UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

+ + + + +

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

+ + + + +

USE OF COLOR ON PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCT

LABELS, LABELING AND PACKAGING

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

MONDAY,

MARCH 7, 2005

+ + + + +

The public hearing was held at 8:00 a.m. in the Auditorium of the Lister Hill Center, Building 38A, National Institutes of Health Campus, Bethesda, Maryland, Dr. Paul Seligman, presiding.

PRESENT:

MARY BAKER, Pharm.D. Hospira, Inc.

JAMES BROSELOW Catawba County Medical

Center, Hickory, NC

MICHAEL COHEN Institute for Safe

Medication Practices

JOSEPH CRANSTON American Medical

Association

ALLAN JENSEN, M.D. American Academy of

Ophthalmology

FRANK KYLE American Dental

Association

MARY ANN McELLIGOTT, Ph.D. Novo Nordisk

CHARLES MYERS American Society of

Health Systems

ERIC SHEININ, Ph.D. United States

Pharmacopeia

THOMAS WILLER, Ph.D. Hospira, Inc.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 FDA REPRESENTATIVES:
PAUL SELIGMAN, M.D. Presiding Officer
PETER CARSTENSEN
WILEY CHAMBERS, M.D.
CDR CAROL HOLQUIST, R.Ph.
ROBERT MEYER, M.D.

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

Dr. Paul Seligman - Welcome	4
CDR. Carol Holquist - Use of Color on Pharmaceutical Labeling and Packaging - an Overview	7
Dr. Charles Myers - American Society of Health Systems Pharmacists	10
Dr. Thomas Willer - Hospira, Inc.	20
Dr. Mary Baker - Hospira, Inc.	22
Dr. Eric Sheinin - United States Pharmacopeia	37
Dr. Michael R. Cohen - Institute for Safe Medication Practices	53
Dr. Mary Ann McElligott - Novo Nordisk	107
Dr. Joseph Cranston - American Medical Association	125
Dr. Frank Kyle - American Dental Association	134
Dr. Allan Jensen - American Academy of Ophthalmology	142
Dr. James Broselow	153

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 8:06 a.m. 2 Good morning. 3 DR. SELIGMAN: Welcome to FDA Part 15 hearing on the use of color 4 5 pharmaceutical labeling and packaging. The objectives of today's hearing are to 6 obtain public feedback regarding the advantages and 7 8 disadvantages of using color to differentiate, identify, or classify drug products. 9 10 And to hear whether there are specific 11 data to demonstrate whether the practice works 12 reducing medication or contributes to errors medication errors. 13 And finally to ascertain whether the use 14 15 of color within certain classes of drugs improves or 16 is a hindrance to patient safety. Today we're going to have a panel of FDA 17 experts who will be up here at the front as well as in 18 the front row. And I'd like to introduce them briefly 19 2.0 and then to talk about the ground rules for today's 21 Part 15 hearing. First of all, the members of the panel are 22 23

First of all, the members of the panel are going to be Dr. Robert Meyer, who is the Director of the Office of Drug Evaluation II in CDER. And he'll be joining us shortly, Dr. Wiley Chambers, who is the

24

Deputy Director of the Division of Anti-Inflammatory, Analgesic, and Ophthalmologic Drug Products in CDER, Dr. Peter Carstensen, who is the Seniors Systems Engineer in the Division of Device Users Programs and Systems Analysis in the Center for Devices and Radiological Health, CDR. Carol Holquist, who is the Director of the Division of Medication Errors of Technical Support in the Center for Drugs, Drug Evaluation, and Research, and finally myself, Paul Seligman, and I'm the Director of the Office of Pharmacoepidemiology and Statistical Science, also in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research.

Each speaker will be allocated a specified period of time. FDA panel members will question each speaker individually after each presentation. Please keep your talk focused on the hearing questions and limit your remarks to the time assigned.

The ground rules for a Part 15 hearing include the following:

The hearing is informal. The Rules of Evidence do not apply. No participant may interrupt the presentation of another participant. Only the presiding officer, namely myself, and the panel members may question any person during or at the conclusion of each presentation.

And public hearings under Part subject to FDA's policy and procedures for electronic FDA's public administrative media coverage of proceedings. Representatives of the electronic media may be permitted, subject to certain limitations, to videotape, film, or otherwise record FDA's public administrative proceedings, including presentations by the participants. Ths meeting will be transcribed and posted on the Internet within 30 days. accepting written comments to the FDA's Division of

We will also be Dockets Management until April the 7th.

Finally, as we are guests of the National Library of Medicine's Lister Hill Center, there are a few housekeeping announcements that I want to make you aware of. First of all, no food or drink or beverages of any kind are allowed in the auditorium. have them at present, please remove them.

Please set your pagers and cell phones to vibrate.

To activate the audience microphone if you should need it, there's a button in front of the microphone and a red light will come on.

And finally, the message desk phone number here is 301-496-4062 if you need it.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

With that and without -- if there aren't any other questions or issues related to the beginning of this meeting, I'd like then to introduce CDR. Carol the Director of the Division of Holquist who is Medication Errors and Technical Support. And she will provide us an overview of the issue and present the questions posed in the January 28th as Register announcement of the meeting. Thank you. Carol? CDR. HOLQUIST: Good morning. It is my pleasure to present an overview of the issues

associated with the use of color on pharmaceutical labeling and packaging.

Over the years, several different color techniques have been used on device and pharmaceutical labels, labeling, and packaging to help identify, classify, or differentiate drug products and their respective strengths.

These color techniques can be briefly described as follows:

Color matching, the application of color in order to match one item with another. This is a color differentiation technique used with medical devices.

Color differentiation, this technique can

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

be described as the use of color to enhance certain features on the label, labeling, and packaging to help distinguish or differentiate one item or product strength from another.

Color coding, this technique is described as a systematic application of color to aid in identifying, differentiating, or classifying a drug product generally within the same pharmacological class.

Color branding, this technique is similar to color coding. It has been described as a technique used to differentiate drug products within the same pharmacological class. However, a single sponsor manages the color.

As I stated earlier, a number of drug and device manufacturers already employ color in an effort to point out differences and to facilitate the selection and dispensing of medication and devices. Some examples include ophthalmologic, dental, anesthetic, and most recently, insulin drug products.

Many individual groups endorse the use of color this way. Color use in such select environments as the operating room and dental suite identification provide quick specific of pharmacological class by the color alone. Some state

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 it is easier for their patients to remember the color of their drug product rather than the name. 2 Many patient safety and advocate groups do 3 not support the use of color in this way and say the 4 5 practice contributes to medication errors. Applying color across a pharmacological class of products may 6 contribute to the similar appearance of drug products 7 and lead to selection of the wrong drug. 8 We will hear from a number of speakers 9 10 today who should address the following questions: How and under what circumstances has the 11 12 use of color pharmaceutical packaging and/or on 13 labeling proven an improvement in patient care? Is there no discernable improvement? 14 15 What are the deficiencies in the program? Are there specific classes of drugs where 16 use of color has demonstrated value? 17 Are there classes where use of color is a 18 hindrance to public safety? 19 20 Are there drug products currently marketed that do not use color that should use color to aid in 21 22 identification of the drug? If so, how should color 23 be used? effectiveness should the 24 How of 25 application products of color on druq be

1 scientifically validated? We are eager to hear from each presenter 2 3 We plan to assess the data presented and today. carefully consider the next steps with regard to 4 5 appropriate applicability of color on pharmaceutical labeling and packaging. 6 7 Thank you. 8 DR. SELIGMAN: Thank you, Carol. Let me introduce then the first speaker of 9 10 today. He is Mr. Charles Myers of the American 11 Society of Health-System Pharmacists. 12 Mr. Myers? Do you have any slides? No? 13 Okay. 14 MR. MYERS: No, I'm doing this without 15 slides so no musical accompaniment. 16 Good morning to all of you. I am Charles 17 I am a staff member of the American Society of Health-System Pharmacists. Those are pharmacists who 18 19 practice in places like hospitals, organized settings 20 like home care operations, HMO clinics, and other outpatient clinics, in long-term care facilities. 21 22 These are the pharmacists that are 23 daily basis for not responsible on а only the

logistics of drug use within those settings but also

advising the medical

responsible

for

24

25

staff

and

collaborating with the medical staff in terms of the therapeutic drug use policies that will exist in those facilities and in safeguarding the medication use process.

We would like to commend FDA for seeking public comments on this important issue. This is an issue on which our members have given considerable thought. I will tell you the punch line ahead of time and that is that our members think that color coding is not a good idea.

Most of my comments will address color coding. Our members have developed formal policy for ASHP about this matter and paraphrased, the policy that our members have developed for themselves and for our society is ASHP supports the reading of drug labels the most important product as means of identifying drug products and opposes reliance color by health professionals and others to identify drug products.

You can back into the concept there that color coding then is not something that our members support because they believe that relying on color as a means to identify a product is not a good idea.

Let me ask you to think for a moment about a typical hospital. It could be, however, a home care

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

operation or a long-term care facility if you prefer.

In terms of medication use, these are incredibly complex environments. There are so many drugs.

Literally there a thousands of drugs that will be used in a given hospital every day.

There are so many of those drugs. There many strengths and concentrations, so many routes of administration, so many rates of of administration, and only a few correct rates administration.

There are so many ways that drugs could be categorized. We know, for example, that they could be categorized by pharmacologic class.

Antihypertensives, anti-arrhythmics, glucogenic drugs, neuromuscular blocking agents, narcotics, pediatrics dosage forms and strengths, emergency medications, ophthalmologics, sterile and non-sterile products, internal and external products.

So we have great variety in the types of drugs that exist and the types of things that colors could be assigned to mean. And therein lies a potential problem. The matter of classification could become immensely complicated depending on potential conflicts and drugs that have multiple characteristics.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

For example, if a green label meant a drug was an antihypertensive and a red label meant that it was an anti-arrhythmic, how would a beta blocker drug that can be used for both purposes be labeled? How could colors be assigned in the case of combination products?

In hospitals and health systems, there are so many care providers to educate about medication There are prescribers of all sorts, uses and hazards. including specialists and nonspecialists, some employed on the premises, and some with only occasional contact with the facility through admission of their patients.

There are pharmacists, of course. There are nurses with varying levels of education and There are respiratory therapists, dialysis training. emergency department staff, physician staff, assistance, and students and, of course, trainees of all sorts in each of those disciplines. All these people would need to understand any color code schema developed.

There are also many potential colors and so many places and media on which the colors would have to be consistently applied. Paper labels, cardboard cartons, glass ampules, plastic syringes.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

There are so many manufacturers of the same or similar drug products. And product packages and appearances already change frequently, creating problems enough.

Color coding would be promptly destroyed unless colors were standardized. And yet in the face of all this complexity, there is little objective evidence about the safety effects of color coding in widespread use. And there are so many patients at risk.

Pharmacists in hospitals and health systems live in that complex world. And much of what they do is designed to ensure safety in the face of that complexity. To them, the issues of color coding and color in drug products are not new issues. They have thought this through.

And their short summary for you is this, color is a great differentiator. Actions to make different drug products stand out from one another are commendable and color can be very useful for that purpose. However, the safety of using color to transmit information, in other words, using color has a code, has not be sufficiently proven in the complex world of hospitals and health systems.

Those pharmacists believe that inducing even a partial reliance on color as a code to identify

drugs or to denote specific aspects of drugs is a seriously bad idea until there is clear evidence to support such a practice.

Hospital and health system pharmacists are aware that in some limited cadres of practitioners, for example in ophthalmology and anesthesiology, there has been some experimentation with color coding. Health system pharmacists urge us all to understand that there are some crucial differences about those experiences compared to other more widespread use of drugs.

In those limited cadres, the number of drug products involved is small and the number of practitioners who have to be informed about the code meanings of colors is also small. Therefore, the transferability of their experiences to the larger world of the thousands of drug products and the hundreds of thousands of caregivers who have to understand the color meanings may not be sound.

We need evidence about the merits or dangers of color coding on a wide scale. FDA should insist upon that in large scale real world experience before endorsing color coding for anything other than limited cadres.

Further, we believe that objective

evidence about the safety of color coding even in limited cadres should be sought through qualified researches in the human factors discipline.

If there are places in the world where color coding already is practiced on a large scale for drug products, those may be useful research arenas for assessing the safety impact of color coding.

Ultimately, we urge as well a commonsense, pragmatic distinction between whether color coding is feasible versus whether it is wise based on the evidence. Everything is technically feasible theoretically at a cost.

If sound research indicates that wide scale color coding enhances safety, then as a nation, we should adopt it even if it is difficult to achieve. However, if the evidence turns out to be equivocal, and we believe that the evidence is equivocal at this point, then some commonsense thought must be given to whether we should invest in color coding.

We believe FDA has a social responsibility to consider what resources it would require to administer a color code schema on an ongoing basis. It seems logical to assume that legally assigned and protected colors would also launch inevitable litigated disputes. We believe the societal necessity

especially if the evidence about safety turns out to 2 3 be equivocal. Federal Register notice 4 this 5 discussion made some useful distinctions between color coding and some other aspects of using color. As I 6 have said, health system pharmacists believe that 7 8 color differentiation is useful. Color branding is a new term which we interpret as a type of color 9 10 differentiation, not color coding. 11 Color matching is not a concept in which 12 health system pharmacists have developed formal 13 policy. Importantly and commendably, however, 14 appears that color matching would not require color 15 coding so it is likely that health system pharmacists would find color matching useful. 16 Again, we commend the Agency for seeking 17 18 comments on this important matter. Thank you. DR. SELIGMAN: Do you have any questions 19 20 from members of the panel for Mr. Myers? Wiley, do 21 you want to come up to the microphone? 22 DR. CHAMBERS: Wiley Chambers. You 23 mentioned that you would like to see proof before a color coding system was put into place. 24 25 given thought to what you would view as success in

and the wisdom of that expense should be considered,

1 such a system before you would recommend its use? It's a reasonable question, 2 MR. MYERS: 3 And human factors researchers would be obviously. careful to define success we are certain. We believe 4 5 that ultimately the rate of errors would be the defining determinant for success or not success. 6 7 DR. CHAMBERS: Thank you. 8 DR. SELIGMAN: Any other questions from the members of the panel? Carol? 9 10 CDR. HOLQUIST: You said your position 11 overall is that color coding is not a good idea. 12 you describe how you guys came to that conclusion? Is 13 it because of the errors that were reported amongst 14 these agencies? Or, I mean within the hospital and 15 retail as well? Or just hospitals? 16 MR. MYERS: Given the world of complexity 17 in which our members practice and seeing the many close calls with medications that have been confused 18 for whatever reasons and seeing occasional attempts, 19 20 even at a local basis, for someone to decide that 21 certain colors should mean certain things and then 22 seeing errors happen, and we can find the errors 23 documented within the USP's MEDMARX system and other places, given those realities, our 24 members

skittish.

1	And they are simply saying let's be
2	careful about this. We ought to be able to find out
3	the truth. When we know the truth, we ought to be
4	able to do the right thing. Until we know for sure,
5	let's do the safe thing. And let's ask people to read
6	labels and not ask them to rely on something other
7	than reading.
8	DR. CHAMBERS: Wiley Chambers again. Do
9	you think there are any differences between within the
LO	hospital as opposed to as a general retail that would
L1	effect your opinion on this issue?
L2	MR. MYERS: There probably are some
L3	differences. Certainly within hospital environments,
L4	there are many, many practitioners who will handle a
L5	drug product. In the case of a retail practice, you
L6	may be dealing with number one, the prescriber, and
L7	number two, the pharmacists, and number three, the
L8	patient. That's a fairly limited subset of people.
L9	Hospitals are a very much more complex
20	environment. So yes, there might be some differences.
21	DR. SELIGMAN: Mr. Myers, thank you very
22	much.
23	MR. MYERS: Thank you.
24	DR. SELIGMAN: Carol? Carol?
25	Our next speakers, I guess, are Dr. Mary

Baker and Dr. Thomas Willer from Hospira, Inc. Please.

DR. WILLER: First, I'm delighted to be here this morning. On behalf of Dr. Mary Baker and myself, Tom Willer, we'd like to present Hospira's position on the use of color in labeling. By the way, those who don't know us, Hospira was previously part of Abbott Laboratories and became an independent company in 2004.

In essence, we oppose the use of color coding for points listed on this slide. We note the limited number of colors. Lighting and proximity really have an effect on readability of labeling. We also believe, as the previous speaker, that color coding potentially or color use potentially discourages the reading of labels. And, again, that's a major issue.

The choice of the drug based on cap or label color, again, is an issue in color coding that presents some issues. Also, we believe that looking at color sort of makes you mentally a little lazy and you don't read it as you should.

The slide presents sort of the normal limits of color, at least for us, on a flip-top vial for injectable products. Please note the shades of

color -- perhaps it does show up okay. Please note the shades of color and try to identify the number of distinct colors that could be assigned to drug products.

If you look at this cornucopia of colors, if you will, maybe you could get by with blue, green, yellow, red, possibly white. I counted 34 individual cap colors on this. But how many could we really use to color code drug products?

Again, the Pantone guide that we use for labeling or label and colors is sort of the full range of colors that are open to us for printed labeling. And, again, it seems a limitless number of colors for the labels and, again, the question is how many usable different colors are available? And I think you come up with a small number that you'd like.

While Hospira opposes color coding, we strongly support the use of color on labeling. The use of color on labels should be used to highlight and enhance label information, as noted here, product name, drug concentration, and key warnings.

As noted here, Hospira is constantly updating our labeling per year to the tune of about in excess of 3,000 labels. They are changes to our carton, our container, our package insert, trays, and

1 corrugate labeling. So it's a very complex operation that we run. 2 As noted here, there are many stimuli for 3 4 us to change our labeling. Some we're acting in 5 response to letters from the Agency. Others changes in the reference list of drugs since many of our 6 7 products are generic products. Next, new product 8 labeling through ANDA submissions that we make. We also do a periodic review of labeling 9 10 systems or classes of drugs such as controlled drugs 11 or the Carpuject, which is a syringe system. 12 Abbott, and now Hospira, has been active in acquisition of products from other companies or 13 14 buying other companies. And that results in some 15 labeling standardization from the old company to ours. 16 And lastly, we react to complaints in the complaint 17 system. We've dealt with the need to consider all 18 19 of these label improvements, especially through a 20 group that we call Label Enhancement Committee. 21 like to let Dr. Mary Baker continue at this point. 22 Mary? 23 Thank you, Tom. DR. BAKER: I'm with Global Medical I'm Mary Baker. 24 25 Affairs. And I'd like to describe to you Hospira's efforts with label enhancement.

Hospira has about 130 drug products. Now we're talking all injectable drugs which constitutes over 600 list numbers, a list number being a different size, a different format, a Carpuject versus a fliptop vial, a tear-top vial, that sort of thing.

In 1992, our quality assurance group established a Label Enhancement Committee and we have met monthly ever since. The standing committee composition includes, medical, regulatory, label control, and product complaint, those two groups being part of our quality organization, and a very valuable part of our organization, the graphics group.

As Tom mentioned previously, we review complaints based on clinician complaint. Every single complaint that gets called into our product complaint group gets reviewed at the Label Enhancement meeting.

At the Label Enhancement meeting, our label editors provide color labeling to the Committee. If we can obtain competitor's labeling because sometimes let's say that the Hospira product looks like a product from another manufacturer, we attempt to obtain that. The Internet has made it quite nice to take a look at what the competition does because some will put their catalog on the Internet.

We also consider the storage area. Some hospitals store by brand. Some store by generic. Some store by both. It could be alphabetical in general distribution. We take into account whether this will be in a locked area such as in a controlled substances location or drug class because frequently in hospitals, you will have oncology products stored together or critical care products stored together as in a crash cart or PIXIS.

Taking a look at our labeling similarity complaints from 2000 to 2004, you will see a spike in the year 2001. That was based primarily on two products. Number one was the heparin Carpuject system. And the flip-top vial, which is FTV. That was based on a product called Nimbex which is now with Abbott Laboratories.

