 Adopted Budget		2001 Preliminary Actuals		2002 Adopted Budget		2002 Actuals		2003 Adopted Budget		2003 Actuals		2004 Adopted Budget	
	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$
Source Control-Water Quality Investigations	1.59	135,871	2.12	220,936	1.67	278,912	2.37	217,434	1.60	300,329	4.14	573,308	4.62	647,990
Design Manual-Drainage-Erosion Control-SWM	4.43	433,738	4.94	523,834	4.21	679,057	6.18	553,621	5.94	652,367	3.89	623,187	4.53	518,104
Design Manual-Drainage-Erosion Control-DDES	19.70	3,265,536	19.70	3,265,536	18.70	3,102,259	18.00	2,986,203	18.00	3,135,438	17.00	2,978,661	17.00	3,104,589
Total	25.72	3,835,145	26.76	4,010,306	24.58	4,060,228	26.55	3,757,258	25.54	4,088,134	25.03	4,175,156	26.15	4,270,683

Public Involvement & Education	2001 Adopted Budget		2001 Actuals		2002 Adopted Budget		2002 Actuals		2003 Adopted Budget		2003 Actuals		2004 Adopted Budget	
	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$
Water & Land Resources Div. (1)	19.83	1,781,331	11.83	776,802	7.83	615,371	7.12	561,968	9.85	836,391	9.50	750,407	11.62	1,018,906
Hazardous Waste Program (2)	21.00	3,791,353	21.23	3,242,517	21.00	4,068,686	21.00	3,558,600	21.00	3,835,500	21.00	3,488,700	21.00	3,790,500
Total	40.83	5,572,684	33.06	4,019,319	28.83	4,684,057	28.12	4,120,568	30.85	4,671,891	30.50	4,239,107	32.62	4,809,406

(1) 1997-2001 represents consolidated King County and Metro staff serving more than unincorporated King County. 1999 and 2000 Actuals and following years reflect reorganization, moving staff to other divisions.

(2) This program is funded by and serves all jurisdictions in King County. The FTE and expenditures presently reflect 30 percent of the total program cost (which is the percent of King County population in unincorporated areas).

Monitoring & Evaluation	2001 Adopted Budget		2001 Actuals		2002 Adopted Budget		2002 Actuals		2003 Adopted Budget		2003 Actuals		2004 Adopted Budget	
	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$
BMEP (1)	1.69	149,360	1.05	180,781	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CIP (2)	2.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UW Coordination	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	4.19	149,360	1.05	180,781	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0

(1) Basin Management Evaluation Program - These activities are included under the Watershed Management section in 2002.

(2) CIP Monitoring - Expenditures for staff time are included in the CIP construction and design budget.

Operating subtotal	420.01	41,342,149	393.08	41,217,864	405.96	41,903,234	400.05	39,599,748	398.72	42,844,357	395.80	41,212,326	397.10	42,902,188
Grand Total	466.21	49,317,666	429.68	50,932,872	450.56	50,761,143	439.90	49,646,233	443.36	54,222,266	442.40	52,071,092	450.10	53,499,872