Nimbex had two issues. Number one, it went from a hexagonal-shaped vial to a round vial because of a change in the manufacturing location.

And there also were a number of complaints regarding the labeling.

What we did regarding the Nimbex is we did do a label enhancement on the actual label and what we also did insofar as the shape of the vial is that a stop sign was put on the product for, I believe, about

a six-month period to alert the clinician that the vial changed shape of the had because what anesthesiologists were doing is that they were reaching in back and grabbing the vial based on the shape of the vial. And they were grabbing by touch, not by reading the label.

And as you can see in the following year, the complaints have gone down. I also might want to add that the number of actual complaints is probably close to half this number because if somebody indicates that two of our products look alike, that generates two complaints, not one.

In the review process, if we feel that modification is required after the Committee reviews it, our graphics studio will put together a number of options for us to review, sometimes at the next meeting but usually they can get it done beforehand and we will decide which graphic to use.

Sometimes the clinician will complain about a product but the change has not made it into the general distribution. That is a common occurrence with products that are only made once or twice a year. That we will make the change but the product was not scheduled to be made for another six months.

If there is no modification required, the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

clinician is notified. And we will continue to monitor for other complaints.

We use a very low tech system in some cases to see where a product is going to be stored. We use what's called a story board. And we'll take a large piece of cardboard, we'll put our label, the other company's label, if it's available.

And we will take a look and say okay, if you were looking at this, would you see that there is a similarity? That's been very helpful in helping us to choose colors to enhance the label.

Here is an example. Here this product is being transitioned to Hospira. It shows three products, Ketorolac in 15-, 30-, and 60-milligram concentrations, using a different color background, the reverse out labeling, and we tested these among pharmacists and nurses that were in the groups, the medical groups, and it was decided that this would provide sufficient differentiation.

To the Agency's questions regarding the use of color, as the previous speaker indicated color is a useful attribute but it is not a substitute for reading the label. Color can enhance but will not replace the primary identifier, which is the label.

And for an example, I'm going to show you

our Carpuject syringe system cap color. This is how our current Carpuject product is being sold. It has a green cap color signifying a luer tip.

Previously, we marketed the product having different color caps on the end, which indicated the type of needle, or blunt cannula, or luer tip. What we found out when we went to the all luer tip, which is the green cap color, was that clinicians were purchasing the drugs based on the tip color.

So they would buy one particular product that had a blunt cannula. And they had another product that they would buy with the needle, which had a different color cap, and the philosophy was if I grab the one with the blue cap color, I know exactly what drug I have and I don't have to read the label.

Specific classes where color has effected public safety, we are not aware of major data that describes this. Drugs that do not use color that should use color, again, color is one attribute of the labeling. And the presentation of information is critical to accurately assess the identification of the product.

Scientifically validating the effectiveness of color on labeling, again rigorous market testing with different populations: color-

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

blind, those of us over 40, and other populations as well. We realized that some of these products are used in the home care setting with patients and caregivers who are not medically trained.

There would have to be a transition plan from the current to the revised labeling. And there would have to be substantial education going across multiple disciplinary groups, nursing, pharmacy, and physicians.

And the other thing that has to be assessed is what would be the potential for risk of increased medication errors if somebody is used for a product being red and now it is green, when do you get the product that is actually turning green. Again, the distribution system does not allow for a product to instantaneously hit the market. So it may be six months after the ruling goes into effect that a clinician will see a change in the label.

Thank you.

DR. SELIGMAN: Thank you.

Dr. Baker either within your suite of products or when comparing your products to other products, you presented some data showing that there were, you know, I think some frequency data showing the number of similarities or reports of similarities.

1	Do you know how often color may have contributed to
2	some of those confusions?
3	You noted, you know, the hexagonal shape
4	of a
5	DR. BAKER: Right.
6	DR. SELIGMAN: particular bottle. I'm
7	just curious as to knowing how often color may have
8	been mentioned as a possible source of some of the
9	problems.
10	DR. BAKER: Color is mentioned as a
11	frequent look-alike. We get complaints over a wide
12	variety of topics. As, for example, they will say
13	that our product will look like a competitive product.
14	A lot of this has to do with the cap
15	color. Tom showed the different cap colors and that
16	say for example, we might use the same cap color for
17	one of our electrolytes that some other company uses
18	for one of theirs. That's happened some fairly
19	regularly.
20	DR. SELIGMAN: What's the decision making
21	or the thought process that goes on in your
22	organization when it comes to the selection of colors
23	either for caps or for luer tips or other kinds of
24	products that you produce?
25	DR. BAKER: There are multiple

considerations. As mentioned previously, we do look at where the product is being stored. If we are aware that a product that has a very similar name or it is close in the alphabet to the product either by brand name or generic name, we will avoid that particular color family. And go to something that is totally opposite.

DR. SELIGMAN: Yes.

DR. WILLER: If I could add on one point on to that, one of the things we do since most of our products are generic drugs, we try to adopt the same color scheme as the innovator. So if the innovator labeling is green, we'll generally follow with a green color for our labeling.

However, we'll also try to use the same -a green cap color if we can. Because of limitations
on inventory and as I showed you, I think it was 47 or
whatever number of caps, we're not going to keep five
different shades of green. So we may just have green,
however that's termed. And the innovator may be
slightly darker or lighter than us. So we do try to
have a similarity.

So to some extent for the generic products that we have, they will be color similar to the innovator.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

2	do you use?
3	DR. BAKER: Quite a few. We do use the
4	Pantone. I can't we don't we do not limit
5	ourselves to any number of colors, no.
6	DR. WILLER: In terms of the Pantone list
7	which Mary brought I'm not sure if anybody has seen
8	this, but there's just literally thousands of shades.
9	And what we'll try to do is this is limitless to
10	us. But we've got to make sure that it is a
11	distinctive enough shade to use it.
12	In terms of the cap color, I think we're
13	far more limited we're in the range of 10 to 20
14	different cap colors.
15	DR. CHAMBERS: I guess more of what I was
16	asking was if you had taken the time to go through and
17	figure out just how many distinguishable colors you
18	had options to use?
19	DR. WILLER: We've not done that.
20	CDR. HOLQUIST: Wiley just asked my
21	question. But I have another question. Have you guys
22	experimented not using color at all? And how would
23	that effect the similarity in labeling? If you can't
24	if, you know, if the color wheel is so small?
25	DR. BAKER: When we acquired products from

DR. CHAMBERS: How many different colors

l	32
	Sanofi and the Carpuject line, they had all their
	products for the Carpujects had a green and blue
	stripe on the carton and they were black on white
	labeling.
	So where we have not experimented with it,
	it was an expression from clinicians that they did not
	like all the products having black on white labeling.

carton.

2.0

DR. WILLER: We do use black and white on many of our trays for a product. If we have 25 vials or ampules that we put into a tray, we print a black and white tray online during the production process. So that's the only time we would have black on white. But the actual color labels would still be on the individual products. So it's kind of a hybrid.

And a green and blue stripe on the front of the

DR. MEYER: I'm Dr. Meyer from the FDA.

Both you and the previous speaker I think made some very valid points about the downside of color coding.

But in terms of color differentiation where there is no coding, then the choice becomes somewhat extemporaneous, if you will. I mean it becomes the choice of the particular manufacturer.

I was curious when you're receiving complaints about your product looking alike another

manufacturer's --

DR. BAKER: Yes?

DR. MEYER: -- product rather than your own, is there any attempt to coordinate your response to what the other manufacturer is doing? I guess it appears me that they might be out doing the same thing.

And, you know, with various colors you might both be changing in ways that continue to promulgate the problem or the other thing is whether your changes, in fact, make you look less like Drug A but more like Drug C. So I'm wondering how you grapple with those kinds of issues.

DR. BAKER: We have spoken with other manufacturers with certain products. Sometimes we've changed. Sometimes they've changed. Sometimes neither one of us has changed. But when feasible, we have talked to other manufacturers.

DR. WILLER: Mary is sort of our resident wizard on the Label Review Committee, our Label Enhancement Committee. We are cognizant of where products we're guessing would be stored in a pharmacy, whether it's alphabetical or by company or by product grouping. So we try to think of those limitations when we pick a new color or we make a change in color.

1 DR. CHAMBERS: Wiley Chambers. You mentioned that at one point a vial was a hexagonal --2 3 DR. BAKER: Correct. 4 DR. CHAMBERS: -- shape. Have you seen 5 other configurations that -- well, let me back up. assumption was that clinicians found that useful to 6 have the differentiation. Was that what they were 7 8 reporting to you? 9 DR. BAKER: This was a product we had 10 purchased from another manufacturer. And the previous 11 manufacturer had it in a particular location where 12 they were able to manufacture a hexagonal vial. And we moved to our facility, which did not have that 13 14 particular capability. 15 But the clinician indicated that 16 selected the product based on touch because they could 17 reach in back, feel the hexagonal shape, and then 18 choose the product based on the shape of the vial. 19 DR. CHAMBERS: I quess part of what I'm 20 asking is if you had the option to remain with the 21 hexagonal shape, would you have done so? Did you find 22 that a good feature? It's one thing to say clinicians 23 are using it as a feature. But it may not be the only feature. It may be in addition to reading the label, 24

it may be a secondary safety factor. Or it may not.

1	DR. BAKER: Well, again, they're selecting
2	a product based on touch and not by reading the label.
3	So we, at that time and still don't have the option
4	to manufacture those particular types of vials.
5	Now what we did do is alert the clinician
6	via use of the stop sign so they would be alerted to
7	that particular fact that the vial shape had changed.
8	DR. WILLER: Just another example of that.
9	I submitted the fentanyl citrate product as an ANDA
10	in the mid-90s and that was also originally in a
11	hexagonal container. And the innovator selected that,
12	I'm sure, because it's a unique presentation. Also,
13	it's a special order glass. And normally you can't
14	get it unless you buy a special mold, et cetera.
15	So we and the other generic manufacturers
16	subsequently just switched to a more normal, standard,
17	round or circular vial or ampule. So we made no
18	effort to imitate the innovator in that instance.
19	DR. CHAMBERS: I guess I'd still like to
20	know if you had the option to go to the hexagonal,
21	would you? I understand you don't. But if you didn't
22	have that manufacturing limitation, do you think it
23	was a good idea?
24	DR. WILLER: I can't respond.
25	DR. SELIGMAN: In terms of color

1	differentiation, to what degree when you're producing
2	a label and looking at issues relating to readability
3	and legibility, do you test, you know, different
4	either colors or fonts or layout schemes?
5	And I guess my question really goes
6	towards the color, to the degree to which you look at
7	different colors in order to determine which ones
8	might, indeed, provide better differentiation in terms
9	of legibility and readability?
10	DR. BAKER: We actually have several
11	methods for testing. The color we have quite a number
12	of pharmacists and nurses within Hospira which we will
13	take it to them.
14	We will also take it to our customers and
15	say in your institution, which one would be most
16	suitable with the blue? With the green? Where do you
17	store it? Is this a product that is only stored in a
18	PIXIS machine? Is this a product that's only in the
19	central pharmacy? So we will take it out to
20	practitioners.
21	CDR. HOLQUIST: You mentioned that some of
22	your products were used in home health care.
23	DR. BAKER: Yes.
24	CDR. HOLQUIST: Do you ever go to the
25	patient level with those questions?

1	DR. BAKER: We have not at this time.
2	CDR. HOLQUIST: Do you think it would be
3	useful?
4	DR. BAKER: It may be. There's a fairly
5	limited number of products that go directly to the
6	patient.
7	DR. MEYER: If I heard you right, I
8	understand that at time you try to at least match the
9	general shade of the innovator product in terms of
10	choosing the color for your product. And I was
11	curious as to whether there are any instances you know
12	of where the innovator's color scheme has been
13	protected? Where it has prevented you from doing
14	that?
15	DR. BAKER: Not that I'm aware of.
16	DR. SELIGMAN: Any other questions from
17	the panel?
18	(No response.)
19	DR. SELIGMAN: If not, thank you both for
20	your presentation.
21	Our next presenter is Dr. Eric Sheinin
22	from the United States Pharmacopeia, USP. Dr.
23	Sheinin?
24	DR. SHEININ: Thank you very much. Dr.
25	Seligman and ladies and gentlemen, it's a pleasure to
- 1	

be here today to be able to talk about this very critical topic in terms of patient safety.

As USP has indicated in the past, we do not have a specific policy regarding the use of color coding on labeling and packaging of pharmaceutical products. However, the issue has been discussed and debated at various times by expert committees of our Council of Experts and by advisory panels to those committees.

The results have been consistent in that these experts acknowledge that there may be limited instances in which color coding may be helpful. Drugspecific color coding that spans the continuum of all drugs and dosage forms could be challenging and possibly increase medication errors rather than reduce them.

Pharmacopeia United States is nongovernmental organization that promotes the public health by establishing state-of-the-art standards to ensure the quality of medicines and other healthcare These legally enforceable standards of technologies. quality, strength, purity, packaging, labeling, storage, and nomenclature are developed by a unique public involvement and process of are worldwide.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Established in 1820, USP is a not-forprofit organization that achieves its goals through
the contribution of volunteers representing pharmacy,
medicine, nursing, and other healthcare professions as
well as academia, U.S. and other governments, the
pharmaceutical industry, and consumers organizations.

In addition to standards development,
USP's other public health programs focus on promoting

In addition to standards development, USP's other public health programs focus on promoting optimal healthcare delivery. USP's mission is to promote the public health through the safe use of medicines.

USP's Council of Experts generally has not supported color coding of pharmaceuticals for the following reasons.

Color coding can encourage healthcare professionals to rely solely on the color in selecting a product rather than actually reading the label to identify the product being administered.

There are only a limited number of colors in the spectrum. The shades of color would likely begin to look similar to many healthcare professionals, especially those who are color-blind to one degree or another.

Certain colors in poor lighting could begin to look like others, causing products to be

NEAL R. GROSS

confused.

As evidence of the potential for confusion, USP Medication Error Reporting Programs, MER and MEDMARX have received reports where color similarities in labeling and packaging have caused or contributed to medication errors.

For more than 35 years, USP has promoted the importance of collecting and sharing experiential data from healthcare professionals. USP currently operates two national reporting programs for medication errors, MER and MEDMARX.

USP Institute for Safe Medication Practice Medication Errors Reporting Program, or MER, is a voluntary, spontaneous reporting program for all healthcare professionals to report potential and actual medication errors.

As seen on this slide, some 9,000 reports have been received since 1991. There's an opportunity for interaction with the individual reporting the error. The information collected is shared with ISMP, FDA, and the pharmaceutical industry.

MEDMARX, an anonymous, Internet-based reporting system that has collected more than 840,000 medication error records is used to disseminate patient safety information, including recommendations

and practice standards, tips for consumers, annual reports, and articles published in peer-review journals.

Participating hospitals are able to review the data and determine how they measure against other hospitals in the program. Further, they also are able to track how widespread certain types of errors are throughout the nation.

The data presented today are from the MER Program, which has specific codes for errors related to color. Because there is no such code in MEDMARX, reports would require direct review and could be provided to the Agency at a later time if needed.

The USP Center for the Advancement of Patient Safety searched the MER database to measure the number of color coding errors over the time period of November 15th, 1994 through December 15th, 2004.

Three hundred and sixty medication errors related to color coding issues were reported during this ten year plus time frame. The reports were sorted according to the category index adopted by the National Coordinating Council for Medication Error Reporting and Prevention, which classifies an error according to the severity of the outcome.

Factors such as whether the error reached

the patient and if the patient was harmed, and if so to what degree, were taken into consideration when the index was developed. As seen on this slide, the categories can be grouped according to potential versus actual error and whether or not the patient was harmed.

Slightly over 50 percent of the reports were potential errors while the majority of actual errors caused no harm to the patient. However, 4.7 percent did cause harm, with four fatalities being reported.

Out of the 360 records, 50 indicated a mode or the phase of the medication use process where the error was initiated. The highest percentage of medication errors occurred in the dispensing mode. This is the phase in the medication use process where the medication is dispensed, normally by the pharmacist, to the patient or to a unit within the hospital.

Products involved in these harmful errors include the high alert drugs heparin, magnesium sulphate, and potassium chloride concentrate for injection.

This slide shows an example where there's two different strengths of Dopamine injection where

the color and labeling on the two packages are identical. This is one type of packaging where color coding would not add to the differentiation of the two strengths and thus would not, on its own, prevent an error from occurring.

On this slide, two examples that led to fatalities are listed as well as a third example of a serious error. In the first example, the patient died when nitrous oxide gas was administered instead of oxygen partly due to the inability of a technician to distinguish between the adaptor colors.

The flow meter's index safety oxygen system, designed to assure connection only to oxygen wall outlets, had been broken when inserted. Additionally, the technician had difficulty distinguishing between the blue nitrous oxide green oxygen adapter because the radiology suite where the medical gas was administered was dimly lit in preparation for a CT scan.

The patient died as the result of nitrous oxide poisoning.

A second patient died of heart failure as the result of a nurse flushing his IV line with potassium chloride instead of heparin. Both drugs were in the patient's medication drawer. The drugs

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

were in similar-sized vials with similarly colored labels.

In the third case, a patient was administered anesthesia for a surgical procedure. The anesthesiologist administered sufentanyl 75 micrograms by mistake instead of 75 micrograms fentanyl. The patient experienced a temporary respiratory arrest and his oxygen saturation decreased to 80 percent.

Narcan 0.2 milligrams was administered and respiration was supported. Surgery was cancelled and the patient returned to the nursing unit. The patient was eventually discharged with no problems and the surgery was rescheduled.

The anesthesia section had this concern regarding the possibility of being unable to distinguish the red labeling of fentanyl from the green labeling of sufentanyl secondary to the high prevalence of red-green color blindness in the male population.

While the idea that standardizing the appearance of labeling and packaging would reduce errors has merit, in reality it is untested. However, there may be some value to such an approach if it is applied across the market rather than across drug classes.

The concept of black and white labels for all prescription drugs also is worthy of discussion. At a 2003 USP-sponsored patient safety stakeholder forum, there was considerable discussion on the use of color coding. Ms. Diane Cousins, Vice President of the USP Center for the Advancement of Patient Safety, suggested the concept of black and white labels for all prescription drugs.

Black and white labels would include standard formats and placement of information similar to the format found on over-the-counter products.

Food products also have a standard format and placement. Black and white labels would create similarity among products that would force a patient or healthcare professional to read the label. Further, the information sought would be easier to locate in the standardized format as seen on the OTC and food products.

Anesthesiologists have used color coding by drug class for years in their practice. But this practice deals only with a limited number of products.

Considering the number of products in the marketplace, an array of distinctive colors would be next to impossible to achieve. Certain drugs within a class often have different characteristics,

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 pharmacologic and pharmaceutic, that could be harmful based on the clinical condition of the patient. 2 For example, substituting or selecting one 3 statin for another could result in the administration 4 5 of a higher dosing profile and lead to liver toxicity. In closing, USP supports the suggestion 6 that caution is needed regarding the use of color 7 8 coding for pharmaceutical products to prevent And if used, it should be on a medication errors. 9 10 case-by-case basis. 11 USP is very interested in working with 12 stakeholders to examine how changes in product 13 labeling, packaging, including colors, 14 nomenclature could have positive а impact on 15 medication error prevention. The 2005 UPS Convention will be held later 16 17 this other responsibilities, the week. Among will consider 18 convention members number of resolutions that will direct much of USP's activities 19 from 2005 to 2010. One of the resolutions that will 20 be considered relates to this issue. 21 22 If adopted by the convention, two of USP's 23 expert committees, which consist of scientific experts in drug packaging and medication error reduction, 24

along with our staff, would address this issue.

1 think you for the opportunity participate in this hearing and for your attention. 2 3 Thank you. 4 DR. SELIGMAN: Thank you, Dr. Sheinin. 5 Any questions from members of the panel? Carol? 6 7 CDR. HOLQUIST: You stated that in your 8 USP forum, that you proposed that black and white labels be used with a standard format. 9 What data was 10 used to support that recommendation? 11 DR. SHEININ: I'm not aware if there were 12 any data. No, there were none. It was just an idea, 13 a thought that as I indicated, would force people to 14 look at the labels. I mean personally, from what I 15 have seen through my career at FDA and now at USP, 16 many of the errors are caused because the dispenser or the administrator of the medication is too rushed and 17 18 just doesn't look at the label. They rely on other 19 things. 20 To me the solution is to train them you 21 have to look at the label and check it. I'm just 22 speaking for myself now. This is not USP. But 23 doesn't a nurse, when they come in, check the patient's band to make sure they have 24 the

Well, I think it is equally important to

patient?

check that they're administering the right drug.

DR. CHAMBERS: Of the medication errors that you mentioned that caused death, one of them was a difference between oxygen and nitrous oxide. And that was a plug in. I'm not sure -- unless you can help me -- where would you put a label in such a case?

DR. SHEININ: I guess there could be a label on the adapter. That situation obviously was complicated by the fact that the connector was broken. As you know, the medication gases, medical gases, when delivered, the connectors are designed so that only certain male fits a certain female. But it doesn't always work that way.

And I know you've heard and I've heard over the years of situations where something is broken or a technician actually forces something in because they think it's the right connector. But the connectors certainly could have a label on them as well as the container has a label.

DR. CHAMBERS: I'm just thinking since most of the errors tend to occur because multiple safety factors break down and that sounds like what was going on. I mean not only did it not fit in the right size, there was a color coding to try and help it. My guess is there's also a marking of what each

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	of the two things were on the containers.
2	But in spite of that
3	DR. SHEININ: Right.
4	DR. CHAMBERS: it still wasn't.
5	DR. SHEININ: Exactly.
6	DR. CHAMBERS: But to take away some of
7	those, I'm not sure would be if you were to go to
8	say all black and white, then we've only got two
9	safety features as opposed to three. And yet we saw
10	an error occur even with three.
11	DR. SHEININ: Right. And as I indicated,
12	there could be color coding on a case-by-case basis.
13	One of the things that I mentioned was
14	anesthesiologists using color coding but yet there was
15	a mixup there with the sufentanyl and the fentanyl.
16	So nothing is failsafe. I think we have
17	to do everything we can do to try to prevent errors.
18	I don't think there is any system that will totally
19	prevent medication errors.
20	DR. CHAMBERS: But do I understand
21	correctly it's USP's position that some color coding
22	in limited areas is helpful just not widespread?
23	DR. SHEININ: Right. Yes, we did support
24	the color coding of the ferrule on concentrated
25	potassium chloride injection with a black ferrule.

50 1 DR. CHAMBERS: Was that the error that you mentioned that the cause of death was that not the 2 3 label of those were -- let me back up. Sorry. The 4 potassium was not with a black cap at that point in 5 time? It probably did have a black DR. SHEININ: 6 7 cap. 8 Yes, Bob? 9 DR. MEYER: Just following up on that last 10 comment about the specific sort of, I quess well 11 demarcated areas where the color coding might 12 Are there any circumstances or other means 13 that the USP sees that where such instances can be

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

DR. SHEININ: Nothing that I can report at this time. But if that resolution is passed, and I have every reason to believe it will be, we have a Safe Medication Use Committee and a Packaging and Storage Expert Committee that will take that issue up over the next five years and hopefully make some recommendations.

identified where perhaps some area of practice or some

particular kinds of drugs are sort of ripe for color

And we do have the ability to sponsor open conferences where we can solicit input from the

coding.

1	public, from interested parties. And I would think
2	that would be one mechanism that we would use to go
3	forward with that.
4	DR. CHAMBERS: The proposed resolution is
5	in favor of using color or not in favor of using color
6	or it looks to examine it?
7	DR. SHEININ: I don't know the exact
8	language but I believe it goes something along the
9	lines of USP resolves to look into the situation.
10	Very few of our resolutions are so pro or con.
11	We did have one which you probably would
12	be interested in maybe not you Wiley, but Bob
13	Robert, for this current cycle, there was one
14	suggesting that we look into the advisability and
15	feasibility of developing a standardized imprint code
16	for solid oral dosage forms for poison control centers
17	and physicians when an elderly patient comes in with a
18	bottle and dumps it on their desks and says what are
19	all these things?
20	And it was very heated discussions in a
21	group that we put together to discuss it with industry
22	on one side and practitioners on the other side. And
23	no consensus was ever reached.
24	So I would hope if we go forward with the
25	color coding issue we could come to some sort of a

1	consensus.
2	DR. SELIGMAN: Of the 360 cases that you
3	presented over ten years where color appeared to have
4	played a role in the medication errors, were there
5	particular types of drugs or classes of drugs or
6	features related to the use of color that accounted
7	for those errors?
8	DR. SHEININ: Not that I'm aware of.
9	Shawn?
10	MS. BECKER: I think you listed the most
11	significant drugs that we were seeing, you know the
12	high alert drugs seemed to be causing the most
13	problems but I'm not aware of any other color issues.
14	DR. SELIGMAN: Other than the drugs, there
15	was no particular types of colors or certain features
16	related to the use of color that may have accounted
17	for or contributed to the errors?
18	MS. BECKER: I think it's just the
19	similarity of the colors when products look the same.
20	DR. SELIGMAN: Okay.
21	DR. SHEININ: I'd like to just add one
22	thing in response to one of your questions to Dr.
23	Baker previously about matching the presentation of
24	the innovator. I personally think that's critical.
25	If at all possible, any generic product should have

	packaging and labeling that looks like the innovator's
2	to avoid medication errors or confusion among the
3	patients.
4	DR. SELIGMAN: Thank you, Dr. Sheinin.
5	DR. SHEININ: Thank you.
6	DR. SELIGMAN: We are running a little bit
7	ahead of schedule which is just fine. We'll take a
8	30-minute break and reconvene at about 9:40. Thank
9	you.
10	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter
11	went off the record at 9:13 a.m.
12	and went back on the record at
13	9:43 a.m.)
14	DR. SELIGMAN: If you all take a moment
15	and return to your seats, I'd like to begin again.
16	Our next speaker is Dr. Michael Cohen.
17	He's the President of the Institute for Safe
18	Medication Practices. Dr. Cohen?
19	DR. COHEN: Thanks very much. Good
20	morning everyone. I thought the speakers so far have
21	done a great job and I appreciate their input
22	personally as well as for FDA.
23	This is something that's been of interest
24	to me for quite some time. And I thought what might
25	be helpful is to perhaps demonstrate some of the

problems that we've have with the application of color. So I'm going to take the opportunity this morning to not just answer the questions that have been posed but also to show, you know, some of the issues that have arisen over the last 30 years with the Medication Errors Reporting Program.

We work with USP and the Medication Errors
Reporting Program, as Dr. Sheinin mentioned. We also
work with the Pennsylvania Patient Safety Reporting
System in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, the
hospitals, surgery centers, and birthing centers.

Under Act 13 in our state, it's mandatory for them to report medication errors to our organization on behalf of -- which we collect on behalf of the state's Patient Safety Authority. We also share our information with FDA and under a Freedom of Information Act request, we are able to obtain reports of medication errors as necessary.

I just want to define again -- go through -- I know it was defined a little bit earlier by Dr. Holquist in the beginning the differences between color differentiation, color coding, color branding, and color matching. And I just thought it might be helpful to say a few words about that and also give an example of each so that you truly understood.

I have to say that to my knowledge, really haven't seen much in the way of color coding of commercial pharmaceuticals. Ι suppose that the potassium chloride concentrate for injection with the black cap would be considered color coding although I'm not sure everyone actually knows that when you see chloride black, that means potassium because that's the only parenteral product that is allowed to have that color or lack of color I should say.

But I'm not familiar with too many other products. Possibly the dopamine strengths -- at one time one was orange, one was red, and one was green. And I believe there was a requirement for dopamine to identify the strength. I'm not sure how helpful that was.

Outside of that, I'm not real sure if we're really talking about color coding today or color differentiation because there really hasn't been much use of color coding.

Color differentiation, I think that can be very helpful. And I'm going to show you an example or two. And as we go along, you'll see additional ones. This is to differentiate features, different features with specific products. It can be used over a long

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

range of products and some companies have attempted to do that.

But as Dr. Meyers mentioned in his talk, it becomes very difficult because over a period of time, additional companies will also come out with products in similar sized containers, et cetera, which will have similar colors. And there's really no controls over that at this point.

So color differentiation over a wide array of products within a manufacturer's line can occasionally present problems. And I want to show you some good examples of that.

Nevertheless, it can also be helpful in drawing attention. That is color can draw your eyes towards important label information. And I'll show you some examples of that as well as the use of color to help to differentiate two drugs names that are similar.

This is color differentiation. All the way over on the right, the pair of products there are both adrenalin chloride solution. I think when you look at this carefully, you may be able to see that there is actually a difference between these two containers. However, this was not evident to people working within a hospital when they had to store these

drugs on a crash cart for the emergency room or for other areas of the hospital.

One is for topical application. And the other one is for injection. And every once in a while, we would get a report of somebody placing this in the crash cart instead of the hypodermic. And at the time, there was widespread use of high-dose epinephrin, which was the reason for the presence of these 30 milliliter vials.

And so during the code, someone would open the cart and open the vial. And unfortunately it had a screw cap and it just delayed application of the drug.

And so many of us communicated with the manufacturer at the time, Parke-Davis, and they agreed to help by differentiating the products -- oh, there's a better one here -- there we go -- by using this background color. And so immediately people were able to see the difference.

Although I would have liked to have seen something that would have also drawn attention to the fact that this was topical because you still couldn't see the vial inside. However, this really did help.

And I don't recall getting repeated reports of medication errors once these new drug vials with the

color differentiation reached the user environment.

Color matching is a little bit different.

This is kind of a blue plug goes into a blue socket.

And I know of -- this is a good example of something that works pretty well in the area of color matching.

This is Dr. Jim Broselow who, I notice, is going to be a speakers later on today, and he has the Broselow Tape, which is widely used in emergency care.

You put a baby, for example, you lay them out on the tape and you actually measure what color they are. Once you have that color, you can have a crash cart, for example, that has drawers that takes you right to the proper size airway, the proper size for endotracheal tube, the drugs that would be used in that length child, et cetera, et cetera. So this is color matching.

You could also use this type of color matching on a drug label. So you could put the doses of different drugs for different ages on a drug label.

And you'd be able to do that as well. And there's other ways like dose packs, et cetera, that would actually match the color.

This has been very successful in many ways. And it's being improved as time goes on and new applications will be developed.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

As far as color coding, this is, as Dr. Holquist said in the beginning, a very systematic application of color that really identifies specific information about the product. The one that I know, and I forgot to mention this at first, that was supposed color coding scheme is the to be а ophthalmics.

And, you know, I think what you'll see and what you'll hear is what works well in one environment may not work well in other environments. I know Dr. Meyers said that as well. And that's really true with the ophthalmics.

As far as the ophthalmologist's office, great. Tan means an anti-infective, pink anti-inflammatories, yellow means beta blockers, et cetera, et cetera. And they're able to take a look at this color and then use that medication.

Probably in the patient's environment, in their home, that's helpful in differentiating the different types of ophthalmic products.

Take those same products, not just one or two but scores of specific color within a range and put that in an ambulatory procedure unit, short procedure unit, or in a pharmacy, community pharmacy, where often they may store by drug name, either

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

60 generic or brand, or even by drug company, and you would begin to see that you have dispensing errors, which is exactly the experience that we've had with the ophthalmics. And I was unaware that this was going to happen when these products first reached the market.

We were quite surprised, and we did, in fact, receive a number of reports of mix-ups.

coding, research-based Color evidence regarding the use of color and reduction of medication errors, I'm not familiar with. I know there is, in fact, research going on in two centers right now looking at color, one in the U.K., one that we're sponsoring in Texas.

And some of the early results do show that, you know, color coding actually does not help to prevent medication errors. We'll have to take a look at that as time goes on.

Although color coding may help to differentiate drug classes, as Ι said with the ophthalmics, it may increase intra-class medication errors.

And two I have here, Example 1, color code by drug class and various drugs within a class may be confused wit one another or a color code by drug and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

various strengths or concentrations for that drug may be confused.

This is a color code scheme that is in wide use in operating rooms. It's kind of faded. The neuromuscular blockers or muscle relaxants are a much different color red. They look kind of pink here. But this is actually used in operating rooms really around the world, not just in the United States. U.K. has implemented a process like this as well.

And actually we support the use of these user-applied color-coded labels. You're working again, as Dr. Myers said, in a small environment within the OR with people who truly understand the meanings.

I would shudder to think what would happen if somebody applied these to the critical care drugs that might be used in the OR environment but are also used in the intensive care unit, the emergency room, and out on the med-surg units at the same time without a true understanding on the part of the health professional what the meaning of these colors actually are.

And I think that's a big problem We have not been trained in our nation to identify the ophthalmic color codes, those of us in pharmacy

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

school, those of us in medical school.

And I think one of the lessons that we have from that is -- and nursing school -- and I think one of the lessons that we have from is if you're going to do anything at all with color coding commercially, you have an educational campaign to conduct. People have to really understand what these colors mean.

And they have to understand some of the problems that are associated with this. You cannot just do this wholesale without some type of education being provided. And unfortunately, that is not a real high-level strategy as far as preventing medication errors. Education that is.

Too often people somehow miss that education or they don't understand. Or they lose the knowledge over time.

But this is useful in the OR with a small number of individuals and a small number of drugs in an enclosed environment. I'd worry about it being used outside.

One of the things that we had hoped actually to happen, similar to the potassium chloride concentrate, which has the black cap, and which early on I actually believed that although it certainly

didn't prevent the errors and people would draw it up in a syringe and not label the syringe and then, you know, inject it directly intravenously into a patient and cause an arrest, it did help to differentiate the potassium product from all other products.

And I do believe that there was a decrease at least in the number of voluntary reports that we were receiving. Certainly not scientific by any means. I'll talk about that later using a voluntary program to even, you know, indicate in any way what actually might be happening is very misleading. And it should never be done in that way.

But we did hope that at least we would have been able to use what we call anesthesia red. This was an ASTM standard but it would have been used sparingly on a drug vial. The cap perhaps, a border around the drug name, but along with other enhancements like the drug name itself, the strength, et cetera, et cetera.

And I do think because this is a drug that if it is accidently mixed up with any other parenteral product and accidently given to someone who isn't intubated, who isn't receiving artificial ventilation of some type, they're going to stop breathing. They're going to be totally paralyzed. They're not

even going to be able to tell you that there is a problem. So it is a real danger.

We thought that, you know, applying this color code which would indicate neuromuscular blockers would be very valuable. Unfortunately it did not make it through the entire process over at USP. And at this point, I understand that it is only going to be the warning "paralyzing agent." But that might have been a use for color coding.

We know we're supposed to read labels three times. All healthcare practitioners learn this when they're dealing with medical products. Certainly nurses, and pharmacists, and physicians.

But unfortunately from time to time, we have our eyes drawn away by other material. And I use this slide to show you it ain't just about the color. And, you know, you can test all you want the color. That is only one variable. And a couple of our speakers have already mentioned that. It is a single variable.

There are other variables that absolutely require consideration. On this label, which I think is very poorly designed and has caused medication errors, you will see most prominent the drug company name at the top of the label within a blue band. I

(202) 234-4433

think your eye is drawn to that immediately.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You will see possibly in some cases an eye instead of, you know, well, not instead of anything -that also, I think, catches your attention. see very small fonts, which are not Helvetica-type fonts or Sans Serif-type fonts, which are a little bit more difficult to read.

You will see a lot of wording. I think you will see similar colors within a class and you would begin to understand how easy it would be for a nurse or a pharmacist to mix these up in their practice. And that's exactly what has happened with these products.

So I think an important lesson is to never rely on a single variable such as color. always take into account the amount and size of text, the "corporate dress" we call it, including the fonts, the shape, the size, the logo, the backgrounds that are used, the manner in which corporate identity is Similar strengths is also something that expressed. can be very misleading. And all of this is extremely important.

And we have found in our experience anyway that the more highly stylized these labels are with that corporate look, and some of the designs that we

have seen now are just so distracting to me, it's going to draw attention away from the real purpose and that is reading the label.

And to better understand, this little experiment is useful. This shows how just one variable can really confuse things. Nobody would misunderstand the meaning of each of these words. And if you were playing cards and somebody said clubs, diamonds, you'd know exactly what we're talking about.

And likewise, I don't think anybody would misunderstanding this. But what happens is when you distract people and put two of these variables together, it becomes very difficult, I think, to read the label or understand. And it is very misleading.

It leads the practitioner in the wrong direction. It makes it very easy for a medication error to occur.

There's a potential considerations with color for label, the potential for mix-ups within the class must be considered. And just to focus a little bit more on the ophthalmics, this is a category that has a single antibiotic, a single sulfonamide, and antibiotic combination with steroid added, and this is an ophthalmic ointment, this is a solution.

Here's the differentiator right there, the

NEAL R. GROSS

one word and the one word, which is very difficult to see. And sometimes these are not opened in a community pharmacy. That's one difference between community and a hospital. The labeling would be applied to the outer carton so it might not even be recognized and the patient would get the wrong dosage form.

This is a combination of just antibiotics.

This is a combination of antibiotics in an eye ointment. And this is another combination of antibiotics along with a steroid. The combination of antibiotics and steroid are a little bit darker in color than the other.

This is pretty close to the real world, by the way. So you can see how easy this color code scheme would have been mixed up.

These are three different beta blockers that are color coded by the ophthalmic color code scheme. And I think you can really see here how each is 0.5 percent. Your eyes are immediately attracted to the 0.5. That's what you see.

You see the drug company name superior to anything else on the label at the top. You see the logos, this corporate dress that I speak of. And it's very difficult immediately to tell the difference

between these.

And here's what happens. These do get stored together by accident sometimes. A technician, a nurse, will take the container, think she has the right thing, and then throw it in with all the other products that, you know, and even though they're not the same product.

When someone else comes by in the pharmacy and chooses one of those items, they're going by the drug name but it might be on the outside of a bin that it is stored in. So they see the name there, they put their hand in, and it's assured that this is the right drug. And never actually see the drug name because they're so mislead by the similarity.

Here's another example of the ophthalmic confusion. Again, with very, very highly stylized labels that probably communicate Ciba Vision better than vasocidin. And I don't know if that's still on the market or any of these are. But these are from my library.

So considerations with color on labels, first of all, I think you absolutely -- if you're going to do this, I don't care what it is, you have to have practitioner testing with prototypes. These are the people that use these. They know their

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

environments. And it should be tested within their environment using a failure mode and effects analysis process.

And that can be done fairly easily with graphics and a questionnaire that drives them to answer. Will it be 100 percent? Is it scientific?

No. But I don't know that you have to be scientific with something like this or that you could even be scientific. There are just so many variables as Dr. Myers talked about in his opening speech.

So you have to consider the environment of use and work processes. What works well in an ophthalmologist's office may not in other areas. What works well in the OR may not. What will it be stored next to?

It depends on how the storage is accomplished, which is a variable. What other drugs within the color class might it be confused with? And many, many questions would have to be asked if this was done.

Most of us are familiar with this process of failure analysis. How you literally would set up a process flow diagram and determine what might go wrong. Now this has been applied to testing products in the user environment. And it should be something

that's required by FDA.

Consider the process flow -- well, I'll just bypass this because this is -- these are the kinds of things that you would look at. Not all of them -- this is not all inclusive. But how things are ordered, how they reach the inventory, how they're stored, the light in that environment, you know will it make one color look like another color, space, relation to other items, drug delivery, who are the users going to be, where is this going to be sent, and so on and so forth.

And when I say user environment, what just looks so perfect on a two-fold blow up of a label on a flat, you know, table on a piece of paper, when you put it into the user environment, it can look very, very different.

So here we have on the product on -- a whole sleeve of products on the left of cefazolin 1 gram and on the right, we have cefazolin 10 gram. And I turned the vial around so that you could see that.

But this is how it might actually be stored in the user environment. And then name of the drug is almost cut off. And depending on the height of the shelf, it would be cut off. And these are the kinds of considerations that practitioners would need

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

to make.

You have a cover here -- I'm not sure if this is on the market anymore -- but basically they're sealed. It says sealed for safety almost obliterates the readability of this product label. So imagine that in addition, we also had similar color caps and labeling, et cetera, et cetera. It would be a disaster.

Here's another problem that we run into especially with parenterals. The caps pop off. And they may not stay on. So it can make one product look like another even if one of them does remain with a color-coded cap. So that has to be taken into consideration as well.

The Carpuject syringes, they're all green.

How many people would know, taking a look at this quickly, that on the left is demerol, in the middle is morphine, on the right is hydromorphone. And there's quite a difference in the potency of these agents.

So, again, you need the practitioners to put these in the environment in which they're going to be used. And think about what might be confused. And it's a very, very useful experiment to see this happen.

People are pretty clever. And they will

NEAL R. GROSS

show you how you might err -- or what misleading path you might take.

Here's an ophthalmic container that looks like glucose control solution. For some time, we had problems with ophthalmic containers that looked like the Hemoccult or Seracult that was used as a guaiac stool test.

And this is a hydrogen peroxide solution that's rather potent and would be placed in patient's eyes along with alcohol and it was a very, very painful experience for the patient. So that has to be considered as well.

You don't know what it's going to be mixed up with essentially. Here's two other drug vials. They certainly look alike and one of these gets thrown in the other bin, I really doubt that a nurse or an anesthesiologist would pick this up. If it says that it's enalapril or Vasotec injection is the brand name for Merck's enalapril, not this one, that it also looks like pancuronium.

I think that would be history. Pancuronium is a paralyzing agent and probably would cause someone's death if they weren't intubated. So, again, you know, we have this situation where the user environment is a little bit different.

1 Here's partially turned vials. Azatheioprine on the left inamrinone on the right. 2 3 Vecuronium, another neuromuscular blocker, acetazolamide on the right. Quite frankly, this goes 4 5 beyond color coding, color differentiation. This should be done, in my opinion, for all products that 6 7 are going to be marketed. 8 I think manufacturers should conduct user And be able to present that information to 9 testing. 10 FDA. 11 Very specific, unusual colors sometimes 12 are misleading. We don't see this color purple very 13 And we got reports of some mix-ups here because people got used to that color and thought it was 14 15 unusual and that it specified a specific drug. 16 similar situation here with these 17 products. So you can see what I'm talking about. Here's one the Department of Defense had a 18 problem but we had problems all over the country with 19 20 This is a different type of color coding. And basically I can get a better 21 for a syringe. 22 picture of this. Same problem here, different brands. 23 many years, insulin syringes For many, And then the International were color coded orange. 24 25 Standards Organization told all the syringe

manufacturers if they want to market their syringes worldwide, they have to meet their color code standard. And the color code was by needle gauge, not what type of syringe.

And the needle gauge for 25-gauge was orange. And what happened was we had this rash of events that were getting reported to us where the nurses were confusing tuberculin syringes with insulin syringes.

And what would happen the tuberculin syringe is a 1-mL syringe and the scale is in tenths of a milliliter. Except there was no zero in from of the decimal point. It just said .6. And the nurses would take a quick look at this and if they needed to give six units or eight units of insulin, they would draw it up to the .8 without realizing there was a decimal point there or a period there.

And instead of the patient getting six units, they would get 60 or eight units, they would get 80. So this is color coding and the way that it was applied was just a total shock to the practitioners. They were never taught about this. And we had this rash of very, very serious errors.

So this is not the way that products should be introduced if color coding is going to be

used. Please.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now since then, one of the companies at least has changed the color scheme and it does seem to -- they have about 80 percent of the market. And it does seem to have been -- the problem does seem to have subsided.

Consideration with color on labels again, haphazard application of multiple colors to differentiate products must be avoided. Again, take account the amount and size of text, corporate dress, the fonts, the logos, and so on and so forth.

This has been а real disaster. particular problem here because it has effected hundreds of patients. And I'm not sure but I believe it's still on the market and additionally causing CDC wrote about this in Morbidity and problems. Several of the state health Mortality Weekly Report. departments wrote about this as well.

And the problem very simply is nobody knows what these colors mean. They have no meaning. And they're very, very difficult to follow or even differentiate because they're very unusual colors and not just one but two and three different colors on the same label in some cases.

ĺ	76
1	And also, within the circle, total
2	inconsistency. Here the five means tuberculin units.
3	Here it means milliliters. Here the number is the
4	number of doses. I think you can see the problem.
5	We had over a 100 patients in California
6	alone that received instead of PPD injected
7	intradermally, received TD, tetanus and diphtheria
8	toxoid vaccine because of the mix-up. So when you're
9	talking 100 patients, that's a lot of different
10	healthcare practitioners that have made this mix-up.
11	Then we had flu vaccine given instead of PPD.
12	We've had these others mixed up. The DoD
13	actually did send out a warning to their entire all
14	the military hospitals to warn them about this.
15	Polio virus vaccine confused. Tetanus
16	toxoid vaccine confused, et cetera. This is bad use
17	of color coding. And it's exactly what you want to
18	prevent.
19	Rocket stripes on one manufacturer's
20	products were very misleading. They all had these
21	green and blue rocket stripes. And people's eyes were
22	attracted to that. And if you go back into the data,
23	you'll see mix-ups between different critical care

So this is -- it's very important how this

drugs.

24

color is applied. But again, it is not just the color. There is a lot more that it involves. And as I said, the fonts, and the position of the color, and what it draws attention to, and so on and so forth.

It should primarily draw attention, in my opinion, to the drug name and it shouldn't say dose. It should say strength. But also the amount in the container, the total amount in the container. And I think that has occasionally misled people and caused medication errors.

So I think -- this is an example of what I'm talking about. Here at one point Merck had unit dose packaging that was black and white. And, you know, people would read the label and hopefully differentiate. But a lot of people didn't read the label. And I think they were fooled by the bar code and the similarity on the two unit dose packages, et cetera.

One fix was to place a yellow -- color bands for the different types of drugs. But unfortunately what they decided to do was color code it by strength. So your eyes were drawn to the strength. And it didn't take long for the two 20-milligram products to be confused.

One of the other manufacturers used the

NEAL R. GROSS

color band to draw attention to whether it was, I think, sustained-release product or a delayed-release product in this case. That was Astra Merck.

More recently this product was changed to this product which is now this designation. Not the same product. This is Singulair.

And now we have a problem because the attention is drawn to the drug name but they don't do a good job drawing attention to the strength. This is a four milligram and this is a five milligram. Again, I think practitioner testing absolutely would have picked this up before it became a widespread problem. And I know the company is concerned enough to be working on a third revision now for the unit dose package.

Here's others. Hydroxyzine gentamicin, thorazine suppositories, compazine suppositories, compazine pediatric, compazine adult.

Here's one of the companies, they actually used these color code bands internally to identify products in production before they had the label on it. And, unfortunately, when these get out on the nursing units, they make things look exactly alike. And it's self-serving. It doesn't help the practitioners avoid errors.

Here's the use of color to draw attention to only a portion of the important information. That is the strength per milliliter. What they overlook is that it is a five-milliliter product or actually 500 milligrams.

They did this with other strengths as well. And I have to say we published an article in Annals of Emergency Medicine about some deaths with Ketalar as the result of drawing attention to the wrong portion of the label. And people thinking the entire container had 100 milligrams when it didn't. The entire container had fivefold more than that.

This is one that we've had a lot of problems with. Fortunately one of the manufacturers decided to eliminate this presentation and has gone to a drug vial instead. On the left, Methergine, which is an ergot derivative. On the right -- I'm sorry, on the top, Brethine (terbutaline), used for totally opposite purposes in obstetrics.

You would find these products in the delivery room. And if you had somebody that was having uterine contractions prematurely, they would probably get Brethine or terbutaline as a tocolytic. It's an off-label use, I understand, for that.

Unfortunately, people were getting

NEAL R. GROSS

1 injected with Methergine, which causes uterine contractions. And this has gone on for quite some 2 time. And fortunately one of the manufacturers, as I 3 said, has eliminated. 4 5 This is color in the packaging, though. And those little color bands that I talked to you 6 7 about that mislead people. 8 Here's color on an OTC product. You totally overlook the fact that this is benzocaine, 9 10 which some patients might be allergic to because you 11 can certainly see everything else is very, 12 similar. So here's they're not drawing attention to important information. 13 14 Ιt be used successfully can to 15 differentiate products, to draw attention to important information, to enhance recognition of unique letter 16 characters. So let me show you that. 17 already did show you this. 18 Ι That certainly helped to eliminate this problem. 19 20 We had a problem. This actually was attacked in a couple of ways. This is a long-standing 21 problem in medicine where people would order 22 23 dispense the wrong drug. Hydralazine instead of hydroxyzine. Both are 50-milligram strength. 24

And you can see when a company puts

everything together in the same color patterns exactly, including the strengths, how easy it would be to mix these products up especially when other products within their line are different colors. So you would certainly think these two blue and orange ones must be the same thing. This is old though.

And this was addressed with "tall man" letters to differentiate the characters in the drug name that are unique. We actually have some studies completed now that show the value of "tall man" letters in preventing mix-ups between two look-alike drug names.

the other thing that was done and the use of color reverse print to help differentiate these products. And frankly, I think this is working pretty well. So that's a good use of color.

Here is another good use of color. On the left, Doxil. This is a liposomal form of doxorubicin.

And the does of this product can be much lower than the typical doxorubicin product. It should be much lower. Unfortunately, people didn't know that.

And if they would get an order for doxorubicin and not recognize that this is quite different as a liposomal injection, and requires a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

lower dose, unfortunately they would give this drug but use the higher dose of the conventional product.

And I think one of the things that helped prevent this was to have a red color band to draw attention that it must not be substituted and that it is a lipid-based formulation or a liposomal formulation.

I think they could have done even more than that but I think that this, along with a lot of feedback to the field on medication errors that have happened, has, in fact -- it's been a while since we actually had an error reported.

Here's another great redesign of a product. They had mix-ups between cisplatin and carboplatin. And here is the use of color and a stop sign. It says, stop, verify the dose, drug name and dose. And this is cisplatin, using color and elevated font to differentiate this from carboplatin, which the dose is very, very different. Carboplatin is a much higher dose than cisplatin.

And here as well. And there were other features for this product as well. And this has really helped to eliminate this confusion between the two drugs. So this is another good use of color.

Here's the use of color to draw out the

letter characters that are unique. There is acetazolamide and acetohexamide, an anti-diabetic drug not used very much any more but still around to my understanding. And a mix-up there could be fatal, has been fatal. We know that we had a case in New Jersey several years ago.

And so more recently "tall man" letters have been applied by FDA but this is an example of how one of the companies took color to help differentiate the letter characters. I think that was helpful and could be used in combination.

And has been used in combination for other trademark issues where we have lookalikes like Zyprexa, Zyrtec. If you take a look, they use a color background. They use italics. They use a different font than the rest of the word.

The same thing with Lamictal to reduce confusion with Lamizol. And I think this can be very helpful.

So final recommendations, practitioner input, expert analysis also. It's not just practitioner input. It's gathering the data from the practitioners and then having an expert panel take a look at that and perform their own failure analysis using failure mode and effects analysis methods.

I don't believe that large-scale scientific studies are needed or would be very fruitful, you know, in testing these. I don't know how the heck you'd ever do it as a matter of fact because of all the variables that you've heard this morning.

I don't think just the practitioner input or expert analysis alone is going to be enough. I think the Office of Drug Safety in the Division of Medication Error and Technical Support must have a role in this. And they should have the final say as a matter of fact.

I'm a little concerned that some of the things that get approved by FDA in the divisions absolutely make no sense. You've seen some of this.

And I think it has to go through people that pay attention to this, that are doing this every day. And really have a good knowledge. They keep up with the literature. They see the problems every day. They have the experience. They need to be the ones that pass judgment on these products before they're approved.

Label consults with ODS I think is important. Support for error reporting and more rapid response by FDA for serious problems. We have an

85 lot of good stuff that comes through the Medication Errors Reporting Program and the FED MedWatch Program and other programs that out are there. And people need to be paying attention to this, looking at it constantly. And I know they are. But then they have to be able to react to it.

think they've got to react a little bit quicker.

Methergine/Brethine to me was That It's been five or six years until the vials were available. And people were hurt. And it continued and continued despite the knowledge that this was hurting people.

I can't understand why it would take so long for a change like that to be made. And I think it's an atrocity actually.

Support for error reporting is very, very Reserve color coding for high alert drugs important. such as insulin, neuromuscular blockers, concentrated electrolytes but only after testing and feedback about prototypes.

But I have to say, this has to be used very sparingly. If it's going to be used at all, only a portion of the package for the color scheme. And it should not be associated with label information such

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that it draws attention away from identifying the drug and the strength.

I would not want to see more than one product like the neuromuscular blockers using a specific color that indicates something. And it has to be associated, again, with education. And I think

the same is true with the other drugs as well.

It must be uniform throughout the industry and not just one company brand although I admit I'm not really familiar with color branding and what the implications are.

I would hope that's not a situation where other companies would have to pay a fee to the holder of the copyright or trademark, whatever it is, and that they couldn't use it freely or else they couldn't use it at all. That doesn't make any sense.

So I'm not sure about color branding. So maybe we'll hear a little bit more about that this afternoon.

Understand that actual color code schemes require simultaneous and ongoing education of health professionals. Color code for user-applied labels in anesthesia is well-known to anesthesia. Ophthalmics to ophthalmologists but not by staff outside the OR. I think I made that point earlier.

Bar-coding will help. Again, support for scientific research for the use of color but only when it's one of several different variables, not by itself in an isolated healthcare environment.

Finally, you know, there is always this question. I'm on that Drug Safety and Risk Management Committee and I know that questions came up when we talked about trademarks. And is there a scientific way to study whether or not a drug name might be problematic, et cetera.

And I guess some of the components of the tests that are being used right now like computerization of the name and matching it in the database are somewhat helpful others scientific. But keep in mind, too, that something like this I'm not sure if it could be completely scientific.

I think it's much more related to how users react with these products in their environment.

And they're the experts. They are the ones that are going to give you the good feedback.

And I'm always reminded of this particular article which appeared in <u>British Medical Journal</u> a couple of years ago, which the headline was -- or the title "Parachute use to prevent death and major trauma

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

related to gravitational challenge -- systematic review of randomized control trials." And the whole point here was obviously that nobody has ever required a scientific study to determine whether or not parachutes work in preventing death from free-fall yet it certainly does work and we certainly do use it.

And then finally the article by Leape,
Berwick and Bates which discusses what practices will
most improve safety. And they talk about the need -for patient safety topics specifically, is evidencebased medicine always required in order to determine
what strategies need to apply?

And basically their conclusion was no. And I think this is a very important article because they compared it to aviation safety and anesthesia where small changes have been made all along to improve the system. And we have had a dramatic decrease in the number of people that have died in airplane crashes or from anesthesia incidents yet there really haven't been scientific studies to support those changes.

And I think that's a very, very similar situation here with color coding. I think it's potentially dangerous if it's not done very, very carefully and reserved very, very carefully for a very

	small number of products within a line. I wouldn't do
2	it across a whole line.
3	Thank you very much.
4	DR. SELIGMAN: Thank you, Dr. Cohen.
5	Do we have questions from the panel?
6	Wiley?
7	DR. CHAMBERS: Wiley Chambers. Can you
8	give us an idea of how many colors you think are
9	potentially usable to distinguish? You put up a slide
10	of Bausch & Lomb's products at one point and said they
11	all look the same. They probably have about 300
12	products.
13	How many colors do you think could
14	potentially be used to separate those?
15	DR. COHEN: Well, I would absolutely have
16	been against color coding the ophthalmic products and
17	using a color code scheme if that's what they believe
18	it to be. To me it's not a color code scheme.
19	I couldn't tell you what all the different
20	colors even mean. And there are so many. You see the
21	problems where colors start to run into one another
22	and look very similar.
23	DR. CHAMBERS: Well, the other alternative
24	would be that it is all black and white. Is that what
25	

DR. COHEN: I don't think that's the answer either. I heard that comment a little bit earlier. And I would respectfully disagree that you could still have all the labels black and white but unless you make everything else absolutely identical -- so in other words, if some had different fonts, and some had different backgrounds for the strength, and some had corporate labels, they could all be black and white.

But these are other variables. It's just as I've shown. Whether it's red or green or black, it doesn't matter. You're still going to have the same problem.

I have seen lack of color as an effective way to prevent errors. And I'll tell you how. The Baxter for many years manufactured and distributed what they called the Baxter ATC-212 machine. And we put the tablets and capsules in a wrapper in a machine and you would get out a whole strip that were printed exactly the same as one another. They all looked the same.

And the nurses became very, very aware that the only way to identify this was to look at color -- to look at the drug name. It was the only way they could. And so they got used to that. But

1	everything about those was exactly the same. If a
2	different manufacturer, a different size, different
3	fonts, et cetera, et cetera, came in, you know, it
4	might cause additional problems.
5	DR. CHAMBERS: I guess I'm having trouble
6	understanding. If you don't think you should be using
7	color to distinguish between then, you're suggesting
8	just the words that are used
9	DR. COHEN: Where I think color is useful
10	is to differentiate products. So, for example, the
11	epinephrine vials that I showed you, I thought that
12	was a very effective use of color to differentiate
13	products.
14	I also think that, you know, some of the
15	manufacturers are going to have colors for
16	differentiating vials. They don't have any meaning.
17	Unfortunately, without practitioner testing
18	occasionally, you're going to have one vial look like
19	another manufacturer's vial of something else.
20	That's the kind of thing that I think
21	mostly could be picked up by practitioner testing if
22	it is done right.
23	But on the other hand, I can see, you
24	know, when you have a wide array of colors, how that

could be problematic with -- not on the other hand,

1	but, you know, mixing it up with other drugs as well.
2	DR. CHAMBERS: Let me take a step back on
3	you talked about different groups using the package
4	or having to look at the labels for different reasons.
5	And I'm going to come back to the area of
6	ophthalmology. If the patients can't read the label
7	because they can't see well enough to read the label,
8	why is applying a color cap not a useful endeavor?
9	DR. COHEN: There are reasons. For one
10	thing, sometimes the color caps are removed and
11	replaced with another color cap. So if that's what
12	they're going on, you could have like a green-colored
13	cap for, I guess, a miotic and a red-colored cap for a
14	mydriatic and they could get switched.
15	So obviously there could be a container
16	mix-up. And we've actually had things like that that
17	have been reported over the years.
18	I suppose that, you know, like I said
19	color does have some usefulness within the
20	ophthalmologist's office and within a patient's home.
21	But it is simultaneously causing problems in the
22	pharmacy and elsewhere.
23	And I think one of the reasons in this
24	case is that you also didn't take into account I
25	don't mean you but FDA and the manufacturers, the way

that those drug names appear. They're very hard to read. There's a lot of words.

You could have used elevated fonts to make the drug name, for example. The way that the company is superior. It's on the top of the container above anything else. The first thing on that container should be the drug name. The way the strengths appear within a red border.

What I'm saying is if you gave practitioners -- or if the company gave practitioners and experts a chance to look at that, they could point out these potential problems and suggest changes that would have prevented problems with the color code scheme.

And that's why I said at the end I don't know that I could tell you that color coding should never be used. I found it helpful with potassium chloride. I think it would have been very helpful in preventing neuromuscular blocker accidents and that didn't happen.

They're the only -- that and the ophthalmics are really -- and maybe the dopamine are the only ones that I know of that have actually been color coding where they indicate something. The rest of them are color differentiation.

1	DR. CHAMBERS: Do you think a patient
2	safety problem or even efficacy problem would occur if
3	there was a mix-up between you put up timolol,
4	levobutanol, and betaxolol.
5	DR. COHEN: Yes.
6	DR. CHAMBERS: Would that cause any
7	difference in patient safety?
8	DR. COHEN: Well, it would to the
9	pharmacist that dispensed the wrong thing. And that's
10	the see, here's the problem and that's another
11	thing that's not being taken into account.
12	A pharmacist that dispenses the wrong
13	medication is embarrassed at the least because the
14	patient comes back and tells him he has the wrong
15	thing. At the most, they get sued for giving them the
16	wrong medication.
17	This is a very important thing to
18	pharmacists and nurses when they make a medication
19	error. They could lose their job in some states. So
20	that's the kind of thing, I think, that you have to
21	also consider when you're with the ophthalmic line.
22	That actually can happen.
23	I mean some states are very punitive. And
24	if an error is reported, or three errors we know
25	that in some states, you could lose your license.

1	DR. CHAMBERS: Is it
2	DR. COHEN: And in fact, there are subtle
3	differences between these drugs perhaps but if you
4	take it to the extent of neuromuscular blockers,
5	there's different amounts of histamine released with
6	these products, there's different durations of
7	activity, I mean you could have potentially disastrous
8	results if you mix up the neuromuscular blockers
9	within that same particular class if it's not done
10	right.
11	DR. CHAMBERS: Yes, no, and I understand.
12	And I picked that particular example because I know
13	those three medications have been tested against one
14	another and have been shown to be the same in safety
15	and efficacy.
16	DR. COHEN: So you
17	DR. CHAMBERS: Now that was a particular
18	grouping that you happened to have up there that we
19	have testing on.
20	DR. COHEN: So the ophthalmologists and
21	possibly to the patients but not to the people who
22	make the errors.
23	DR. CHAMBERS: Right. And the question
24	comes down to if the scheme as you were suggesting it
25	goes through different expert groups to go and figure

1	out which way to differentiate them, if there are
2	conflicts between that testing, in other words one may
3	be more confusing to the pharmacists but less
4	confusing to the patients, or the other way around, I
5	guess the question is which way should the FDA be
6	erring on?
7	Should they be erring on that it is more
8	important for the patients to be able to
9	differentiate? Is it more important the ideal
10	situation is that everybody can use it for their
11	useful purposes.
12	DR. COHEN: Yes.
13	DR. CHAMBERS: But in some cases, we're
14	going to run into conflicts because we just have too
15	many and we have to make a choice one way or the
16	other.
17	DR. COHEN: Yes.
18	DR. CHAMBERS: And I guess I'm asking
19	which way do we make that choice?
20	DR. COHEN: Well, I can't give you that
21	answer. Again, without taking into account and I
22	hate to repeat but you possibly could use color but
23	not in the same way that you see it applied right now.
24	And not without considering the corporate
25	dress, the logos, the fonts, how the drug name is

1 expressed, the strengths, three of them exactly the All of that has to be taken into account. 2 same. 3 And if you wanted to keep this color code scheme, then the rest of that has to be redesigned 4 5 because I think that's always going to be a problem the way it is right now. 6 7 I've talked to the ophthalmologist group, 8 I've talked to people at FDA. And they're still out I think they could still cause a problem. 9 10 CDR. HOLQUIST: You stated earlier that 11 there have been some studies that show the tall man 12 letters have been effective. 13 DR. COHEN: Yes. 14 CDR. HOLQUIST: Are you aware of 15 studies that have been done to test all 16 different variables like the trade dress, the color to 17 try to pinpoint which is the prime, you know, offender of the confusion? 18 19 DR. COHEN: No, I'm not. Not 20 There is this one color code -- a color appearance. 21 study right now that's being completed in the U.K. 22 was sent to me by David Counsins from the National 23 Health Service there. And, you know, it's published yet so unfortunately I can't give you a 24 25 reference but it does indicate that it's not going to

But that's only one study. I mean there 2 3 are others that need to be done. And they only looked at the use of color itself. They didn't look at all 4 5 the other variables. That's important to recognize. CDR. HOLQUIST: You also stated that there 6 7 should be experts analysis of this done before it 8 comes to the Agency. Who would you envision being on such an expert analysis from the outside? 9 10 DR. COHEN: I think it is a very similar 11 thing to the current situation with trademark 12 analysis. That there are groups that do this type of 13 I suppose, you know, if a company wanted to do 14 it, they could. But I don't think an internal review like 15 16 this would be useful without working with practitioners that are actually using these products. 17 I think that that has to be a part of it. 18 So I know there are groups that do this 19 20 type of testing obviously. That's been going on for 21 some time. 22 CDR. HOLOUIST: You spoke briefly that 23 coding might be helpful with insulin color products. And we've seen a number of errors among the 24 25 insulin product line. Could you just elaborate a

be that helpful to color differentiate.

mixtures of insulin products that are coming out now? 2 Well, we see a lot of errors 3 DR. COHEN: 4 with insulin. And it is a problem. And some of it is 5 due to the nomenclature. There is some appearance similarity and the way that, again, the fonts appear 6 on the label. 7 8 The mix-ups for the most part seem to be with the combination insulins. We're getting the 9 10 70/30, especially the ones with the very similar name 11 Humalog -- I'm sorry, NovoLog, Novolin, 70/30, 70/30. 12 With the other manufacturer that we see, Humulin, Humalog, one is 70/25, the other is 70/30. 13 14 Mix-ups might be -- and I don't have a way 15 to, you know, scientifically tell you which has more 16 errors associated with it. But we do seem to see confusion between that. 17 18 And now we're seeing a whole array of new insulin products reaching the market. It's very, very 19 20 difficult for the practitioners to keep up with these. do note, however, that there has been some 21 22 application of color to some of the newer products like Lantis is a little like a light blue. 23 I don't know the exact Pantone. And I believe the Humalog 24

little bit on that, especially when it

product is a red color, et cetera.

25

1	It just that it seems to be the neck of
2	the vial, the closure, the ferrule, and the cap. And
3	that's what I meant about very sparing use of the
4	color.
5	And I'm not sure how far beyond that would
6	be worthwhile. There are just so many other insulin
7	products. And a lot of similar names. I don't know.
8	It would have to be tested.
9	DR. MEYER: I guess just to press that
10	then, it sounds like what you're asking or what
11	you're perhaps advocating there is more color
12	differentiation than color coding because the slide
13	you actually I think it referred specifically to
14	color coding of insulin.
15	DR. COHEN: It is color differentiation
16	absolutely. You know again, if you asked health care
17	practitioners, for the most part, I bet you if you
18	did a little test right now, what does the light blue
19	mean?
20	They wouldn't be able to tell you. We
21	haven't done a good job educating people. If we are
22	color coding, we haven't done a good job with
23	educating people as to the meanings.
24	CDR. HOLQUIST: Yes, that was another
25	question I had is that education, you said, was a big

	Component of this. How would we test to ensure that
2	the education was an effective campaign?
3	DR. COHEN: Well, it's very difficult. I
4	mean it has to start at the level of academia.
5	People need to understand that when they're in school.
6	And we're not teaching that now. We don't even teach
7	medication error prevention at this point.
8	So, you know, practitioners come out and
9	they go into practice, if you're going to have a bunch
10	of color coding schemes and they don't know what they
11	mean, that's going to cause more errors than it will
12	prevent them.
13	So I think it does start with academia.
14	And, you know, we all have a job to do. We reach the
15	healthcare practitioners, you do as well. If you were
16	going to do it, you would have to make that part of a
17	campaign. Like if you were going to start color
18	coding the insulins, for example, nurses darn well
19	better know what these different colors mean if you
20	really expect it to be color coding and not just color
21	differentiation.
22	DR. SELIGMAN: Any other questions?
23	Peter?
24	DR. CARSTENSEN: Dr. Cohen, you mentioned
25	practitioner testing, I have a background in human

	102
	factors but in the device world. And I was wondering
	if you could elaborate a bit on what you mean by
	practitioner testing. And just to make it a little
	easier on you, you know
	DR. COHEN: We've done at ISMP
	personally, we have a division called Med Errors that
Ш	

personally, we have a division called Med Errors that does practitioner testing a lot more than I do. The reason I would do it is if somebody reported a medication error and they were seeking help, we don't charge. We will go to the nth degree to help them to solve a problem with a medication error.

And so we have occasionally done them. We have a large database of healthcare practitioners. Most of the list of the work that we've done has been with trademarks and not with graphics although we've done some graphics as well.

We would actually use a process, a failure mode and effects analysis where we would actually try to set up where we believe this product might be used based on the proposed labeling if it was a new drugs before it was approved. We would work with the manufacturer and actually scan the product, different presentations, et cetera.

And we would actually send it out to the field. There would be a questionnaire where we'd ask

NEAL R. GROSS

2.0

1	a number of questions about who would use it and how
2	this would be used and in what environment, et cetera,
3	besides where we thought it would be used.
4	And all this information would be received
5	back after they would take a look at the label, they
6	could take it out to the pharmacy and look at the
7	shelves, all those kinds of things they would be
8	actually directed to do. What other products might it
9	be stored near? You know all those kinds of things
10	would be asked.
11	All that data is received back. You would
12	have an expert panel that does this kind of stuff all
13	the time actually look at these responses and come up
14	with recommendations that would then go back to the
15	company.
16	And that could be presented to FDA, at
17	least something there to start with.
18	DR. CARSTENSEN: So it sounds like it is a
19	controlled study. And you're careful how you you
20	select a range of typical practitioners I would
21	DR. COHEN: Correct. We've long felt that
22	this is something, along with the trademark,
23	practitioner input is critical to, you know, help
24	guide whether or not that name

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

DR. CARSTENSEN: Right.

1	DR. COHEN: might be a problem.
2	DR. CARSTENSEN: Well, that's certainly
3	true in the device world. We call that usability
4	testing
5	DR. COHEN: Yes.
6	DR. CARSTENSEN: and validation. But
7	it's a very structured kind of testing done. It
8	sounds like that's what you have in mind.
9	DR. COHEN: It is. You're under a little
10	bit different situation, though, that we would be in
11	that, you know, it's a lot more difficult to change
12	things post-marketing than it would be for the drug
13	products.
14	DR. CARSTENSEN: Oh, yes.
15	DR. COHEN: You're encumbered by that
16	situation. They can't just change a device because
17	it's been reported as being involved in an error.
18	They can't force the manufacturer you know, if they
19	did it voluntarily, I guess.
20	But, you know, if we and there will be
21	things that will get through. I don't know that
22	you're ever going to be you've heard other speakers
23	say that, too 100 percent perfect in preventing all
24	errors. But when they do happen

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

DR. CARSTENSEN: Yes.

1	DR. COHEN: you have to have a system
2	to be constantly monitoring that. It probably belongs
3	in DMETS. And you need to be able to react a little
4	sooner. That Methergine/Brethine thing is something
5	that we should all be ashamed of in my mind.
6	DR. CARSTENSEN: I had one other question.
7	When you mentioned FEMA, I would put that, myself, in
8	a larger context of risk analysis. FEMA is just one
9	tool
10	DR. COHEN: Oh, sure.
11	DR. CARSTENSEN: for accomplishing
12	that.
13	DR. COHEN: I tend to use that. There are
14	about five or six methods that could be used
15	DR. CARSTENSEN: Right.
16	DR. COHEN: for proactive risk analysis
17	other than FEMA. And I am aware of that. And one
18	technique or another would be
19	DR. CARSTENSEN: Okay.
20	DR. COHEN: you know the technique that
21	I'm most familiar with is FEMA. And it's worked
22	pretty well.
23	DR. CARSTENSEN: Sure. And I noticed when
24	you flashed up the ophthalmic containers in the
25	pastels, I don't know if people are aware but when you

_	project pasters on a screen rike this
2	DR. COHEN: Right.
3	DR. CARSTENSEN: that's not the true
4	color. They tend to wash out
5	DR. COHEN: I did mention that
6	DR. CARSTENSEN: on that screen.
7	DR. COHEN: with the
8	DR. CARSTENSEN: Did you?
9	DR. COHEN: neuromuscular
LO	DR. CARSTENSEN: Okay.
11	DR. COHEN: blocker. Yes, that is
12	absolutely the case. It's very hard to make it look
13	like it is.
L4	DR. CARSTENSEN: Yes, but I think what I'm
15	saying is if you see it, the same labeling in real
16	life, there will be a clearer distinction, I think,
17	than what happens. What happens when you project
18	those kinds of
19	DR. COHEN: Yes.
20	DR. CARSTENSEN: pastel colors, they do
21	tend to wash out.
22	DR. COHEN: Yes. Thank you.
23	DR. SELIGMAN: Our next speaker is Dr.
24	Mary Ann McElligott. She's from the Regulatory
25	Affairs from Novo Nordisk. Dr. McElligott?

DR. McELLIGOTT: Okay. Good morning.

I would like to begin by identifying ourselves, our company, as the company that Carol Holquist discussed this morning. It was the company that applied for color branding on our insulin analog products. So maybe we precipitated this meeting, I don't know.

We live in a colorful world. And until 2004 when we received approval to put a color branding color on our insulin analogs, our insulin analog labels, as the insulin labels, were not very colorful at all.

And so what I would like to do this morning is to express our viewpoint on the importance of the use of color on labels of the class of drugs, the insulin and insulin analogs. And also to discuss a little bit about how and why we feel color should be used.

There was discussions early on about color coding for insulin products that I think some people this morning alluded to. But still we were in 2004 with no color on those insulin products. So I'll get into that a little bit and also describe our experience and why we wanted to put color on our labeling.

I'll also cover our proposal for using color branding on the insulin analogs and what we discussed with FDA in trying to bring this to approval. And also discuss a little bit about the potential risks and benefits that we thought about for use of color in the labeling and packaging of these insulin analogs.

So what was the history of the color for the insulin products? In the 1990s, and mainly in the latter half of the 1990s, the International Diabetes Federation has an insulin task force that was rather active in discussing with industry, and FDA came to the table, too, but discussing a code for the human insulin products and also for the upcoming analogs that were being developed, the insulin analogs that were being developed.

Several meetings were held and out of those meetings, there was agreement on -- a color coding agreement for the human insulins.

And that what meant is that the agreement was for human insulin R, human insulin N, and human insulin 70/30, each of them would have the same color no matter what the manufacturer. So if there were several manufacturers, R would have the same color across manufacturers.

The color coding scheme was also discussed for the analog insulins and the first insulin analogs that were developed were the rapid-acting insulin analogs.

The color schemes that were discussed at those early meetings in the late 1990s were that the analogs would have their own separate colors. So a rapid-acting analog of one company would have one color. A rapid-acting analog of another company would have another color. However, IDF had a whole code listing of the different colors that were being developed.

There were no discussions on any color for the analog mixtures, which were later developed. But there were some colors assigned for the human insulin mixtures.

So in 2004 when we started to think about wanting color on our labels for reasons which I'll get into in the next few slides, there was no defined plan or role in ownership of the color code for insulins.

IDF was not actively hosting meetings to determine color codes for the coming products. And industry and FDA, we were all sort of on our own.

So before I get to what we proposed for color branding, I would just like to say the

definition of color coding. However, it's been covered several times before. So I will just sort of indicate how our proposal for using a brand color on our insulin analog products is not color coding.

So color coding is a systematic standard of an application of color to aid in the classification and identification where a color would be memorized to the function.

The color branding that we're talking about is not the systematic standard application of color. And the color should not be memorized for that product. It should just be an aid.

Organizational ownership of the standard code, an example with the human insulins being discussed, IDF was going to be the organizational ownership and the color branding that we're talking about, each manufacturer would just determine on their own what color they would want to put on their product.

Also with color coding, for it to be applied properly, there has to be industry-wide participation and the colors need to be preassigned. And with color branding, we're not talking about any such standardized code with preassigned colors that industry would have to all participate in.

So why in 2004, by the time we got approval, was there no color on the insulin labels and packaging? Well, early on, there were insulin certification regulations in the CFR. And there was a special Regulation 429.12 that required that black and white packaging be applied in the case of U-100 insulin.

So in May of 1998, there was a <u>Federal</u> Register notice put out. And in that <u>Federal Register</u> notice where they were discussing repeal of the insulin certification requirements, FDA acknowledged the 429.12 requirement for black and white packaging and indicated that it did have limited use.

And so by September 1998, they did indeed repeal the 21 CFR 429.12. And so than there was no further requirement in the CFR for black and white labeling of the insulin. So that's where we began our thoughts in our company about how we could go about trying to get color on our labeling for our insulin analogs.

So we had experience outside the United States. We sell insulin all around the world for many years, the human insulins and the insulin analogs. And the human insulin and the analogs all have colors, a branding color associated with them. And there were

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

no issues with the use of color in selling that insulin all over the world.

So based on that experience and in the United States we had a growing family of Novo Nordisk insulin products, we had our rapid-acting insulin, analog-approved, and also a premixed mixture was approved, and we had more coming on the horizon. And all of these would be looking pretty similar with the lack of color.

So with these similarities in look, there is potential for product confusion. And if there is product confusion, if the wrong medication gets dispensed, safety concerns are of utmost importance. And we were experiencing medication errors mainly at the pharmacy-dispensing level and also package mixups.

So this is an example of what we were dealing with when we sought to apply for use of a brand color on the label. On the right-hand side is our rapid-acting analog. It's the packaging for a pre-filled syringe. It's in a FlexPen, which is a pre-filled syringe. And on the left we have the NovoLog Mix 70/30, which is the pre-mixed analog mixture. It's also in a FlexPen pre-filled syringe.

And you also should note the similarity in

brand name, NovoLog and NovoLog Mix 70/30. In initial discussions with FDA when we were going for approval of our pre-mixed product, we were interested in changing the name of the product and FDA was interested in keeping the parent name of the product in that mixture. So the names are very similar.

And not only are the names very similar, but when it's in our corporate trade dress, the look of the labels are extremely similar. So you can sort of visually see why mix-ups may be occurring.

So what we were interested in moving towards was this type of look, which is our labeling in the rest of the world. So on the right-hand side, we have the FlexPen pre-filled syringe labeling for our NovoLog, which is called NovoRapid in the rest of the world. And on the left, we have the NovoMix 30, which is our NovoLog Mix 70/30 product.

And both of them you can see are associated with a brand color. So the NovoRapid has orange around the name and the NovoMix 30 has blue around the name. So this was the basic corporate trade dress that we were interested in trying to secure in the United States for our products.

And this is just an example of how color can aid in differentiating two similar products. This

is our labeling in Japan. And if you can't read Japanese like I can't, you can still see that, you know, seeing the color could help you match it up even if you can't tell, you know, what words you are reading.

So what was our basic proposal for color branding of the insulin analogs in our application to FDA? We basically said that we wanted to just use color to aid in distinguishing one item from another. We did not want this color to be a substitution for reading the actual name on the label because that is the definitive requirement that you really need.

We were not seeking a code that would be systematically applied across the industry to classify and identify each insulin type. We just wanted to be able to chose a color for the brand similar to any other product in any other class. Or even to use it to distinguish dosage strength. And that color would be chosen and managed by each manufacturer.

And currently color is used in the industry to aid in brand and dosage strength differentiation for many products and across many product types.

And this is an example of in our trade dress, this is our oral anti-diabetic agent mealtime

Prandin. And we have a 2-milligram, 1-milligram, and a .5-milligram. And we use color on that labeling to help in distinguishing these dosage strengths.

So when we were proceeding to submit an application to FDA to allow for color on our insulin analog labeling, we thought about what were the potential risks of using a color on the packaging.

And in the survey of the literature -that you really can't find too much about the risks of
just the use of color -- however we did come across
discussions on -- that the use of risks are mainly
associated with the application of color coding and
drill down to the inability to distinguish colors or
the colors then become too similar that the different
dosages across the class of drugs are confused.

So the other thing that jumped out at us about color coding is it is not proven to reduce medication errors as many people have covered this morning. So a risk may be if several manufacturers use similar colors.

And in the case of insulin analogs, we felt that if two manufacturers used similar colors, that use would be mitigated by the obvious differences in the different corporate trade dress of each -- across the manufacturers. And also there would be

differences in name. So there would be multiple levels of ways to differentiate these products, not just on color alone.

But one thing we did need to acknowledge was it is unknown if the use of color branding can cause errors. And there was really no way to study it.

So what were the potential benefits of color branding? Color differentiation is favored by practitioners to help reduce product selection errors within a manufacturer's product line. And that has been well discussed by ISMP. However, the biggest benefit for color branding, we felt, was the potential to reduce medication errors, although not proven.

So what was the essence of why we were trying to get color branding on the insulin analogs? We had insulin analog labels without color branding. There was no color on the label to distinguish the brand. And we had documented dispensing medication errors.

Along with these documented dispensing medication errors, we were having an increasing number of patient safety issues. And they were associated with this label confusion. So if the patient went home and was supposed to get NovoLog and got NovoLog

Mix 70/30 instead, with the different pharmacologic action, there is going to be safety issues.

We had marketed Novo Nordisk insulin labeling with color in 178 countries. And some of them for many years. So we felt -- and with almost no issues -- so we felt that we had a good base from which to propose it for use in the United States.

And at the time when we submitted the supplement in 2003, there was no prohibition in the CFR to say that you couldn't use color on the insulin labels. And we did anticipate that the use of color for the reasons summarized previously would give a favorable risk/benefit profile for this specific issue.

And on October 8th, after many discussions with FDA and exchanges of information, we were approved to use color on the NovoLog Mix 70/30 and NovoLog labeling and packaging.

And this is the visual of what we ended up with. And as you can see, we did get the use of color on the label and we also added some differentiation in the name to help distinguish these two very similar products. And as somebody mentioned this morning, if they're on the pharmacy shelves in alphabetical order, these are definitely going to be next to each other.

So we were very happy that we got the color on the labeling. And we would like to express that our position on color on product packaging for insulins and insulin analogs, we support it to aid in the identification of insulin and insulin analogs.

And further we say that color should be proposed by each manufacturer and approved as one variable in -- and as a component of FDA looking at what the trade dress looks like, distinguishing it, name, look, and everything else that goes into looking at how a label differs from a competitor. And what should be approved when the product is approved.

So thank you. And any questions?

DR. SELIGMAN: In looking at what you ultimately were approved to use versus some of the earlier slides where there were much sort of bolder graphics to distinguish them, I was curious as to why you ended up with the latter as opposed to the former.

DR. McELLIGOTT: I think it was the basis of our negotiations. We did try for the initial one but I think maybe with all good negotiations, you come to somewhere in between. And that is what we ended up with. And maybe that's just a first step in maybe getting to what we have in the rest of the world to help distinguish.

2.0

1	But we did succeed in getting color to
2	help aid in the differentiations. So that's a good
3	first step.
4	DR. SELIGMAN: Other questions? Wiley?
5	DR. CHAMBERS: If you do additional
6	insulin products, are you looking to add different
7	colors to additional products?
8	DR. McELLIGOTT: Yes, with each new
9	product coming, we would when we submit our new
10	drug application, we would put our color proposal in
11	there for that product.
12	DR. CHAMBERS: Have you looked forward to
13	try and plan how many colors you think you ultimately
14	can use and still be able to distinguish?
15	DR. McELLIGOTT: Well, some of the newer
16	products wouldn't have the same name. So they
17	wouldn't be as confused. They would maybe be further
18	away on the pharmacy shelves. So we wouldn't
19	necessarily have to distinguish so carefully with each
20	new product.
21	But we would just be looking to using a
22	color just like with any other drug product and drug
23	brand, as you're developing your brand, you think
24	about a color that embodies your brand and you use
25	that on your labeling.

1	DR. CHAMBERS: So potentially you would
2	use that same stripe for an entirely different
3	product?
4	DR. McELLIGOTT: Well, that would be part
5	of the discussion since that was the initial approval
6	for just that stripe with the different colors. So
7	the third product we would have, the discussion would
8	have to be the color could either go as that stripe or
9	could we then move to what we proposed similar to the
LO	European labeling.
L1	DR. CHAMBERS: Ultimately you believe that
L2	your European configuration is a more distinguishable
L3	configuration than what you're currently using in the
L4	United States?
L5	DR. McELLIGOTT: It appears to us and also
L6	that's our trade dress all around the world for each
L7	product, we have the same dress. And then we use the
L8	color to help distinguish our products within our
L9	product range.
20	DR. CHAMBERS: have you thought of a
21	scientific way to show that one is necessarily better
22	than the other? I mean you basically have the
23	opportunity of having two different formats. You
24	could potentially compare them and collect information
25	about whether one is better than another.

1	Although it's different parts of the
2	world, but I'm wondering if you've ever tried doing
3	that kind of comparison to provide that type of
4	information?
5	DR. McELLIGOTT: Well, early on when we
6	were proposing that we wanted to utilize color on the
7	labeling to help with the NovoLog/NovoLog Mix mix-ups,
8	we did look at our error rates in the rest of the
9	world compared to the United States for dispensing
10	errors and package mix-ups.
11	And if you consider that we sell more in
12	the rest of the world than here, we had extremely rare
13	any of those reports compared to the United States
14	where the reports were mounting.
15	CDR. HOLQUIST: Do you think that limited
16	number of reports is attributed to the fact that not
17	most of the rest of the world actually collects data
18	on medication errors at this point in time? And that
19	it is just becoming a new thing in Europe?
20	DR. McELLIGOTT: That is one of the
21	factors. But we did restrict it to not way far back
22	in history but much more current reporting numbers.
23	CDR. HOLQUIST: You stated that with the
24	color branding, it's going to be something that's
25	company specific. What agreements do you have in

1	place that will help alleviate similar colors being
2	used from another manufacturer for the same type of
3	drug product when they want to introduce color
4	branding on their products?
5	DR. McELLIGOTT: Well, we weren't
6	suggesting that there needed to be that kind of
7	control over it. Just as we saw earlier this morning,
8	that there are several manufacturers come up with
9	similar colors for other type drugs.
10	And then when they see that it is out on
11	the market that way, they analyze should they change
12	the color. And that sometimes even contact the
13	manufacturer and discuss changing the color. That was
14	all we would be interested in doing. Nothing further.
15	CDR. HOLQUIST: Do you have plans on
16	introducing the IDF color scheme into the United
17	States for your Humulin products? And do you see that
18	as posing a risk for something that has a definite
19	meaning with a particular color to what you're trying
20	to use with color branding?
21	DR. McELLIGOTT: Well, at this point, we
22	haven't thought about the color on the insulin labels
23	itself. That may come in due time. But, you know, I
24	just can't comment at this time because we haven't

made a proposal to put the colors on those insulin

1	products.
2	DR. SELIGMAN: Any more questions?
3	DR. CARSTENSEN: I'd be interested in
4	hearing what you did in terms of validating the
5	scheme, did you use pharmacists outside your own
6	company to help validate your scheme?
7	DR. McELLIGOTT: For when we
8	DR. CARSTENSEN: When you added
9	DR. McELLIGOTT: developed
10	DR. CARSTENSEN: color.
11	DR. McELLIGOTT: our corporate trade
12	dress?
13	DR. CARSTENSEN: Right.
14	DR. McELLIGOTT: We did do focus groups to
15	see how our trade dress played with the various
16	customer groups.
17	DR. CARSTENSEN: And how do you define a
18	focus group?
19	DR. McELLIGOTT: I think we had many
20	different focus groups, the different levels, the
21	customers, the nurses, the doctors.
22	DR. CARSTENSEN: You had a bunch of people
23	in a room simultaneously and you queried them? Is
24	that what you mean by a focus group?
25	DR. McELLIGOTT: I don't remember how the

1	I think our trade dress has been in play about
2	three or four years and I don't remember how we went
3	about doing the focus groups exactly.
4	But I do remember that as the new look was
5	being developed, there were definite focus groups to
6	see which new look how the new look played against
7	other looks. And that's why this look was selected.
8	DR. CARSTENSEN: Okay.
9	DR. SELIGMAN: Thank you very much.
10	CDR. HOLQUIST: When you showed your slide
11	with the insulin pen, both the pens were actually blue
12	in color and the color at the tip were the only like
13	differentiating factors.
14	Have you experienced, like they do in the
15	ophthalmologic world where patients will just refer to
16	the color of like the pen that they're using? Like I
17	use the blue insulin pen?
18	And if so, do you envision if another
19	company uses that particular color for some other
20	branding, do you anticipate confusion among different
21	product lines that use the same type of device?
22	DR. McELLIGOTT: Are you saying that the
23	people are confusing the navy blue of the pen or the
24	color of the
25	CDR. HOLQUIST: The navy blue of the pen

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	because often times those things will be taken out of
2	the carton that has more color on it and they'll just
3	rely on what's on the actual container label, which
4	would be the pen in that case.
5	DR. McELLIGOTT: Yes, well I think on the
6	pen label, as I showed with that stripe on the outside
7	of the box, that stripe is also on the label on the
8	pen. Right.
9	DR. SELIGMAN: Thank you very much.
10	DR. McELLIGOTT: Thank you.
11	DR. SELIGMAN: Our next speaker is Dr.
12	Joseph Cranston from the American Medication
13	Association. Dr. Cranston?
14	DR. CRANSTON: Good morning. My name is
15	Joseph Cranston. And I'm a pharmacologist by
16	training. And I currently serve as the Director of
17	Science, Research, and Technology at the American
18	Medical Association. And I'm speaking here today at
19	this Part 15 hearing as an official representative of
20	the AMA.
21	First of all, the AMA commends the FDA for
22	holding this hearing to determine the benefits and
23	potential drawbacks of applying color to drug
24	packaging and labeling as a means to identify,
25	classify, or differentiate drug products, and to

prevent medication errors.

The AMA's Council on Scientific Affairs, hereafter to be referred to as the Council, presented a report at the AMA's annual meeting in June 2004 titled "The Role of Color Coding in Medication Error Reduction."

The recommendations of that report were adopted by our House of Delegates, which is our policymaking body. I was the staff author of the report.

The FDA cited this Council report in the Federal Register notice for today's meeting. And my comments today will discuss the major findings of the report and its recommendations.

The Council report only addresses color coding, which has been defined earlier today and in our report we use the ISMP definition as the systematic standard application of a color system to aid in the classification and identification of drug products. A color coding system allows people to memorize a color and match it to its function.

The Council report evaluates current evidence on whether color coding reduces medication errors. The report includes results of a literature review and discussions with experts in the field.

The Council found that currently there are three widely-used color coding systems for pharmaceutical products that are intended to reduce medication errors.

First, as already mentioned today, the USP black-cap packaging requirements for potassium chloride for injection concentrate.

Second, also as already mentioned today, the American Academy of Ophthalmology's uniform color coding system for caps and labels of commercially-available topical ocular medications, a color coding system that is supported by the FDA, at least in one of its Agency guidance documents.

And thirdly, the ASTM Standard D4774-94 for color coding of user applied, not commercially available, user-applied syringe labels in anesthesiology. This ASTM standard, which is supported by the anesthesiology community, assigns a specific color to each class of anesthetic drugs. example, induction agents.

Anesthesiologists affix the appropriate colored labels to syringes containing the appropriate medication prior to surgery. These user-applied color labels on syringes are intended to provide visual queues during surgery so there will be a reduced risk

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of inter-class drug errors due to accidental syringe swapping.

Each of these color coding systems appears to enjoy strong support from health professionals such as ophthalmologists and anesthesiologists. However, based on the Council's literature review, published scientific evidence that shows that color coding reduces medication errors is extremely limited. And for the most part, anecdotal.

Based on volunteer reports to the UPS-ISMP Medication Error Reporting Program, elimination of fatalities due to mix-ups between sodium chloride 0.9 percent injection and potassium chloride for injection concentrate were observed after black caps were required on the vials of the latter product. This observation suggested the color coding had a positive effect on reducing medication errors with these products.

However, deaths due to accidental concentrated potassium chloride injections still occur. Thus medication safety experts such as the ISMP also recommend restricting the availability of potassium chloride for injection concentrate products in clinical areas.

Prior to the implementation of the AAO's

color coding system for commercially-available topical ophthalmics, there were documented cases of serious adverse events resulting from patient difficulty in distinguishing between various ocular medications.

However, the Council could not identify any published scientific studies that evaluated whether this color coding system has led to a reduction in medication errors.

On the other hand, as Dr. Cohen already pointed out this morning, the USP-ISMP Medication Error Reporting Program has received reports of intraclass medication with topical ocular errors medications. For example, mix-ups between cyclopentolate hydrochloride 1 percent and tropicamide 1 percent solutions have been reported.

And as Mike suggested earlier today, while the AAO's color coding system may work well in physician offices and in patients' homes, the potential for error could increase in pharmacies and in nursing units where product packages with similar colors, logos, fonts, and sizes are placed next to one another.

The Council identified one reasonably well designed scientific study that attempted to determine whether the color coding system for user-applied

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

syringe drug labels in anesthesiology actually reduces medication errors. Fasting and Gisvold analyzed inter-operative problems related to anesthesia, including medication errors, that were prospectively recorded for over 55,000 procedures during a 36-month period in a 970-bed hospital in Norway.

After the first 18 months, the anesthesiology department implemented color-coded syringe labels according to ASTM Standard D4774-94 and also had educational meetings and audits that focused on medication errors.

The investigators observed a 37 percent decrease in medication errors after the intervention. However, this was not statistically significant because the total number of medication errors in the study was extremely small, about 0.1 percent. Also, differences in the number of syringe swaps before and after the introduction of color-coded syringes was not statistically significant.

The authors concluded that syringe swaps were not eliminated by color coding and suggested color alone may not be sufficiently strong as a visual queue to eliminate errors.

Thus, based on the Council's literature review, there clearly is a lack of published evidence

1	that proves color coding reduces medication errors.
2	The Council also contacted individual
3	experts from a number of key organizations involved in
4	medication error prevention, including the American
5	Society of Health System Pharmacists, the ISMP, USP,
6	FDA, and PhRMA.
7	All recommended caution in the application
8	of color coding systems in some organizations. As was
9	pointed out by Dr. Myers earlier today, the AHP
10	opposes reliance on color coding to identify drug
11	products.
12	Some of the potential problems with color
13	coding that were identified included the following.
14	And some of these have already been shown today.
15	There's a limit in the number of
16	discernible colors available for commercial use.
17	Subtle distinctions in color are poorly
18	discernible unless products are truly adjacent to one
19	another.
20	As previously noted, color coding of drug
21	classes can increase the chance of intra-class
22	medication errors.
23	Colors may fade when exposed to light.
24	It is not always possible to exactly
25	reproduce Pantone colors from batch to batch.

1	Approximately eight percent of men and
2	less than one percent of women have some difficulty
3	with color vision.
4	Color coding can be error-prone if it is
5	not applied consistently across the industry or within
6	a single manufacturer's product line.
7	Physician and other health professionals
8	may be unable to remember large or multiple color
9	coding systems.
10	And finally, color coding may offer a
11	false sense of security and in some instances, result
12	in failure of the physician or other health
13	professionals to, in fact, read the label.
14	So based on its literature review and
15	discussions with experts in the field, the Council put
16	forth two key recommendations in its report that were
17	adopted by the AMA's House of Delegates.
18	First, the AMA recommends that the FDA,
19	and the USP, and the pharmaceutical industry that
20	color coding of commercially-available pharmaceutical
21	products for the purpose of preventing medication
22	errors be considered cautiously and on a case-by-case
23	basis.
24	And secondly, the AMA encourages further
25	research on the effectiveness or lack thereof of color

1	coding of pharmaceutical products to reduce medication
2	errors.
3	Thank you.
4	DR. SELIGMAN: Thank you, Dr. Cranston.
5	Do we have any questions? Members of the
6	panel?
7	DR. CHAMBERS: Wiley Chambers. Does the
8	AMA have suggestions on how the research would be
9	conducted?
10	DR. CRANSTON: No, frankly.
11	(Laughter.)
12	DR. CRANSTON: On a personal note, I mean
13	I would agree with Dr. Cohen that the more important
14	thing, I think, is at least with color differentiation
15	and even with color coding is to do the studies up
16	front, you know, failure mode and effects analysis and
17	so forth, to ensure before the product is ever
18	marketed, you know, that there is some comfort that
19	what's been selected is going to work.
20	DR. CHAMBERS: I think the question comes
21	down to there are a number of different constituent
22	groups and there's no immediately obvious way to
23	conduct that either prior to or after approval. And
24	there is if there are conflicting results between
25	the different groups, deciding which groups carries

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	more weight is not an easy thing.
2	DR. CRANSTON: I appreciate your problem.
3	(Laughter.)
4	DR. CRANSTON: I don't know how to solve
5	it. I mean we may get calls tomorrow, you know, from
6	the ophthalmologists and the anesthesiologists that
7	they didn't like our presentation. I mean this is the
8	evidence we came up with.
9	DR. CHAMBERS: Thank you.
LO	DR. SELIGMAN: Thank you very much, Dr.
L1	Cranston.
.2	Our next presenter is Dr. James Broselow.
_3	Dr. Broselow, are you here? If he is not, we'll move
_4	on to the next presentation and come back to Dr.
.5	Broselow when he returns.
L6	Dr. Frank Kyle from the American Dental
L7	Association. And I believe I have your presentation
-8	here.
_9	DR. KYLE: Thank you.
20	My name is Frank Kyle. I'm a dentist. I
21	work for the American Dental Association in the
22	Washington, D.C. office. I'm a Manager of Legislative
23	and Regulatory Affairs.
24	And I'm here this morning representing our
25	Council on Scientific Affairs, pretty much at the

invitation of the Food and Drug Administration, to talk about our limited experience with color coding for dental anesthetic cartridges.

I think if any of you have been to a dental office, you're very familiar with the dental anesthetic cartridge. I think it is a fairly unique delivery system for dentistry. I'm not sure of other practitioners that use that particular mode of administering anesthetic.

Let me just say a little bit about the American Dental Association to start with and our Seal of Acceptance. The ADA is a member organization. It has about 152,000 members. That represents about 72 percent of the dentists in the United States.

We have long sought to promote dental product safety and effectiveness and our Seal of Acceptance has become well recognized among consumers and the dental professionals as a measure of that safety and effectiveness.

We -- the ADA that is -- issued the first Seal of Acceptance in 1931. It is strictly voluntary, however, there are more than 300 countries that participate in the Seal Program. There are about 1,100 products that carry the Seal of Acceptance. And I'm sure if you've been to any grocery store or

pharmacy, you've seen the Seal on toothpaste, mouthwashes, et cetera.

About 40 percent of the Seal of Acceptance programs are consumer products such as toothpaste and so on. The rest are, of course, materials that are basically marketed or provided to the profession such as restoratives, antibiotics, and dental anesthetic cartridges.

In the early 1990s, the ADA House of Delegates, again our governing body, passed several resolutions directing that the Association help develop a uniform color coding system for local anesthetic cartridges.

The idea behind this was to provide an extra safety factor complementing the need to read the cartridge label, which could be difficult because of the size. I'm sure you're familiar with that small 1.0 cc cartridge. Furthermore, it was found that in some instances, the cartridge label could become worn off from handling.

So to further this process, the Council on Scientific Affairs held several meetings with manufacturers of ADA-accepted local anesthetics to develop and finalize a code. In addition, there is a Standards Committee on dental products that provided

input into this process.

The manufacturers, in general, were very supportive of the ADA's efforts in developing the color coding system due to their concern about possible confusion due to conflicting color schemes and agreed on a format for a color code: a color band a specific distance from the plunger-end of the cartridge, black lettering for all labeling on the cartridge, and a time period for implementation.

This is basically the color code scheme or the labeling scheme that is used on the dental cartridges. As you can see, there is a color code band specified a specific distance from the end. There is a specific color scheme given to the particular type of anesthetic and I'll show you that in just a moment.

There's the stopper -- the stopper is an orange rubber stopper and it's not designed to be used as an indicator of color code. The lettering is spelled out. And it should be durable so that it is not easily worn off in handling.

Basically there are three types of lidocaine that are used in dentistry. And these are the three color codes that are assigned to the lidocaine. A couple of mepivacaine concentrations

that are commonly used. A couple of prilocaine concentrations that are commonly used. And then two other additional long-lasting anesthetics that are frequently used.

The color coding format, as agreed to by manufacturers, was approved by the Council on Scientific Affairs in 2002. And became part of our ADA Seal Program in 2003. So we just have a few years experience with it.

All of the participants, that is, manufacturing participants in the ADA Seal Program currently comply with this system. That includes about 80 percent of the market for local anesthetic in the United States.

The Association is not aware of any reports of error as the result of the implementation of this color code system. I must say, however, that I don't know that the Association had any particular experience with error reporting prior to the initiation of this color coding system.

And finally, the ADA color coding system has been presented to the ISO for inclusion as an international standard for dental and local anesthetics and that was done in 2003.

And that completes my comments.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	DR. SELIGMAN: Thank you, Dr. Kyle.
2	Any questions, comments from the panel?
3	Carol?
4	CDR. HOLQUIST: Just one comment. We
5	actually did get a report of confusion of someone
6	picking out the wrong syringe. And I think it was the
7	blue color that's used for the mepivacaine because
8	it's like two blues that you use in that same color
9	scheme.
10	I don't think it was administered to the
11	patient. It was caught prior to administration.
12	DR. KYLE: Again, I don't know that we
13	would necessarily get that kind of information. You
14	would probably be the recipient of that information
15	more than we would be.
16	Let me just also add I forgot to
17	mention in my comments that one side effect, if you
18	will, or one benefit of this system is it does assist
19	the support staff of the dentist to order cartridges.
20	Like they can easily when they're running low on a
21	particular concentration or branding or whatever that
22	they might want to keep in supply.
23	DR. CHAMBERS: Does the ADA have any plans
24	to either conduct a response or any kind of testing to
25	look at the utility of this system?

	DR. RILE: None that I am aware or.
2	CDR. HOLQUIST: And do you foresee the
3	introduction of many other products within that color
4	scheme?
5	DR. KYLE: You know that's a good
6	question. And I'm afraid I don't know the answer to
7	that. I would basically assume that these were the
8	products in place in the early 2000s when the system
9	was put into place. I don't know of any new advances
10	in local anesthetic.
11	My guess is that if such a new product
12	were developed, they would again meet with the
13	standards group and determine a color code for that
14	new class or new category of anesthetic. But I'm not
15	aware of any that have been introduced. And I don't
16	know exactly the procedure that will be done.
17	CDR. HOLQUIST: In your practice setting,
18	is it that all of these cartridges are just laid out
19	on the tray? Or are they already loaded into the
20	DR. KYLE: In my experience, and I suspect
21	this is true for most practitioners, they have a
22	limited assortment. They wouldn't necessarily have
23	all eight or ten in their own offices. They would
24	have their favorite ones, probably two or three maybe
25	with some short-acting and some long-acting and that

sort of thing.

Generally speaking, depending on the procedure set up for that or that patient's particular treatment diagnosis, they would know whether they would want a short-, or a medium-, or a long-acting anesthetic.

And probably the doctor's preference is well known to the assistant and she just puts -- he or she puts out the cartridge appropriate to that, maybe a couple of them, that sort of thing.

So there's probably only one or two on the treatment tray at any given time. If you needed, you know again, for instance an oral surgery procedure, they might do moderately acting anesthetic to start the procedure, to do say the extraction, and then give a long-acting anesthetic at the end of the procedure to tide the patient over until the full effect of their analgesics might kick in.

So there might be two different ones. But you wouldn't have probably more than a couple on a tray at any one time.

DR. CHAMBERS: Are there any other color schemes used for any other ADA --

DR. KYLE: None that I'm aware of. And none that the Council of Scientific Affairs identified

NEAL R. GROSS

_	
2	DR. CHAMBERS: Okay. And I take it from
3	your earlier statement, there are no plans to expand
4	beyond the anesthetic?
5	DR. KYLE: None that I'm aware of. Again,
6	this is we're very much a provider-driven
7	organization. So if the dentists came to us and said
8	we would really like to see a restorative material
9	color system, then they would probably take a look at
10	that. But I don't think there are any plans to do
11	that.
12	DR. CHAMBERS: Thank you.
13	DR. SELIGMAN: Thank you, Dr. Kyle.
14	Dr. Jensen, Dr. Allan Jensen from the
15	American Academy of Ophthalmology.
16	DR. JENSEN: Thanks. Thank you very much.
17	I am Allan Jensen. I'm a practicing ophthalmologist.
18	I actually see patients in the City of Baltimore.
19	And I'm past President of the American Academy of
20	Ophthalmology. And I appreciate all of the previous
21	references. We also appreciate the invitation to
22	address the hearing.
23	A number of points, first of all, we've
24	been successfully using a color coding system for over
25	20 years. We have no science to prove that it is

helpful. We do think it's been a safety feature for our patients and for our doctors. But again, we have no science and I don't know of any scientific studies that show that.

I would like to agree with the previous speakers that color coding is not the major answer. It is certainly necessary to read the label.

And as someone seeing patients mostly of Medicare age, some with poor vision, I certainly agree, again, that we should have large labels although it is hard to put a large label on an eyedrop bottle. We should use good contrast. Black and white is much better than gray on maroon or maroon on gray. And there should be some uniformity in the labeling of these drugs.

In ophthalmology, we are unique because all the drops look the same. We don't have different colored pills and different shaped pills. All the drops are tiny and round and either clear or usually white.

We continue to endorse a system -- a uniform color coding system for topical ocular medications, again, as we have for over 20 years.

Many of our patients are partially sighted and elderly. And they are especially susceptible to

accidental installation of the incorrect eyedrops.

The uniform color coding system will help patients and doctors distinguish amongst eye medications.

You should be aware, and I know you are, many of our patients after surgery are taking three or four different drops. And to be perfectly honest,

many of our patients after surgery are taking three or four different drops. And to be perfectly honest, they don't say I'm taking my Ocuflox and my prednisolone, and my levalbuterol.

They say -- and we ask are you taking the tan drop and the red drop and the pink drop. And even very intelligent patients, if they're only taking them for three or four weeks, find it much more easy to talk about the color of the bottle rather than the exact name of the drop.

And when I start my practice each day, I have five or six bottles lined up. And some are dilating drops. Some are anesthetics. Some are glaucoma drops. And I'll certainly identify them at the beginning of the day.

But when I see 30 patients a day, I don't look at the label each time I put the drop in. I've done that at the beginning of the day. And after that, I identify them by the color of the bottle.

This system was originally initiated after we, at the Academy, received reports and we received

NEAL R. GROSS

reports from the National Registry of Ocular-Induced Drug Side Effects, saying that there indeed had been errors because people had confused their medications.

And we've developed this system in cooperation not only with the FDA but with the pharmaceutical industry, which has been very helpful.

Twenty years ago, it was one of my first jobs in the Academy.

And one of the things I learned, interestingly, it's very expensive for the drug companies to put these tints on the bottles and on the caps. They have to make sure they're not toxic. Have to make sure they don't degrade the drug and so forth.

We chose the colors and some of this is lost in history. I don't know why we used red for one and green for others. But we choose them by classes of drugs, not by exact drugs, which we'll talk about a little later, but according to the nature of the disease, the produce side effect profile, and the risk of serious sequella if they should be mixed up.

As I say, we've done this in cooperation with the pharmaceutical industry. And we still work with them. We certainly will not have 100 percent consensus because of limited colors. And this system has been based upon primarily patient safety,

prescribing patterns, and the available color options.

Here is indeed our system. And I would like to respond a bit to Dr. Cohen's remarks. When he did show the three drops all with yellow tops with different names, the fact is they were all the same class of drugs. They were beta-blockers.

The color of the cap, the color of the bottle is not meant to tell exactly what the name of the drug is but it is to tell which class it is in.

And you still do indeed have to read the label.

Likewise, when he was throwing out Bausch & Lomb bottles that looked similar in color, the fact is they were all antibiotics. And they all were the same color tan because they were antibiotics. And the purpose is not to identify the precise drug but just the class of drug. And it certainly is true there can be intra-class errors.

It was mentioned on the slides the colors got washed out. So I actually brought some real examples. And the red is for dilating drops or cycloplegics. Orange is carbonic anhydrase inhibitors. Green are miotics. And that can be pilocarpine or it could be carbachol. We don't know. You have to read the label.

One of the points that was also brought

out was that the labels can be -- or the tops can be mixed up. And I certainly agree.

Our policy just says that top and the label should be the same color. It's very helpful, though, and this company has done it, that also the top of the bottle be colored. So if you do mix up that cap, the patient can still tell the difference. Not all companies do that.

So I would say if you are going to have a policy or change the policy, besides having the cap and the label be color coded, the top of the bottle should also be color coded.

We do have the two ongoing concerns. Number one, as we see more and more generics, they're not all following the rules. And I get some drops now that all have white tops and white labels. And indeed those I do have to read the label every time I use it. And it makes it a little difficult for me and the patient.

To me one of the most major problems we have is not color coding but -- and this was mentioned also before -- we see a lot of accidents, once a week in the emergency room, patients who have non-drugs, we talked about guaiac testing materials, we see the glue, we see Super Glue in bottles that look exactly

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	like eyedrops. And I don't know who should regulate
2	that.
3	But I think a major problem we should try
4	to address is how to avoid confusion for the patients
5	when non-ophthalmological are put in bottles that just
6	look like eyedrops and cause significant injury.
7	In summary, the Academy of Ophthalmology
8	continues to recommend this uniform color-coding
9	system for the caps, labels, and ideally the bottle
10	tops of all topical ocular medications. We don't have
11	science. But it has been very useful to us. And we
12	think it has been a safety measure for our patients.
13	I'm certainly glad to answer any
14	questions.
15	DR. SELIGMAN: Thank you, Dr. Jensen.
16	Any questions from members of the panel?
17	CDR. HOLQUIST: You mentioned that within
18	a product class, like within the same class, errors
19	wouldn't necessarily be a problem for you. What about
20	if somebody had an allergy to one of the antibiotics
21	or there was like a steroid used in one of the
22	combinations, do you see a lot of that type of
23	confusion? Or is it just
24	DR. JENSEN: Well, certainly if it's in
25	the antibiotic class, people can be allergic to one

1	antibiotic and not another. You have to take the
2	history and look at the label. The color coding is
3	not to eliminate that. It's just so you know if it is
4	an antibiotic.
5	And you're right. It becomes very
6	complicated when people have combination drugs. There
7	are drugs that are a combination of antibiotics,
8	steroids, and frankly I don't know how we code those.
9	It does become a problem.
10	Dr. Chambers may know the answer to some
11	of those things.
12	CDR. HOLQUIST: Are you running out of
13	colors?
14	DR. JENSEN: Yes.
15	(Laughter.)
16	DR. MEYER: Who do you see as the primary
17	target for this color? For your particular color
18	coding? Is it for the patients? Is it for the
19	practitioner? Presumably not so much the pharmacists.
20	DR. JENSEN: I was interested in the
21	discussion that the pharmacists might be confused
22	about the colors and give the wrong drug. Now the
23	pharmacists job is to read the label and give out the
24	right drug no matter what the color is.
25	Number one, it is for the patient. We

1	have 80-year-old patients who have bad vision, who are
2	getting feeble, and it's hard for them to recognize.
3	I think it helps them tremendously to have a color on
4	the top of their bottle. And clearly it helps us,
5	too.
6	DR. CHAMBERS: This is Wiley Chambers.
7	Let me just make take the opportunity to make one
8	comment. The slide that was shown with the
9	combination steroid/anti-infective are not following
10	the scheme.
11	The steroid/anti-infective combinations
12	are approved as steroids. They have labels that say
13	they are for steroid indications. And they are
14	supposed to have pink caps. They were not supposed to
15	have tan caps. That's an error with the manufacturer.
16	That's not reflective of the color coding system.
17	DR. JENSEN: Thanks. Thank you.
18	DR. SELIGMAN: Thank you, Dr. Jensen.
19	Has Dr. Broselow arrived yet?
20	Why don't we take a few minutes then and
21	ask if there are any questions or comments from
22	members of the audience, either for any of the
23	speakers or anything that anyone would like to add or
24	say.

We're going to give Dr. Broselow another

1 15 or 20 minutes to show up since we were ahead of schedule. 2 3 comments? Thoughts? Are there any 4 Ouestions? Anecdotes? Good stories? 5 Yes, sir? Please identify yourself. MR. **NEWMAN:** Yes, Rick Newman, 6 R&D 7 Services. 8 Just a thought, you know, as I'm searching for consensus and seeing where folks are going with 9 10 all of this and trying to understand some of the next 11 steps, it seems like color coding in one specific area 12 that, especially with user applied in the 13 ophthalmic situation, but then as I look at the area of color differentiation, using differentiation, which 14 15 a lot of people are using both from a manufacturing 16 standpoint, it's starting to be used by some pharmacy 17 compounders for use in hospitals when there 18 specific needs and issues. 19 And I got to thinking that really it's 20 like talking about use what we 21 highlighter, with a highlighter pen when we're looking 22 at our notes or reading a book. That if we highlight

the key information, as Dr. Cohen was talking about, for the dosage or the strength, and just use it to highlight those key points that we want to be sure we

23

24

1	get across to whoever might be using the product, that
2	might be a good way to look at the limited use of
3	color. Just a thought.
4	DR. SELIGMAN: I think that would probably
5	fall in sort of the class of what we call
6	differentiation, I presume, highlight.
7	Any other comments from members of the
8	audience? Any questions for the speakers?
9	Mary, do we have any information or status
0	on the one remaining speaker? Okay. Well, our final
.1	speaker is coming from some distance. So he may be
2	stuck.
_3	So I think what we'll do then, if you
_4	don't mind, rather than keep you all waiting, is let's
.5	take a 30-minute break. We'll reconvene at 12:15 when
-6	he is scheduled to speak. And hopefully he'll be
.7	here. And that will be the final presentation.
-8	So let's all take a 30-minute break then,
_9	okay?
20	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter
21	went off the record at 11:43
22	a.m. and went back on the record
23	at 12:14 p.m.)
24	DR. SELIGMAN: Our final speaker has

1 any of you who has ever come from a large family, I'm sure you'll be very interested in this one, "Color 2 3 Coding Kids." I never could figure out how my mom kept all of us apart. So please be seated and we'll 4 5 begin in just a moment. Our final speaker of this morning is Dr. 6 James Broselow. Dr. Broselow? 7 8 DR. BROSELOW: Thank you very much. a pleasure to be here. And I'm sorry I was late. Ι 9 10 went to an awful lot of trouble to be late. 11 at five o'clock in North Carolina. 12 Anyway, this is called Color Coding Kids. 13 And when I say we, it's Dr. Bob Luten, I've worked with Dr. Luten since back in the 80s. And I'm going 14 15 to give you a little bit of background on this idea so 16 it won't look like it's coming out of the middle of 17 nowhere. This is me and this is -- that's my claim 18 to fame. That's the Broselow Tape. And it's a 19 20 length-based device. I was in family practice in 21 Frankenmuth, Michigan, and I moved to Hickory, North 22 Carolina, and I switched over to emergency medicine. 23 did Ι And when Ι that, started moonlighting in emergency department and go fairly 24

comfortable with adults but recognized fairly early on

that in a rural ER in the United States, when children came in, it was a nightmare.

First of all, everything was size related, all their equipment, their drug dosages. You'd have to look at the child, guess their weight. A lot of times they were unconscious or in a lot of distress. They couldn't move or talk. So you didn't really know how big they were. Sometimes you didn't have an age.

Then you had to estimate from estimate of their age what their weight was. complex formula, you'd come up with weight estimation. You would try and round it kilograms.

Then you would start to try to pull from memory or a card all the doses of the various drugs that were nightmarishly close, milligrams of atrophine .1, so-and-so .01, so-and-so .2. And you were doing all these drug dosing and then telling the nurse the dose. If you happened to figure out, they didn't know if it was correct. And then they would have to translate that to mLs, of course, to give it to the patient.

Meanwhile, you were treating things that people never saw, rare emergencies. Everybody was nervous. You couldn't find the equipment. And so it

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

was really a mess.

And I had the idea to get something more objective. And so I did some studies of just rural North Carolina on the relationship between children's length and their weight. And as you would guess, length was a good predictor of weight. And we knew it would work.

We didn't know if it was close enough to be useful but the studies that I did did show a good correlation. It was repeated by the group that put the PALS course together. And they did a national study. And it showed that length was the best predictor of weight.

Well, once you had this relationship here with weight and length, you can make little kilogram boxes. And in those boxes were calculated the dosage of all those drugs. So you were actually measuring the dose from the child. It was a direct measurement.

And so this has been well accepted. Once we started looking at length and weight, it was interesting to look at what else might correlate with length.

And I was most interested in equipment because the pediatric equipment for resuscitation was very critical, especially the endotracheal tubes so

NEAL R. GROSS

that they would fit snugly because you can't blow them up and make them fit like you can an adult's that have balloons on them.

So a number of studies were done in some children's hospitals and other hospitals' operating suite, and it showed that length was the best predictor of endotracheal tube sizes.

Well, once we had those critical pieces of equipment, we related the other equipment for children and put them in length zones. And so this little part of the tape over here that is colored had the name of about 20 pieces of equipment. Well, we thought if we color coded it, you wouldn't have to read all the equipment.

And it led to a storage system in which you could measure a child, get their length, and then you could open a drawer like this crash cart that has color-coded equipment that matches. Or you could just store your own equipment by color. But it was a way of getting the proper size equipment.

This is just another way of doing this.

This is a soft bag. This is velcroed off so in a hospital, if the child were a purple, you could take that purple bag off that has their critical equipment and send them in the stretcher if they go to Trauma

for a CT. And also that, you know, could by used by EMS. It rolls up.

So that was a system that we developed. This is just to show you a time frame. These worried-looking guys are EMTs. That's a Broselow Tape. That's that bag I showed you.

This is Dunblane, Scotland, in one of those early tragedies when a crazy person came in and shot a bunch of children in the same classroom. They had just put the system in. This says yellow boxes were lifesavers. Why were they yellow? Because most of the kids were the same size because they were in the same class.

So what I'm showing this for is to show that this has been fairly widely used and also it wasn't just me in a rural ER in North Carolina that had a problem. I had addressed pretty much a universal problem with children in the sizing issue.

And when I tell this story -- I'm going to get into the system but my daughter is getting her Ph.D. in German literature next month. She can't do math. She had no interest in medicine. But when she was about 15 years old, she could take a "Broselow Tape" and come up with the dose of every drug for a pediatric emergency, every piece of equipment, and she

had no medical training.

So the implications were if I could take one of the hardest things for me to learn as a physician and take it so my daughter could do it, there were strong implications in that.

So Dr. Luten and I have spent a long period of time trying to develop a system related on those concepts. And, you know, basically what we want to do, we call this Color Coding Kids but what we want to do is we want to color code kilograms. And we want to put them into zones because medications have ranges.

As you all know, amoxicillin 500, 50 to 100 is the range. So a drug has a range. So we revised the ranges so the color ranges were within the therapeutic ranges of drugs. And with that in mind, we could actually have a universal system.

Now you could call the range 2-3, like 2-3 kilograms, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, but they're numbers. They're confusing because milligrams are numbers, mLs are numbers, weight is a number, the time is a number. So we wanted to call the zones something different.

So what you could think well, let's call them a name. You could call them an apple and a pear and a peach. We elected to call them a color. Why do

NEAL R. GROSS

I mean call them a color? Because if somebody called an emergency department and measured a kid and got their length color, they could say their color is blue. But you don't see the color blue, right? It's a word that indexes a zone.

But also because it is color coded, you can see it. So it has that added dimension on top of the name.

So this is just to show some information that this has become widely accepted. It's in PALS and Apples and most of the major textbooks because length was the best predictor of weight.

Interestingly, it does a couple things. One, is it predicts weight because it's the best predictor and we have a bunch of studies. Two, it defines ideal body weight in the sense that many acute drugs just go into the water part of the body anyway. So it's not a bad place to start. And third, it's the best correlation with equipment which you're doing at the same time.

Now we talked about length, but this is clearly a weight-based system in the sense if you want to color code a child and you know that they're 41 to 51 pounds or 19 to 23 kilograms, you have a weight. There's no reason to length them to get the weight.

So they would be a blue.

But we had the added feature that if you don't have a weight, you can always get in your information system. You can get a color that gets you into your tools.

So in this scenario, it's a "Broselow Tape" with nothing written on it. Picture a carpenter's tape, or later we'll show you a wall chart, but it's the relationship between length, lean body mass, and weight. So it indexes a system.

I'm not going to go into this but we're building a hospital system and a system for physicians and people that treat children. And the easiest way to see how this would work is this is a pocket book. That's the weight range.

So in this scenario, you go to that section of the book. You're in the blue section. And everything is related to those weights in kilograms. And it shows you -- we can do analgesics and antibiotics. And you'll see that there are a bunch of antibiotics.

We tend to use keywords to identify these zones like we call this amoxicillin high dose. The dose is 12 mLs every 12 hours. So it really just calculates for you. But it takes care of size-related

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

things. If the kid is a blue, then we know what size of cefaclor we want to use because we know his size. So we can give some recommendations.

And I can go into this later. I'm not

And I can go into this later. I'm not really going to go into the system. But we're trying to do is develop a system for hospitals that reduces errors.

In this scenario, these doses tend to be the dose the doctor would order like milligrams or mLs. In our hospital system, we're trying to get the color actually to give the dose in mLs, what the nurse would pull out at the scene.

An example of how we could do this -- and this gets more related to labeling, this is what I call a mLs rainbow. It's for epinephrine. The one on the left is the 1/10,000 concentration. The one on the right is 1/1,000.

Now what could you do with that label? Well, if you take that one on the right and you put it on that box of epinephrine, then your Broselow pull-out tape, your color, or the weight color tells you the mLs that you pull out of the bottle.

See, all the steps are eliminated. You go directly from your thought process to what you want to give the child. When you think of how many steps

people go through to calculate, to estimate, and then they can make errors in any of those stages but some of the bigger errors are time delays and also that people get very nervous, especially if you're working with a team.

In a way, this is very similar to amps in adults. You know the old amp thing where you open an amp, you screw it in, and you give it. Well, in kids, we don't want to be cute and put every medicine in an amp. But we want to put information in amps.

In other words, once you know the child is a blue, then what you want to perform here is you just go into the color.

You'll notice in our system that we never give a color without the name. So that's how we handle color blind. People have asked us that. It will be a fixed sequence. But also we always have the name.

This is over-the-counter medications and the approach to that. Again, it's a weight-based system. We would recommend that people use weights if they have it to get the color. But this is the idea of a wall chart to get the color. So you get into a color information system.

Now this is an example of how a color, a

NEAL R. GROSS

length color, could relate to a line on a syringe.

Now you'll notice that you don't really have to speak

much English to do that, do you, once you kind of

understand how it works.

If you know your child's color or weight, then you use that color to go directly to the syringe.

If you don't, you can length them and use a wall chart.

And why would you want to use length for over-the-counter medicines? Well, weight is fine. But if you want a safety system, think about length. It's almost impossible to overdose anybody by length. Any normal child.

Now how can I say that? Well, first of all, if the child is normal, the length predicts their ideal body weight. So it's the right color. If the child is obese, a lot of us don't necessarily want to dose the heavy dose. So this is less. You're not going to overdose anybody.

Well, how about the small child? Well, we've got a range of, like Tylenol or acetaminophen has a range so you put it in the middle of the range, you've got almost 20 percent before you get out of the range that the child has to be underweight. In addition, you're using length which is ideal body

weight. And real thin kids tend to have more water relative to their size so you have a little bit of a buffer there.

So think about how far a child would have to fall off of the scale to overdose with this. And they would be an outlier. That's not a normal child. They've got some kind of illness. They're 30 percent below ideal weight. So outside of those children, you really could not overdose anybody with this system.

This is, again, the idea -- not a syringe to make it simpler but, you know, this might work for acetaminophen and Motrin which are the same dose per mLs. And so the child would be a yellow and you fill it up to yellow and you pour it in a cup or you could pour it in a spoon. And you've given an accurate dose.

This, to me, I've been talking about color-coded tools, like a color-coded syringe. You could see a color-coded cup or measuring device. But this is really the concept we're getting at. We're talking about color-coded information. That mLs label that is on there is the same mLs label that would be on a box of epinephrine for a code.

In other words, you get the color and you look in the color. And as an international system, it

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	could always be mLs. So you're in Belgium. And you
2	look at the box of medicine. And you look in the
3	rainbow and your kid is a yellow. And you look in the
4	number and that's mLs. You do not have to speak
5	English to do that.
6	And if you're too poor to have a scale at
7	home, you can always have a paper wall chart.
8	And you can learn it in your own language.
9	You could be Hmong and come into America and they can
10	explain to you that we use a color-coded system here.
11	Or you people that may not be fluent in
12	French, you could be in Belgium or in France and your
13	kid has a fever and you pick up a bottle of medicine.
14	And you look in your child's color and that has the
15	dose in mLs.
16	If it were tablets, it could have the
17	number of tablets just as easily.
18	But it is an international way of giving
19	information. And here's a cup. And that's the four
20	mL line. So a person that does not speak English
21	could walk up to a wall chart, look in the color, see
22	the number, recognize the number on whatever cup was
23	in it and give the dose.
24	Is this too easy? Is this too
25	unimportant? You know people don't feel like treating

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

fevers is all that important. And doctors know that it doesn't matter. And we know that the public panics over it. But at the very least, there's probably 10 percent of emergency department visit occur strictly because the fever is not adequately treated.

It certainly would be cost-effective, it would pay for itself. How much anxiety to those poor people that do think it matters when the kid has 104 fever? How about if they're Spanish? And in the middle of the night, they're struggling with a fever. Why not give them a way to do it?

This is just -- you all know this probably -- published studies. They never give it right. When I talk in front of ER audiences, I always ask them if they have ever had two or three kids in a row with the right dose of Tylenol because you can almost write it up. Somehow the public never seems to get the dose right.

And so these are just a summary here of some of the studies that have been done. They all show less than 50 percent adequate treatment of fevers with acetaminophen.

Evidence-based, Duke actually did three studies on this. The first two were not published because they did not have historical details. In one

of the studies, 20 percent of the people did not speak English. They found the same thing.

This is the one that was published in the Archives of Pediatrics. And it showed that, again, the very poor performance by the public of dosing over-the-counter medications and there was very close to 100 percent correct dosing in this particular formula.

The conclusion of the authors, "This study suggests a marked improvement in the caregiver's ability to correctly determine and measure an overthe-counter medication for their child using a color-coded method compared with conventional methods."

There was an editorial review of this and this is a copy of that. And the conclusion there speaks for itself. "Using a simple color-coded syringe with instructions for measuring acetaminophen doses makes it less likely for parents to underdose and overdose their child with the medicine."

Again, the implications of this are broad. We're talking about Tylenol. But you're actually talking about any kind of an over-the-counter medication. You're talking about every language that it could be done. You're talking about color coding so that the public knows their child's color. It's

1	easier to remember. It's easier to track even if it's
2	a weight color.
3	You're talking about building on
4	prevention systems where a child has a color for
5	Tylenol or Motrin and then is in the hospital and a
6	drug comes from the pharmacy, a chemotherapeutic agent
7	that's potentially toxic. It has the color of that
8	kid on the drug. The child says, "Whoa, I'm not a
9	blue. I'm a red." The parent who doesn't speak
LO	English.
L1	The ability to tie this into infusion
L2	devices, defibrillators, equipment, anything that has
L3	sizing associated with it.
L4	You know why does it make sense to color
L5	code children because they change. And they change
L6	if you're an ER doc like me, if you're a first
L7	responder taking a kid out of a swimming pool, if
L8	you're an ICU nurse who is struggling with how to mix
L9	up an infusion, or you are a parent who doesn't speak
20	English in the middle night, and they're color coded
21	because they come in different colors. And color is
22	truly a universal language.
23	Thank you.
24	DR. SELIGMAN: Thank you very much.
25	Panelists, do you have some questions?

1 **HOLQUIST:** This concept is pretty intriquing to me. I guess I have some questions with 2 3 its use in OTC products where -- maybe I'm just 4 understanding the concept correctly. 5 Each one of these products that will be coming from the manufacturer, whether -- like with the 6 7 of Tylenol, it comes in concentrated 8 formulation and it comes in the regular elixir. each one of those products would have their own color 9 10 for that particular product? 11 DR. BROSELOW: No, no. That is a rainbow. 12 That's a colored rainbow you saw up there. It has 13 all colors. And the rainbow is a way of 14 information. And it is concentration-specific. In other words, the mLs in that rainbow 15 16 are fixed to the drug that it is in. In other words, 17 the way you recognize concentration is at the level 18 where you apply that to the container. by definition, the mLs are the color. 19 20 CDR. HOLQUIST: So this would take the 21 whole undertaking of kind of making every manufacturer 22 label their product exactly the same way? Because 23 label with cc's, some label with mLs. And

there's even confusion among, you know, what

means versus --

24

1	DR. BROSELOW: Well, any time there is
2	confusion, then there is a possibility
3	CDR. HOLQUIST: Right.
4	DR. BROSELOW: of a standard system to
5	reduce that, you know. So we would hope that people
6	going and, of course, to go to a more standard
7	system would help with that.
8	I would like to say, you know, as a
9	doctor, when I got into medicine are you parents
10	a lot of you parents didn't you always wonder why -
11	- how come ten pounds isn't always a teaspoon? Right?
12	How come?
13	Well, I was very naive. I started
14	learning about some of this other stuff. I thought
15	well, you know why not. Because if you made the so-
16	and-so more concentrated, it wouldn't taste as good,
17	you know? And I've got my whole company set up to do
18	this. And I'm not going to change my concentration.
19	I think Tony Temple years ago wanted to
20	have a standardization whereby the dose was similar.
21	And the problem was all the drug companies would have
22	to reformulate. I mean it's a ridiculous idea.
23	But the color takes care of that. You
24	don't reformulate anything. From the point of view of
25	the person using it, it's always a blue dose, see? We

put the color on the bottle. We do with paper what you would have to do by redoing your whole manufacturing process. We save you money. We allow you to communicate.

We don't have your drug representatives going into -- with a wonderful new drug that's wonderful and spending a half an hour trying to figure out how to get the doc to use it because doc is older like me and he can only remember the doses he already knows.

So you give him pinwheels. And you give him this which all makes sense when you get one or two or three or five. But when you have 10 or 20 or 30, it stops making sense.

So from the pharmaceutical industry point of view, having a standard way to communicate -- it doesn't have to be the only way. There can be other ways. We're not trying to give anything less than you already have. This is an additional system. But you can buy into it whenever you come in and say this is the drug, the dose. And by the way, it's standard color coding. The dose is standardized.

DR. SELIGMAN: So at present in emergency rooms, are these user applied? In other words, in the emergency rooms, do they have to organize themselves

1	along this line if they use the tape? I saw drawers
2	in a crash cart that looked like they were
3	corresponding to those colors as well.
4	DR. BROSELOW: Right.
5	DR. SELIGMAN: And again, they emergency
6	room would have to organize itself in a way that puts
7	the right endotracheal tubes and all the various
8	devices as well as drugs in the appropriate bins, is
9	that right?
10	DR. BROSELOW: Right. They don't have to.
11	But that's been what has happened. Because, you
12	know, we started out with the community hospitals,
13	which do not have a lot of resources. And for them it
14	was a no-brainer. You know they're not a children's
15	hospital but it makes it easier for them.
16	The thing about a system is not just that
17	things fit. It's that they're there. I found one of
18	the biggest problems was that you had four No. 2 tubes
19	and one No. 7, and no No. 6. So our system makes you
20	go through everything. That's the advantage of a
21	system. It makes you think of every little portal and
22	to try to fill that portal.
23	So yes, the tape is used probably in 80 to
24	90 percent of at least the community hospitals in

And it is used some abroad.

America.

25

The equipment

1	storage system, I mean when I went to Duke years ago,
2	they just took the tape and put color bins. I just
3	saw an article in Australia where they just took bins
4	and put it in. But a lot of them use that at least to
5	store equipment.
6	DR. SELIGMAN: Given its claimed
7	widespread use, has there been an expression of
8	interest on the behalf of device manufacturers for
9	labeling their products in a way that would correspond
10	to the color schema that you have?
11	DR. BROSELOW: Yes, GE actually you
12	know a number of years ago, there was an issue. I
13	don't know if you followed it about radiation,
14	excessive radiation in children.
15	DR. SELIGMAN: Yes.
16	DR. BROSELOW: That they were setting
17	their CT scanners for adults. Why? Because you never
18	want to miss anything, you know, so you turn it up.
19	And there was an epidemiological study that there
20	could be as many as 10,000 cases of cancer when those
21	children grew up.
22	So the people at Duke got interested in
23	working with GE to make settings for children and also
24	using our color code to make it easier. What they
25	found was one and so they used the color code and

1 they found two things in their study. One is it was more accurate. I don't know why. You'd think they'd 2 be able to make a chart but for some reason it was. 3 But two was like there were 17 techs and 4 5 them preferred color coding. So what does that mean? It means you can 6 7 In other words, if it is easy to do, people do 8 it. And so we want to make it easy for people to make this step. 9 So as the result of that, GE actually 10 11 licensed the color code. And now all their new CT 12 scanners have the software in it that if the child 13 comes in with an armband or sticker and they want to 14 use the color, they can set it. 15 Jerome Medical makes cervical devices. And they color code those because they have Miami J as 16 17 their collars but they have sizing. So our hope is we're looking at how it 18 could work with infusion devices and different kinds 19 20 of manufacturers we've talked to. 21 Had an FDA presentation with Meridian with 22 the of color coding the autoinjectors 23 children because they have a size problem. One of the ironic things is the bigger autoinjector is for the 24 25 smaller kids. So they want to have a simple way of

	1000911121119 5120.
2	We met with a manufacturer of bone marrow
3	needles who is talking about color coding that.
4	So we're just getting started with that.
5	But our hope is that we would set a platform. And
6	once the platform were in place, other people could
7	tie into it and simplify things.
8	DR. SELIGMAN: Yes, Wiley?
9	DR. CHAMBERS: Do you envision this color
10	wheel for to be on the box of a medication? On the
11	label of the bottle? As a pull-out? Where did you
12	DR. BROSELOW: This is information. In
13	other words, we want to show that you can use color to
14	get information. As far as the exact tool that people
15	would use, I would say that we would need to
16	communicate with the people and what the issues are.
17	Whether sizing is in issue in general, I don't know
18	the answer.
19	DR. CHAMBERS: Right. But you've
20	obviously thought about this for a while. Where do
21	you think it is most useful? To be on the carton?
22	DR. BROSELOW: On an over-the-counter
23	medicine, I would like to see a little universal
24	label, mLs label, on any bottle that can be given to
25	children. That's what I would like to see.

1	DR. CHAMBERS: On the bottle? Or on the
2	carton? Or both?
3	DR. BROSELOW: I would actually see it
4	right on the bottle.
5	DR. CHAMBERS: Okay.
6	DR. BROSELOW: Because you take it out of
7	the carton and so that's where I would like to see it.
8	I mean I would see the little rainbow there. I would
9	like to think of a little symbol that showed
10	frequency. Because if we had some way of showing the
11	frequency or the number of times a day to give it plus
12	the dose in mLs, that would be truly international.
13	And so I think I've given some thought
14	to that but I don't have a good solution for that.
15	DR. CHAMBERS: Having spent time trying to
16	fit everything that is required to be on the label of
17	a bottle
18	DR. BROSELOW: Yes.
19	DR. CHAMBERS: I'm just trying to
20	envision where there is extra room to go and put
21	something else. Or what you can take off if you put
22	this on instead.
23	DR. BROSELOW: You'd have to ask them what
24	we'd take off. But, yes, I don't know the answer to
25	that. And you'd like it to be big. You'd like it to
	1

1	be so older people can read it. You know one of the
2	things we looked, as an error prevention system, was
3	the size of decimal points. And all those issues.
4	And I'd like it to be so that somebody can
5	wake up in the middle of the night and look at a label
6	and see a number and know the dose. So it would be
7	nice if there was room to make it larger. It
8	certainly could be in a little thing that came out
9	that was a pediatric thing.
10	DR. SELIGMAN: How accepting has the sort
11	of organized pediatric community been in terms of the
12	American Academy of Pediatrics and others in adopting
13	such a system? Are pediatricians at the point now of
14	calling and telling parents at well-child visits or on
15	other visits that you are a yellow or a white or a
16	green?
17	DR. BROSELOW: In some ways, this has been
18	very difficult for us to decide how to do. The
19	standard system has been present for a number of
20	years.
21	My biggest criticism of color coding would
22	be how about if I train all my residents and it isn't
23	there? How about if it isn't there three years from
24	now? How broad is this going to be?

So it was our feeling that we had to fully

develop the system and know how everything worked.

And then come out with it at the same time so people would see the inevitability of it.

So we have taken a long time. Now we're kind of coming out of the closet so to speak on this and that we have a number of centers, Christian in Delaware, NYU in New York, Mayo Clinic ER, and a number of other hospitals that have looked at this.

I can tell you there is a very strong interest in error reduction. I can tell you that there is a huge anxiety about children from the lay public to adults.

So we think the timing is correct for this. In some ways, I didn't know whether to go over the counter, you know I have an agenda. I want to get this going. In some ways, you could go to over the counter like at Tylenol and they could push this agenda almost on to physicians coming out of over the counter.

My feelings were I'd like it to come out of medicine. I don't want something to be foist on doctors. So what we're doing is kind of -- my goal was to communicate it through the medical community as a paradigm shift when people start going to color-coded tools.

But then this came up and I was quite concerned, especially about my friends with ISMP about the negativity of colors, you know. And I very much wanted to answer that we see our system as an error prevention system more like the red light and the green light.

In other words, one of the most successful error reduction systems in the world is color coded. So we don't want to throw it all away. But it has to be pretty darn universal.

And the other thing is there cannot be two color-coded systems. By definition, you would have none. So one of the reasons explaining to everybody say if you go in on the same one, then we have something that can travel. It can travel to other countries.

And, you know, we have other goals for this. I'm rambling. But car restraints is another area where there is a lot of awkwardness about information.

I presented this to their group about using length and color for car restraints because it doesn't -- it's a single measurement. It's a surrogate for size, for weight, and for length. And you don't have to speak English.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	So we're interested in tying it into lots
2	of things. But I think the timing is right now so
3	we're trying to get interest in it really. That's why
4	I'm here.
5	DR. SELIGMAN: Any questions? Comments
6	from the audience?
7	(No response.)
8	DR. SELIGMAN: Well, thank you very much.
9	DR. BROSELOW: Thank you.
10	DR. SELIGMAN: We appreciate your
11	traveling today. You gave an excellent presentation.
12	And I want to thank you all for attending
13	today's meeting. Appreciate all the speakers and
14	their input and all the comments they've made as well.
15	And again, we want to remind everyone that
16	you do have the opportunity to submit written comments
17	to the docket to our Dockets Management Administrator
18	by April the 7th. And these will all be taken into
19	consideration as the FDA considers any future plans
20	regarding the use of color in both drugs as well as
21	devices.
22	Thank you very much.
23	(Whereupon, the above-entitled hearing was
24	concluded at 12:43 p.m.)